4. Capacity of a Rule Under TSCA 8(a), 8(c), or 8(d) To Alter the Identification of New and Existing Chemical Substances Under the SDA Naming Convention

Even if the petition had established that a rulemaking proceeding is necessary, the petition would still be deficient. While the petition states in very general terms that it is seeking a change to the legal status quo (i.e., to establish some regulatory process “to allow” certain chemicals, the petition still fails to explain how a rule under TSCA section 8 could be crafted to accomplish that objective. Rules under 8(c) and 8(d) only cover reporting and retention of certain health and safety related documents; they are inapposite to the stated objective. Nor does the petition suggest any plan to make specific use of EPA’s rulemaking authorities under sections 8(c) or 8(d). Rules under section 8(a) are somewhat broader in potential scope, but once again, the rulemaking authority at issue here is inapposite; it is to require current or prospective manufacturers or processors of a chemical substance to supply existing information relating to that chemical substance. While, historically, information collected using a TSCA section 8(a) rule provided the factual basis for EPA’s assembly of the TSCA Inventory, TSCA section 8(a) does not itself govern or authorize EPA’s management of the TSCA Inventory. That is instead authorized under TSCA section 8(b). Yet TSCA section 8(b) does not contain an express grant of rulemaking authority, and EPA has never used rulemaking to establish or make additions or changes to the Inventory. For its part, the petition merely makes a blanket assertion that “EPA is authorized under TSCA section 8 to commence a rulemaking.”

Especially since the text of TSCA section 8(b) does not itself refer to rulemaking authority, and the petitioners are seeking a change in legal requirements to “allow for new sources to be added,” the absence of any particular explanation in the petition describing how petitioners believe EPA could issue an appropriate rule (under any subsection of TSCA section 8) is a critical deficiency of the petition. Finally, to the extent that petitioners are actually seeking an order under TSCA section 8(b), EPA notes that such petitions are not cognizable under TSCA section 8(b)(1).

V. References

The following is a listing of the documents that are specifically referenced in this document. The docket includes these documents and other information considered by EPA, including documents that are referenced within the documents that are included in the docket, even if the referenced document is not physically located in the docket. For assistance in locating these other documents, please consult the technical person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.


List of Subjects in 40 CFR Chapter I

Environmental protection. Natural sources of oil and fat, SDA nomenclature system, TSCA Inventory.

Dated: December 31, 2015.

James Jones,
Assistant Administrator, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention.
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Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 90-Day Findings on 17 Petitions

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Petition findings and initiation of status reviews.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce 90-day findings on various petitions to list, reclassify, or delist fish, wildlife, or plants under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). Based on our review, we find that six petitions do not present substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that the petitioned actions may be warranted, and we are not initiating status reviews in response to these petitions. We refer to these as “not-substantial” petition findings. We also find that 11 petitions present substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that the petitioned actions may be warranted. Therefore, with the publication of this document, we announce that we plan to initiate a review of the status of these species to determine if the petitioned actions are warranted. To ensure that these status reviews are comprehensive, we are requesting scientific and commercial data and other information regarding these species. Based on the status reviews, we will issue 12-month findings on the petitions, which will address whether the petitioned action is warranted, as provided in section 4(b)(3)(B) of the Act.

DATES: When we conduct status reviews, we will consider all information that we have received. To ensure that we will have adequate time to consider submitted information during the status reviews, we request that we receive information no later than March 14, 2016. Information submitted electronically using the Federal eRulemaking Portal (see ADDRESSES) should be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the closing date.

ADDRESSES: Not-substantial petition findings: The not-substantial petition findings announced in this document are available on http://www.regulations.gov under the appropriate docket number (see Table 2 in this section), or on the Service’s Web site at ecos.fws.gov. Supporting information in preparing these findings is available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours by contacting the appropriate person, as specified under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Status reviews: You may submit information on species for which a status review is being initiated by one of the following methods:

(1) Electronically: Go to the Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. In the Search box, enter the appropriate docket number (see Table 1, below). You may submit information by clicking on “Comment Now!” If your information will fit in the provided comment box, please use this feature of http://www.regulations.gov, as it is most compatible with our information review procedures. If you attach your information as a separate document, our preferred file format is
Microsoft Word. If you attach multiple comments (such as form letters), our preferred format is a spreadsheet in Microsoft Excel. 

