Safe Harbor Privacy Principles Issued by the U.S. Department of Commerce on July 21, 2000:

In paragraph 4, the last sentence should read: “For the same reason, where the option is allowable under the Principles and/or U.S. law, organizations are expected to opt for the higher protection where possible.”

Beginning Date of the Safe Harbor List

U.S. organizations may begin signing up to the safe harbor list at www.ita.doc.gov/ecom beginning November 1, 2000. Organizations may either input information into the website or they may send a letter to the Department of Commerce, Attention: Safe Harbor Registration, Room 2009, Washington, DC 20230.

Signing up to the list:
• To be included on the safe harbor list, organizations must notify the Department of Commerce that they adhere to the safe harbor privacy principles developed by the Department of Commerce in coordination with the European Commission. The principles provide guidance for U.S. organizations on how to provide “adequate protection” for personal data from Europe as required by the European Union’s Directive on Data Protection.
• An organization’s request to be put on the safe harbor list, and its appearance on this list pursuant to that request, constitute a representation that it adheres to a privacy policy that meets the safe harbor privacy principles. Organizations must also publicly declare and state in their privacy policies that they adhere to the safe harbor principles.
• Adherence to the safe harbor principles and subscription to the list are entirely voluntary. An organization’s absence from the list does not mean that it does not provide effective protection for personal data or that it does not qualify for the benefits of the safe harbor.
• In order to keep this list current, a notification will be effective for a period of twelve months. Therefore, organizations need to notify the Department of Commerce every twelve months to reaffirm their continued adherence to the safe harbor principles.
• Organizations should notify the Department of Commerce if their representation to the Department is no longer valid. Failure by an organization to so notify the Department could constitute a misrepresentation of its adherence to the safe harbor privacy principles and failure to do so may be actionable under the False Statements Act (18 U.S.C. § 1001).

An organization may withdraw from the list at any time by notifying the Department of Commerce. Withdrawal from the list terminates the organization’s representation of adherence to the safe harbor principles, but this does not relieve the organization of its obligations with respect to personal information received prior to the termination.
• If a relevant self-regulatory or government enforcement body finds an organization has engaged in a persistent failure to comply with the principles, then the organization is no longer entitled to the benefits of the safe harbor.
• In order to sign up to the list, organizations may either send a letter signed by a corporate officer to the Department of Commerce or have a corporate officer register on the Department of Commerce’s website (www.ita.doc.gov) that provides all information required in FAQ 6.
• In maintaining the list, the Department of Commerce does not assess and makes no representation as to the adequacy of any organization’s privacy policy or its adherence to that policy. Furthermore, the Department of Commerce does not guarantee the accuracy of the list and assumes no liability for the erroneous inclusion, misidentification, omission, or deletion of any organization, or any other action related to the maintenance of the list.

Dated: September 13, 2000.

Rebecca J. Richards,
International Trade Specialist, International Trade Administration/Trade Development.

[FR Doc. 00–24003 Filed 2–18–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service

[I.D. 091100E]

Availability of a Draft Environmental Assessment and Receipt of Applications for Incidental Take Permits for L.P. Pacific Timberlands, Inc. (L.P. Pacific) Draft Habitat Conservation Plan, Yakima and Klickitat Counties, WA.


ACTION: Notice of application and availability for public comment.

SUMMARY: This notice advises other agencies and the public that L.P. Pacific has submitted applications to the Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service (collectively, the Services) for Incidental Take Permits (Permits) pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). As required by the Act, L.P. Pacific has also prepared a draft Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) intended to minimize and mitigate any such take of endangered or threatened species. The Permit applications are related to forest land management and timber harvest on 28,388 acres of L.P. Pacific’s lands within the Yakama Indian Reservation, located in Yakima and Klickitat Counties, WA.

The Permit applications include the draft HCP and the draft Implementing Agreement. The Services also announce the availability of a draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Permit applications. The draft EA and HCP have been combined in a single document with the HCP as the Proposed Action Alternative, Alternative B, termed the Multi-species HCP Alternative.

This notice is provided pursuant to the Act and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) regulations. The Services are furnishing this notice in order to allow other agencies and the public an opportunity to review and comment on these documents. All comments received will become part of the public record and will be available for review pursuant to the Act.

DATES: Written comments on the permit applications, draft EA, HCP, and Implementing Agreement must be received no later than November 20, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Requests for documents on CD ROM should be made by calling the Fish and Wildlife Service at (360) 534-9330. Hardbound copies are also available for viewing, and partial or complete duplication (see SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION, under the heading Libraries).

