ACTION: Notice of availability; request for comments and information.

SUMMARY: We, the Fish and Wildlife Service, announce receipt of four incidental take permit (ITP) applications and four habitat conservation plans (HCPs). Each of the four applicants requests an ITP under the Endangered Species Act. If granted, the ITPs would authorize take of the sand skink and blue-tailed mole skink via destruction of the species’ feeding, breeding, and sheltering habitat. The applicants are Tohopekaliga Water Authority; Mystic Dunes, LLC; Clay Cut, LLC; and Land Acquisition One, LLC. We invite comments from the public and Federal, Tribal, State, and local governments on the four applicants’ HCPs, and our draft environmental action statements and low-effect screening forms, which support categorical exclusions under the National Environmental Policy Act.

DATES: We must receive your written comments on or before August 6, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Obtaining Documents: You may obtain copies of the documents by any of the following methods:
   • Telephone: Alfredo Begazo, 772–469–4234 (telephone).
   • Email: alfredo_begazo@fws.gov.
   • U.S. mail: Alfredo Begazo, South Florida Ecological Services Office; Attn: [Insert appropriate ITP numbers; see SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION].

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service
Four Habitat Conservation Plans for Sand Skink and Blue-Tailed Mole Skink, Polk and Osceola Counties, FL
AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

Total cost: 26,219 hours * $39.07 Hourly rate for GS12).

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:
(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
(2) The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;
(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents; including using appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

C. Authority

Dated: June 18, 2018.
Neal Rackleff,
Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.
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• Clay Cut, LLC (ITP TE69952C–0) anticipates taking 8.6 acres of species’ habitat incidental to land preparation and construction in Section 3, Township 27 South, Range 28 East, in Polk County, Florida.
• Land Acquisition One, LLC (ITP TE69953C–0) anticipates taking 11.2 acres of species’ habitat incidental to land preparation and construction in Sections 18 and 19, Township 25 South, Range 27 East, in Osceola County, Florida.

None of the applicants currently has a timeframe for development or specific site plans; however, each applicant intends to develop its parcel by constructing one or more structures and parking areas and installing associated utilities.

The applicants propose to mitigate for impacts to the species by purchasing credits from a Service-approved conservation bank as follows:
• Tohopekaliga Water Authority proposes to purchase the equivalent of 4.4 acres of credits.
• Mystic Dunes, LLC proposes to purchase the equivalent of 13.4 acres of credits.
• Clay Cut, LLC proposes to purchase the equivalent of 17.2 acres of credits.
• Land Acquisition One, LLC proposes to purchase the equivalent of 22.4 acres of credits.

Our Preliminary Determination

The Service has made a preliminary determination that each of the applicants’ projects, including the mitigation measures, will individually and cumulatively have a minor or negligible effect on the species. Therefore, we have determined that the ITPs for each of these projects would be “low effect” and qualify for categorical exclusions under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA; 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

Public Availability of Comments

Written comments we receive become part of the administrative record associated with this action. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can request in your comment that we withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Authority: We provide this notice under section 10(c) of the ESA (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 17.22 and 17.32) and NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and its implementing regulations (40 CFR 1506.6 and 43 CFR 46.305).

Roxanna Hinzman,
Field Supervisor, South Florida Ecological Services Office.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted Inv. No. 337–TA–1046 on April 12, 2017, based on a complaint filed by Macronix International Co., Ltd. of Hsin-chu, Taiwan and Macronix America, Inc. of Milpitas, California (collectively, “Macronix”). 82 FR 17687–88 (Apr. 12, 2017). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain non-volatile memory devices and products containing the same that infringe one or more of claims 1–8 of the '360 patent; claims 1–12 and 16 of the '602 patent; and claims 1–7, 11–16, and 18 of the '417 patent. The notice of investigation named the following respondents: Toshiba Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Toshiba America, Inc. of New York, New York; Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc. of Irvine, California; Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc. of Irvine, California; and Toshiba Information Equipment (Philippines), Inc. of Binan, Philippines (collectively, “Toshiba”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is a party to the investigation.

On June 16, 2017, the Commission determined not to review the ALJ’s order (Order No. 11) granting an unopposed motion to amend the Notice of investigation to add Toshiba Memory Corporation of Tokyo, Japan as a respondent. See Order No. 11, Comm’n Notice of Non-Review (June 16, 2017).

On October 17, 2017, the Commission determined not to review the ALJ’s order (Order No. 20) granting an unopposed motion to terminate the investigation as to claims 11, 12, and 16 of the ’602 patent. See Order No. 20, Comm’n Notice of Non-Review (Oct. 17, 2017).

On October 4, 2017, the ALJ held a Markman hearing to construe certain disputed claim terms. On December 5, 2017, the ALJ issued Order No. 23 (Markman Order), setting forth her construction of the disputed claim terms.

On January 18, 2018, the Commission determined not to review the ALJ’s