this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB approval Number (2502–0507) and should be sent to: HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202–395–6974.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lillian Deitzer, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410; e-mail Lillian Deitzer at Lillian_L.Deitzer@HUD.gov or telephone (202) 402–8048. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Deitzer.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that the Department of Housing and Urban Development has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the Information collection described below. This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affecting agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice Also Lists the Following Information

Title of Proposal: Annual Adjustment Factor (AAF) Rent Increase Requirement.

OMB Approval Number: 2502–0507.

Form Numbers: HUD–92273–S8.

Description of the Need for the Information and its Proposed Use: Owners of project-based section 8 contracts that utilize the AAF as the method of rent adjustment provide this information which is necessary to determine whether or not the subject properties’ rents are to be adjusted and, if so, the amount of the adjustment.

Frequency of Submission: Annually.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Annual responses</th>
<th>×</th>
<th>Hours per response</th>
<th>=</th>
<th>Burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4,287</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>918</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Estimated Burden Hours: 918.

Status: Extension of a currently approved collection.


Dated: June 3, 2008.

Lillian L. Deitzer,
Departmental Paperwork Reduction Act Officer/Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E8–12886 Filed 6–9–08; 8:45 am]
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Habitat Conservation Plan for South Sacramento, Sacramento County, CA

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR) and notice of public scoping meetings.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) we, the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), advise the public that we intend to gather information necessary to prepare, in coordination with the County of Sacramento (the County), a joint Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR). The EIS/EIR will analyze the environmental effects of the Service’s proposed issuance of an incidental take permit under section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Federal Endangered Species Act of 1973 as amended (ESA), for a habitat conservation plan (HCP) within a portion of south Sacramento County, California. The County, along with their local partners (the cities of Elk Grove, Rancho Cordova, Galt, the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District, and the Sacramento County Water Agency), is facilitating the preparation of the South Sacramento HCP (SSHCP) in compliance with section 10(a)(2) of the ESA. The County and their local partners intend to apply to the Service for a 30-year permit that would authorize the incidental take of 40 species due to ground-disturbing private activities implemented under the SSHCP. The County, in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and with 40 CFR 1506.6(b)(3), has published a similar notice of preparation for this EIS/EIR with the State Clearinghouse.

We provide this notice to (1) Describe the proposed action and possible alternatives; (2) announce the initiation of a public scoping period, including when and where scoping meetings will be held; (3) advise other interested Federal, State, and local agencies, affected Tribes, and the public of our intent to prepare an EIS/EIR and invite their participation in the scoping process; and (4) obtain suggestions, comments, and useful information from interested parties and other agencies on the range of actions, the significant issues, range of alternatives, and impacts to be considered in the EIS/EIR document. We invite written comments on this notice from any interested party.

DATES: Submit written comments on or before July 30, 2008. Four public scoping meetings will be held on:

1. Tuesday, July 8, 2008, from 6:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m., Elk Grove, CA.
2. Friday, July 11, 2008, from 10 a.m. to 12:00 p.m., Rancho Cordova, CA.
3. Tuesday, July 15, 2008, from 6:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m., Rancho Cordova, CA.
4. Wednesday, July 16, 2008, from 6:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m., Elk Grove, CA.

ADDRESSES: The public meetings will be held at the following locations:

1. Tuesday, July 8, 2008, at the Anthony Pescetti Community Room, Galt Police Facility, 455 Industrial Drive, Galt, CA 95632.
2. Friday, July 11, 2008, at the Sixth Floor Meeting Room, Sacramento County Administration Building, 700 H Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.
3. Tuesday, July 15, 2008, at the American River Room, Rancho Cordova City Hall, 2729 Prospect Park Drive, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670.

Submit written comments to Nina Bicknese, Conservation Planning Branch, Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office, Fish and Wildlife Service, 2800 Cottage Way, Room W–2605,
Sacramento, CA 95825. Comments may also be sent by facsimile to (916) 414–6713.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Nina Bicknese, Senior Fish and Wildlife Biologist, Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office at (916) 414–6600. Additional details of the County’s proposed South Sacramento Habitat Conservation Plan are available at http://www.planning.saccounty.net/habitat-conservation/overview.html.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Reasonable Accommodation:
Persons needing reasonable accommodations in order to attend and participate in a public meeting should contact Nina Bicknese at (916) 414–6600 as soon as possible. In order to allow sufficient time to process requests, please call no later than one week before the public meeting. Information regarding this proposed action is available in alternative formats upon request.

