Dated: October 1, 2015.
Harriet Tregoning,
Principal Deputy Assistant, Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

Appendix A

FY 2014 APPALACHIA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVE GRANTEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grantee</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Amount awarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky Department of Local</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Maryland—</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>$350,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Housing and Community Development.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$550,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R8-ES-2015-N177; FXE51112080000-156--FF08ECAR00]

Low-Effect Habitat Conservation Plan for Seven Covered Species, Los Angeles Department of Water and Power Land, Inyo and Mono Counties, California

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability; request for comment.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), have received an application for a 10-year incidental take permit (ITP) pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act), from the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (applicant). The application includes the draft habitat conservation plan (draft HCP) for the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power’s operations, maintenance, and management activities on its land in Mono and Inyo Counties, California, pursuant to the Act. We invite public comment on the permit application, draft HCP, and draft Environmental Action Statement/Low Effect Screening Form. The Service is considering the issuance of a 10-year ITP for seven covered species in a 314,000-acre permit area. The permit is needed because take of species could occur as a result of the proposed covered activities.

DATES: To ensure consideration, please send your written comments by November 6, 2015.

ADDRESSES: You may obtain copies of the draft HCP and Environmental Action Statement/Low Effect Screening Form online at http://www.fws.gov/carlsbad/HCPs/HCP_Docs.html. You may request copies of the documents by email, fax, or U.S. mail (see below). These documents are also available for public inspection by appointment during normal business hours at the office below. Please send your requests or written comments by any one of the following methods, and specify “LADWP HCP” in your request or comment.

Submitting Request for Documents/Comments: You may submit comments or requests for more information by any of the following methods:

Email: fwtcfwocomments@fws.gov
Fax: 760–322–2070
Telephone: Kennon A. Corey, Palm Springs Field and Wildlife Office, 760–322–2070

In-Person Viewing or Pickup of Documents, or Delivery of Comments: Call 760–322–2070 to make an appointment during regular business hours at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Introduction

The applicant, the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, requests an ITP under section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Act. If approved, the applicant anticipates the taking of five federally listed species and two unlisted species as a result of activities undertaken or authorized on lands held by the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power in Inyo and Mono Counties. These activities are associated with water conveyance, agriculture, recreation, road maintenance, habitat restoration, and other land management activities. Take of listed species would be incidental to the applicant’s performance or authorization of these activities on lands they manage.

Background

Section 9 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), and the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 50 CFR 17.32 prohibit the “take” of fish and wildlife species federally listed as endangered or threatened. Take of federally listed fish or wildlife is defined under the Act as to “harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect listed species, or attempt to engage in such conduct” (16 U.S.C. 1538). “Harm” includes significant habitat modification or degradation that actually kills or injures listed wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding, and sheltering (50 CFR 17.3(c)). Under limited circumstances, we may issue permits to authorize incidental take, which is defined under the Act as take that is incidental to, and not the purpose of, otherwise lawful activities. Regulations governing incidental take permits for threatened and endangered species are found in 50 CFR 17.32 and 17.22, respectively. All species included on the incidental take permit, if issued, would receive assurances under the Service’s “No Surprises” regulation (50 CFR 17.22(b)(5) and 17.32(b)(5)).

Applicant’s Proposal

To comply with the requirements of the Act, the draft HCP defines biological goals and objectives, evaluates the effects of covered activities on covered species, describes a conservation strategy, describes a monitoring and adaptive management program, identifies changed circumstances and responsive actions, identifies funding sources, and identifies alternative actions to the proposed impacts. The draft HCP is intended to be a document that will facilitate regional species conservation and assist the applicant to better meet its legal obligations to provide water and power to the citizens of Los Angeles while managing its non-urban lands. The draft HCP will also provide a coordinated process for permitting and mitigating the incidental take of covered species as an alternative to activity-by-activity review.

The draft HCP addresses seven covered species, including three fish species and four bird species. The permit would provide take authorization for all covered species.
identified by the draft HCP. Take authorized for listed covered species would be effective upon permit issuance. Take authorization for currently unlisted covered species would become effective concurrent with listing, should the species be listed under the Act during the permit term.