The documents may also be viewed electronically on the World Wide Web at the Fish and Wildlife Service Region 1 HCP Home Page: http://pacific.fws.gov/hcp.

Comments and requests for information should be directed to Tim McCracken, Project Biologist, Fish and Wildlife Service, 215 Melody Lane, Wenatchee, WA 98801, telephone: (509) 665-3505, facsimile: (509)665-3509, or Matt Longenbaugh, Project Biologist,
National Marine Fisheries Service, 510 Desmond Drive, S.E., Suite 103, Lacey, WA 98503-1263; telephone: (360)753-7761; facsimile: (360)753-9517.

Comments and materials received will also be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours by calling (360) 534-9330.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 9 of the Act and Federal regulations prohibit the “taking” of a species listed as endangered or threatened. The term “take” is defined under the Act to mean harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct. Harm is defined to include significant habitat modification or degradation where it actually kills or injures wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, spawning, rearing, migrating, feeding, or sheltering.

The proposed Permits would authorize take of the following endangered or threatened species incidental to otherwise lawful activities:

- Northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*), bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*), grizzly bear (*Ursus arctos*), gray wolf (*Canis lupus*), Canada lynx (*Lynx canadensis*), bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), and the mid-Columbia River Evolutionarily Significant Unit of steelhead (*Onchorhyncus mykiss*). The Proposed Permits would also authorize harvest of trees; silvicultural treatments; site preparation; tree planting; timberland inventory and monitoring; construction, maintenance, and use of logging roads and landings; quarrying stone and gravel; fire prevention and suppression; habitat restoration; use of low-flying aircraft; tribal access; and, aerial spraying of the biological pesticide Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) to control spruce budworm.

The Services may issue permits, under limited circumstances, to take listed species incidental to, and not the purpose of, otherwise lawful activities. Fish and Wildlife Service regulations governing permits for threatened species are promulgated in 50 CFR 17.22 and, regulations governing permits for threatened species are promulgated in 50 CFR 17.32. National Marine Fisheries Service regulations governing permits for threatened and endangered species are promulgated in 50 CFR 222.307.

I.P. Pacific owns and manages scattered parcels throughout the upper Klickitat River Basin. The portion of these lands located within the Yakama Indian Reservation are being considered by the Services for coverage under section 10(a)(1) of the Act. I.P. Pacific’s ownership proposed for coverage totals 28,388 acres, located near the southwest corner of the property near the town of Glenwood, WA. Proposed management activities include timber harvest and general forest management. Some timber harvest and forest management activities have the potential to affect species subject to protection under the Act. Section 10 of the Act contains provisions for the issuance of permits to non-federal land owners for the take of endangered and threatened species, provided the take is incidental to otherwise lawful activities, and will not appreciably reduce the likelihood of the survival and recovery of the species in the wild. In addition, the applicant must prepare and submit to the Services for approval an HCP containing a strategy for minimizing and mitigating all take associated with the proposed activities to the maximum extent practicable. The applicant must also ensure that adequate funding for the HCP will be provided.

I.P. Pacific has developed the draft HCP with technical assistance from the Services to obtain the Permits for their activities on land under their ownership within the boundaries of the Yakama Indian Reservation. Activities proposed for Permit coverage include the following: harvest of trees; silvicultural treatments; site preparation; tree planting; timberland inventory and monitoring; construction, maintenance, and use of logging roads and landings; quarrying stone and gravel; fire prevention and suppression; habitat restoration; use of low-flying aircraft; tribal access; and, aerial spraying of the biological pesticide Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) to control spruce budworm. Clear-cutting of timber will be minimized and used only where necessary to restore sustainable stands. The Permits also propose to cover certain monitoring activities and fish and wildlife surveys within the Plan area.