Background:
The County and their local partners anticipate that landowners and individuals will continue to request their discretionary or ministerial approval of ground-disturbing land development projects in portions of south Sacramento County where species listed as threatened or endangered under the ESA are present. The County and their local partners intend to apply for a permit from the Service for the incidental take of listed species resulting from their approval of otherwise lawful land-use changes within portions of south Sacramento County over the next 30 years. Pursuant to the ESA and federal regulations governing incidental take permits (ITPs), the County and their local partners are in the process of preparing a habitat conservation plan (HCP) titled South Sacramento Habitat Conservation Plan (SSHCP). Development of the SSHCP involved a public process that has included open meetings of a stakeholder Steering Committee.

Section 9 of the ESA (16 U.S.C. 1538) and Federal regulations (50 CFR 17.21 and 17.31) prohibit the “take” of wildlife species listed as endangered or threatened. The term “take” means to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect listed species, or to attempt to engage in such conduct (16 U.S.C. 1532). “Harm” in the definition of “take” includes significant habitat modifications or degradations (50 CFR 17.3). Pursuant to section 10(a)(1)(B) of the ESA, the Service may permit authorized take of species other wise prohibited by Section 9 of the ESA if such taking is incidental to, and not the purpose of, carrying out an otherwise lawful activity (16 U.S.C. 1539). Federal regulations governing ITPs for threatened and endangered wildlife species, respectively, are promulgated in 50 CFR 17.32 and 50 CFR 17.22 and in Section 10(a)(2) of the ESA. Pursuant to these regulations, no permit authorizing incidental take may be issued unless the applicant prepares a Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP). An HCP must include: a description of the activities sought to be authorized; the names of the species sought to be covered by the ITP; the impacts that will likely result from the proposed taking; steps the applicant will take to minimize and mitigate such taking to the maximum extent practicable; the funding that will be available to implement such steps; biological goals and objectives; a monitoring plan; an adaptive management plan; alternatives to the proposed taking the applicant considered and reasons why such alternatives are not proposed for implementation; other measures that may be necessary or appropriate for the purposes of the HCP; and the procedures the applicant will use to deal with unforeseen circumstances over the term of the ITP.

The SSHCP Planning Area—the area in which all impacts would be evaluated and all conservation actions will be implemented—is approximately 341,000 acres within south Sacramento County. The approximate geographical boundary of the SSHCP Planning Area is the area bound by U.S. Highway 50 in the north, the county line dividing Sacramento County with San Joaquin County on the south, the county line dividing Sacramento County with San Joaquin County on the south, the county line dividing Sacramento County with San Joaquin County on the south, the county line dividing Sacramento County with San Joaquin County on the south, and Interstate 5 on the west. The SSHCP Planning Area encompasses approximately 8,000 acres of the UDA which has been added to the SSHCP Planning Area.

The species proposed for coverage in the SSHCP are those that occur within the SSHCP Planning Area and are currently listed as federally threatened or endangered, or that may become federally listed during the term of the proposed permit. The County intends to request an ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) permit to authorize the incidental take of 40 species (7 federally listed and 33 unlisted). The proposed SSHCP would provide for the long-term conservation and management of these 40 covered-species and their habitats within the SSHCP Planning Area. Species may be added or deleted during the course of the SSHCP development based on public comment, new information, further analysis, and agency consultation. Listed animal species proposed to be covered under the SSHCP permit are the federally-endangered vernal pool tadpole shrimp (Lepidurus packardi), the federally-threatened California tiger salamander (Ambystoma californiense), the federally-threatened giant garter snake (Thamnophis gigas), the federally-threatened valley elderberry longhorn beetle (Desmocerus californicus dimorphus), and the federally-threatened vernal pool fairy shrimp (Branchinecta lynchi). Listed plant species proposed to be covered are the federally-endangered Sacramento Orcutt grass (Orcuttia viscida) and the federally-endangered slender Orcutt grass (Orcuttia tenuis).

