National Wildlife Refuge System (NWRS). Developing CCPs is done according to the sound principles of fish and wildlife science and laws, while adhering to Service planning and related policies. In addition to outlining broad management direction on conserving refuge wildlife and habitat, CCPs identify wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities available to the public, including opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation and photography, and environmental education and interpretation. We will review and update this CCP at least once every 15 years.

Missisquoi NWR spans over 6,592 acres, lies on the eastern shore of Lake Champlain near the Canadian border in Franklin County, Vermont, and includes most of the Missisquoi River Delta, the largest wetland complex in the Lake Champlain Basin. As it flows through the refuge, the Missisquoi River is bordered by the largest and perhaps highest quality silver maple floodplain forest remaining in the State. The river meanders through extensive natural and managed emergent marshes of wild rice, buttonbush, and tussock sedge that host thousands of waterfowl during migration. That part of the river harbors rare freshwater mussels, spiny soft-shell turtles, and fish. Refuge lands protect the Shad Island great blue heron rookery, the largest colony in Vermont, and the entire nesting population of black terns in the State. The Service acquired most of the refuge under authority of the Migratory Bird Conservation Act of 1929 (16 U.S.C. 715–715t) for “use as an inviolate sanctuary, or for any other management purposes, for migratory birds” and the Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 (16 U.S.C. 742f(a)(1)) for the development, advancement, management, conservation, and protection of fish and wildlife resources.

We distributed a draft CCP/Environmental Assessment (EA) for public review and comment for 38 days between March 22 and April 30, 2007. Its distribution was announced in the Federal Register on March 16, 2007 (Volume 72, Number 51, Pages 12632–12633). That draft analyzed two alternatives for managing the refuge. We also held one public meeting on March 31, 2007, to obtain public comments. We received 105 comments from local towns, conservation and recreational organizations, and local residents. Appendix L of the final CCP includes a summary of those comments and our responses to them.

We selected Alternative B (the Service-proposed action) from the draft CCP/EA as the alternative for implementation. Our final CCP fully describes its details. Staff from Missisquoi NWR headquarters office in Swanton, Vermont, will continue to administer the refuge. Highlights of the final CCP include:

1. Greater inventory, monitoring, and management of the Missisquoi River Delta wetlands (floodplain forest, lakeshore and river shore wetlands, impoundments, rivers and creeks, bays, bogs, swamps, vernal pools, and scrub-shrub habitat) to maintain their ecological integrity for the rich diversity of plants and animals on the refuge.

2. Better management of high-quality grasslands, shrublands, and other early successional habitats for a range of wildlife species of conservation concern.

3. Enhanced programs of outreach and environmental education and interpretation to raise public awareness and involvement in the protection and stewardship of refuge wildlife and habitats.

4. Enhanced wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities (wildlife observation and photography, hunting, and fishing) that provide quality experiences for refuge visitors and protect wildlife and their habitats.

5. Greater inventory, protection, and interpretation of the rich cultural history of the Missisquoi River delta and enhanced partnerships with the local Abenaki Tribe and other interested communities.

6. Cooperative partnerships within the northern Lake Champlain Basin, including the Missisquoi River watershed, working toward better water quality, improved land stewardship, and greater protection of fish and wildlife resources.

7. A Geographical Information System (GIS) that contains current data on refuge biological resources that will more effectively and efficiently guide habitat and species management.

8. An inventory of aquatic and upland invasive species that track annual distribution and control methods, and management results of these nuisance species on the refuge and in Missisquoi Bay.

9. In partnership with others, the protection of additional lands and waters, particularly intact, fully functioning wetlands and associated riparian areas and lands that maintain and expand the protection of large, unfragmented blocks of upland habitat for area-sensitive wildlife species.

10. In lieu of a fee, visitors will be encouraged to make voluntary contributions in collection boxes at the trailheads and boat launch sites. We will work with the Friends of Missisquoi NWR to develop a voluntary annual refuge pass to raise additional funds for trail maintenance, informational kiosks, educational programs, and other actions to benefit all visitors to the refuge.

11. A critical new law enforcement position to enhance staff and visitor safety, ensure compliance with regulations, and maintain communications with Homeland Security, given the refuge’s proximity to the International border and active recreational community in the Missisquoi Bay and river.

12. Other new critical positions, including a park ranger, maintenance worker, and biological technician, to maximize the use and effectiveness of the new visitor center and associated interpretive trails, ensure safe, quality refuge experiences through well-maintained facilities, ensure our use of the best available science in conserving and managing the fish and wildlife resources and their habitats, and ensure that public uses are compatible with the “wildlife first” mission of the NWR.


Thomas J. Healy,
Acting Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Hadley, Massachusetts.

