In the heading on page 46137, in the second column, replace the Agency Docket Number with USCG–1999–6095.

On page 46137, in the second and third columns, under the ADDRESSES and “Request for Comments” headings respectively, replace (USCG–1999–6713) with (USCG–1999–6095).

Dated: August 9, 2000.

Joseph J. Angelo,
Director of Standards, Acting Assistant
Commandant for Marine Safety and
Environmental Protection.

[FR Doc. 00–20593 Filed 8—11—00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION

47 CFR Chapter I

[CC Docket No. 94–54: FCC 00–253]
CMRS Interconnection and Resale
Obligations

AGENCY: Federal Communications
Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; denial.

SUMMARY: This document considers
whether facilities-based commercial
mobile radio service (CMRS) providers
should be required to interconnect with
CMRS resellers’ switches or with each
others’ networks. Specifically, the
Commission denies requests for
mandatory interconnection between the
resellers’ switches and CMRS providers’
networks. In the absence of any specific
State interconnection requests pending
before the Commission, we also decline
to take action preempting state
requirements. Finally, the Commission
adopts its tentative conclusion in the
NPRM and denies requests for
interconnection between resellers’
switches and CMRS providers’
networks.

Specifically, the Commission adopts its
tentative conclusion in the NPRM and
denies requests for mandatory
interconnection between resellers’
switches and CMRS providers’
networks.

Synopsis of the Fourth R&O

1. The Commission, in this Fourth
R&O resolves issues raised in 1995 in the
Second Notice of Proposed
Rulemaking (NPRM) in this proceeding
(60 FR 20949, April 28, 1995)
concerning whether facilities-based
commercial mobile radio service
(CMRS) providers should be required to
interconnect with CMRS resellers’
switches or with each others’
networks. Specifically, the Commission
adopts its tentative conclusion in the
NPRM and decline to impose general
interconnection obligations between the
networks of facilities-based CMRS
providers. Consistent with the
interconnection provisions of the 1996
Telecommunications Act, as interpreted
in the First Report and Order in CC
Dockets No. 96–98 and 95–185 (61 FR
45476, August 29, 1996), the
Commission concludes generally that
efficient CMRS interconnection will be
achieved between facilities-based CMRS
providers through voluntary
agreements.

Ordering Clauses

2. Accordingly, the Cellular Service
Inc. and ComTech Mobile Telephone
Company request for a policy statement
recognizing the right of resellers to
interconnection is denied.

3. This proceeding is terminated.

Federal Communications
Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–20522 Filed 8–11–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018–AGO4

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife
and Plants; Reopening of the
Comment Period on the Proposed
Listing of the Buena Vista Lake Shrew

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service,
Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of
reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service (Service), pursuant to
the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as
amended (Act), provide notice of the
reopening of the comment period for the
proposed listing of the Buena Vista Lake
shrew (Sorex ornatus relictus) as
endangered. The comment period has
been reopened in order to provide
interested parties an opportunity to
submit oral or written comments on the
proposal, and request a public hearing,
and to take action on the proposal.

DATES: We will accept comments from
all interested parties until October 13,
2000. Public hearing requests must be
received by September 28, 2000.

ADDRESSES: If you wish to comment,
you may submit your comments and
materials concerning this proposal by
any one of several methods.

1. You may submit written comments
and information to the Field Supervisor,
Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office,
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2800
Cottage Way, Room W–2605,
Sacramento, California 95825.

2. You may hand-deliver written
comments to our Sacramento Fish and
Wildlife Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife
Service, 2800 Cottage Way, Room W–
2605, Sacramento, California 95825.

3. You may send comments by
electronic mail (e-mail) to
fw1bvshtshrew@fws.gov. Please submit
comments in ASCII file format and
avoid the use of special characters and
encryption. Please include “Attn: RIN
1018–AGO4” and your name and return
address in your e-mail message. If you
do not receive a confirmation from the
system that we have received your e-
mail message, contact us directly by
calling our Sacramento Fish and
Wildlife Office, at telephone 916–414–
6600.

Comments and materials received, as
well as supporting documentation used
in the preparation of this proposed rule,
will be available for public inspection,
by appointment, during normal business
hours at the Sacramento Fish and
Wildlife Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife
Service, 2800 Cottage Way, Room W–
2605, Sacramento, California 95825.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Dwight Harvey, Sacramento Fish and
Wildlife Office (see ADDRESSES
section) (telephone 916/414–6600; facsimile
916/414–6710).
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background
The Buena Vista Lake shrew is found in marshes and sloughs within a site formerly known as the Kern Lake Preserve, Kern County, California. This subspecies may also occur in the Tulare Basin and at Kern National Wildlife Refuge, but the status and identity of animals at these other sites is unknown. No more than 38 individuals have been observed at Kern Lake Preserve since 1986. The only known extant Buena Vista Lake shrew population is threatened primarily by agricultural activities and potential impacts to local hydrology, uncertainty of water delivery, possible toxic effects from selenium poisoning, and random naturally occurring events.

