management, habitat protection and acquisition, public and recreational uses, and cultural resources. Public input during this planning process was considered in the development of the CCP. The notice of availability of the Draft CCP for a 30-day public review and comment period was published in the Federal Register on August 20, 2004 (69 FR 51706). The Draft CCP identified and evaluated three alternatives for managing the Refuges. The Service received 18 comment letters on the Draft CCP. The comments received were incorporated, where appropriate, and responded to in the Final CCP.

With the management program described in detail in the Final CCP, the Service will focus on restoring and maintaining biological diversity with particular emphasis on the conservation targets identified in the Final CCP. The Service will continue management of existing wetlands and restore and enhance emergent wetlands on the Gorge Refuges to increase native moist soil plant composition. Approximately 191 acres of managed grasslands will be maintained to support populations of wintering Canada geese. Riparian bottomland forests, riparian scrub-shrub, and native oak communities will be expanded and restored to support conservation targets. Inventory, monitoring, and research will increase on the Gorge Refuges. Working with partners, the Service will seek to remove barriers to fish passage within Gibbons Creek, Indian Mary Creek, and Hardy Creek watersheds. The Service will participate in ongoing efforts to clean up Gibbons Creek and prevent contaminants from entering Steigerwald Lake Refuge. The Service will work with partners to secure additional wetland habitat and develop a waterfowl hunt program that is compatible and consistent with the establishing purpose and goals for Steigerwald Lake Refuge. Opportunities for wildlife viewing and photography and environmental education and interpretation will increase, and the Service will officially open the portion of the Columbia Dike Trail on Steigerwald Lake Refuge to bicycling, horseback riding, jogging, and leashed pets.

The Service is furnishing this notice to advise other agencies and the public of the availability of the Final CCP, to provide information on the desired conditions for the Gorge Refuges, and to detail how the Service will implement management strategies. Based on the review and evaluation of the information contained in the environmental assessment, the Regional Director has determined that implementation of the Final CCP does not constitute a major Federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment within the meaning of Section 102(2)(c) of the NEPA. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement will not be prepared. Future site-specific proposals discussed in the Final CCP will be addressed in separate planning efforts with full public involvement.


Cynthia U. Barry,
Acting Regional Director, Region 1, Portland, Oregon.

[FR Doc. E6–1024 Filed 1–26–06; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[LD. 122205B]
Notice of Availability of a Final Environmental Impact Statement and Final Habitat Conservation Plan

AGENCIES: Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), Interior; National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of availability of final environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service (Services) announce the availability for public review of a final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), final Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP), and final Implementing Agreement (IA), related to an application by the State of Washington for Endangered Species Act (ESA) Incidental Take Permits (ITPs). The final documents reflect changes made to the draft documents resulting from comments received during the 90-day public comment period. Responses to comments received from the public are included in the EIS. This notice provides an opportunity for the public to review the final documents and responses to public comments. The EIS addresses the proposed issuance of ITPs by both Services under the ESA, to the Washington Department of Natural Resources, on behalf of the State of Washington (State), for forest practices activities conducted according to the Washington Forest Practices Rules (forest practices). The proposed ITPs would authorize incidental take of aquatic species (16 listed fish species, 54 unlisted fish species, 7 unlisted amphibian species), by covered forest practices implemented under the forest practices rules. The EIS also addresses a proposed limit to the ESA section 9 prohibition against take of listed species under the ESA, such that the prohibition would not apply to forest practices regulated by the State of Washington on non-Federal and non-tribal lands.

DATES: Consistent with 40 CFR 1506.10, the Services will not make a decision on the proposed action until at least February 27, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Sally Butts, Project Manager, FWS, 510 Desmond Drive SE, Suite 102, Lacey, WA 98503, facsimile (360)753–9518; or Laura Hamilton, Project Manager, NMFS, 510 Desmond Drive SE, Suite 103, Lacey, WA 98503, facsimile (360)753–9517.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The final documents are posted on the Internet at: http://www.fws.gov/westwafwo/consplan/docs.html. For further information, or to receive the documents on CD ROM, please contact Sally Butts, Project Manager, FWS, (360)753–5832; or Laura Hamilton, Project Manager, NMFS, (360)753–5820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 9 of the ESA (16 U.S.C. 1538) and implementing regulations prohibit the “taking” of a species listed as endangered or threatened. The term take is defined under the ESA (16 U.S.C. 1532(19)) as to mean harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or attempt to engage in any such conduct. “Harm” is defined by FWS regulation to include significant habitat modification or degradation where it actually kills or injures wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding, and sheltering (50 CFR 17.3, 50 CFR 22.02). NMFS’ definition of harm includes significant habitat modification or degradation where it actually kills or injures fish or wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding, spawning, migrating, rearing, and sheltering (64 FR 60727).