(2) By hard copy: Submit by U.S. mail or hand-delivery to: Public Comments Processing, Attn: [Insert appropriate docket number; see Table 1, below]; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS: BPHC, 5275 Leesburg Pike; Falls Church, VA 22041–3803.

We request that you send information only by the methods described above. We will post all information received on http://www.regulations.gov. This generally means that we will post any personal information you provide us (see Request for Information for Status Reviews in SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION for more details).

TABLE 1—LIST OF SUBSTANTIAL FINDINGS FOR WHICH A STATUS REVIEW IS BEING INITIATED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Docket No.</th>
<th>URL to docket in regs.gov</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

TABLE 2—LIST OF NOT-SUBSTANTIAL FINDINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Docket No.</th>
<th>URL to docket in regs.gov</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upst.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delst.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Contact person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado desert fringe-toed lizard</td>
<td>Mendel Stewart, 760–431–9440; Mendel <a href="mailto:Stewart@fws.gov">Stewart@fws.gov</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culebra skink</td>
<td>Andreas Moshgianis, 404–679–7119; <a href="mailto:Andreas_Moshgianis@fws.gov">Andreas_Moshgianis@fws.gov</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Basin silverspot butterfly</td>
<td>Ann Timberman, 970–628–7181; <a href="mailto:Ann_Timberman@fws.gov">Ann_Timberman@fws.gov</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Saint Croix skink</td>
<td>Andreas Moshgianis, 404–679–7119; <a href="mailto:Andreas_Moshgianis@fws.gov">Andreas_Moshgianis@fws.gov</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Virgin Islands skink</td>
<td>Andreas Moshgianis, 404–679–7119; <a href="mailto:Andreas_Moshgianis@fws.gov">Andreas_Moshgianis@fws.gov</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesser Saint Croix skink</td>
<td>Chris Servheen, 406–243–4903; <a href="mailto:Chris_Servheen@fws.gov">Chris_Servheen@fws.gov</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mona skink</td>
<td>Jennifer Norris, 916–414–6600; <a href="mailto:Jennifer_Norris@fws.gov">Jennifer_Norris@fws.gov</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrow-foot diving beetle</td>
<td>Andreas Moshgianis, 404–679–7119; <a href="mailto:Andreas_Moshgianis@fws.gov">Andreas_Moshgianis@fws.gov</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rockies population of fisher</td>
<td>Mark Sattelberg, 307–772–2374; <a href="mailto:Mark_Sattelberg@fws.gov">Mark_Sattelberg@fws.gov</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandstone Night lizard</td>
<td>Andreas Moshgianis, 404–679–7119; <a href="mailto:Andreas_Moshgianis@fws.gov">Andreas_Moshgianis@fws.gov</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott riffle beetle</td>
<td>Mendel Stewart, 760–431–9440; Mendel <a href="mailto:Stewart@fws.gov">Stewart@fws.gov</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin Islands bronze skink</td>
<td>Jason Luginbill, 785–539–3474 x105; <a href="mailto:Jason_Luginbill@fws.gov">Jason_Luginbill@fws.gov</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowstone bison</td>
<td>Andreas Moshgianis, 404–679–7119; <a href="mailto:Andreas_Moshgianis@fws.gov">Andreas_Moshgianis@fws.gov</a>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), please call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Information for Status Reviews
When we make a finding that a petition presents substantial information indicating that listing, reclassification, or delisting a species may be warranted, we are required to review the status of the species (status review). For the status review to be complete and based on the best available scientific and commercial information, we request information on these species from governmental agencies, Native American Tribes, the scientific community, industry, and any
other interested parties. We seek information on:

1. The species’ biology, range, and population trends, including:
   (a) Habitat requirements;
   (b) Genetics and taxonomy;
   (c) Historical and current range, including distribution patterns;
   (d) Historical and current population levels, and current and projected trends; and
   (e) Past and ongoing conservation measures for the species, its habitat, or both.