The proposed permit would include the following five federally listed animal species: Owens tui chub (Stiphodon bicolor snyderi), Owens pupfish (Cyprinodon radiosus), yellow-billed cuckoo (western distinct population segment) (Coccyzus americanus), southwestern willow flycatcher (Empidonax traillii extimus), and least Bell’s vireo (Vireo bellii pusillus). The unlisted species proposed for coverage under the draft HCP are the greater sage-grouse (bi-state distinct population segment) (Centrocercus urophasianus) and the Long Valley/Owens speckled dace (Rhinichthys osculus ssp.).

The applicant is requesting coverage for incidental take resulting from ongoing water and power operations and maintenance activities and land management activities (collectively referred to as covered activities) in the following categories:

1. Water gathering and distribution,
2. Power production and transmission,
3. Livestock grazing,
4. Irrigated agriculture,
5. Outdoor recreation,
6. Road maintenance and use,
7. Weed management,
8. Fire management, and
9. Habitat enhancement, habitat creation, and monitoring.

The proposed 314,000-acre permit area is the area where incidental take of covered species resulting from covered activities could occur. The permit area is non-urban land held by the City of Los Angeles in Mono and Inyo Counties; it includes part of the watershed for Mono Lake in Mono County and the Owens River, including several of its tributaries and much of its watershed in Mono and Inyo Counties.

The applicant has developed a conservation strategy that includes measures to avoid, minimize, and mitigate take of covered species associated with the covered activities as well as enhance and/or create habitat for covered species. The conservation strategy includes biological goals and objectives at the landscape, habitat, and species levels. The conservation actions to implement the biological goals and objectives are habitat based. The rationale for a habitat-based approach is that by enhancing/creating habitat, the HCP implementation would benefit a broader range of species in addition to the covered species.

**Proposed Habitat Conservation Plan Alternatives**

In the draft HCP, the applicant considers four alternatives to the proposed action: “Status Quo,” “No Action or Avoid Take,” “Activity by Activity Permitting,” and “Reduced Species.” Under the “Status Quo” alternative, the Service would not issue an ITP, but the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power would continue its operations and maintenance activities to provide water and power to the citizens of Los Angeles and manage City-owned land in Inyo and Mono Counties. The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power would potentially be in violation of the Act should incidental take of a listed species occur as a result of their operations and maintenance and land management activities. Therefore, the applicant rejected this alternative.

Under the “No Action” or “Avoid Take” alternative, no permit would be issued and the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power would cease all activities that may result in the incidental take of a federally listed species. Ceasing these activities would thereby adversely affect its ability to provide water and power to its customers and properly manage its lands. This alternative was rejected because it does not allow the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power to complete its mission.

The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power evaluated obtaining incidental take permits for individual covered species or an “Activity by Activity” alternative. This alternative would require the applicant to prepare multiple habitat conservation plans. It would require the Service to prepare multiple environmental documents to analyze the issuance of multiple incidental take permits, complete multiple public review processes, and then prepare multiple incidental take permits. This alternative was rejected because it is inefficient, less effective in conserving covered species (i.e., does not provide a landscape approach to conservation and management), and more costly.

Under the “Reduced Species” alternative, the applicant considered covered species as only the five animal species listed under the Act whose ranges substantially overlapped City of Los Angeles land at the beginning of the HCP development process, as the applicant would need a permit to incidentally take these species. With this alternative, if additional species were listed during the term of the permit, the applicant would need to develop additional habitat conservation planning documents for these newly listed species to obtain incidental take coverage and request new incidental take permits or major permit amendments. Based upon input from the public and its desire to have incidental take coverage for species that might become listed during the permit term, the applicant rejected the “Reduced Species” alternative.