Section 10 of the ESA and implementing regulations specify requirements for the issuance of ITPs to non-Federal landowners for the take of endangered and threatened species. Any proposed take must be incidental to otherwise lawful activities, not appreciably reduce the likelihood of the survival and recovery of the species in
the wild, and minimize and mitigate the impact of such take to the maximum extent practicable. In addition, an applicant must prepare a habitat conservation plan describing the impact that will likely result from such taking, the strategy for minimizing and mitigating the incidental take, the funding available to implement such steps, alternatives to such taking, and the reasons such alternatives are not being implemented. FWS regulations governing permits for federally endangered and threatened species are promulgated in 50 CFR 13.21. NMFS regulations governing permits for federally endangered and threatened species are promulgated under 50 CFR 222.307.

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) requires that Federal agencies conduct an environmental analysis of their proposed actions to determine if the actions may significantly affect the human environment. Under NEPA, a reasonable range of alternatives to a proposed project must be developed and considered in the Service’s environmental review. Alternatives considered in an environmental analysis may include variations in the scope of covered activities; variations in the location, amount and type of conservation; variations in permit duration; or, a combination of these elements.

As a result of the listing under the ESA of several salmon species and bull trout in Washington State in the mid to late 1990s, stakeholder groups including Federal agencies, state and local government agencies, Tribes, and large and small private forest landowners, collaborated to develop a science-based plan known as the Forests and Fish Report to improve water quality and habitat for aquatic species on non-Federal and non-Tribal forestland, while maintaining an economically viable timber industry in Washington State. The Forests and Fish Report was endorsed by the State legislature which amended the Revised Code of Washington with respect to the Washington Forest Practices Act (RCW 76.09). Subsequently, the Washington Forest Practices Board amended the Washington Administrative Code with respect to the Washington Forest Practices Rules (WAC 222) to be consistent with the Forest and Fish Report. These rules, and other non-regulatory commitments, are incorporated in the State’s HCP.

The Washington Department of Natural Resources, on behalf of the State of Washington, applied to the Services to: (1) obtain ITPs, pursuant to section 10(a)(1)(B) of the ESA for endangered, threatened, and unlisted species; and, (2) request from the Services a limitation on the application of the prohibition against take, pursuant to section 4(d) of the ESA for identified threatened species only, for forest practices activities in compliance with the State forest practices rules and administrative program. The forest practices rules, administrative program, and other provisions are described in the HCP and serve as documentation by the State that the HCP meets the requirements of section 4(d) as well as section 10. Each of these actions is represented as an alternative in the EIS.

Forest practices activities proposed for coverage under the ITPs or for a limitation on the application of the prohibition against take include the following: (1) timber harvesting (including final and intermediate harvesting, and pre-commercial thinning activities), (2) road construction, (3) road maintenance and abandonment, (4) site preparation and reforestation of harvested areas (including piling and or burning harvest debris and mechanical scarification), and (5) adaptive management (including research and monitoring to determine the effectiveness of the forest practices rules in protecting habitat for aquatic species).

Each of the alternatives described and analyzed in the EIS, covers approximately 9.1 million acres of non-Federal and non-Tribal forest land across the State of Washington, (i.e., covered lands defined in the EIS).

The proposed ITPs, under section 10, would authorize the take of the following federally endangered species incidental to otherwise lawful activities: Upper Columbia River spring-run chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha), Snake River sockeye salmon (O. nerka), and Upper Columbia River steelhead (O. mykiss).

