preferred alternative analyzes the use of prescribed fire, wildland fire use, suppression fire and manual and mechanical thinning. A no-action alternative was also evaluated. Alternative 2 (Mixed Fire Treatment) was selected as the preferred alternative.

DATES: The National Park Service will execute a Record of Decision (ROD) no sooner than 30 days following publication by the Environmental Protection Agency of the Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement.

ADDRESSES: Information will be available for public inspection online at http://parkplanning.nps.gov/grca (select Fire Management Plan), in the Office of the Superintendent, Steve Martin, PO Box 129, Grand Canyon, Arizona, 86023, 928–638–7945, or in the Office of Planning and Compliance, Mary Killeen, PO Box 129, Grand Canyon, Arizona, 86023, 928–638–7885.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chris Marks, Project Lead, Fire Management Plan, PO Box 129, Grand Canyon, Arizona, 86023, 928–606–1050, Christopher_marks@nps.gov.

Dated: June 30, 2009.
Michael D. Snyder,
Regional Director, Intermountain Region, National Park Service.
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DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Harpers Ferry National Historical Park, West Virginia; Notice of Availability

AGENCY: Department of the Interior, National Park Service.


SUMMARY: The National Park Service announces the availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the General Management Plan for Harpers Ferry National Historical Park, West Virginia. This document will be available for public review and comment pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C).

DATES: A 60-day public comment period will begin with the Environmental Protection Agency’s publication of its Notice of Availability in the Federal Register.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Harriett, Superintendent, Harpers Ferry National Historical Park, West Virginia 25425, or by telephone at (304) 535–6224. The responsible official for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement is Margaret O’Dell, Regional Director, National Capital Region, National Park Service, 1100 Ohio Drive, SW., Washington, DC 20242.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The document provides a framework for management, use, and development options for Harpers Ferry National Historical Park by the National Park Service for the next 15 to 20 years. The document describes three management alternatives for consideration, including a no-action alternative, and analyzes the environmental impacts of those alternatives for all units of Harpers Ferry National Historical Park.

The National Park Service preferred alternative would continue the use of several buildings for park headquarters, rehabilitate the historic Shipley School, increase preservation of historic resources throughout the park, consolidate visitor information and education in a new visitor center on Cavalier Heights, provide increased bus service and new trail services, and incorporate new visitor amenities.

The public is welcome to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and General Management Plan at http://parkplanning.nps.gov/hafe or by mail at Harpers Ferry National Historical Park, P.O. Box 65, Harpers Ferry, West Virginia 25425.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: January 8, 2009.
Margaret O’Dell,
Regional Director, National Capital Region.

Editorial Note: This document was received at the Office of the Federal Register on August 4, 2009.

BILLING CODE 4312–J7–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Wind Turbine Guidelines Advisory Committee; Announcement of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), will host a Wind Turbine Guidelines Advisory Committee (Committee) meeting September 1–3, 2009. The meeting is open to the public. The meeting agenda will include reports from the Legal and Synthesis Subcommittees, and discussion of the current draft Recommendations to the Secretary.

DATES: The meeting is scheduled for September 1–3, 2009. The sessions will be 8 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. September 1–2, and 8 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. September 3.

ADDRESSES: We will hold the meeting at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, Rooms 200A & B, Arlington, Virginia, 22203. For more information, see “Meeting Location Information.”


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 24, 2007, the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) established the Committee to provide advice and recommendations to the Secretary on developing effective measures to avoid or minimize impacts to wildlife and their habitats related to land-based wind energy facilities. The Committee is made up of 22 members representing the varied interests associated with wind energy development and its potential impacts to wildlife species and their habitats. All Committee meetings are open to the public.
Meeting Location Information
Please note that the meeting location is accessible to wheelchair users. If you require additional accommodations, please notify us at least 2 weeks in advance of the meeting.