**Our Preliminary Determination Under the National Environmental Policy Act**

The Service’s proposed permit issuance triggers the need for compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act. In our analysis of the impacts of the proposed permit issuance, we completed a draft Environmental Action Statement/Low Effect Screening Form and made a preliminary determination that the applicant’s proposal will have a minor or negligible effect on the covered species, and that the plan qualifies as a low-effect HCP as defined by our Habitat Conservation Planning Handbook (November 1996). We invite comments on our preliminary determination. Three criteria form the basis for this determination: (1) implementation of the proposed project as described in the HCP would result in minor or negligible effects on federally listed, proposed, and/or candidate species and their habitats; (2) implementation of the HCP would result in minor or negligible effects on other environmental values or resources; and (3) HCP impacts, considered together with those of other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects, would not result in cumulatively significant effects. Our preliminary determination is that HCP approval and ITP issuance qualify for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), as provided by the Department of the Interior Manual (516 DM 2.3(A) and 516 DM 8; however, we may revise our determination based upon review of public comments received in response to this notice (see Public Comments section).

**Public Comments**

The Service invites the public to comment on the permit application, draft HCP, and draft Environmental Action Statement/Low Effect Screening Form during the public comment period (see DATES). Please direct written comments to the contacts listed in the ADDRESSES section. Please direct questions regarding the draft HCP to the
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service

Hanford Reach National Monument, Adams, Benton, Franklin and Grant Counties, WA

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of request for comments and public meetings.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), will hold a 30-day comment period, including two public meetings, to obtain comments on providing public access to the Rattlesnake Mountain Unit, including the summit of Rattlesnake Mountain, within the Hanford Reach National Monument (Monument). In Section 3081 of the Howard P. “Buck” McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, the Service is directed to ensure public access to the summit of Rattlesnake Mountain (a.k.a., Laliki) for educational, recreational, historical, scientific, cultural, and other purposes, including motor vehicle access, and pedestrian and other nonmotorized access.

DATES: The public may submit comments from October 15 through November 13, 2015. Two public meetings will be held on October 14, 2015, at 2 p.m. and 7 p.m., see the location under ADDRESSES.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: More information on public access can be found on our Web site: http://www.fws.gov/refuge/HanfordReach. The two public meetings will be held at the Hanford Reach Interpretive Center, 1943 Columbia Park Trail, Richland, WA 99352. Submit comments on public access to the Rattlesnake Mountain Unit, by any of the following methods:

- Email: mcriver@fws.gov. Include “Public Access to Rattlesnake Mountain” in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: (509) 546–8303.
- U.S. Mail: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Rattlesnake Mountain Access, 64 Maple Street, Burbank, WA 99323.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Haas, at (509) 546–8333 (phone); or mcriver@fws.gov (email).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the public comment period is to obtain comments and ideas from the public and other interested parties on providing public access to the Monument’s Rattlesnake Mountain Unit in a manner that protects unique and sensitive natural, scenic, and cultural resources.

We are furnishing this notice in compliance with the Service’s National Wildlife Refuge System planning policy, and the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 as amended (NEPA; 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), and its implementing regulations. This notice serves to advise other agencies, Tribal governments, and the public of our meetings; initiate the public scoping comment period; and request public comments and information on issues, opportunities, and access alternatives we should consider.

We will consider the comments we receive and issue a scoping report that discusses the issues and opportunities identified during the public comment period, the issues we may or may not further analyze, and the next steps in our process to examine what public access opportunities may be compatible with the purposes of the Monument.

PUBLIC AVAILABILITY OF COMMENT

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Next Steps

We provide this notice under section 10(a) of the Act and Service regulations for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (40 CFR 1506.6). We will evaluate the application, associated documents, and comments we receive to make a final determination regarding whether the application meets the requirements of section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Act. We will also evaluate whether issuance of a section 10(a)(1)(B) ITP would comply with section 7 of the Act by conducting an intra-service consultation. We will use the results of our intra-Service consultation, in combination with the above findings, in our final analysis to determine whether to issue the ITP. If the requirements and issuance criteria are met under section 10(a), we will issue the ITP to the applicant to authorize incidental take of the covered species. We will make a permit decision no sooner than 30 days after the date of this notice.

Authority

We provide this notice under section 10 of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) and NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1506.6).

G. Mendel Stewart,
Field Supervisor, Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office, Carlsbad, California.

[FR Doc. 2015–24193 Filed 10–6–15; 8:45 am]
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