responsibilities, and tasks as set forth in the MSCP, the NCMSCP Plan and this Agreement.

There are six specific conservation areas associated with the proposed NCMSCP Plan:

1. Publicly-Owned Preserve Areas. These are permanent open space preserve areas currently owned and managed by public agencies.

2. Negotiated “Hardline” Areas. These are proposed areas where portions of private land and development projects have been identified for development and others committed to the proposed NCMSCP Plan as permanent open space.

3. Pre-Approved Mitigation Area (PAMA). Lands within the proposed PAMA have been identified through an extensive computer modeling process and independent scientific review as being of high biological importance. Mitigation, in the form of private land open space dedications, would be encouraged to take place in these areas as set forth in the NCBMO as proposed. Development would occur within the proposed PAMA area but mitigation ratios would be higher and certain design standards would have to be met as set forth in the NCBMO. The County anticipates that 75 percent of the proposed PAMA would be preserved as natural open space or agricultural lands through acquisition of lands in fee title or easements by public and private organizations and private land dedications.

4. San Luis Rey River Regional Park Area. Preservation of habitat within the San Luis Rey River Regional Park Area is proposed to meet outdoor recreational needs of San Diego County residents and help provide coverage for incidental take of animal species under the proposed NCMSCP Plan for the widening of State Route 76. Take authorization for the improvement of State Route 76 would be permitted if the improvement plans meet set criteria and goals.

5. Working Landscapes. These proposed areas include agricultural operations that maintain conservation value for endangered species such as the arroyo toad and the Stephen’s kangaroo rat, and could also provide habitat connectivity in core and linkage areas. Maintaining agriculture in these areas would be encouraged. The County is investigating a variety of economic incentive programs for landowners in these areas such as exempting agricultural clearing from NCBMO in areas outside of Pre-approved Mitigation Areas. If Agricultural Conservation Easements are granted to the County on areas to be cleared.

6. The Ramona Vernal Pools Planning Area. Vernal pools in the downtown area of Ramona and the adjacent grasslands have been assessed in a study funded by the Environmental Protection Agency. The results from the final report would be incorporated into the plan. The proposed Ramona Vernal Pool component would prescribe a process for project review and mitigation to preserve the most valuable pools with the greatest likelihood of perpetual preservation.

Components of the proposed conservation program are now under consideration by the Service and the Applicants. These components would likely include avoidance and minimization measures, monitoring, adaptive management, and mitigation measures consisting of preservation, restoration, and enhancement of habitat. We also welcome public input on formulating these components.

Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report

The County, the Service, and the California Department of Fish and Game have selected Jones and Stokes to prepare the Draft EIS/EIR to prepare the Draft EIS/EIR under the agencies supervision. The joint document will be prepared in compliance with NEPA and CEQA. Although Jones and Stokes will prepare the EIS/EIR, the Service will be responsible for the content and cost of the document for NEPA purposes, and the County will be responsible for the scope and content of the EIR for CEQA purposes.

The EIS/EIR will consider the proposed action (i.e., the issuance of a section 10(a)(1)(B) permit under the Federal ESA to the County), and a reasonable range of alternatives. A detailed description of the impacts of the proposed action and each alternative will be included in the EIS/EIR. The alternatives to be considered for analysis in the EIS/EIR may include: variations of the geographical coverage of the permit; variations in the amount and type of conservation; variations in permit duration; variations of the scope or type of covered activities or covered species; no project/no action; or, a combination of these elements. Under the No Action alternative, the Service would not issue a section 10(a)(1)(B) permit.

The EIS/EIR will also identify potentially significant impacts on biological resources, recreation, and other environmental issues that could occur directly or indirectly with implementation and the proposed action and alternatives. For all potentially significant impacts, the EIS/EIR will identify mitigation measures where feasible to reduce these impacts to a level below significance.

Environmental review of the EIS/EIR will be conducted in accordance with the requirements of NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), its implementing regulations (40 CFR 1500–1508), other applicable regulations, and Service procedures for compliance with those regulations. This notice is being furnished in accordance with 40 CFR 1501.7 of NEPA to obtain suggestions and information from other agencies and the public on the scope of issues and alternatives to be addressed in the EIS/EIR. The primary purpose of the scoping process is to identify important issues raised by the public, related to the proposed action. Written comments from interested parties are invited to ensure that the full range of issues related to the proposed action is identified. Comments will only be accepted in written form. You may submit written comments by mail, facsimile transmission, or in person (see ADDRESSES). All comments received, including names and addresses, will become part of the official administrative record, and may be made available to the public.