We intend that any final action resulting from this proposal will be as accurate and as effective as possible. Therefore, comments or suggestions from the public, other concerned governmental agencies, the scientific community, industry, or any other interested party concerning this proposed rule are sought. Comments are sought particularly regarding:

1. Biological, commercial, or other relevant data concerning any threat (or lack thereof) to the Buena Vista Lake shrew;
2. The location of any additional populations of this subspecies and habitat association (including specific vegetation and soil type), and the reasons why any habitat should or should not be determined to be critical habitat as provided by section 4 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.);
3. Additional information concerning the range, distribution, and population size and genetics of this subspecies;
4. Current or planned activities in the subject area and their possible impacts on this subspecies; and
5. Additional relevant information concerning the life-history, habits, and dispersal of this subspecies.

We published a proposed rule in the Federal Register (65 FR 35033) on June 1, 2000, to list the Buena Vista Lake shrew (Sorex ornatus relictus) as endangered. The original comment period closed on July 31, 2000. We are reopening the comment period in order to provide the public an additional opportunity to provide written or oral comment on the proposal.

Our practice is to make comments available for public review during regular business hours, including names and home addresses of respondents. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from the rulemaking record, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. In some circumstances, we would withhold from the rulemaking record a respondent’s identity, as allowable by law. If you wish for us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. However, we will not consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Author: The primary author of this notice is Dwight Harvey (see ADDRESSES section).

Authority: The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).


Elizabeth H. Stevens,
Manager-California/Nevada Operations Office.
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DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service
50 CFR Part 17
Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants: Announcement of Public Informational Meetings and Public Hearings for the Proposal to Reclassify and Remove the Gray Wolf From the List of Endangered and Threatened Species and To Establish Special Regulations for Threatened Gray Wolves

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Announcement of public informational meetings and public hearings.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) announces the locations and times of public informational meetings that have been scheduled to provide information on the proposal to reclassify and delist the gray wolf and establish special regulations for threatened gray wolves. We also are announcing the locations and times of public hearings scheduled to receive verbal public comments on the proposal.

DATES: See SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

ADDRESSES: See SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: We will hold public informational meetings at the following locations. All meetings will be held from 1 to 3 p.m. and from 6 to 8 p.m. and will use an open house format, including a slide presentation that will run approximately every half hour.

Denver, Colorado, on August 15, 2000, at the Holiday Inn at Hampden, 7390 W. Hampden Avenue, (Lakewood).

Grand Junction, Colorado, on August 16, 2000, at the Holiday Inn, 755 Horizon Drive.

Salt Lake City, Utah, on August 17, 2000, at the Hilton Salt Lake City Center, 255 South West Temple.

Helena, Montana, on August 31, 2000, at Cavanaugh’s Colonial Hotel—Best Western, 2301 Colonial Drive.

Kalispell, Montana, on September 6, 2000, at the West Coast Inn, 20 North Main Street.

Missoula, Montana, on September 7, 2000, at the Best Western Grant Creek Inn, 5280 Grant Creek Road.

Casper, Wyoming, on September 12, 2000, at the Casper Events Center, #1 Events Drive.

Bozeman, Montana, on September 14, 2000, at the Wingate Inn, 2305 Catron Street.

We will hold public hearings at the following locations. All hearings will be held from 1 to 3 p.m. and from 6 to 8 p.m.

Salt Lake City, Utah, on October 12, 2000, at the Hilton Salt Lake City Center, 255 South West Temple.

Helena, Montana, on October 18, 2000, at Cavanaugh’s Colonial Inn—Best Western, 2301 Colonial Drive.

Denver, Colorado, on October 26, 2000, at the Holiday Inn at Hampden, 7390 W. Hampden Avenue, (Lakewood).

Background
On July 13, 2000, we published a proposed regulation (65 FR 43450) to reclassify and remove the gray wolf from the list of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants. The proposal also includes three special regulations for those distinct populations segments of gray wolves that would become classified as threatened. This proposal would affect all the conterminous 48 States except Minnesota. Due to the complexity and