9. An individual or entity which knowingly employs or uses the services of an employee of HUD’s Office of Housing (other than in such employee’s official capacity); or

10. An individual or entity that knowingly uses the services, directly or indirectly, of any person or entity ineligible under 1 through 10 to assist in preparing any of its bids on the mortgage loans.

The Qualification Statement has additional representations and warranties which the prospective bidder must make, including but not limited to the representation and warranty that the prospective bidder or its Related Entities are not and will not knowingly use the services, directly or indirectly, of any person or entity that is, of any of the following (and to the extent that any such individual or entity would prevent the prospective bidder from making the following representations, such individual or entity has been removed from participation in all activities related to this sale and has no ability to influence or control individuals involved in formation of a bid for this sale):

(1) An entity or individual is ineligible to bid on any included reverse mortgage loan or on the pool containing such reverse mortgage loan because it is an entity or individual that:

(a) Serviced or held such reverse mortgage loan at any time during the six-month period prior to the bid, or

(b) Is any principal of any entity or individual described in the preceding sentence;

(c) Any employee or subcontractor of such entity or individual during that six-month period; or

(d) Any entity or individual that employs or uses the services of any other entity or individual described in this paragraph in preparing its bid on such reverse mortgage loan.

Freedom of Information Act Requests

HUD reserves the right, in its sole and absolute discretion, to disclose information regarding HVLS 2022–1, including, but not limited to, the identity of any successful qualified bidder and its bid price or bid percentage for any pool of loans or individual loan, upon the closing of the sale of all the mortgage loans. Even if HUD elects not to publicly disclose any information relating to HVLS 2022–1, HUD will disclose any information that HUD is obligated to disclose pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act and all regulations promulgated thereunder.

Scope of Notice

This notice applies to HVLS 2022–1 and does not establish HUD’s policy for the sale of other mortgage loans.

Janet Grolnick,
Acting, Chief of Staff, Office of Housing—Federal Housing Administration.
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damage or destruction of plants; and wildfire. Currently, the primary threat to the species is exploration for and development of locatable mineral resources, such as opals, gold, uranium, and zeolites. Without additional protections, we anticipate an increase in the magnitude of this threat affecting the species’ future resiliency, redundancy, and representation. Secondary threats continue to include potential invasive plant encroachment; grazing and trampling by livestock, wild horses, and ungulates; off-road vehicle recreation; deliberate damage or destruction of plants; and potential wildfire. The potential threats from invasive plants and wildfire could be exacerbated by climate change.

Several regulatory mechanisms have been initiated since listing in 2002 as follows:
(1) Desert yellowhead is designated a sensitive species under the BLM’s 6840 Manual (BLM 2008, entire) and under BLM’s current Lander Resource Management Plan (RMP) (BLM 2014, entire). We expect the current Lander RMP to remain in place for another 15–20 years, and that a renewed RMP would continue to offer protections to this species, regardless of its status as a federally listed species.

(2) On July 12, 2005, the BLM published a notice in the Federal Register announcing the closure of certain BLM-administered public lands to all types of motor vehicle use to protect desert yellowhead and its critical habitat (70 FR 40053). The closure affects public lands located within, and adjacent to, the 360-ac (146-ha) designated critical habitat of the Sand Draw population of desert yellowhead.

(3) On January 30, 2008, Public Land Order number 7688 provided for the withdrawal of public lands for the protection of desert yellowhead (FR 73 5586). The order withdrew the 360 ac (146 ha) of land identified as critical habitat surrounding the Sand Draw population from surface entry and mining for 20 years. This protection is due for renewal in 2028. The Cedar Rim population was not known at this time, and discussions regarding the establishment of a mineral withdrawal for this population are ongoing.

Recovery Planning Process
Restoring an endangered or threatened animal or plant to the point where it is again a secure, self-sustaining member of its ecosystem is a primary goal of the Service’s endangered species program. Recovery means improving the status of a listed species to the point at which listing is no longer necessary according to the criteria specified under section 4(a)(1) of the Act. The Act requires recovery plans for listed species unless such a plan would not promote the conservation of a particular species. To help guide recovery efforts, we prepare recovery plans to promote the conservation of the species.

The purpose of a recovery plan is to provide a recommended framework for the recovery of a species so that protection of the Act is no longer necessary. Pursuant to section 4(f) of the Act, a recovery plan must, to the maximum extent possible, include:
(1) A description of site-specific management actions as may be necessary to achieve the plan’s goal for the conservation and survival of the species;
(2) Objective, measurable criteria which, when met, would support a determination under section 4(a)(1) of the Act that the species should be removed from the List of Endangered and Threatened Plants; and
(3) Estimates of time and costs required to carry out those measures needed to achieve the plan’s goal and to achieve intermediate steps toward that goal.

