DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service

Crane Meadows National Wildlife Refuge, Morrison County, MN

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability: Draft comprehensive conservation plan and environmental assessment; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the availability of a draft comprehensive conservation plan (CCP) and draft environmental assessment (EA) for Crane Meadows National Wildlife Refuge (NWR) for public review and comment. In this draft CCP/EA we describe how we propose to manage the refuge for the next 15 years.

DATES: To ensure consideration, we must receive your written comments by August 6, 2010. An open house style meeting will be held during the comment period to receive comments and provide information on the draft plan. Special mailings, newspaper articles, internet postings, and other media announcements will inform people of the meeting and opportunities for written comments.

ADDRESSES: Comments or requests for more information can be sent by any of the following methods. You may also drop off comments in person at Crane Meadows NWR.


2. E-mail: r3planning@fws.gov. Include “Crane Meadows Draft CCP/EA” in the subject line of the message.


4. Mail: Attention: Refuge Manager, Sherburne-Crane Meadows National Wildlife Refuge Complex, 17076 293 Avenue, Zimmerman, Minnesota 55398.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Introduction

With this notice, we continue the CCP process for Crane Meadows NWR, which we began by publishing a notice of intent on (73 FR 76677–76678, December 17, 2008). For more information about the planning process, see that notice.

Crane Meadows NWR was established in 1992 for the conservation of the wetlands of the Nation under the Emergency Wetland Resources Act of 1986. The Service owns and manages approximately 1,800 acres of 13,540 acres proposed for acquisition. The unique wetland complex contains rare and declining habitat types, important archaeological resources, a diversity of local and migratory species, and an abundance of recreational opportunities.

Background

The CCP Process

The National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (16 U.S.C. 668dd–668ee), requires us to develop a comprehensive conservation plan for each national wildlife refuge. The purpose in developing a CCP is to provide refuge managers with a 15-year strategy for achieving refuge purposes and contributing toward the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System, consistent with sound principles of fish and wildlife management, conservation, legal mandates, and Service policies. In addition to outlining broad management direction on conserving wildlife and their habitats, plans identify wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities available to the public, including opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, and environmental education and interpretation.

CCP Alternatives and Our Preferred Alternative

Priority Issues

During the public scoping process, we, other stakeholders and partners, and the public identified several priority issues related to habitat, land acquisition, water resources, wildlife, visitor services, archaeological resources, and support for the Refuge. To address these issues, we developed and evaluated the following alternatives during the planning process.

Alternative A: Current Management Direction (No Action)

The current management direction of Crane Meadows NWR would be maintained under this alternative. Required by NEPA, this is referred to as the “No Action” alternative. Land acquisition is minimal, conservation work on private lands is extensive, and both occur opportunistically. As land is acquired quality habitats are maintained in their current state, degraded habitats are improved or restored, and all habitat types are considered to have relatively equal priority. There is an active prescribed fire program, little involvement with local water resources, minimal active wildlife management, and monitoring efforts follow existing, broader state and federal efforts. Visitor use is concentrated on the Headquarters Unit, and consists of wildlife observation, photography, hiking, cross-country skiing, and snowshoeing as seasons and trail conditions allow. No hunting or fishing is permitted.

Alternative B: Habitat Restoration to Pre-Settlement Benchmark Conditions and Increased Provision of Visitors Services (Preferred Alternative)

Alternative B portrays a long-term vision for habitat restoration to near-historic benchmark conditions and increases recreation opportunities for visitors. Historic ecological data is used to set habitat restoration priorities favoring savanna and wetland habitats over existing prairies and woodlands. This alternative includes active participation in monitoring and improving local water resources, a well-developed prescribed fire plan, increased land acquisition efforts in high priority areas, additional inventories related to habitat, plant and animal species, recreational opportunities in a greater number of
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service

Tamarac National Wildlife Refuge and Wetland Management District, Minnesota

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Availability: draft comprehensive conservation plan and environmental assessment; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the availability of a draft comprehensive conservation plan (CCP) and draft environmental assessment (EA) for Tamarac National Wildlife Refuge (NWR) and Tamarac Wetland Management District (WMD) for public review and comment. In this draft CCP/EA we describe how we propose to manage the refuge and district for the next 15 years.

DATES: To ensure consideration, we must receive your written comments by August 6, 2010. An open house style meeting will be held during the comment period to receive comments and provide information on the draft plan. Special mailings, newspaper articles, internet postings, and other media announcements will inform people of the meetings and opportunities for written comments.

ADDRESSES: Comments or requests for more information can be sent by any of the following methods. You may also drop off comments in person at Tamarac NWR.

2. E-mail: r3planning@fws.gov. Include “Tamarac Draft CCP/EA” in the subject line of the message.
4. Mail: Attention: Refuge Manager, Tamarac National Wildlife Refuge, 35704 County Road 26, Rochert, Minnesota 56578.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara Boyle, 218–847–2641.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Introduction

With this notice, we continue the CCP process for Tamarac NWR and WMD, which we began by publishing a notice of intent on (72 FR 27587–27588, May 16, 2007). For more about the initial process and the history of this refuge and district, see that notice.

The 42,738-acre Tamarac National Wildlife Refuge was established in 1938. The Refuge includes 2,180 Federally-designated wilderness acres. The Tamarac Wetland Management District consists of 8,577 acres of wetland easements distributed throughout five counties.

Background

The CCP Process

The National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (16 U.S.C. 668dd–668ee), requires us to develop a comprehensive conservation plan for each national wildlife refuge. The purpose in developing a CCP is to provide refuge managers with a 15-year strategy for achieving refuge purposes and contributing toward the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System, consistent with sound principles of fish and wildlife management, conservation, legal mandates, and Service policies. In addition to outlining broad management direction on conserving wildlife and their habitats, plans identify wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities available to the public, including opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, and environmental education and interpretation.

CCP Alternatives and Our Preferred Alternative

Priority Issues

During the public scoping process, we, other stakeholders and partners, and the public identified several priority issues, which include habitat management, invasive species, and demand for additional recreation opportunities and visitor services. To address these issues, we developed and evaluated the following alternatives during the planning process.

Tamarac National Wildlife Refuge


The preferred alternative for Tamarac NWR over the next 15 years directs management of habitats to focus on maintaining and using ecological processes that shaped these communities prior to European settlement and will allow for some emphasis of priority bird habitat. Wildlife-dependant recreation opportunities, biological surveys and monitoring activities, and native