### APPENDIX 4—Estimated Costs and Benefits Per Dwelling Unit From Adoption of ASHRAE 90.1–2007 50—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Incremental Cost/Unit ($)</th>
<th>Energy Cost Savings/Unit ($/year) *</th>
<th>Simple Payback/Unit (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>32.01</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>59.26</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>42.27</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>33.65</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>26.55</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>45.07</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>21.73</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>25.57</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>32.95</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note on Energy Cost Savings: This table uses PNNL methodology of national average cost of electricity of .0939/kWh and $1.2201 /therm for natural gas.

### APPENDIX 5—Estimated Total Costs and Benefits From Adoption of 2009 IECC Over Existing State Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Total Incremental Cost per State ($)</th>
<th>Total Energy Cost Savings per State ($ per year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>282,940</td>
<td>107,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>1,330,890</td>
<td>211,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>4,649,876</td>
<td>824,978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1,909,534</td>
<td>283,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>622,050</td>
<td>125,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>424,050</td>
<td>135,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY</td>
<td>781,392</td>
<td>218,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>2,849,237</td>
<td>342,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>291,200</td>
<td>97,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>1,840,895</td>
<td>432,425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>1,158,043</td>
<td>302,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>1,283,525</td>
<td>174,416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>1,892,952</td>
<td>295,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>258,962</td>
<td>58,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>1,313,649</td>
<td>292,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>1,579,900</td>
<td>218,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>865,761</td>
<td>201,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>306,210</td>
<td>53,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23,621,066</td>
<td>4,375,613</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### APPENDIX 6—Estimated Total Costs and Benefits From Adoption of ASHRAE 90.1–2007—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Total Incremental Cost/State ($)</th>
<th>Total Energy Cost Savings/State ($/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>3,069</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>46,521</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>2,074</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>8,749</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>17,948</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>28,271</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4,909</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>6,009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>2,869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

50 Hawaii has been excluded from this notice due to insufficient cost savings and a resulting long simple payback projected from the adoption of ASHRAE 90.1–2007. These costs and savings are therefore excluded from this table.

52 No units were produced under affected programs in Maine in FY 2011; therefore, no costs or savings are shown.

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**

[FWS–R8–ES–2014–N060; FXX51112080000–145–FF08EVEN00]

**Low-Effect Habitat Conservation Plan for the Endangered Mount Hermon June Beetle, Bonny Doon, Santa Cruz County, California.**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, have received an application from Steven C. Sohl for a 5-year incidental take permit under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). The application addresses the potential for “take” of the federally endangered Mount Hermon June beetle likely to occur incidental to the construction of a single-family residence, garage, and associated landscaping/infrastructure on an existing legal parcel in Bonny Doon, Santa Cruz County, California. We invite comments from the public on the application package includes the Sohl Low-Effect Habitat Conservation Plan for the Endangered Mount Hermon June Beetle.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, please send your written comments by May 15, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** You may download a copy of the Habitat Conservation Plan, draft Environmental Action Statement and Low-Effect Screening Form, and related documents on the Internet at [http://www.fws.gov/ventura/](http://www.fws.gov/ventura/), or you may request copies of the documents by U.S. mail or phone (see below). Please address written comments to Stephen P. Henry, Acting Field Supervisor, Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2493 Portola Road, Suite B, Ventura, CA 93003. You may alternatively send comments by facsimile to (805) 644–3958.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Chad Mitcham, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, by U.S. mail at the above address, or by telephone (805) 644–1766.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We have received an application from Steven C. Sohl for a 5-year incidental take permit under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. The application addresses the potential for “take” of the federally endangered Mount Hermon June beetle (*Polyphylla barbata*) likely to occur incidental to the construction of a single-family residence, garage, and associated landscaping/infrastructure on an existing legal parcel in Bonny Doon, Santa Cruz County, California. The applicant would implement a conservation program to minimize and...
mitigate project activities that are likely to result in take of the Mount Hermon June beetle as described in the plan. We invite comments from the public on the application package, which includes the Sohl Low-Effect Habitat Conservation Plan for the Endangered Mount Hermon June Beetle. This proposed action has been determined to be eligible for a Categorical Exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended.

