techniques or other forms of information technology that will reduce respondent burden (e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses).

This Notice also lists the following information:

**Title of Proposal:** Study of the HUD Service Coordinator Program.

**Description of the need for the information and proposed use:** The Department does not know the extent of properties with HUD Service Coordinator Grants. This research effort will provide important information about the extent and impact of the Service Coordinator Program that is not otherwise available from HUD records. This research serves two purposes. First, through developing an estimate of the number of eligible projects that actually have service coordinators. This study will assess the availability of service coordinators for residents of Multifamily assisted housing. Second, to better understand what difference service coordination makes in providing supportive services to elderly and non-elderly people with disabilities living in HUD-assisted housing. This study will provide some evidence of the importance of service coordinators for improving the ability to age in place. This study will provide a comparison of satisfaction with provisions of service coordination in HUD Multifamily-assisted properties that do or do not participate in the Service Coordinator Program.

**Members of the affected public:** This information collection involves a telephone survey of Multifamily property managers. The survey will be administered to a statistical sample of properties that are eligible for the Service Coordinator Program. The Service Coordinator Program provides grants and rental subsidy funding for the employment and support of Service Coordinators in insured and assisted housing. Multifamily developments that were designed for elderly or non-elderly persons with disabilities and continue to operate as such. However, not all housing properties that are eligible for this program participate. Also, due to limitations in HUD data, we do not know to what extent housing properties are able to provide service coordination if they do not participate in the Service Coordinator Program.

**Estimation of the total number of hours needed to prepare information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response:** Approximately 400 Multifamily property managers will be surveyed on a one-time basis. Each survey is expected to take 30 minutes. Total burden hours are 200.

**Status of the proposed information collection:** Pending OMB approval.

**Authority:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35 (as amended).

**Dated:** August 15, 2006.

**Darlene F. Williams,**
Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research.

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Office of the Secretary**

**Invasive Species Advisory Committee**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meetings of the Invasive Species Advisory Committee.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given of meetings of the Invasive Species Advisory Committee. The purpose of the Advisory Committee is to provide advice to the National Invasive Species Council, as authorized by Executive Order 13112, on a broad array of issues related to preventing the introduction of invasive species and providing for their control and minimizing the economic, ecological, and human health impacts that invasive species cause. The Council is co-chaired by the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of Agriculture, and the Secretary of Commerce. The duty of the Council is to provide national leadership regarding invasive species issues. The purpose of a meeting on September 12–13, 2006 is to convene the full Advisory Committee and to discuss implementation of action items outlined in the National Invasive Species Management Plan, which was finalized on January 18, 2001.

**DATES:** Meeting of Invasive Species Advisory Committee: Tuesday, September 12, 2006, through Wednesday, September 13, 2006; beginning at approximately 8 a.m., and ending at approximately 5 p.m. each day.

**ADDRESSES:** Hotel Washington, 515 15th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20004. Meeting will be held both days in the Washington Room.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kelsey Brantley, National Invasive Species Council Program Analyst; Phone: (202) 513–7243; Fax: (202) 371–1751.

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**

**Receipt of 48 Applications and Availability of Environmental Assessment for Incidental Take Permits for Single-Family and Duplex Residential Developments on the Fort Morgan Peninsula, Baldwin County, AL**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** We, the Fish and Wildlife Service, have received applications from Alabama South Pools, Ms. Debbie Bankster, Baron Investments, Mr. Horton Bateman, BJOA LLC, Mr. Christopher Celestie, Mr. Claud Clark, Mr. Robin Crick, Mr. Lawrence Dalgo, Mr. Erik Daniels, Mr. William Erwin, Mr. Mark Freeman, Mr. Mike Halliday, Mr. Leo Hastings, Harrison Building, Mr. Walter Lindsey, Mr. William Lingsch, Mr. Brian Litton, LKK LLC, Mr. Michael Llop, Mr. Danny Mason, Mr. Linnie McRill, Mr. Michael Molloston, Mr. Johnny Moore, Ms. Margaret Pickering, Mr. Robert Relinski, Mr. Michael Sciortino Sr., Mr. Preston Simmons, Mr. Claude Sims, Mr. Gary Smith, Mr. Ron Spivey, Mr. Mike Stoddard, Mr. Larkin Strong, Mr. Robert Susko, TBI LLC, Mr. John Wales, and Mr. Kenneth Wallis (applicants) for incidental take permits (ITPs) pursuant to section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) (Act), as amended for the take of Alabama beach mouse (Peromyscus polionotus ammobates) (ABM). The proposed take would be incidental to the otherwise lawful activity of constructing 32 single-family residences, 13 duplex residences, and expansion of 3 existing residences on the Fort Morgan Peninsula in Baldwin County, Alabama. The applicants have prepared Habitat Conservation Plans (HCPs) specifying, among other things, the impacts that are likely to result from the taking and the measures each applicant would undertake to minimize and mitigate such impacts. We have prepared an environmental assessment (EA), which assesses the impacts of the proposed actions.

