
Applicability: Model 737–100, –200, –200C, –300, –400, and –500 series airplanes; line numbers 1 through 3132 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent jamming of the first officer’s control wheel due to the presence of a foreign object on the lower bearing support of the transfer mechanism for the aileron, which could result in reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Detailed Inspection

(a) Within 2 years after the effective date of this AD, do a one-time detailed inspection to determine whether the lower bearing support of the aileron transfer mechanism directly below the first officer’s control column has a “pocket,” according to Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–27A1238, dated July 13, 2000. (The upper surface has a raised stop at the end opposite the rig pin hole.) If no pocket is found, no further action is required by this AD.

Note 2: “Pocket” is the term given to the area on the upper surface of the lower bearing support, aft of the bearing in the area of the rig pin holes, that is surrounded by the ribs of the lower bearing support.

Note 3: For the purposes of this AD, a detailed inspection is defined as: “An intensive visual examination of a specific structural area, system, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required.”

Follow-On Actions

(b) If a pocket is found on the lower bearing support of the transfer mechanism for the aileron: Before further flight, do paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this AD according to Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–27A1238, dated July 13, 2000, except as provided by paragraph (c) of this AD.

(1) Do all actions associated with the modification of the ribs of the lower bearing support (including performing a dye-penetrant inspection for cracking of the lower bearing support and any necessary corrective actions, machining the ribs, and changing the part number of the lower bearing support). Replacement of the lower bearing support with a new, improved support is optional as specified in the service bulletin.

(2) Do the follow-on actions to the modification, including a functional test of the transfer mechanism, a test of the aileron control mechanism for interference, and corrective actions, if necessary.

Corrective Actions

(c) If any cracking of the lower bearing support is found during the dye-penetrant inspection, or if any resistance is found during the test of the aileron control mechanism, and the service bulletin specifies to contact Boeing for appropriate action: Before further flight, repair per a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA. For a repair method to be approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO, as required by this paragraph, the Manager’s approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

Spares

(d) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install a lower bearing support, part number 65–55476–1 or 65–55476–9, on any airplane, unless the actions in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c), as applicable, of this AD have been accomplished.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 4: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 5, 2002.


LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

45 CFR Part 1611

Eligibility: 1611 Negotiated Rulemaking Working Group Meeting

AGENCY: Legal Services Corporation.

ACTION: Regulation negotiation working group meeting.

SUMMARY: LSC is conducting a Negotiated Rulemaking to consider revisions to its eligibility regulations at 45 CFR part 1611. This document announces the dates, times, and address of the next meeting of the working group, which is open to the public.

DATES: The Legal Services Corporation’s 1611 Negotiated Rulemaking Working Group will meet on February 11–12, 2002. The meeting will begin at 9 a.m. on February 11, 2002. It is anticipated that the meeting will end by 3:30 p.m. on February 12, 2002.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in the Ninth Floor Conference Room at the offices of the Legal Services Corporation, 750 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mattie C. Condray, Senior Assistant General Counsel, Legal Services Corporation, 750 First St., NE., 11th Floor, Washington, DC 20001; (202) 336–8817 (phone); (202) 336–8952 (fax); mcondray@lsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: LSC is conducting a Negotiated Rulemaking to consider revisions to its eligibility regulations at 45 CFR part 1611. The working group will hold its next meeting on the dates and at the location announced above. The meeting is open to the public. Upon request, meeting notices will be made available in alternate formats to accommodate visual and hearing impairments. Individuals who have a disability and need an accommodation to attend the meeting may notify Naima Washington at 202–336–8841; washington@lsc.gov.

Victor M. Fortuno, Vice President for Legal Affairs, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–3273 Filed 2–6–02; 4:38 pm]

BILLING CODE 7505–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018–AG71

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Revised Determinations of Prudence and Proposed Designations of Critical Habitat for Plant Species From the Islands of Kauai and Niihau, Hawaii; Correction

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Revised proposed rule and notice of determinations of whether
SUMMARY: A document containing the revised determinations of prudency and proposed designations of critical habitat for plant species from the islands of Kauai and Niihau, Hawaii was published in the Federal Register on January 28, 2002. Within the preamble, the third reference to the hearing date is incorrect. The correct hearing date is February 13, 2002. This document corrects the hearing date.