**Background**

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) listed the Mount Hermon June beetle as endangered on January 24, 1997 (62 FR 3616), Section 9 of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) and its implementing regulations prohibit the take of fish or wildlife species listed as endangered or threatened. “Take” is defined under the Act to include the following activities: “to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct” (16 U.S.C. 1532); however, under section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Act, we may issue permits to authorize incidental take of listed species. The Act defines “Incidental Take” as take that is not the purpose of carrying out an otherwise lawful activity. Regulations governing incidental take permits for threatened and endangered species are provided at 50 CFR 17.32 and 17.22, respectively. Issuance of an incidental take permit must not jeopardize the existence of federally listed fish, wildlife, or plant species.

Take of listed plants is not prohibited under the Act unless such take would violate State law. As such, take of plants cannot be authorized under an incidental take permit. Plant species may be included on a permit in recognition of the conservation benefits provided them under a habitat conservation plan. All species, including plants, covered by the incidental take permit receive assurances under our “No Surprises” regulations (50 CFR 17.22(b)(5) and 17.32(b)(5)). In addition to meeting other specific criteria, actions undertaken through implementation of the Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) must not jeopardize the continued existence of federally listed animal or plant species.

**Applicant’s Proposal**

Steven C. Sohl (hereafter, the applicant) has submitted a Low-Effect HCP in support of his application for an incidental take permit (ITP) to address take of Mount Hermon June beetle that is likely to occur as the result of direct impacts to up to 0.0625 acres (ac) (2,720 square feet (sf)) of degraded sandhills habitat occupied by the species. Take would be associated with the construction of a single-family residence on an existing parcel legally described as Assessor Parcel Number 063–061–28. This parcel lacks an assigned street address, but is located next to 1055 Martin Road in Ben Lomond, Santa Cruz County, California. The applicant is requesting a permit for take of Mount Hermon June beetle that would result from “covered activities” that are related to the construction of a single-family residence and associated landscaping/infrastructure.

The applicant proposes to avoid, minimize, and mitigate take of Mount Hermon June beetle associated with the covered activities by fully implementing the HCP. The following measures will be implemented: (1) Siting of the residence in an area of the property where habitat is considered degraded and less suitable for the species; (2) avoiding construction during the flight season (considered to be between May and October, annually), if possible; (3) covering of exposed soils with erosion control fabric to prevent the Mount Hermon June beetles from burrowing into exposed soil at the construction site if soil disturbing activities must occur between May and October; (4) employment of a Service-approved entomologist to capture and relocate into suitable habitat out of harm’s way any Mount Hermon June beetle larvae unearthed during construction activities; (5) outdoor night lighting that will use light bulbs certified not to attract nocturnally active insects, in order to minimize disruption of Mount Hermon June beetle breeding behavior during the adult flight season, and (6) secure off-site mitigation at a ratio of 1:1 to mitigate for temporary and permanent habitat impacts through the acquisition of 0.0625 ac (2,720 sf) of conservation credits in the Zayante Sandhills Conservation Bank. The applicant will fund up to $31,100 to ensure implementation of all minimization measures and reporting requirements identified in the HCP.

In the proposed HCP, the applicant considers two alternatives to the proposed action: “No Action” and “Project Design.” Under the “No Action” alternative, an ITP for the Sohl single-family residence would not be issued. The Sohl single-family residence would not be built, and the purchase of conservation credits would not be provided to effect recovery actions for Mount Hermon June beetle. Additionally, since the property is privately owned, there are ongoing economic considerations associated with continued ownership without use, which include payment of associated taxes. The sale of this property for purposes other than the identified activity is not considered economically feasible. Because of economic considerations and because the proposed action results in a net benefit for the covered species, the No Action Alternative has been rejected. Under the “Project Redesign” alternative, the project would be redesigned to avoid or further reduce take of Mount Hermon June beetle. The proposed project has already been designed to minimize impacts to the species by locating the residence, garage, and associated landscaping/infrastructure in degraded habitat and constructing the residence vertically (two stories) instead of horizontally. A redesigned project does not realize a reduction in take and is not practical. As such, the “Project Redesign” alternative has also been rejected.

**Our Preliminary Determination**

We are requesting comments on our preliminary determination that the applicant’s proposal will have a minor or negligible effect on the Mount Hermon June beetle and that the plan qualifies as a low-effect HCP as defined by our Habitat Conservation Planning Handbook (November 1996). We base our determinations on three criteria: (1) Implementation of the proposed project as described in the HCP would result in minor or negligible effects on federally listed, proposed, and/or candidate species and their habitats; (2) implementation of the HCP would result in minor negligible effects on other environmental values or resources; and (3) HCP impacts, considered together with those of other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects, would not result in cumulatively significant effects. In our analysis of these criteria, we have made a preliminary determination that the approval of the HCP and issuance of an ITP qualify for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), as provided by the Department of Interior Manual (516 DM 2 Appendix 2 and 516 DM 8); however, based upon our review of public comments that we receive in response to this notice, this preliminary determination may be revised.