The proposed ITPs would also authorize the take of the following federally threatened species incidental to otherwise lawful activities: Puget Sound chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha), Lower Columbia River chinook salmon (O. tshawytscha), Upper Willamette River chinook salmon (O. tshawytscha), Snake River spring/summer chinook salmon (O. tshawytscha), Columbia River chum salmon (O. keta), Hood Canal summer-run chum salmon (O. keta), Ozette Lake sockeye salmon (O. nerka), Lower Columbia River steelhead (O. mykiss), Snake River steelhead (O. mykiss), Snake River steelhead (O. mykiss), Upper Willamette River steelhead (O. mykiss), and bull trout (Salvelinus confluentus)—the Columbia River Distinct Population Segment and the Coastal-Puget Sound Distinct Population Segment.

The state is also seeking incidental take permit coverage for 54 currently unlisted fish species (including anadromous and resident fish) and 7 currently unlisted stream-associated amphibian species under specific provisions of the ITPs, should these species be listed in the future.

The proposed duration of the ITPs and HCP would be 50 years, though many aspects of the plan’s conservation strategy are intended to benefit aquatic species and their habitat long into the future.

Rules adopted under section 4(d) of the ESA are limited by the statute to threatened species. NMFS has issued a 4(d) rule for most threatened salmon that occur in Washington State (65 FR 42421, July 10, 2000). Subsection (b)(13) (Limit 13) of the rule pertains to forest practices in the State of Washington and provides a limit from take prohibitions pursuant to section 9 of the ESA for certain threatened salmonids provided that NMFS finds after public review and comment that certain specified requirements are met by the State of Washington. These requirements include, in part, that actions comply with forest practice regulations adopted and implemented by the Washington Forest Practices Board and that they are determined by NMFS to be at least as protective of habitat functions as the regulatory elements of the Forests and Fish Report. The FWS does not have a similar 4(d) rule for the federally threatened bull trout that applies to forest practices in the State of Washington. Since there is no comparable ESA 4(d) rule for bull trout, the FWS would have to develop a 4(d) rule to exempt take of bull trout in order to fulfill the State’s request. If this alternative were to be selected as the preferred alternative, FWS would consider rule-making to initiate this action. Any 4(d) rule proposed by FWS would include a public review and comment period prior to a final rule being established.

The Services formally initiated an environmental review of the project, as required under NEPA, through publication of a Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement in the Federal Register on March 17, 2003 (68 FR 12676). That notice also announced a public scoping period during which interested parties were invited to submit written comments expressing their issues or concerns relating to the proposal and to
INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–534]

In the Matter of Certain Color Television Receivers and Color Display Monitors and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation on the Basis of Two Settlement Agreements


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ’s”) initial determination (“ID”) granting a joint motion to terminate the above-captioned investigation on the basis of two settlement agreements.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Steven Crabb, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5432. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted by the Commission based on a complaint filed by Thomson Licensing S.A. and Thomson Licensing Inc. See 70 FR 15883 (March 29, 2005). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale in the United States after importation of certain color television receivers and color display monitors and components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1 and 3 of U.S. Patent No. 4,836,651, claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,481,889, claims 1, 5, and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 5,153,754, claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 5,389,893, and claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 5,452,195. The complaint named as respondents, BenQ Corp. of Taoyuan 33 of Taiwan; BenQ Optronics (Suzhou) Co., Ltd. of China; BenQ America Corp. of Irvine, California; and AU Optronics Corp. of Hsinchu, Taiwan.

On December 9, 2005, the private parties filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of two settlement agreements. On December 14, 2005, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the parties’ joint motion to terminate the investigation.

On December 20, 2005, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 45) granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of the settlement agreements. The ALJ found no indication that such termination of the investigation would adversely impact the public interest. No party filed a petition to review the subject ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ALJ’s ID. Accordingly, the above-referenced investigation is hereby terminated.


By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6–1037 Filed 1–26–06; 8:45 am]
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INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–542]

In the Matter of Certain DVD/CD Players and Recorders, Color Television Receivers and Monitors, and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation on the Basis of Two Settlement Agreements

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ’s”) initial determination (“ID”) granting a joint motion to terminate the above-captioned