[FR Doc. E7–18542 Filed 9–20–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 5-Year Review of 16 Southeastern Species

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) is initiating 5-year reviews of the Carolina northern flying squirrel (Glaucomys sabrinus coloratus), Cahow (Pterodroma caulifera), boulder darter (Etheostoma wapiti), relict darter (Etheostoma chienense), Cumberland pigtoe (Pleurobema gibberum), rough pigtoe (Pleurobema plenum), orangefoot pimpleback (pearlymussel) (Plethobasus cooperianus), tan riffleshell (Epioblasma florentina walkeri), white wartyback (pearlymussel) (Plethobasus cicatricosus), noonday snail (Mesodon clarkii nantahala), Nashville crayfish (Orconectes shoupi), Kentucky cave shrimp (Palaemonus gantleri), Cumberland sandwort (Arenaria cumberlandensis), Tennessee purple coneflower (Echinacea tennesseensis), large-flowered skullcap (Scutellaria...
and rock gnome lichen (Gymnoderma lineare) under section 4(c)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). The purpose of reviews conducted under this section of the Act is to ensure that the classification of species as threatened or endangered on the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (50 CFR 17.11 and 17.12) is accurate. A 5-year review is an assessment of the best scientific and commercial data available at the time of the review.

DATES: To allow us adequate time to conduct this review, information submitted for our consideration must be received on or before November 20, 2007. However, we will continue to accept new information about any listed species at any time.

ADDRESSES: Information submitted on the Carolina northern flying squirrel, tan riffleshell, noonday snail, and rock gnome lichen should be sent to the Field Supervisor, Asheville Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 160 Zillicoah Street, Asheville, North Carolina 28801, fax 828/258–5330. Information on the boulder darter, Cumberland pigtoe, orangefoot pimpleback, white wartyback, contact John Butler at the Asheville Field Office address above (phone 828/258–3939 ext. 224, e-mail John_Fridell@fws.gov). For information on the rock gnome lichen, contact Carolyn Wells at the Asheville Field Office address above (phone 828/258–3939 ext. 231, e-mail Carolyn_Wells@fws.gov). For information on the boulder darter, Cumberland pigtoe, orangefoot pimpleback, or white wartyback, contact James (Jim) Widlak at the Cookeville Field Office address above (phone 931/528–6481 ext. 202, e-mail James_Widlak@fws.gov). For information on the noonday snail, contact John Butler at the Asheville Field Office address above (phone 828/258–3939 ext. 225, e-mail John_Fridell@fws.gov). For information on the rock gnome lichen, contact Carolyn Wells at the Asheville Field Office address above (phone 828/258–3939 ext. 231, e-mail Carolyn_Wells@fws.gov). For information on the boulder darter, Cumberland pigtoe, orangefoot pimpleback, or white wartyback, contact James (Jim) Widlak at the Cookeville Field Office address above (phone 931/528–6481 ext. 202, e-mail James_Widlak@fws.gov). For information on the noonday snail, contact John Butler at the Asheville Field Office address above (phone 828/258–3939 ext. 224, e-mail John_Fridell@fws.gov).

Information submitted on the Carolina northern flying squirrel, tan riffleshell, noonday snail, and rock gnome lichen should be sent to the Field Supervisor, Asheville Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 160 Zillicoah Street, Asheville, North Carolina 28801, fax 828/258–5330. Information on the boulder darter, Cumberland pigtoe, orangefoot pimpleback, white wartyback, contact John Butler at the Asheville Field Office address above (phone 828/258–3939 ext. 224, e-mail John_Fridell@fws.gov). For information on the rock gnome lichen, contact Carolyn Wells at the Asheville Field Office address above (phone 828/258–3939 ext. 231, e-mail Carolyn_Wells@fws.gov). For information on the boulder darter, Cumberland pigtoe, orangefoot pimpleback, or white wartyback, contact James (Jim) Widlak at the Cookeville Field Office address above (phone 931/528–6481 ext. 202, e-mail James_Widlak@fws.gov). For information on the noonday snail, contact John Butler at the Asheville Field Office address above (phone 828/258–3939 ext. 225, e-mail John_Fridell@fws.gov). For information on the rock gnome lichen, contact Carolyn Wells at the Asheville Field Office address above (phone 828/258–3939 ext. 231, e-mail Carolyn_Wells@fws.gov). For information on the boulder darter, Cumberland pigtoe, orangefoot pimpleback, or white wartyback, contact James (Jim) Widlak at the Cookeville Field Office address above (phone 931/528–6481 ext. 202, e-mail James_Widlak@fws.gov).

What information is considered in the review?

A 5-year review considers all new information available at the time of the review. A 5-year review will consider the best scientific and commercial data that have become available since the current listing determination or most recent status review of each species, such as:

A. Species biology, including but not limited to population trends, distribution, abundance, demographics, and genetics;

B. Habitat conditions, including but not limited to amount, distribution, and genetics;

C. Conservation measures that have been implemented to benefit the species;

D. Threat status and trends (see five factors under heading “How do we determine whether a species is endangered or threatened?”); and

E. Other new information, data, or corrections, including but not limited to taxonomic or nomenclatural changes, identification of erroneous information contained in the List, and improved analytical methods.