2. The factors that are the basis for making a listing, reclassification, or delisting determination for a species under section 4(a) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), which are:
   (a) The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range (Factor A);
   (b) Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes (Factor B);
   (c) Disease or predation (Factor C);
   (d) The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms (Factor D); or
   (e) Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence (Factor E).

3. The potential effects of climate change on the species and its habitat, and the extent to which it affects the habitat or range of the species.

If, after the status review, we determine that listing is warranted, we will propose critical habitat (see definition in section 3(5)(A) of the Act) for domestic (U.S.) species under section 4 of the Act, to the maximum extent prudent and determinable at the time we propose to list the species. Therefore, we also request data and information for the species listed above in Table 1 (to be submitted as provided for in the ADDRESSES section) on:

1. What may constitute “physical or biological features essential to the conservation of the species,” within the geographical range occupied by the species;
2. Where these features are currently found;
3. Whether any of these features may require special management considerations or protection;
4. Specific areas outside the geographical area occupied by the species that are “essential for the conservation of the species”; and
5. What, if any, critical habitat you think we should propose for designation if the species is proposed for listing, and why such habitat meets the requirements of section 4 of the Act.

Please include sufficient information with your submission (such as scientific journal articles or other publications) to allow us to verify any scientific or commercial information you include.

Submissions merely stating support for or opposition to the actions under consideration without providing supporting information, although noted, will not be considered in making a determination. Section 4(b)(1)(A) of the Act directs that determinations as to whether any species is an endangered or threatened species must be made “solely on the basis of the best scientific and commercial data available.”

You may submit your information concerning these status reviews by one of the methods listed in the ADDRESSES section. If you submit information via http://www.regulations.gov, your entire submission—including any personal identifying information—will be posted on the Web site. If you submit a hardcopy that includes personal identifying information, you may request at the top of your document that we withhold this personal identifying information from public review. However, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. We will post all hardcopy submissions on http://www.regulations.gov.

Information and supporting documentation that we received and used in preparing these 90-day findings are available for you to review at http://www.regulations.gov, or you may make an appointment during normal business hours at the appropriate lead U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Field Office (contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT).

Background

Section 4(b)(3)(A) of the Act requires that we make a finding on whether a petition to list, delist, or reclassify a species presents substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that the petitioned action may be warranted. To the maximum extent practicable, we are to make this finding within 90 days of our receipt of the petition and publish our notice of the finding promptly in the Federal Register.

Our standard for substantial scientific or commercial information within the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) with regard to a 90-day petition finding is “that amount of information that would lead a reasonable person to believe that the measure proposed in the petition may be warranted” (50 CFR 424.14(b)). If we find that substantial scientific or commercial information was presented, we are required to promptly commence a review of the species, which will be subsequently summarized in our 12-month finding.

Section 4 of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1533) and its implementing regulations at 50 CFR 424 set forth the procedures for adding a species to, or removing a species from, the Federal Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants. A species may be determined to be an endangered or threatened species because of one or more of the five factors described in section 4(a)(1) of the Act (see Request for Information for Status Reviews, above).

In considering whether conditions described within one or more of the factors might constitute threats, we must look beyond the exposure of the species to those conditions to evaluate whether the species may respond to the conditions in a way that causes actual impacts to the species. If there is exposure to a condition and the species responds negatively, the condition qualify as a stressors and, during the subsequent status review, we attempt to determine how significant the stressor is. If the stressor is sufficiently significant that it drives, or contributes to, the risk of extinction of the species such that the species may warrant listing as endangered or threatened as those terms are defined in the Act, the stressor constitutes a threat to the species. Thus, the identification of conditions that could affect a species negatively may not be sufficient to compel a finding that the information in the petition and our files is substantial. The information must include evidence sufficient to suggest that these conditions may be operative threats that act on the species to a sufficient degree that the species may meet the definition of an endangered or threatened species under the Act.

