canopy may be present (Bakeman 1997). When present, the shrub canopy is often Salix spp. (willow), although shrub species including Symphoricarpos spp. (snowberry), Prunus virginiana (chokecherry), Crataegus spp. (hawthorn), Quercus gambelli (Gambel’s oak), Alnus incana (alder), Betula fontinalis (river birch), Rhus trilobata (skunkbrush), Prunus americana (wild plum), Amorpha fruticosa (lead plant), Cornus sericea (dogwood), and others also may occur (Bakeman 1997; Shenk and Eussen 1998).

Additional research on the species’ habitat has supported and refined the definition of habitat used in the 1998 listing rule. This recent information indicates that, although Preble’s have rarely been trapped in uplands adjacent to riparian areas (Dharman 2001), detailed studies of the Preble’s movement patterns using radio-telemetry found Preble’s feeding and resting in adjacent uplands and traveling considerable distances along streams, as far as 1.6 km (1.0 mi) in one evening (Shenk and Sivert 1999a; Shenk and Sivert 1999b; Ryon 1999; Schorr 2001). These studies suggest that the Preble’s uses uplands at least as far out as 100 m (330 ft) beyond the 100-year floodplain (Ryon 1999; Tanya Shenk, Colorado Division of Wildlife, in litt. 2002). The third petitioner also raised several issues specifically dealing with stated increased costs or private property takings or life, health, and safety issues, including disease carried by deer mice. The Code of Federal Regulations (50 CFR 424.11(b)) states that the Service must make determinations based on the basis of the best available scientific and commercial information regarding a species’ status, without reference to possible economic or other impacts of such determination.

New Information Available in the Service’s Files

In addition to considering information provided by the petitioners, if any, the Service also must consider the information readily available at the time of this finding. Additional information on the Preble’s has become available since the species was listed in 1998 and since the petitions were received. As cited earlier, numerous surveys have been undertaken throughout the species’ range in suitable habitat areas where the species was presumed to occur but had not been documented. Some of these surveys provided verification of Preble’s presence at the survey locations; others did not. The survey results indicate that the species may persist at or may have been extirpated from individual survey locations. Research has been conducted, such as radio-telemetry studies on habitat use and movements by Preble’s that has added to current knowledge about the species’ biology. There is new information verifying differences in morphological characteristics between Zapus hudsonius preblei and related taxa (Connor and Shenk, in press).

Information is available on the presence of and possible increases in threats to Preble’s and its habitat throughout a large portion of the species’ range, as evidenced by—(1) section 7 consultations conducted to address adverse effects to the Preble’s from Federal actions and (2) applications by private parties for permits to take Preble’s. The Service is in the process of preparing a recovery plan for the Preble’s and is involved in section 7 consultations on Federal activities as well as assisting with the development of Habitat Conservation Plans addressing many private activities. Through these efforts, we are continually reviewing and considering all newly available information regarding the species’ abundance and the threats it faces.

Finding

The Service has reviewed the petitions, the material submitted with the petitions and subsequent to the petitions, and additional information in the Service’s files. On the basis of the best scientific and commercial data available, the Service finds that the petitions and information in the Service’s files do not present substantial information that delisting the Preble’s meadow jumping mouse in Colorado and Wyoming may be warranted.

References Cited

A complete list of all references cited in this finding is available, upon request, from the Lakewood, Colorado Fish and Wildlife Office (see ADDRESSES section).

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service
Draft Recovery Plan for Deinandra conjugens (Otay Tarplant)


ACTION: Notice of document availability for review and comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (“we”), announces the availability of the Draft Recovery Plan for Deinandra conjugens (Otay Tarplant) for public review. This draft recovery plan includes specific criteria and measures to be taken in order to effectively recover the species to the point where delisting is warranted. We solicit review and comment from the public and local, State, and Federal agencies on this draft recovery plan.

DATES: Comments on the draft recovery plan must be received on or before March 2, 2004 to receive our consideration.

ADDRESSES: Hard copies of the draft recovery plan will be available in 2 to 4 weeks. An electronic copy of this draft plan is now available at http://www.pacific.fws.gov/ecoservices/endangered/recovery/default. Written request for copies of the draft recovery plan and submission of written comments regarding the plan should be addressed to the Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office, 6010 Hidden Valley Road, Carlsbad, California 92009. Supporting documents are available for inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kelly Goocher, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, at the above Carlsbad address (telephone: 760–431–9440).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Recovery of endangered or threatened animals and plants is a primary goal of our endangered species program and the Endangered Species Act (Act) (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.). Recovery means improvement of the status of listed species to the point at which listing is no longer appropriate under the criteria set out in section 4(a)(1) of the Act. Recovery plans describe actions considered necessary for the conservation of the species, establish criteria for downlisting or delisting listed species, and estimate time and cost for implementing the measures needed for recovery.
The Act requires the development of recovery plans for listed species unless such a plan would not promote the conservation of a particular species. Section 4(f) of the Act requires that public notice and an opportunity for public review and comment be provided during recovery plan development. We will consider all information presented during the public comment period prior to approval of each new or revised recovery plan. Substantive technical comments may result in changes to the recovery plan. Substantive comments regarding recovery plan implementation may not necessarily result in changes to the recovery plan, but will be forwarded to appropriate Federal or other entities so that they can take these comments into account during the course of implementing recovery actions. Individual responses to comments will not be provided.