Specific information Requested for the Boulder Darter, Cumberland Pigtoe, Orangefoot Pimpleback, White Wartyback, and Rock Gnome Lichen

We are especially interested in obtaining information about the current condition of the species’ habitats, recovery of degraded habitats, threats to extant populations, discovery of new populations, or rediscovery of populations thought to be extirpated. We are also interested in information about ongoing or successfully completed recovery activities. We specifically request information regarding the current distribution of the species currently under active review. This notice announces our active review of the following species listed as endangered: Carolina northern flying squirrel (mammal), Cahow (bird), boulder darter (fish), relict darter (fish), Cumberland pigtoe (mussel), rough pigtoe (mussel), orangefoot pimpleback (mussel), tan riffleshell (mussel), white wartyback (mussel), Nashville crayfish (crayfish), Kentucky cave shrimp (shrimp), Cumberland sandwort (plant), Tennessee purple coneflower (plant), and rock gnome lichen (lichen). This notice also covers two species that are listed as threatened: noonday snail (snail) and large-flowered skullcap (plant). The List is also available on our Internet site at http://endangered.fws.gov/wildlife.html#Species.
species throughout their ranges and the status of extant populations.

Definitions Related to This Notice

The following definitions are provided to assist those persons who contemplate submitting information regarding the species being reviewed:

A. Species includes any species or subspecies of fish, wildlife, or plant, and any distinct population segment of any species of vertebrate which interbreeds when mature.

B. Endangered means any species that is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

C. Threatened means any species that is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

How do we determine whether a species is endangered or threatened?

Section 4(a)(1) of the Act establishes that we determine whether a species is endangered or threatened based on one or more of the following five factors:

A. The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range;
B. Over-utilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes;
C. Disease or predation;
D. The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms; or
E. Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence.

Section 4(a)(1) of the Act requires that our determination be made on the basis of the best scientific and commercial data available.

What could happen as a result of this review?

If we find that there is new information concerning any of these 16 species indicating that a change in classification may be warranted, we may propose a new rule that could do one of the following: (a) Reclassify the species from endangered to threatened (downlist); (b) reclassify the species from threatened to endangered (uplist); or (c) delist the species. If we determine that a change in classification is not warranted, then these species will remain on the List under their current status.

Public Solicitation of New Information

We request any new information concerning the status of any of these 16 species. See “What information is considered in the review?” heading for specific criteria. Information submitted should be supported by documentation such as maps, bibliographic references, methods used to gather and analyze the data, and/or copies of any pertinent publications, reports, or letters by knowledgeable sources. Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their names and home addresses, etc., but if you wish us to withhold this information, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. In addition, you must present rationale for withholding this information. This rationale must demonstrate that disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. Unsupported assertions will not meet this burden. In absence of exceptional, undocumented circumstances, this information will be released. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Authority: This document is published under the authority of the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).


Cynthia K. Dohner,
Acting Regional Director, Southeast Region.

[FR Doc. E7–18558 Filed 9–20–07; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Initiation of 5-Year Reviews of 2 Species: Chihuahua Chub (Gila nigrescens) and Navasota Ladies’-tresses (Spiranthes parksi)

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of reviews; request for information.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) initiate 5-year reviews of Chihuahua chub (Gila nigrescens) and Navasota ladies’-tresses (Spiranthes parksi) under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). The purpose of reviews conducted under section 4(c)(2)(A) of the Act is to ensure that the classification of species as threatened or endangered on the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants is accurate.

The 5-year review is an assessment of the best scientific and commercial data available at the time of the review.

Therefore, we are requesting submission of any new information (best scientific and commercial data) on Chihuahua chub and Navasota ladies’-tresses since their original listings as threatened in 1983 (48 FR 46053; October 11, 1983) and endangered in 1982 (47 FR 19539; May 6, 1982), respectively. If the present classification of either of these species is not consistent with the best scientific and commercial information available, we may recommend a change in Federal classification. A change would require a separate final rulemaking process.

DATES: To allow adequate time to conduct this review, we must receive any information submitted for our consideration on or before December 20, 2007. However, we will continue to accept new information about any listed species at any time.

ADDRESSES: Mail or hand deliver information on these species to us at the following addresses. Information we receive will be available for public inspection by appointment, during normal business hours, at the same addresses.

Navasota ladies’-tresses: Field Supervisor, Attention 5-year Review, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Austin Ecological Services Field Office, 10711 Burnet Road, Suite 200, Austin, TX 78758. The office phone number is 512–490–0057. Alternatively, you may send information via e-mail to chris_best@fws.gov.

Chihuahua chub: Field Supervisor, Attention 5-year Review, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office, 2105 Osuna Road, NE., Albuquerque, NM 87113. The office phone number is 505–346–2525. Alternatively, you may send information via e-mail to marilyn_myers@fws.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For species-specific information, contact the appropriate person at the above addresses and phone numbers.

Individuals who are hearing impaired or speech impaired may call the Federal Relay Service at 800–877–8337 for TTY assistance.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Why Do We Conduct a 5-year Review?

Section 4(c)(2)(A) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) requires that we conduct a review of listed species at least once every 5 years. We are then, under section 4(c)(2)(B) and the provisions of subsections (a) and (b), to determine, on the basis of such a review, whether or not any species should be removed (delisted) from the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (50 CFR 17.12), or