DATES: We will accept comments until March 29, 2002. We will hold one public hearing on this proposed rule. The public hearing will be held from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m., Wednesday, February 13, 2002, on the island of Kauai, Hawaii. Prior to the public hearing, we will be available from 3:30 to 4:30 p.m. to provide information and to answer questions. Registration for the hearing will begin at 5:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: If you wish to comment, you may submit your comments and materials concerning this proposal by any one of several methods:

- You may submit written comments and information to the Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Pacific Islands Office, 300 Ala Moana Blvd., Room 3–122, PO Box 50088, Honolulu, HI 96850–0001.
- You may hand-deliver written comments to our Pacific Islands Office at the address given above.
- You may view comments and materials received, as well as supporting documentation used in the preparation of this proposed rule, by appointment, during normal business hours at the above address. The public hearing will be held at the Radisson Kauai Beach Resort, 4331 Kauai Beach Drive, Lihue, Kauai. Additional information on this hearing can be found under “Public Hearing” found in the Background section of this proposed rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Henson, Field Supervisor, Pacific Islands Office, at the above address (telephone 808/541–3441; facsimile 808/541–3470).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 28, 2002, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) published revised determinations of prudency and proposed designations of critical habitat for plant species from the islands of Kauai and Niihau, Hawaii (67 FR 3940).

Correction

Accordingly, make the following correction to FR Doc. 02–687 published at 67 FR 3940 on January 28, 2002:

On page 4062, in column 2, Public Hearing Section, third paragraph, correct the public hearing date to read: Wednesday, February 13, 2002.


Joseph E. Doddridge,
Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.

BILDER CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
50 CFR Parts 223, 224, and 226
[Docket No. 020205024–2024–01; I.D. 011502K]
RIN 0648–ZB13

Endangered and Threatened Species:
Findings on Petitions to Delist Pacific Salmonid ESUs

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of findings; request for information on reinitiation of status reviews.

SUMMARY: The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) has received six petitions to delist 15 Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESUs) of Pacific salmon and steelhead (Oncorhynchus spp.) in California, Oregon, Washington, and Idaho that are currently listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA). One petition fails to present substantial scientific or commercial information to suggest that delisting may be warranted. The remaining petitions address ESUs with hatchery populations. In a recent U.S. District Court ruling, the Court found NMFS’ prior treatment of hatchery fish in ESA listing determinations to be arbitrary and capricious. As such, NMFS finds that these petitions present substantial scientific and commercial information indicating that the petitioned actions may be warranted for 14 of the petitioned ESUs. Moreover, NMFS is reviewing the status of 10 additional ESUs currently listed as threatened or endangered, as well as updating the status of the ESA candidate Lower Columbia River/Southwestern Washington coho salmon ESU (O. kisutch). To ensure that these status reviews are complete, NMFS is soliciting information and data regarding the status of the 25 ESUs to be updated. These status updates will be completed after a revision of agency policy regarding the consideration of hatchery fish in ESA status reviews of Pacific salmonids. At such time that the status reviews are complete, NMFS will consider whether there is a need to re-evaluate critical habitat designations, protective regulations, or ongoing recovery planning efforts for these ESUs. In addition to the reinitiation of status reviews, NMFS will identify preliminary recovery planning targets to assist in regional, state, tribal and local recovery efforts.

DATES: Information and comments on the action must be received by April 12, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Information or comments on this action should be submitted to the Assistant Regional Administrator, Protected Resources Division, NMFS, 525 NE Oregon Street, Suite 500, Portland, OR, 97232–2737. Comments will not be accepted if submitted via e-mail or the internet. However, comments may be sent via fax to (503) 230–5435.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Garth Griffin, NMFS, Northwest Region, (503) 231–2005; Craig Wingert, NMFS, Southwest Region, (562) 980–4021; or Chris Mobley, NMFS, Office of Protected Resources, (301) 713–1401. Additional information, including the references used and the petitions addressed in this document, are available on the internet at www.nwr.noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Delisting Factors and Basis for Determination

Section 4(b)(3)(A) of the ESA requires that, to the maximum extent practicable, within 90 days after receiving a petition for delisting, among other things, the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) shall make a finding whether the petition presents substantial scientific information indicating that the petitioned action may be warranted. The ESA implementing regulations for NMFS define “substantial information” as the amount of information that would lead a reasonable person to believe that the measure proposed in the petition may be warranted (50 CFR 424.14(b)(1)). In evaluating a petitioned action, the Secretary must consider whether such a petition: clearly indicates the recommended administrative measure and the species involved; contains a detailed narrative justification for the recommended measure, describing past and present numbers and distribution of the species involved and any threats faced by the species; provides...