The 33 unlisted species (27 animal and 6 plant species) proposed to be covered under the SSHCP permit are the mid-valley fairy shrimp (Branchinecta mesovallensis), Ricksecker’s water scavenger beetle (Hydrochara rickseckeri), western pond turtle (2 subspecies) (Actinemys marmorata marmorata and Actinemys marmorata pallida), western spadefoot toad (Scaphiopus hammondii), the white-tailed kite (Elanus leucurus), Cooper’s hawk (Accipiter cooperii), the ferruginous hawk (wintering) (Buteo regalis), golden eagle (Aquila chrysaetos), the state-threatened Swainson’s hawk’s (Buteo swainsoni), bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus), loggerhead shrike (Lanius ludovicianus), northern harrier (nesting) (Circus cyaneus), sharp-shinned hawk (Accipiter striatus), the state-endangered American peregrine falcon (wintering) (Falco peregrinus anatum), tricolored blackbird (nesting) (Agelaius tricolor),
western burrowing owl (Athene cunicularia hypugaea), long-eared owl (Asio otus), merlin (Falco columbarius), short-eared owl (Asio flammeus), white-faced ibis (Plegadis chihi), yellow breasted chat (Icteria virens) the state-threatened greater sandhill crane (Grus canadensis tabida), American badger (Taxidea taxus), pallid bat (Antrozous pallidus), ringtail (Bassariscus astutus), western red bat (Lasirus blossevilli), Yuma myotis bat (Myotis yumanensis), Ahart’s dwarf rush (Juncus leiospermus var. ahartii), dwarf downingia (Downingia pusilla), legenere (Legenere limosa), pincushion navaretia (Navaretia myersii), Sanford’s arrowhead (Sagittaria sandfordii) and the state-endangered Bogg’s Lake hedge-hyssop (Gratiola heterosepala). Should any of these unlisted covered-species become listed under the ESA during the term of the permit, take authorization for those species would become effective upon listing. The County proposes to include 8 plant species (2 listed and 6 unlisted) in the SSHCP. The ESA does not prohibit the incidental take of federally listed plant species on private lands unless the take is a violation of state law or regulation. We propose to include these plant species on the ITP in recognition of the conservation benefits that would be provided for these plant species under the SSHCP and to meet regulatory obligations under Section 7 of the ESA and the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). All wildlife and plant species included on the proposed ITP would receive assurances under the Service’s “No Surprises” regulations found in 50 CFR 17.22(b)(5) and 17.32(b)(5).

The activities proposed for coverage in the SSHCP are wide-ranging, but are generally related to urban-suburban development on city and unincorporated lands. Proposed covered-activities presently include the construction, installation, extension, or removal of: (1) Private and commercial developments, (2) transportation facilities, (3) surface water and groundwater supply and delivery facilities, (4) water treatment facilities, (5) flood control facilities, (6) sanitation facilities (landfills, transfer stations, recycling stations), (7) public facilities (fire stations, police stations, hospitals, schools, community centers, cemeteries, and administration centers), (8) outdoor and indoor recreation facilities, (9) utility facilities, (10) aggregate mining activities, and (11) conservation activities (land restoration, creation, and enhancement; preserve management and monitoring). These covered activities are expected to impact 18 existing habitat and agricultural land-cover types within the 341,000-acre Planning Area. Approximately 43,500 acres of the existing natural habitat and agricultural land-cover in the Planning Area would be converted to a developed condition under the proposed SSHCP.

The proposed SSHCP Conservation Strategy would provide a regional approach for the conservation of the 40 covered-species and their 18 habitat types so as to aid recovery of the species and to minimize and mitigate impacts of the covered activities on the species and their habitats within the Planning Area. The 18 species habitat types include vernal pools and associated uplands, valley grasslands, other wetlands, woodlands, riparian habitats, and several agricultural land-cover types. The proposed SSHCP Conservation Strategy would protect a total of approximately 47,000 acres and restore or create a total of approximately 1,500 acres within the 341,000-acre Planning Area. The SSHCP Planning Area would be divided into a system of 12 conservation zones with an explicit amount of species habitat preservation directed to specific zones. The County and its partners anticipate that large landscape preserves and linkage corridors would be established outside of the UDP, and that these habitat preserves would be established within a matrix of open space and agricultural land uses. The proposed Conservation Strategy also includes approximately 8,000 acres of habitat preserves within the UDP, but these UDP habitat preserves would be much smaller and would eventually be surrounded by urban or suburban development.

Components of the proposed SSHCP conservation program are now under consideration by the Service and the County. These components may include monitoring, adaptive management, species avoidance measures, and species mitigation measures including the preservation, restoration, and enhancement of suitable habitat. If it is anticipated that the SSHCP would be implemented through a section 10(a)(1)(B) incidental take permit and an Implementation Agreement.

**Environmental Impact Statement/Report**

The proposed EIS/EIR will consider (1) The proposed action (i.e. the Service issues an ITP for the SSHCP proposed by the County and its partners), (2) a no-action alternative (i.e. the Service does not issue an ITP and a SSHCP is not implemented) and, (3) reasonable alternatives to the proposed action (i.e. the Service considers alternative versions of the SSHCP, and then permits alternative). We anticipate that several alternatives will be developed for analysis in the EIS/EIR. These alternatives might vary by the number of covered species; the covered activities, different strategies for avoiding, minimizing, and mitigating the impacts of incidental take; the amount of land preserved or restored, the type of future conservation efforts; or a combination of these factors. A detailed description of all reasonable alternatives, including the proposed action, will be included in the EIS/EIR.