Federal guidelines regarding tribal trust responsibilities and government-to-government relations with the Yakama Tribe are being closely followed by the Services. The Yakama Tribal Council, Timber Program, and Resources Offices have been consulted throughout the Plan development process to the greatest extent possible. The following three alternatives are currently analyzed in detail in the draft EA: (A) the No Action Alternative; (B) the Proposed Plan Alternative, titled the Multi-species HCP Alternative; and, (C) the Spotted Owl Only Alternative. Under Alternative A, the No Action Alternative, no permit would be issued, take would be avoided for all threatened and endangered species on the property, and Yakama Indian Nation guidelines for protection of riparian areas would be followed. Alternative B, the Multi-species HCP Alternative, involves issuing a Permit authorizing take of seven threatened and endangered species on the property, with provisions for authorizing take for 30 unlisted species in the future should they be listed during the term of the Permits. The Plan details minimization and mitigation measures for these threatened, endangered, and unlisted species. Alternative C, the Spotted Owl Only Alternative, involves the Fish and Wildlife Service issuing a permit for the northern spotted owl only, and I.P. Pacific would continue to follow the Yakama Indian Nation guidelines for protection of riparian areas, as in the No Action Alternative.

Alternatives considered during scoping but which were not analyzed in detail include a Historical Forest Restoration Alternative, a Washington State Forest and Fish Agreement Alternative, an Expanded Covered Species Alternative, and a Northwest Forest Plan Alternative. These four alternatives were not analyzed in detail because they did not meet the stated purpose and needs of the proposed action, which are to provide protection and conservation to listed and proposed species and their habitats to the extent intended under section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Act, while allowing I.P. Pacific to fulfill its forest management and timber harvest planning in a practical manner.

This notice is provided pursuant to section 10(a) of the Act and NEPA regulations. The Services will evaluate the applications, associated documents, and comments submitted thereon to determine whether the application meets the requirements of the Act and NEPA. If it is determined that the requirements are met, a permit will be issued for the incidental take of all covered species. The final permit decisions will be made no sooner than November 20, 2000.

**Libraries:** Copies of the documents are available at the following libraries:

- Yakima Valley Regional Library, 102 North 3rd Street, Yakima, WA, 98901 (509) 452-8541; Wenatchee Public Library, 310 Douglas Street, Wenatchee WA, 98801, (509) 664-3910; Seattle Public Library, Government Publications Desk, 1000 4th Avenue, Seattle, WA, (206) 386-4636; Olympia Timberland Library, Reference Desk, 313 8th Avenue SE, Olympia, WA, (360) 352-0595; and, White Salmon Valley Community Library, 5 Town and Country Square, White Salmon, WA 98672.
COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton, Wool and Man-Made Fiber Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in Cambodia

September 14, 2000.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs adjusting limits.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended. The current limits for certain categories are being adjusted for carryover, swing and the recrediting of unused carryforward.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the CORRELATION: Textile and Apparel Schedule of the United States (see Federal Register notice 64 FR 71982, published on December 22, 1999). Also see 64 FR 70217, published on December 16, 1999.

Richard B. Steinkamp,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on October 4, 1999. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, wool and man-made fiber textile products, produced or manufactured in Cambodia and exported during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 2000 and extends through December 31, 2000.

Effective on September 19, 2000, you are directed to increase the current limits for the following categories, as provided for under the terms of the current bilateral textile agreement between the Governments of the United States and Cambodia:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Adjusted twelve-month limit 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>331/631</td>
<td>1,281,945 dozen pairs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334/634</td>
<td>197,030 dozen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>335/635</td>
<td>82,680 dozen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338/339</td>
<td>2,956,500 dozen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340/640</td>
<td>1,001,700 dozen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345</td>
<td>125,546 dozen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>347/348/647/648</td>
<td>3,427,800 dozen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>352/652</td>
<td>541,961 dozen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>438</td>
<td>108,703 dozen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>445/446</td>
<td>133,320 dozen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>638/639</td>
<td>1,043,100 dozen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>645/646</td>
<td>301,507 dozen.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The limits have not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 1999.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception of the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Richard B. Steinkamp,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc. 00–24107 Filed 9–15–00; 10:57 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DR–F

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton, Wool and Man-Made Fiber Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in Guatemala

September 14, 2000.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs adjusting limits.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Naomi Freeman, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482–4212. For information on the embargo and quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port, call (202) 927–5850, or refer to the U.S. Customs website at http://www.customs.gov. For information on embargo and quota re-openings, call (202) 482–3715.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended.

The current limits for certain categories are being adjusted for carryover, swing and the recrediting of unused carryforward.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the CORRELATION: Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see Federal Register notice 64 FR 71982, published on December 22, 1999). Also see 64 FR 70217, published on December 16, 1999.

Richard B. Steinkamp,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on October 4, 1999, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, wool and man-made fiber textile products, produced or manufactured in Guatemala and exported during the period which began on January 1,