Evaluation of a Petition To List the Colorado Desert Fringe-Toed Lizard as an Endangered or Threatened Species Under the Act

Additional information regarding our review of this petition can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R8–ES–2015–0082 under the Supporting Documents section.

Species and Range

Colorado desert fringe-toed lizard (Uma notata); California, Baja California, Mexico

Petition History

On July 11, 2012, we received a petition dated July 11, 2012, from the Center for Biological Diversity, requesting that 53 species of reptiles and amphibians, including the Colorado desert fringe-toed lizard, be listed under
the Act as endangered or threatened species and that critical habitat be designated under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petitioner, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a). This finding addresses the Colorado desert fringe-toed lizard.

Finding

Based on our review of the petition and sources cited in the petition, we find that the petition presents substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that the petitioned action may be warranted for Colorado desert fringe-toed lizard (Uma notata). Because the petition does not present substantial information indicating that listing the Colorado desert fringe-toed lizard may be warranted, we are not initiating a status review of this species in response to this petition. Our justification for this finding can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R8–ES–2015–0082 under the Supporting Documents section. However, we ask that the public submit to us any new information that becomes available concerning the status of, or threats to, this species or its habitat at any time (see FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT).

Evaluation of a Petition To List the Culebra Skink as an Endangered or Threatened Species Under the Act

Additional information regarding our review of this petition can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R6–ES–2015–0089 under the Supporting Documents section.

Species and Range
Culebra skink (Spondylurus culebrae); Caribbean

Petition History

On February 11, 2014, we received a petition dated February 11, 2014, from the Center for Biological Diversity, requesting that the Culebra skink, greater Saint Croix skink, Mona skink, Puerto Rican skink, Virgin Islands bronze skink, Greater Virgin Islands skink, lesser Saint Croix skink, Monito skink, and lesser Virgin Islands skink be listed as endangered or threatened. Thus, for this species, the Service requests information on the five listing factors under section 4(a)(1) of the Act, including the factors identified in this finding (see Request for Information for Status Reviews, above).

Finding

Based on our review of the petition and sources cited in the petition, we find that the petition presents substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that listing the Culebra skink (Spondylurus culebrae) may be warranted based on Factors C and D. However, during our status review, we will thoroughly evaluate all potential threats to the species. Thus, for this species, the Service requests information on the five listing factors under section 4(a)(1) of the Act, including the factors identified in this finding (see Request for Information for Status Reviews, above).

Evaluation of a Petition To List the Greater Saint Croix Skink as an Endangered or Threatened Species Under the Act

Additional information regarding our review of this petition can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2015–0090 under the Supporting Documents section.

Species and Range
Greater Saint Croix skink (Spondylurus magnacruzae); Caribbean

Petition History

On February 11, 2014, we received a petition dated February 11, 2014, from the Center for Biological Diversity, requesting that the Culebra skink, greater Saint Croix skink, Mona skink, Puerto Rican skink, Virgin Islands bronze skink, greater Virgin Islands skink, lesser Saint Croix skink, Monito skink, and lesser Virgin Islands skink be listed as endangered or threatened and that critical habitat be designated for these species under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petitioner, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a). We acknowledged receipt of this petition via email on February 12, 2014. This finding addresses the greater Saint Croix skink.

Finding

Based on our review of the petition and sources cited in the petition, we find that the petition presents substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that listing the greater Saint Croix skink (Spondylurus magnacruzae) may be warranted based on Factors C and D. However, during our status review, we will thoroughly evaluate all potential threats to the species. Thus, for this species, the Service requests information on the five listing factors under section 4(a)(1) of the Act, including the factors identified in this finding (see Request for Information for Status Reviews, above).

Evaluation of a Petition To List the Greater Virgin Islands Skink as an Endangered or Threatened Species Under the Act

Additional information regarding our review of this petition can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2015–0091 under the Supporting Documents section.

