An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid control number assigned by OMB.

VII. Development of ACE Prototypes

A chronological listing of Federal Register publications detailing ACE test developments is set forth below.

- ACE Portal Accounts and Subsequent Revision Notices: 67 FR 21800 (May 1, 2002); 70 FR 5199 (February 1, 2005); 69 FR 5360 and 69 FR 5362 (February 4, 2004); 69 FR 54302 (September 8, 2004).
- Terms/Conditions for Access to the ACE Portal and Subsequent Revisions: 72 FR 27632 (May 16, 2007); 73 FR 38464 (July 7, 2008).
- ACE National Portal Accounts and Related Notice: 70 FR 61466 (October 24, 2005); 71 FR 15756 (March 29, 2006).
- ACE Entry Summary, Accounts and Revenue (ESAR I) Capabilities: 72 FR 59105 (October 18, 2007).
- ACE Entry Summary, Accounts and Revenue (ESAR II) Capabilities: 73 FR 50337 (August 26, 2008); 74 FR 9826 (March 6, 2009).
- ACE Entry Summary, Accounts and Revenue (ESAR III) Capabilities: 74 FR 69129 (December 30, 2009).
- ACE Entry Summary, Accounts and Revenue (ESAR IV) Capabilities: 76 FR 37136 (June 24, 2011).
- Post-Entry Amendment (PEA) Processing Test: 76 FR 37136 (June 24, 2011).
- ACE Announcement of a New Start Date for the National Customs Automation Program Test of Automated Manifest Capabilities for Ocean and Rail Carriers: 76 FR 42721 (July 19, 2011).
- ACE Simplified Entry: 76 FR 69755 (November 9, 2011).
- Modification of Two National Customs Automation Program (NCAP) Tests Concerning Automated Commercial Environment (ACE) Document Image System (DIS) and Simplified Entry (SE); Correction: 78 FR 53466 (August 29, 2013).
- Post-Summary Corrections to Entry Summaries Filed in ACE Pursuant to the ESAR IV Test: Modifications and Clarifications: 78 FR 69434 (November 19, 2013).
- National Customs Automation Program (NCAP) Test Concerning the Submission of Certain Data Required by the Environmental Protection Agency and the Food Safety and Inspection Service Using the Partner Government Agency Message Set Through the Automated Commercial Environment (ACE): 78 FR 75931 (December 13, 2013).

Date: January 28, 2014.

Richard F. DiNucci, Acting Assistant Commissioner, Office of International Trade.
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Proposed Information Collection; National Fish Habitat Action Plan Project Funding Process

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Service) will ask the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve the information collection (IC) described below. As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and as part of our continuing efforts to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, we invite the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on this IC. We may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

DATES: To ensure that we are able to consider your comments on this IC, we must receive them by April 4, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Send your comments on the IC to the Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS 2042–PDM, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA 22203 (mail); or hope_grey@fws.gov (email). Please include “1018–NFHAP” in the subject line of your comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this IC, contact Hope Grey at hope_grey@fws.gov (email) or 703–358–2482 (telephone).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

We receive annual appropriations to implement the National Fish Habitat Action Plan. We use these funds to:

- Support our participation in the National Fish Habitat Board and activities of the Board.
- Support Action Plan coordination and leadership at the Regional level.
- Implement habitat-based cost-shared projects.

Funds used to implement habitat-based cost-shared projects (project funds) are subject to reallocation each year. The Director allocates the available project funding among Fish Habitat Partnerships (FHPs) consistent with the goals and strategies of the National Fish Habitat Board. In FY 2014, we will implement a competitive, performance-based process to allocate project funds.

We will distribute project funds among FHPs in two categories: (1) Stable operational support and (2) competitive, performance-based funds to encourage strategic conservation delivery. To determine which projects receive funding, we will collect the following information:

- Justification for Stable Operational Support ($75,000 per year). To be eligible to receive stable operational support, FHPs must submit a justification that provides an overview of all projects and activities over the previous 3 years and anticipated projects and activities over the next 3 years. The justification should concisely describe these projects and activities as well as how these projects and activities (both individually and collectively) have contributed, or are expected to contribute, to achieving FHP goals and leverage partner resources and capabilities.

- Accomplishments Report and Work Plan. To compete for performance-based funds, FHPs must submit:
  - Accomplishments Report that provides a detailed description of all
projects and activities of the FHP over the previous 3 years.

- **Work Plan** consisting of a prioritized list of new or ongoing habitat projects over the next year. The work plan will include, but not be limited to, project title, funds requested, anticipated partner contributions, measurable goals and objectives, and proposed conservation actions that will produce desired conservation outcomes and achieve project goals and objectives.

  **Application.** FHPs will solicit proposals for Service funding. Applicants must submit an application that describes in substantial detail project locations, benefits, funding, and other characteristics.

  **Performance and Financial Reports.** Persons or entities receiving project funding must submit annual performance and financial reports that contain information necessary for us to track costs and accomplishments. Performance reports will include:

  - A comparison of actual accomplishments to the goals and objectives established for the reporting period, the results/findings, or both;
  - If the goals and objectives were not met, the reasons why, including analysis and explanation of cost overruns or high unit costs compared to the benefit received to reach an objective; and
  - Performance metrics, such as the number of stream miles or acres of riparian habitat restored or protected by the project, the number of fish passage barriers removed, and the aquatic species benefited by the project.

### II. Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Number of responses</th>
<th>Completion time per response (hours)</th>
<th>Total annual burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Justification for Stable Operational Support</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accomplishments Report and Work Plan</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial and Performance Reports</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>........................</td>
<td>4,450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost:** None.

### III. Comments

We invite comments concerning this information collection on:

- Whether or not the collection of information is necessary, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;
- The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information;
- Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this IC. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.


**Tina A. Campbell,**
*Chief, Division of Policy and Directives Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.*

[FR Doc. 2014–02127 Filed 1–31–14; 8:45 am]
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**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Tribal-State Class III Gaming Compact taking effect.

**SUMMARY:** This notice publishes the Class III Gaming Compact between the Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts taking effect.

**DATES:** Effective Date: February 3, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paula L. Hart, Director, Office of Indian Gaming, Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary—Policy and Economic Development, Washington, DC 20240, (202) 219–4066.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under section 11 of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (IGRA), Public Law 100–497, 25 U.S.C. 2701 et seq., the Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the Federal Register notice of approved Tribal-State compacts for the purpose of engaging in Class III gaming activities in Indian lands. The Compact between the Commonwealth of Massachusetts (State) and the Mashpee Wampanoag provides for limited annual payments to the State for statewide and regional exclusivity. The term of the compact is 20 years from the date of the facility’s opening with an automatic renewal of 20 years without modifications. The Secretary took no action on the Compact within 45 days of its submission by the Tribe and the State. Therefore, the compact is considered to have been approved, but only to the extent that the Compact is consistent with IGRA. See 25 U.S.C. 2710(d)(8)(C).

Dated: January 24, 2014.

**Kevin K. Washburn,**
*Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*
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