**DATES:** Written comments on the ITP applications, HCPs, and EA should be...
sent to the Service’s Regional Office (see ADDRESSES) and should be received on or before September 25, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Persons wishing to review the applications, HCPs, and EA may obtain an electronic copy by writing the Service’s Southeast Regional Office, Atlanta, Georgia, at the address below. Documents will also be available for public inspection by appointment during normal business hours at the Regional Office, 1875 Century Boulevard, Suite 200, Atlanta, Georgia 30345 (Attn: Endangered Species Permits), or the Daphne Ecological Services Field Office, 1208-B Main Street, Daphne, Alabama 36526. Written data or comments concerning the application or HCP should be submitted to the Regional Office. Please reference “Batch III” in requests for the documents discussed herein.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Aaron Valenta, Regional HCP Coordinator (see ADDRESSES), telephone: 404/679–4144, or Mr. Darren LeBlanc, Fish and Wildlife Service Biologist, Daphne Field Office (see ADDRESSES), telephone: 251/441–5859.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The EA is a combined assessment addressing the environmental impacts associated with these projects both individually and cumulatively. This notice advises the public that we have opened the comment period on the ITP applications and the EA. This notice is provided pursuant to section 10 of the Act and National Environmental Policy Act regulations at 40 CFR 1506.6.

We specifically request information, views, and opinions from the public on the Federal action, including the identification of any other aspects of the human environment not already identified in our EA. Further, we specifically solicit information regarding the adequacy of the HCPs as measured against our ITP issuance criteria found in 50 CFR parts 13.21 and 17.22.

If you wish to comment, you may submit comments by any one of several methods. Please reference “Batch III” in such comments. You may mail comments to our Regional Office (see ADDRESSES). You may also e-mail comments to aaron_valenta@fws.gov. Please also include your name and return mailing address in your e-mail message. If you do not receive a confirmation from us that we have received your e-mail, contact us directly at either telephone number listed (see FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT). Finally, hand-deliver comments to either Service office listed (see ADDRESSES). Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from the administrative record. We will honor such requests to the extent allowable by law. There may also be other circumstances in which we would withhold from the administrative record a respondent’s identity, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. We will not, however, consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

The EA considers the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects of the proposed incidental take of the ABM and the measures that will be implemented to minimize and mitigate such impacts. The EA contains an analysis of three alternatives for each site, including: (1) No action alternative; (2) development with wholesale clearing, grading, and formal landscaping; and (3) the applicant’s preferred alternative. Under alternative 1, we would not issue the ITPs and no new construction would result. Alternative 2 would result in the construction of single-family and duplex residences and the loss of 15.69 acres of ABM habitat. Alternative 3 would result in a loss of 4.59 acres, consisting of the footprint of the residences and access driveways. Access roads through State and County rights-of-way would result in the loss of an additional 0.46 acre of habitat for both Alternatives 2 and 3. Project effects are considered in the EA in terms of the affected environment, environmental consequences, and cumulative effects to the human and natural environment.

The ABM is one of eight subspecies of the old field mouse restricted to coastal habitats. It was listed as endangered in 1985 and is currently believed to occupy approximately 2,544 acres in Baldwin County, Alabama. The three species of sea turtle are found along the Gulf coast: The threatened green turtle (Chelonia mydas), the threatened loggerhead (Caretta caretta) and the endangered Kemp’s ridley (Lepidochelys kempii). Implementation of the minimization and conservation measures proposed in the applicants’ HCPs to minimize incidental take of the ABM will also benefit nesting sea turtles.

Under section 9 of the Act and its implementing regulations, “taking” of endangered or threatened wildlife is prohibited. However, we, under limited circumstances, may issue permits to take such wildlife if the taking is incidental to and not the purpose of otherwise lawful activities. The applicants have prepared HCPs that include measures for the long-term protection, management, and enhancement of ABM habitat as required for the ITP application as part of the proposed project.

We will evaluate the HCPs and comments submitted to determine whether the applications meet the requirements of section 10(a) of the Act. We will also evaluate whether the proposed issuance of the section 10(a)(1)(B) ITPs complies with section 7 of the Act by conducting an intra-Service section 7 consultation. The results of the biological opinion, in combination with the above findings, will be used in the final analysis to determine whether or not to issue the ITPs.

Dated: August 8, 2006.

Cynthia K. Dohner,
Acting Regional Director, Southeast Region.
[FR Doc. E6–14029 Filed 8–23–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Advisory Board for Exceptional Children

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the Bureau of Indian Affairs is announcing that the Advisory Board for Exceptional Children will hold its next meeting in Seattle, Washington. The purpose of the meeting is to meet the mandates of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004 (IDEIA) on Indian children with disabilities.

DATES: The Board will meet on Thursday, September 21, 2006, from 6 p.m. to 9 p.m.; Friday, September 22, 2006, 8 a.m. to 4 p.m.; and Saturday, September 23, 2006, 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. Local Time.

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held at the Marriott Sea-Tac Airport Hotel, 3201 South 176th Street, Seattle, Washington 98188.

Written statements may be submitted to Mr. Thomas M. Dowd, Director,