Species and Range
Greater Virgin Islands skink (Spondylurus spilonotus); Caribbean

Petition History

On February 11, 2014, we received a petition dated February 11, 2014, from the Center for Biological Diversity, requesting that the Culebra skink, greater Saint Croix skink, Mona skink, Puerto Rican skink, Virgin Islands bronze skink, greater Virgin Islands skink, lesser Saint Croix skink, Monito skink, and lesser Virgin Islands skink be listed as endangered or threatened and that critical habitat be designated for these species under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petitioner, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a). We acknowledged receipt of this petition via email on February 12, 2014. This finding addresses the greater Saint Croix skink.

Finding

Based on our review of the petition and sources cited in the petition, we find that the petition presents substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that listing the Culebra skink (Spondylurus culebrae) may be warranted based on Factors C and D. However, during our status review, we will thoroughly evaluate all potential threats to the species. Thus, for this species, the Service requests information on the five listing factors under section 4(a)(1) of the Act, including the factors identified in this finding (see Request for Information for Status Reviews, above).

Evaluation of a Petition To List the
Petition History
On February 11, 2014, we received a petition dated February 11, 2014, from the Center for Biological Diversity, requesting that the Culebra skink, greater Saint Croix skink, Mona skink, Puerto Rican skink, Virginia Islands bronze skink, greater Virgin Islands skink, lesser Saint Croix skink, Monito skink, and lesser Virgin Islands skink be listed as endangered or threatened and that critical habitat be designated for these species under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petition, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a). We acknowledged receipt of this petition via email on February 12, 2014. This finding addresses the greater Virgin Islands skink.

Finding
Based on our review of the petition and sources cited in the petition, we find that the petition does not present substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that the petitioned action (reclassifying from threatened status to endangered status) may be warranted for the Cabinet-Yaak grizzly bear (Ursus arctos horribilis). Because the petition does not present substantial information indicating that reclassifying the Cabinet-Yaak grizzly bear may be warranted, we are not initiating a status review of this species in response to this petition. Our justification for this finding can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R6–ES–2015–0173 under the Supporting Documents section. However, we ask that the public submit to us any new information that becomes available concerning the status of, or threats to, this population or its habitat at any time (see FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT).

Evaluation of a Petition To Reclassify the Grizzly Bear (Cabinet-Yaak Population) From a Threatened Species to an Endangered Species Under the Act
Additional information regarding our review of this petition can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R6–ES–2015–0173 under the Supporting Documents section.

Species and Range
Grizzly bear (Cabinet-Yaak population) (Ursus arctos horribilis); Montana, Idaho

Petition History
On December 17, 2014, we received a petition dated December 11, 2014, from the Alliance for the Wild Rockies, requesting that the Cabinet-Yaak grizzly bear be reclassified as endangered and that critical habitat be designated for this population under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petition, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a). In a February 2, 2015, letter to the petitioner acknowledging receipt of the petition, we responded that we reviewed the information presented in the petition and did not find that the petition warranted an emergency listing. This finding addresses the petition.

Finding
Based on our review of the petition and sources cited in the petition, we find that the petition does not present substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that the petitioned action (delisting) may be warranted for the Cabinet-Yaak population of grizzly bear (Ursus arctos horribilis). Because the petition does not present substantial information indicating that delisting the Cabinet-Yaak population of grizzly bear may be warranted, we are not initiating a status review of this species in response to this petition. Our justification for this finding can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R6–ES–2015–0174 under the Supporting Documents section. However, we ask that the public submit to us any new information that becomes available concerning the status of, or threats to, this population or its habitat at any time (see FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT).

Evaluation of a Petition To List the Kings River Slender Salamander as an Endangered or Threatened Species Under the Act
Additional information regarding our review of this petition can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R8–ES–2015–0094 under the Supporting Documents section.

Species and Range
Kings River slender salamander (Batrachoseps regius); California

Petition History
On July 11, 2012, we received a petition dated July 11, 2012, from the Center for Biological Diversity requesting that 53 species of reptiles and amphibians, including the Kings River slender salamander, be listed under the Act as endangered or threatened and that critical habitat be designated under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petition, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a). This finding addresses the Kings River slender salamander.