**Next Steps**

We will evaluate the permit application, including the plan and comments we receive, to determine whether the application meets the
requirements of Section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Act. We will also evaluate whether issuance of the ITP would comply with Section 7(a)(2) of the Act by conducting an intra-Service Section 7 consultation.

Public Review

We provide this notice under section 10(c) of the Act and the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA), NEPA’s public involvement regulations (40 CFR 1500.1(b), 1500.2(d), and 1506.6). We are requesting comments on our determination that the applicants’ proposal will have a minor or negligible effect on the Mount Hermon June beetle and that the plan qualifies as a low-effect HCP as defined by our 1996 Habitat Conservation Planning Handbook. We will evaluate the permit application, including the plan and comments, we receive, to determine whether the application meets the requirements of section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Act. We will use the results of our internal Service consultation, in combination with the above findings, in our final analysis to determine whether to issue the permits. If the requirements are met, we will issue an ITP to the applicant for the incidental take of Mount Hermon June beetle. We will make the final permit decision no sooner than 30 days after the date of this notice.

Public Comments

If you wish to comment on the permit applications, plans, and associated documents, you may submit comments by any one of the methods in ADDRESSES.

Public Availability of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that we do not guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority

We provide this notice under section 10 of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) and NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1506.6).

Dated: April 8, 2014.

Stephen P. Henry,
Acting Field Supervisor, Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office, Ventura, California.

[FR Doc. 2014–08464 Filed 4–14–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

U.S. Geological Survey

Agency Information Collection Activities: Request for Comments

AGENCY: U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), Interior.

ACTION: Notice of an extension of a currently approved information collection (1028–0070).

SUMMARY: We (the U.S. Geological Survey) will ask the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve the information collection (IC) described below. This collection consists of one form, “9–4117–MA, Consolidated Consumers’ Report”. As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, and as part of our continuing efforts to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, we invite the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on this IC. This collection is scheduled to expire on April 30, 2014.

DATES: To ensure that your comments are considered, OMB must receive them on or before May 15, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Please submit your written comments on this information collection directly to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior, at OIRA SUBMISSION@omb.eop.gov (email); or (202) 395–5806 (fax). Please also forward a copy of your comments to the Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Geological Survey, 807 National Center, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, VA 20192 (mail); 703–648–7195 (fax); or es-info.cellections@usgs.gov (email). Reference “Information Collection 1028–0070, Consolidated Consumers’ Report” in all correspondence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael J. Magyar at 703–648–4910 (telephone); mmagyar@usgs.gov (email); or by mail at U.S. Geological Survey, 988 National Center, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, VA 20192. You may also find information about this ICR at www.reginfo.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

Respondents to this form supply the USGS with domestic consumption data for 12 metals and ferroalloys, some of which are considered strategic and critical to assist in determining stockpile goals. These data and derived information will be published as chapters in Minerals Yearbooks, monthly Mineral Industry Surveys, annual Mineral Commodity Summaries, and special publications, for use by Government agencies, industry education programs, and the general public.

II. Data

OMB Control Number: 1028–0070.

Form Number: 9–4117–MA.

Title: Consolidated Consumers’ Report.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Business or Other-For-Profit Institutions: U.S. nonfuel minerals consumers of ferrous and related metals.

Respondent Obligation: None.

Participation is voluntary.

Frequency of Collection: Monthly and Annually.

Estimated Annual Number of Annual Responses: 1,904.

Annual Burden Hours: 1,428 hours, based on an estimated average of 45 minutes per response.

Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping “Non-Hour Cost” Burden: There are no “non-hour cost” burdens associated with this collection of information.

Public Disclosure Statement: The PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.) provides that an agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number and current expiration date.

III. Request for Comments

On December 24, 2013, a 60-day Federal Register notice (78 FR 77704) was published announcing this information collection. Public comments were solicited for 60 days ending February 24, 2014. We did not receive any public comments in response to that notice. We again invite comments as to: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the agency to perform its duties, including whether the information is useful; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden time to the proposed collection of information; (c) how to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) how to minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Please note that the comments submitted in response to this notice are a matter of public record. Before including your personal mailing address, phone number, email address,