We used our new recovery planning and implementation (RPI) process to develop the draft recovery plan for desert yellowhead. The RPI process helps reduce the time needed to develop and implement recovery plans, increases the relevancy of the recovery plan over longer timeframes, and adds flexibility so that the recovery plan can be more easily adjusted to new information and circumstances. Under our RPI process, a recovery plan will include the three statutorily required elements for recovery plans—objective and measurable criteria, site-specific management actions, and estimates of time and cost—along with a concise introduction and our strategy for how we plan to achieve species recovery.

The RPI recovery plan is supported by a separate SSA report for the desert yellowhead (Service 2019, entire). The SSA is an in-depth, but not exhaustive, review of the species’ biology and threats, an evaluation of its biological status, and an assessment of the resources and conditions needed to maintain long-term viability. The SSA provides the scientific background and threats assessment for desert yellowhead, which are key to the development of the recovery plan. A third, separate working document, called the recovery implementation strategy (RIS), steps down the more general descriptions of actions in the recovery plan to detail the specifics needed to implement the recovery plan, which improves the flexibility of the recovery plan. The RIS will be adaptable, with new information on actions incorporated, as needed, without requiring a concurrent revision to the recovery plan, unless changes to the three statutory elements are required.

Draft Recovery Plan
Below, we summarize components from our draft recovery plan. Please reference the draft recovery plan for full details (see ADDRESSES).

The draft recovery plan describes recovery as the maintenance of two (redundant) stable (resilient) populations within the species’ historical range (representation), with conservation measures in place to reduce key threats.

The draft recovery plan includes recovery criteria for delisting that when met would indicate that the desert yellowhead may no longer need the protections of the Act. Delisting criteria include:
(1) Long term, renewable protections from mineral resource extraction are in place for both the Sand Draw and Cedar Rim populations and will remain in place for at least 10 years following delisting.

(2) The Sand Draw and Cedar Rim populations are secure, as evidenced by a stable or increasing population trend, with more than 5,797 individuals counted in Sand Draw’s monitored quadrats and more than 242 individuals counted in Cedar Rim’s monitored transects for 8 out of 10 consecutive survey years.

(3) Both the Sand Draw and Cedar Rim populations show evidence of sexual reproduction as evidenced by the production of at least one seed with a mature embryo in both populations over a 10-year period.

(4) A banked seed source containing seeds from both populations of desert yellowhead is secured in a Center for Plant Conservation (CPC)-affiliated institution.

To help meet these criteria, the draft recovery plan identifies recovery actions for each criterion.

Peer Review
In accordance with our July 1, 1994, peer review policy (59 FR 34270; July 1, 1994); our August 22, 2016, Director’s Memo on the Peer Review Process; and the Office of Management and Budget’s December 16, 2004, Final Information Quality Bulletin for Peer Review (revised June 2012), we solicited independent scientific reviews of the information contained in the SSA.
Are any of the draft recovery actions presented in the draft recovery plan for the desert yellowhead now and into the future? If not, what general actions are missing? What is needed to recover desert yellowhead? How could they be provided clear direction to partners on what is needed to recover desert yellowhead? How could they be improved for clarity? Are the draft recovery criteria both objective and measurable given the information available for desert yellowhead now and into the future? Please provide suggestions. Do you think that the draft recovery actions presented in the draft recovery plan generally cover the types of actions necessary to meet the recovery criteria? If not, what general actions are missing? Any of the draft recovery actions unnecessary for achieving recovery? Have we prioritized the actions appropriately?

Public Availability of Comments

We will summarize and respond to the issues raised by the public in an appendix to the approved final recovery plan. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. You may request at the top of your comment that we withhold this information from public review; however, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority

The authority for this action is section 4(f) of the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. 1533(f).

Anna Munñoz, Acting Deputy Regional Director.
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Receipt of Incidental Take Permit Application and Proposed Habitat Conservation Plan for the Sand Skink, Lake County, FL; Categorical Exclusion

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability; request for comment and information.

SUMMARY: We, the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce receipt of an application from Pulte Home Company, LLC—North Florida Division (applicant) for an incidental take permit (ITP) under the Endangered Species Act (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.). The applicant requests the ITP to take the federally listed sand skink (Neoseps reynoldsi) incidental to the construction of a residential development (project) in Lake County, Florida. We request public comment on the application, which includes the applicant’s proposed habitat conservation plan (HCP), and on the Service’s preliminary determination that this HCP qualifies as “low-effect,” categorically excluded, under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA; 42 U.S.C. 4231 et seq.). To make this determination, we used our environmental action statement and low-effect screening form, both of which are also available for public review.

Project

The applicant requests a 5-year ITP to take sand skinks through the conversion of approximately 2.70 acres (ac) of occupied sand skink foraging and sheltering habitat incidental to the construction of a residential development located on a 254.87-ac parcel in Section 24, Township 23 South, Range 26 East, Lake County, Florida, identified by Parcel ID numbers 24–23–26–0001–0000–0100, 24–23–26–0002–0000–0600, 24–23–26–0002–0000–1200 and 24–23–26–0001–0000–