Finding
Based on our review of the petition and sources cited in the petition, we find that the petition does not present substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that the
petitioned action may be warranted for the Kings River slender salamander (*Batrachoseps regius*). Because the petition does not present substantial information indicating that listing the Kings River slender salamander may be warranted, we are not initiating a status review of this species in response to this petition. Our justification for this finding can be found as an appendix at <http://www.regulations.gov> under Docket No. FWS–R8–ES–2015–0094 under the Supporting Documents section. However, we ask that the public submit to us any new information that becomes available concerning the status of, or threats to, this species or its habitat at any time (see FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT).

**Evaluation of a Petition To List the Lesser Saint Croix Skink as an Endangered or Threatened Species Under the Act**

Additional information regarding our review of this petition can be found as an appendix at <http://www.regulations.gov> under Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2015–0096 under the Supporting Documents section.

**Species and Range**

Lesser Saint Croix skink (*Capitellum parvicruzae*); Caribbean

**Petition History**

On February 11, 2014, we received a petition dated February 11, 2014, from the Center for Biological Diversity, requesting that the Culebra skink, greater Saint Croix skink, Mona skink, Puerto Rican skink, Virgin Islands bronze skink, greater Virgin Islands skink, lesser Saint Croix skink, Monito skink, and lesser Virgin Islands skink be listed as endangered or threatened and that critical habitat be designated for these species under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petitioner, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a). We acknowledged receipt of this petition via email on February 12, 2014. This finding addresses the lesser Saint Croix skink.

**Finding**

Based on our review of the petition and sources cited in the petition, we find that the petition presents substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that listing the lesser Saint Croix skink (*Capitellum parvicruzae*) may be warranted based on Factors A and D. However, during our status review, we will thoroughly evaluate all potential threats to the species. Thus, for this species, the Service requests information on the five listing factors under section 4(a)(1) of the Act, including the factors identified in this finding (see Request for Information for Status Reviews, above).

**Evaluation of a Petition To List the Mona Skink as an Endangered or Threatened Species Under the Act**

Additional information regarding our review of this petition can be found as an appendix at <http://www.regulations.gov> under Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2015–0100 under the Supporting Documents section.

**Species and Range**

Mona skink (*Spondylurus monae*); Caribbean

**Petition History**

On February 11, 2014, we received a petition dated February 11, 2014, from the Center for Biological Diversity, requesting that the Culebra skink, greater Saint Croix skink, Mona skink, Puerto Rican skink, Virgin Islands bronze skink, greater Virgin Islands skink, lesser Saint Croix skink, Monito skink, and lesser Virgin Islands skink be listed as endangered or threatened and that critical habitat be designated for these species under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petitioner, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a). We acknowledged receipt of this petition via email on February 12, 2014. This finding addresses the Mona skink.

**Finding**

Based on our review of the petition and sources cited in the petition, we find that the petition presents substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that listing the Mona skink (*Spondylurus monae*) may be warranted based on Factors A, C, and D. However, during our status review, we will thoroughly evaluate all potential threats to the species. Thus, for this species, the Service requests information on the five listing factors under section 4(a)(1) of the Act, including the factors identified in this finding (see Request for Information for Status Reviews, above).

**Evaluation of a Petition To List the Narrow-Foot Diving Beetle as an Endangered or Threatened Species Under the Act**

Additional information regarding our review of this petition can be found as an appendix at <http://www.regulations.gov> under Docket No. FWS–R6–ES–2015–0102 under the Supporting Documents section.

**Species and Range**

Narrow-foot diving beetle (*Hygrotus diversipes*); Wyoming

**Petition History**

On July 17, 2013, we received a petition dated July 9, 2013, from WildEarth Guardians, requesting that the narrow-foot diving beetle be listed as endangered or threatened under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petitioner, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a). This finding addresses the narrow-foot diving beetle.