The EIS/EIR will analyze in depth all significant environmental issues identified through this scoping process. These issues may include biological resources, agricultural resources, land use, housing, hydrology and water resources, cultural resources, aesthetics, transportation and circulation, mineral resources, recreation, air quality, noise and vibration, or other components of the human environment that could be directly, indirectly, or cumulatively impacted by the proposed action or by the alternatives.

We anticipate that a draft EIS/EIR and the draft SSHCP will be available in late 2009 and will have a 60-day public review period. The environmental review of the EIS/EIR will be conducted in accordance with the requirements of NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), its implementing regulations (40 CFR 1500–1508), other applicable regulations, and Service policy and guidance on compliance with those regulations. We expect to complete the final EIS/EIR in the middle of 2010 and to make the decision on issuing a section 10(a)(1)(B) permit for a SSHCP in late 2010.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) will be a NEPA Cooperating Agency on the proposed EIS/EIR pursuant to 40 CFR 1501.6, 1506.3(c), and 1508.5. The County and their partners expect to apply to the Corps for a Programmatic General Permit (PGP) under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA). Section 404 of the CWA regulates and requires Corps authorizations for discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States. A PGP is among the types of general permits which can be issued for any category of activities involving discharges of dredged or fill material if the Corps makes certain determinations (33 U.S.C. 1344(e)). Corps regulations promulgated under the CWA define dredged or fill material in detail at 33 CFR 327.2 and regulations concerning processing of Corps permits are at 33 CFR part 325. The Corps may use the
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Marine Mammal Protection Act; Stock Assessment Report

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability of draft revised marine mammal stock assessment report for the southern sea otter in California; request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA), the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) has developed a draft revised marine mammal stock assessment report for the southern sea otter (Enhydra lutris nereis) stock in the State of California, which is available for public review and comment.

DATES: Comments must be received by September 8, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the draft revised stock assessment report for the southern sea otter in California are available from the Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office, 2493 Portola Road, Suite B, Ventura, CA 93003; (805) 644–1766. It can also be viewed in Adobe Acrobat by navigating to the species information page for the southern sea otter at http://www.fws.gov/ventura.

If you wish to submit comments on the draft revised stock assessment report for the southern sea otter in California, you may do so by any of the following methods:
1. You may mail or hand-deliver (during normal business hours) written comments to the Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office, 2493 Portola Road, Suite B, Ventura, CA 93003.
2. You may fax your comments to (805) 644–3958.
3. You may send comments by electronic mail (e-mail) to fwssosstock@fws.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: One of the goals of the MMPA is to ensure that stocks of marine mammals occurring in waters under the jurisdiction of the United States do not experience a level of human-caused mortality and serious injury that is likely to cause the stock to be reduced below its optimum sustainable population level (OSP). OSP is defined as “the number of animals which will result in the maximum productivity of the population or the species, keeping in mind the carrying capacity of the habitat and the health of the ecosystem of which they form a constituent element.”

To help accomplish the goal of maintaining marine mammal stocks at their OSPs, section 117 of the MMPA (16 U.S.C. 1361–1407) requires the Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) to prepare stock assessment reports for each marine mammal stock that occurs in waters under the jurisdiction of the United States. These stock assessments are to be based on the best scientific information available and are, therefore, prepared in consultation with established regional scientific review groups. Each stock assessment must include: (1) A description of the stock and its geographic range; (2) a minimum population estimate, maximum net productivity rate, and current population trend; (3) an estimate of human-caused mortality and serious injury; (4) a description of commercial fishery interactions; (5) the status of the stock; and (6) the potential biological removal level (PBR). The PBR is defined as “the maximum number of animals, not including natural mortalities, that may be removed from a marine mammal stock while allowing that stock to reach or maintain its OSP.” The PBR is the product of the minimum population estimate of the stock (Nmin), one-half the maximum theoretical or estimated net productivity rate of the stock at a small population size (Rmin); and a recovery factor (F) of between 0.1 and 1.0, which is intended to compensate for uncertainty and unknown estimation errors.

Section 117 of the MMPA also requires the Service and the NMFS to review the stock assessment reports: (A) At least annually for stocks that are specified as strategic stocks; (B) at least annually for stocks for which significant new information is available; and (C) at least once every 3 years for all other stocks.

A strategic stock is defined in the MMPA as a marine mammal stock: (A) For which the level of direct human-caused mortality exceeds the potential biological removal level; (B) which, based on the best available scientific information, is declining and is likely to be listed as a threatened species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), within the foreseeable future; or (C) which is listed as a threatened or endangered species under the Endangered Species Act, or is designated as depleted under the MMPA.

A summary of the draft revised stock assessment report for southern sea otters...