antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b) (1), we have calculated an importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rate based on the ratio of the total amount of the dumping margins calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales. In order to estimate the entered value, we subtracted international movement expenses from the gross sales value. This rate will be assessed uniformly on all entries of that specific importer made during the POR. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the assessment rate is de minimis, i.e., less than 0.5 percent. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of the administrative reviews for all shipments of HFHTs from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice. As provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies named above which have separate rates (Shandong Huarong, LMC, and TMC) will be the rates for those firms established in the final results of these administrative reviews for the classes or kinds listed above; (2) for any previously reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporter with a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rates will be the PRC-wide rates established in the final results of these reviews; and (4) the cash deposit rates for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rates applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification of Interested Parties

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under § 351.402 of the Department’s regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

These administrative reviews and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1) and 1677(f)(1)).


Richard W. Moreland,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–2815 Filed 2–4–99; 8:45 am]
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AGENCIES: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Commerce; Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act, this notice advises the public that NMFS and FWS (the Services) intend to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) related to the proposed approval of a Habitat Conservation Plan (Plan) and an issuance of an incidental take permit (Permit) to take endangered and threatened species in accordance with the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). The Permit applicant is Crown Pacific Ltd., and the application is related to forest management and timber harvest on a portion of the Hamilton Tree Farm located in Whatcom and Skagit Counties, Washington.

Some timber management activities have the potential to impact species subject to protection under the Act. Section 10 of the Act contains provisions for the issuance of Permits to non-Federal land owners for the take of endangered and threatened species, provided the take is incidental to otherwise lawful activities and will not appreciably reduce the likelihood of the survival and recovery of the species in the wild. In order to receive a Permit, the applicant must prepare and submit to the Services for approval a Plan containing a strategy for minimizing, monitoring, and mitigating all take associated with the proposed activities to the maximum extent practicable. The applicant must also ensure that adequate funding for the Plan will be provided. If approved, the Permit and Plan would be in effect for 100 years. Activities proposed for Permit coverage include the following: Tree site preparation; tree planting; harvesting and yarding of timber; reforestation, maintenance and use of logging roads and landings; quarrying of stone and...
gravel for use in those roads and landings; and cellular phone and radio repeater tower sites.

Previous announcements relating to this project indicated that an environmental review (EIS or Environmental Assessment) would be conducted. The Services have now concluded that an EIS should be prepared. The EIS will analyze the proposal and the reasonable alternatives, as well as the associated impacts of each. Development of initial alternatives involved internal and public scoping. Public input into the environmental review of this proposal was obtained during a public scoping period conducted from August 20 to September 21, 1998, and was announced in a previous Federal Register notice (63 FR 44634, August 20, 1998). That public scoping period will be used to fulfill scoping requirements under 40 CFR 1501.7, consistent with 46 FR 18026 (March 23, 1981), as amended by 51 FR 15618 (April 25, 1985).

Four alternatives have been proposed thus far and will be considered for detailed analysis in the EIS. Under Alternative A (no-action alternative) no Permit would be issued and take would be avoided for any and all threatened and endangered species on the property. Alternative B (preferred alternative) involves issuing a Permit for six threatened and endangered species on the property with provisions for approximately 22 unlisted species (covered species). The Plan would have minimization and mitigation measures for each of the covered species on the property. Alternative C involves issuing a Permit for northern spotted owl and marbled murrelet only, with provisions for approximately 9 unlisted species (covered species). The Plan would have minimization and mitigation measures for each covered species. Alternative D involves a Candidate Conservation Agreement with minimization and mitigation measures for anadromous salmonids and bull trout and take avoidance for all threatened and endangered species.

The environmental review of this project will be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), and implementing regulations (40 CFR parts 1500 through 1508), other appropriate Federal laws and regulations, and policies and procedures of the Services for compliance with those regulations. It is estimated that the draft EIS will be available for public review and comment during the first quarter of 1999.

Date: January 19, 1999.

Thomas J. Dwyer, Acting Regional Director, Region 1, Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, Oregon.

Date: February 1, 1999.

Kevin Collins, Chief, Endangered Species Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

Public Information Collection Requirement

AGENCY: Commodity Futures Trading Commission.


SUMMARY: The Commodity Futures Trading Commission is planning to renew information collection 3038-0007, Rules Related to Risk Disclosure Concerning Exchange Traded Commodity Futures and Options, which is due to expire June 30, 1999. The rules require futures commission merchants and introducing brokers to provide their customers with standard risk disclosure statements concerning the risk of trading commodity interests. The purpose of these rules is to ensure that customers are advised of the risks of trading commodity interests and to avoid fraud and misrepresentation. This information collection contains the recordkeeping and reporting requirements needed to ensure regulatory compliance with Commission rules relating to this issue.

In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Commission solicits comments to:

1. Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of response.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 6, 1999.


Respondents: Futures commission merchants and introducing brokers. Estimated Annual Burden: 40,897.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Regulation (17 CFR)</th>
<th>Estimated number of respondents</th>
<th>Annual responses</th>
<th>Est. avg. hours per response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Futures commission merchants and introducing brokers.</td>
<td>33.7, 190.10(c), and 30.6</td>
<td>190,422</td>
<td>224,659</td>
<td>50.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>