**Finding**

Based on our review of the petition and sources cited in the petition, we find that the petition presents substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that listing the narrow-foot diving beetle (*Hygrotus diversipes*) may be warranted based on Factors A and E. However, during our status review, we will thoroughly evaluate all potential threats to the species. Thus, for this species, the Service requests information on the five listing factors under section 4(a)(1) of the Act, including the factors identified in this finding (see Request for Information for Status Reviews, above).

**Evaluation of a Petition To List the Fisher (Northern Rockies Population) as an Endangered or Threatened Species Under the Act**

Additional information regarding our review of this petition can be found as an appendix at <http://www.regulations.gov> under Docket No. FWS–R6–ES–2015–0104 under the Supporting Documents section.

**Species and Range**

Fisher (Northern Rockies population) (*Martes pennanti*); Idaho, Montana

**Petition History**

On September 23, 2013, we received a petition dated September 23, 2013, from the Center for Biological Diversity, Defenders of Wildlife, Friends of the Bitterroot, Friends of the Clearwater, Western Watersheds Project, and Friends of the Wild Swan, requesting that the fisher in its U.S. Northern Rocky Mountains (USNRMs) range be listed as endangered or threatened under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petitioner, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a). In an October 31, 2013, letter to the petitioner, we responded that we reviewed the information presented in the petition and did not find that the
petition warranted an emergency listing. This finding addresses the petition.

On June 30, 2011, we published a 12-month finding (76 FR 38504) following a full status review of fishers in the USNRMs that concluded listing the entity as endangered or threatened under the Act was not warranted.

Finding

Based on our review of the petition and sources cited in the petition, including new information that petitioners submitted after the 2011 finding, we find that the petition presents substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that listing the species (Northern Rockies population) (Martes pennanti) may be warranted based on Factors B and E. However, during our status review, we will thoroughly evaluate all potential threats to the species. Thus, for this species, the Service requests information on the five listing factors under section 4(a)(1) of the Act, including the factors identified in this finding (see Request for Information for Status Reviews, above).

Evaluation of a Petition To List the Puerto Rico Skink as an Endangered or Threatened Species Under the Act

Additional information regarding our review of this petition can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2015–0107 under the Supporting Documents section.

Species and Range
Puerto Rico skink (Spondylurus nitidus); Caribbean

Petition History

On February 11, 2014, we received a petition dated February 11, 2014, from the Center for Biological Diversity, requesting that the Culebra skink, greater Saint Croix skink, Mona skink, Puerto Rican skink, Virgin Islands bronze skink, greater Virgin Islands skink, lesser Saint Croix skink, Monito skink, and lesser Virgin Islands skink be listed as endangered or threatened and that critical habitat be designated for these species under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petitioner, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a). We acknowledged receipt of this petition via email on February 12, 2014. This finding addresses the Puerto Rican skink.

Finding

Based on our review of the petition and sources cited in the petition, we find that the petition presents substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that listing the Puerto Rico skink (Spondylurus nitidus) may be warranted based on Factors A, C, and D. However, during our status review, we will thoroughly evaluate all potential threats to the species. Thus, for this species, the Service requests information on the five listing factors under section 4(a)(1) of the Act, including the factors identified in this finding (see Request for Information for Status Reviews, above).

Evaluation of a Petition To List the Sandstone Night Lizard as an Endangered or Threatened Species Under the Act

Additional information regarding our review of this petition can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R8–ES–2015–0113 under the Supporting Documents section.

Species and Range
Sandstone night lizard (Xantusia gracilis); California

Petition History

On July 11, 2012, we received a petition dated July 11, 2012, from the Center for Biological Diversity, requesting that 53 species of reptiles and amphibians, including the sandstone night lizard, be listed under the Act as endangered or threatened and that critical habitat be designated under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petitioner, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a). This finding addresses the petition.

Finding

Based on our review of the petition and sources cited in the petition, we find that the petition does not present substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that the petitioned action may be warranted for the sandstone night lizard (Xantusia gracilis). Because the petition does not present substantial information indicating that listing the sandstone night lizard may be warranted, we are not initiating a status review of this species in response to this petition. Our justification for this finding can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R8–ES–2015–0113 under the Supporting Documents section.