Dated: March 8, 2005.
Vicki Campbell, Acting Deputy Manager, California/Nevada Operations Office, Sacramento, California.

[FR Doc. 05–4926 Filed 3–11–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Notice of Meeting of the Trinity Adaptive Management Working Group

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. I), this notice announces a meeting of the Trinity Adaptive Management Working Group (TAMWG). The TAMWG affords stakeholders the opportunity to give policy, management, and technical input concerning Trinity River restoration efforts to the Trinity Management Council. Primary objectives of the meeting will include: Introduction of new members; Trinity River Restoration Program orientation; TAMWG priorities and operations; 2005 Trinity River flow schedule; and Restoration Program budget. Completion of the agenda is dependent on the amount of time each item takes. The

The
INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1090 (Preliminary)]

Superalloy Degassed Chromium From Japan


ACTION: Institution of antidumping investigation and scheduling of a preliminary phase investigation.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the institution of an investigation and commencement of a preliminary phase antidumping investigation No. 731–TA–1090 (Preliminary) under section 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673b(a)) (the Act) to determine whether there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury, or the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded, by reason of imports from superalloy degassed chromium from Japan, provided for in subheading 8112.21.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value. Unless the Department of Commerce extends the time for initiation pursuant to section 732(c)(1)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673a(c)(1)(B)), the Commission must reach a preliminary determination in antidumping investigations in 45 days, or in this case by April 18, 2005. The Commission’s views are due at Commerce within five business days thereafter, or by April 25, 2005.

For further information concerning the conduct of this investigation and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: Effective Date: March 4, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Ruggles (202–205–3187 or via e-mail fred.ruggles@usitc.gov), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background. This investigation is being instituted in response to a petition filed on March 4, 2005, by Eramet Marietta Inc., Marietta, OH and the Paper, Allied-Industrial, Chemical and Energy Workers International Union, Local 5–0639, Belpre, OH.

Participation in the investigation and public service list. Persons (other than petitioners) wishing to participate in the investigation and, if nothing adverse to the petitioners is shown, to have representation in the antidumping investigation available to authorized parties by the Secretary of the Commission under the APO issued in the investigation, provided that the application is made not later than seven days after the publication of this notice in the Federal Register. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Conference. The Commission’s Director of Operations has scheduled a conference in connection with this investigation for 9:30 a.m. on March 25, 2005, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC. Parties wishing to participate in the conference should contact Fred Ruggles (202–205–3187 or via e-mail fred.ruggles@usitc.gov) not later than March 23, to arrange for their appearance. Parties in support of the imposition of antidumping duties in this investigation and parties in opposition to the imposition of such duties will each be collectively allocated one hour within which to make an oral presentation at the conference. A nonparty who has no testimony that may aid the Commission’s deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the conference.

Written submissions. As provided in sections 201.8 and 207.15 of the Commission’s rules, any person may submit to the Commission on or before March 30, 2005, a written brief containing information and arguments pertinent to the subject matter of the investigation. Parties may file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the conference no later than three days before the conference. If briefs or written testimony contain BPI, they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission’s rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002).

limited disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI) under an administrative protective order (APO) and BPI service list. Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission’s rules, the Secretary will make BPI gathered in this investigation available to authorized applicants representing interested parties (as defined in 19 U.S.C. 1677(9)) who are parties to the investigation under the APO issued in this investigation, provided that the application is made not later than seven days after the publication of this notice in the Federal Register. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Conference. The Commission’s Director of Operations has scheduled a conference in connection with this investigation for 9:30 a.m. on March 25, 2005, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC. Parties wishing to participate in the conference should contact Fred Ruggles (202–205–3187 or via e-mail fred.ruggles@usitc.gov) not later than March 23, to arrange for their appearance. Parties in support of the imposition of antidumping duties in this investigation and parties in opposition to the imposition of such duties will each be collectively allocated one hour within which to make an oral presentation at the conference. A nonparty who has no testimony that may aid the Commission’s deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the conference.

Written submissions. As provided in sections 201.8 and 207.15 of the Commission’s rules, any person may submit to the Commission on or before March 30, 2005, a written brief containing information and arguments pertinent to the subject matter of the investigation. Parties may file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the conference no later than three days before the conference. If briefs or written testimony contain BPI, they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission’s rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002).