Deinandra conjugens is an annual plant in the family Asteraceae. It was federally listed as a threatened species on October 13, 1998 (63 FR 54938). The species occurs in southwest San Diego County, California, and in northern Baja California, Mexico. It occurs predominantly on clay soils, subsoils, or lenses (isolated areas of clay soil), which typically support grasslands, but may support some woody vegetation. Agriculture and urban development, invasion of nonnative species, and habitat fragmentation and degradation have resulted in the loss of suitable habitat across the species’ range. The species’ self-incompatible breeding system (an individual plant cannot pollinate itself, so successful reproduction requires pollination between genetically unrelated plants), its annual habit, and the extensive fragmentation of remaining populations potentially create additional threats from random population fluctuations, reduced populations of pollinators, a subsequent reduction in cross-pollination and gene flow between populations, and a decline in genetic variation. Maintenance of the genetic variability within the species, through cross-pollination, may be critical to long-term survival.

Within San Diego County, the species occurs entirely within the Multiple Species Conservation Planning (MSCP) area, primarily within three associated subarea plans: the City of San Diego Subarea Plan, the County of San Diego Subarea Plan, and the City of Chula Vista Subarea Plan. These subarea plans provide for the conservation of Deinandra conjugens and many other listed and non-listed species by developing a reserve system with a monitoring and management framework, and protecting key populations. Additional measures outlined in the draft recovery plan will enhance the species’ ability to achieve recovery.

This draft recovery plan recognizes efforts by the local jurisdictions to conserve Deinandra conjugens under the MSCP, and includes additional conservation measures designed to ensure D. conjugens will continue to exist, distributed throughout its extant and historic range. Recovery is dependent upon the conservation of sufficient habitat to sustain populations of D. conjugens, as well as populations of its primary pollinators; maintaining genetic variability within the species; and connect conserved populations to ensure gene flow (through cross-pollination).

The ultimate goal of this recovery plan is to delist Deinandra conjugens through implementation of a variety of recovery actions including: (1) stabilizing and protecting habitat supporting known populations within the conserved areas under the MSCP; (2) surveying for new populations; (3) assessing status of known populations; (4) adaptively managing and monitoring conserved areas; (5) identifying research needs and conducting studies on biology and ecology of the species; and (6) developing and implementing a community outreach plan.

Public Comments Solicited

We solicit written comments on the draft recovery plan described. All comments received by the date specified above will be considered in developing a final recovery plan.

Authority

The authority for this action is section 4(f) of the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. 1533(f).

D. Kenneth McDermond,
Acting Manager, California/Nevada Operations Office, Region 1, Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 03–31164 Filed 12–17–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Draft Revised Recovery Plan for the ‘Alalā (Corvus hawaiiensis)

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of document availability for review and comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (“we”) announces the availability of a draft revised recovery plan for the ‘Alalā, or Hawaiian Crow (Corvus hawaiiensis) for public review. This endemic Hawaiian bird, a member of the family Corvidae, is now believed to be extinct in the wild and survives only in captivity. The ‘Alalā was listed as an endangered species in 1967 (32 FR 4001). The original recovery plan for the ‘Alalā was published in 1982.

DATES: Comments on the draft revised recovery plan must be received on or before February 17, 2004 to receive our consideration.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the draft revised recovery plan are available for inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the following locations: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office, 300 Ala Moana Boulevard, Room 3–122, Honolulu, Hawaii 96850 (telephone 808–792–9400) and Hawaii State Library, 478 S. King Street, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813.

Requests for copies of the draft revised recovery plan and written comments and materials regarding this plan should be addressed to the Field Supervisor, Ecological Services, at the above Honolulu address. An electronic copy of the draft revised recovery plan is also available at: http://endangered.fws.gov/recovery/index.html#plans.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jay Nelson, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, at the above Honolulu address.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Recovery of endangered or threatened animals and plants is a primary goal of our endangered species program and the Endangered Species Act (Act) (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.). Recovery means improvement of the status of listed species to the point at which listing is no longer appropriate under the criteria set out in section 4(a)(1) of the Act. Recovery plans describe actions considered necessary for the conservation of the species, establish criteria for downlisting or delisting listed species, and estimate time and cost for implementing the measures needed for recovery.

The Act requires the development of recovery plans for listed species unless such a plan would not promote the conservation of a particular species. Section 4(f) of the Act requires that public notice and an opportunity for public review and comment be provided during recovery plan development. We will consider all information presented during the public comment period prior