Evaluation of a Petition To List the Virgin Islands Bronze Skink as an Endangered or Threatened Species Under the Act

Additional information regarding our review of this petition can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R6–ES–2015–0114 under the Supporting Documents section.

Species and Range
Virgin Islands bronze skink (Spondylurus sloanii); Caribbean

Petition History

On February 11, 2014, we received a petition dated February 11, 2014, from the Center for Biological Diversity, requesting that the Culebra skink,
greater Saint Croix skink, Mona skink, Puerto Rican skink, Virgin Islands bronze skink, greater Virgin Islands skink, lesser Saint Croix skink, Monito skink, and lesser Virgin Islands skink be listed as endangered or threatened and that critical habitat be designated for these species under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petitioner, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a). In a March 24, 2015, letter to the petitioner, we responded that we reviewed the information presented in the petition and did not find that the petition warranted an emergency listing. This finding addresses both petitions, as they request the same action for the same entity.

Finding

Based on our review of the petition and sources cited in the petition, we find that the petition presents substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that listing the Virgin Islands bronze skink (Spondylurus sloanii) may be warranted based on Factors C and D. However, during our status review, we will thoroughly evaluate all potential threats to the species. Thus, for this species, the Service requests information on the five listing factors under section 4(a)(1) of the Act, including the factors identified in this finding (see Request for Information for Status Reviews, above).

Evaluation of a Petition To List the Yellowstone Bison as an Endangered or Threatened Species Under the Act

Additional information regarding our review of this petition can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R6–ES–2015–0123 under the Supporting Documents section.

Species and Range

Yellowstone bison (Bison bison bison);

Wyoming

Petition History

On November 14, 2014, we received a petition dated November 13, 2014, from the Western Watersheds Project and Buffalo Field Campaign, requesting that Yellowstone National Park bison be listed as endangered or threatened under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petitioner, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a).

On March 2, 2015, we received a second petition dated March 2, 2015, from Mr. James A. Horsley, requesting that Yellowstone National Park bison be listed as endangered or threatened under the Act. The petition clearly identified itself as such and included the requisite identification information for the petitioner, required at 50 CFR 424.14(a). In a March 24, 2015, letter to the petitioner, we responded that we reviewed the information presented in the petition and did not find that the petition warranted an emergency listing. This finding addresses both petitions, as they request the same action for the same entity.

Finding

Based on our review of the petitions and sources cited in the petitions, we find that the petitions do not present substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that the petitioned action may be warranted for the Yellowstone bison (Bison bison bison). Because the petitions do not present substantial information indicating that listing the Yellowstone bison may be warranted, we are not initiating a status review of this subspecies in response to these petitions. Our justification for this finding can be found as an appendix at http://www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS–R6–ES–2015–0123 under the Supporting Documents section. However, we ask that the public submit to us any new information that becomes available concerning the status of, or threats to, this subspecies or its habitat at any time (see FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT).

Conclusion

On the basis of our evaluation of the information presented under section 4(b)(3)(A) of the Act, we have determined that the petitions summarized above for the Cabinet-Yaak population of grizzly bear (two petitions), Colorado desert fringe-toed lizard, Kings River slender salamander, sandstone night lizard, and the Yellowstone bison do not present substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that the requested actions may be warranted. Therefore, we are not initiating status reviews for these species.

The petitions summarized above for the Culebra skink, Great Basin silverspot butterfly, greater Saint Croix skink, greater Virgin Islands skink, lesser Saint Croix skink, Mona skink, narrow-foot diving beetle, Northern Rockies population of fisher, Puerto Rico skink, Scott ruffle beetle, and Virgin Islands bronze skink present substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that the requested actions may be warranted. Because we have found that these petitions present substantial information indicating that the petitioned actions may be warranted, we are initiating status reviews to determine whether these actions under the Act are warranted.