Persons planning to attend the meeting must register at http://www.fws.gov/habitatconservation/windpower/wind_turbine_advisory_committee.html, by August 26, 2009. Seating is limited due to room capacity. We will give preference to registrants based on date and time of registration. Limited standing room will be available if all seats are filled.


Rachel London,
Alternate Designated Federal Officer, Wind Turbine Guidelines Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc. E9–19009 Filed 8–6–09; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–65–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–623]

In the Matter of Certain R–134a Coolant (Otherwise Known as 1,1,1,2-Tetrafluoroethane); Notice of Commission Determination To Reverse the Remand Determination of the Presiding Administrative Law Judge and To Terminate the Investigation In Its Entirety With a Finding of No Violation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to reverse the conclusion reached in the Remand Determination (“RID”) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) in the above-captioned investigation that the only remaining asserted claim of U.S. Patent No. 5,559,276 (“the ‘276 patent”) is not obvious. The Commission finds that the claim would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art and is therefore invalid. The Commission affirms the RID’s conclusion that the asserted claim was not anticipated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul M. Bartkowski, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5432. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 31, 2007, based on a complaint filed by INEOS Fluor Holdings Ltd., INEOS Fluor Ltd., and INEOS Fluor Americas L.L.C. (collectively, “INEOS”). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain R–134a coolant (otherwise known as 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane) by reason of infringement of various claims of United States Patent No. 5,744,658. Complainants subsequently added allegations of infringement with regard to United States Patent Nos. 5,382,722 and the ‘276 patent, but only claim 1 of the ‘276 patent remains at issue in this investigation. The complaint named two respondents, Sinochem Modern Environmental Protection Chemicals (Xi’an) Co., Ltd. and Sinochem Ningbo Ltd. Two additional respondents were subsequently added: Sinochem Environmental Protection Chemicals (Tunchang) Co., Ltd. and Sinochem (U.S.A.) Inc. The four respondents are collectively referred to as “Sinochem.”

On December 1, 2008, the ALJ issued his final ID, finding that Sinochem had violated section 337. He concluded that respondents’ accused process infringed claim 1 of the ‘276 patent and that the domestic industry requirement had been met. He also found that claim 1 was not invalid and that it was not unenforceable. The Commission determined to review the ALJ’s final ID with regard to the effective filing date of the asserted claim, anticipation, and obviousness. By order dated January 30, 2009, the Commission supplemented the ALJ’s reasoning regarding the effective filing date, and remanded the investigation to conduct further proceedings related to anticipation and obviousness. To accommodate the remand, the Commission extended the target date to June 1, 2009 and instructed the ALJ to issue the RID by April 1, 2009. The ALJ issued the RID on April 1, 2009. The RID concluded that Sinochem’s arguments concerning anticipation and obviousness were waived under the ALJ’s ground rules and, alternatively, that the arguments were without merit. Sinochem filed a petition for review of the RID. The Commission investigative attorney (“IA”) and Ineos opposed Sinochem’s petition.

On June 1, 2009, the Commission determined to review the RID in its entirety and requested briefing on certain questions. The Commission determined to extend the target date to August 3, 2009, to accommodate its review.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ’s RID and the submissions of the parties, the Commission has determined to reverse the conclusion of nonobviousness of claim 1 of the ‘276 patent in the RID. In so finding, the Commission has determined to rely on certain party admissions and other evidence as to the state of the prior art. The Commission has determined to take no position on the RID’s conclusions relating to obviousness arguments based on prior art references identified in the Commission’s remand instructions, including the RID’s conclusions on whether arguments as to those references have been waived. The Commission has also determined not to rely on the RID’s conclusions as to anticipation and waiver of anticipation arguments. The Commission has further determined to deny Sinochem’s motion to strike portions of Ineos’s response to its written submission and for leave to file a reply to that submission. The Commission has determined also to deny Sinochem’s motion to conform pleadings to evidence taken. These findings terminate the Commission’s investigation.


By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott, Secretary to the Commission.
William R. Bishop, Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E9–18866 Filed 8–6–09; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE P