

COVER SHEET

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
FOR THE KLAMATH HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

Docket No. P-2082-027

Section 6
Literature Cited
Pages 6-1 to 6-16
DEIS

6.0 LITERATURE CITED

- 1
2 American Geological Institute. 1984. Dictionary of Geological Terms. Third Edition. Jackson, J.A. and
3 R.L. Bates, Eds. Anchor Books. New York, NY.
- 4 AW (American Whitewater). 1998. International scale of river difficulty. Prepared by American
5 Whitewater, Takoma Park, MD. Accessed from
6 <http://www.americanwhitewater.org/content/Wiki/safety:start#vi>, on December 29, 2006.
- 7 Ayres Associates. 1999. Geomorphic and sediment evaluation of the Klamath River, California, below
8 Iron Gate dam. Report to US Fish and Wildlife Service (Klamath River Fish and Wildlife Office
9 1215 South Main Street (PO Box 1006), Yreka, CA 96097) by Ayres Associates. Fort Collins,
10 CO.
- 11 Barnhart, R.A. 1994. Salmon and steelhead populations of the Klamath-Trinity Basin, California. Pp.
12 73–97 in Klamath Basin Fisheries Symposium: Proceedings of a Symposium held in Eureka,
13 California, 23–24 March 1994, T.J. Hassler, ed. Arcata, CA: California Cooperative Fishery
14 Research Unit, Humboldt State University.
- 15 Bartholow, J.M. 2005. Recent water temperature trends in the Lower Klamath River, California. North
16 American Journal of Fisheries Management 25:152-162.
- 17 Behnke, R.J. 1992. Native trout of western North America. American Fisheries Society Monograph No.
18 6. Bethesda Maryland. 275 pp.
- 19 Bell, M.C. 1991. Fisheries handbook of engineering requirements and biological criteria. U.S. Army
20 Corps of Engineers. Fish Passage Development and Evaluation Program, North Pacific Division,
21 Portland, OR.
- 22 Berejikian, B.A., and M.J. Ford. 2004. Review of relative fitness of hatchery and natural salmon. U.S.
23 Department of Commerce, NMFS Technical Memo. NMFS NWFS-61, 28 pp.
- 24 BioAnalysts, Inc. 2004. Description of migratory behavior of juvenile salmon smolts through California
25 reservoirs using radio-telemetry techniques in the Klamath Basin. (study filed by PacifiCorp on
26 November 1, 2004).
- 27 Brett, J.R. 1952. Temperature tolerances of young Pacific salmon, *Oncorhynchus*. J. Fish. Res. Board
28 Can. 9(6):264–323.
- 29 Buchanan, D., A. Hemmingsen, D. Bottom, P. Howell, R. French, and K. Currens. 1991. Native Trout
30 Project, 1991 Progress Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Fish Research Project
31 F-136-R, Portland, OR. 40 pp.
- 32 Buer, K. 1981. Klamath and Shasta Rivers Spawning Gravel Study. California Department of Water
33 Resources, Northern District.
- 34 Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2006. Local area unemployment statistics. U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau
35 of Labor Statistics. Accessed from <http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/dsrv>, on February 13, 2006.
- 36 Bureau of Land Management (U.S. Bureau of Land Management). 2006. List of special status species in
37 Oregon and Washington, according to the Bureau of Land Management Manual 6840 (Special
38 Status Species, 2001) and Bureau of Land Management OR-WA Policy 6840 (Special Status,
39 1990). January 27.
- 40 Bureau of Land Management. 1995. Klamath Falls Resource Area Record of decision and Resource
41 Management Plan and Rangeland Program Summary. Klamath Falls, Oregon.

- 1 Cal Fish & Game (California Department of Fish and Game). 2006a. Endangered, Threatened, and Rare
2 Plants of California. Accessed from the California Natural Diversity Database at
3 www.dfg.ca.gov/whab/pdfs/TEPlants.pdf, on February 2, 2006.
- 4 Cal Fish & Game. 2006b. State and Federally Listed Endangered and Threatened Animals of California.
5 Accessed from the California Natural Diversity Database at
6 www.dfg.ca.gov/whdab/pdfs/TEAnimals.pdf, on February 2, 2006.
- 7 Cal Fish & Game. 2005. CDFG Habitat Conservation Planning Branch web page. Accessed from
8 www.dfg.ca.gov/hcpb/species/ssc/ssc.shtml, on February 2, 2006.
- 9 Cal Fish & Game. 2004a. September 2002 Klamath River fish-kill: final analysis of contributing factors
10 and impacts. Northern California-North Coast Region. Redding, CA. 173 pp.
- 11 Cal Fish & Game. 2004b. Recovery strategy for California coho salmon. Report to the California Fish
12 and Game Commission. 594 pp. Copies/CDs available upon request from California Department
13 of Fish and Game, Native Anadromous Fish and Watershed Branch, 1416 9th Street, Sacramento,
14 CA 95814, or on-line: <http://www.dfg.ca.gov/nafwb.cohorecovery>
- 15 Cal Fish & Game. 2003. Klamath River Basin fall Chinook salmon spawner escapement, in-river harvest
16 and run-size estimates 1978-2002 . Unpublished memo from Cal Fish & Game Trinity Project
17 Office. Arcata, CA. 9 pp. Accessed from http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/biblio_klamath.htm on
18 December 18, 2005.
- 19 Cal Fish & Game. 2002a. Status Review of California Coho Salmon North of San Francisco. Report to
20 the California Fish and Game Commission. California Department of Fish and Game,
21 Sacramento, CA. 336 pp.
- 22 Cal Fish & Game. 2002b. Bald eagles in California web page. Accessed from
23 www.dfg.ca.gov/hcpb/species/t_e_spp/tebird/bald_eagle.shtml, on January 8, 2006. California
24 Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, CA.
- 25 Cal Fish & Game. 2000. Upper Klamath River Wild Trout Management Plan, 2000 – 2004. Prepared by
26 D. Rogers and D. Maria, Northern California and North Coast Region, and J. Deinstadt, Wildlife
27 and Inland Fisheries Division.
- 28 Cal Fish & Game and NMFS. 2001. California Department of Fish and Game, National Marine
29 Fisheries Service Southwest Region Joint Hatchery Review Committee. Final report on the
30 anadromous salmonid fish hatcheries in California. Review draft, June 27. 79 pp.
- 31 California Energy Commission. 2005. Integrated Energy Policy Report. Report No. CEC-100-2005-
32 007-CMF. November.
- 33 Campbell, S.G. 2001. Water quality and nutrient loading in the Klamath River from Keno, OR to Seiad
34 Valley, CA during 1996-1998. U.S. Geological Survey, Open File Report 01-301. 55 pp. + data
35 files.
- 36 CDC (Center for Disease Control and Prevention). 2006. National Diabetes Surveillance System,
37 Prevalence of Diabetes. Accessed from
38 <http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/statistics/prev/national/figpersons.htm>, on August 16, 2006.
- 39 CDFA (California Department of Food & Agriculture). 2004. Encycloweedia web page. Accessed from
40 www.cdffa.ca.gov/phpps/ipc/encycloweedia.htm, on January 12, 2006. Sacramento, CA.
- 41 CEPA (California Environmental Protection Agency). 2006. Climate Action Team Report to Governor
42 Schwarzenegger and the Legislature. March.

- 1 Chesney, W. and E. Yokel. 2003. Annual Report: Shasta and Scott River Juvenile Salmonid Outmigrant
2 Study, 2001-2002 Project 2a1. California Department of Fish and Game, Northern California,
3 North Coast Region. Steelhead Research and Monitoring Program, January 2003. Accessed from
4 http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/klamath_cdfg_chesney_2002_scottshastajuvs.pdf, on December
5 29, 2005.
- 6 Conaway, J.C. 2000. Hydrogeology and Paleohydrology in the Williamson River Basin, Klamath
7 County, Oregon. Master of Science thesis; Portland State University. Accessed from
8 <http://nwdata.geol.pdx.edu/Thesis/FullText/2000/Conaway/index.html>, on December 12, 2005.
- 9 Coots, M. 1957. The spawning efficiency of king salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in Fall Creek,
10 Siskiyou County. 1954-55 investigations. Inland Fisheries Administrative Report Number 57-1.
11 14 pp.
- 12 Coots, M. and J.H. Wales. 1952. King Salmon Activity in Jenny Creek and the Old Klamath River
13 Channel Between the Forebay Dam and Copco #2 Plant, California Department of Fish and
14 Game.
- 15 CPUC (California Public Utility Commission). 2006. Clean Energy Policies and Activities. Oregon
16 Carbon Allocation Task Force. Presented March 23.
- 17 Crandell, D.R. 1989. Gigantic debris avalanche of Pleistocene age from ancestral Mount Shasta volcano,
18 California, and debris-avalanche hazard zonation: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1861. 29 pp.
- 19 de la Fuente, J., and P. A. Haessig. 1993. Salmon sub-basin sediment analysis. USDA Forest Service,
20 Klamath National Forest. Final Report for Interagency Agreement # 14-16-0001-91522. Project
21 No. 91-HP-9 11333-1331-1046. May.
- 22 Defenders of Wildlife. 2005. Defenders of Wildlife web page. Accessed from www.defenders.org, on
23 January 8, 2006. Defenders of Wildlife.
- 24 Desjardins, M., and D.F. Markle. 2000. Distribution and Biology of Suckers in the Lower Klamath
25 Reservoirs, 1999. Final Report. Prepared for PacifiCorp., Portland, OR, by Department of
26 Fisheries and Wildlife, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR. March 28, 2000. [Online].
27 Accessed from <http://www.pacificorp.com/File/File1024.pdf>, on December 27, 2005.
- 28 Dunsmoor, L.K. and C.W. Huntington. 2006. Suitability of environmental conditions within Upper
29 Klamath Lake and the migratory corridor downstream for use by anadromous salmonids.
30 Attachment D to Klamath Tribes response to REA comments, March 29, 2006. 147pp.
- 31 Earthjustice. 2006. Civ. No. C02-2006 SBA. Order granting motion for injunctive relief following
32 remand. Accessed from [http://www.earthjustice.org/library/legal_docs/klamath-court-order-](http://www.earthjustice.org/library/legal_docs/klamath-court-order-ninth-circuit-32706.pdf)
33 [ninth-circuit-32706.pdf](http://www.earthjustice.org/library/legal_docs/klamath-court-order-ninth-circuit-32706.pdf), on June 14, 2006. Seattle, WA.
- 34 EDAW, Inc. 2003. Socioeconomic visitor survey results. Report prepared by EDAW, Inc., Seattle,
35 Washington, for PacifiCorp regarding the Klamath Hydroelectric Project (FERC Project No.
36 2082). January 24, 2001, as cited in PacifiCorp, 2004a.
- 37 Eilers, J.M. 2005. Periphyton in Selected Sites of the Klamath River, California. Prepared for Tetra
38 Tech, Inc., Fairfax, VA. Eilers, J.M. MaxDepth Aquatics, Inc. Bend, OR. January.
- 39 Eilers, J.M. and Raymond 2005. Sediment Oxygen Demand in Select Sites of the Lost River and
40 Klamath River. Prepared for Tetra Tech. January.
- 41 Eilers, J.M. and B.J. Eilers. 2004. Hydroacoustic Analysis of Fish Populations in Copco and Iron Gate
42 Reservoirs, California. Prepared for PacifiCorp, Portland, Oregon. July 31.
- 43 Eilers, J.M. and C.P. Gubala. 2003. Bathymetry and Sediment Classification of the Klamath
44 Hydropower Project Impoundments. Prepared for PacifiCorp JC Headwaters, Inc.

- 1 Eilers, J.M, J. Kann, J. Cornett, K.L. Moser, A. St. Amand, and C. Gubala. 2001. Recent
2 Paleolimnology of Upper Klamath Lake, Oregon. Prepared by J.C. Headwaters, Inc. for the U.S.
3 Bureau of Reclamation, Klamath Basin Area Office, Klamath Falls, Oregon. March 16.
- 4 Environmental Health Perspectives. 1999. Environmental Health Perspectives, Volume 107, Number 1,
5 January 1999. Accessed from <http://ehpoline.org/docs/1999/107-1/forum.html>, on June 6, 2006.
- 6 EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1999. USEPA National Water Quality Aquatic Toxicity
7 Information, 1999 Update on Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Ammonia.
- 8 Eureka Times-Standard. 2005. Local salmon season – clarified. Eureka Times-Standard. April 10, 2005.
- 9 FERC (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission). 1990. Final environmental impact statement for
10 proposed Salt Caves Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 10199-000). June 1990.
- 11 Foott, J.S., R. Harmon and R. Stone. 2004. Effect of water temperature on non-specific immune function
12 and ceratomyxosis in juvenile Chinook salmon and steelhead from the Klamath River. California
13 Fish and Game 90(2):71-84.
- 14 Foott, J.S., T. Martinez, R. Harmon, K. True, B. McCasland, C. Glace, and R. Engle. 2002. FY2001
15 Investigational Report: Juvenile Chinook Health Monitoring in the Trinity River, Klamath River,
16 and estuary. June August 2001. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, California- Nevada Fish Health
17 Center. Anderson, CA. 34 pp. Accessed from
18 http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/trinity_usfws_foottetal_2002.pdf, on December 28, 2005.
- 19 Forest Service (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service). 2005. Subsection M261An, Red Butte
20 Ecoregion. Accessed from <http://www.fs.fed.us/r5/projects/ecoregions/m261an.htm>, on
21 December 14, 2005.
- 22 Forest Service. 2004. Wildland shrubs of the United States and its territories. General Technical Report
23 IITF-WB-1. J.K. Francis (editor). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. International
24 Institute of Tropical Forestry, Rio Piedras, PR. Accessed from
25 http://www.fs.fed.us/global/iitf/wildland_shrubs.htm.
- 26 Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service and
27 U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management). 1994. Record of Decision for
28 Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents within the
29 Range of the Northern Spotted Owl.
- 30 Friedman, J.M. and G.T. Auble. 1999. Mortality of riparian box elder from sediment mobilization and
31 extended inundation. *Regulated Rivers: Research & Management*: 15: 463–476 (1999).
- 32 FWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2006a. Lower Klamath National Wildlife Refuge web page.
33 Accessed from www.fws.gov/klamathbasinrefuges/lowerklamath/lowerklamath.html, on
34 February 1, 2006.
- 35 FWS. 2006b. Draft national bald eagle management guidelines. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 22 pp.
- 36 FWS. 2006c. Technical Memorandum Regarding Ongoing and Future Improvement of Aquatic Habitat
37 Throughout the Klamath River Watershed. Yreka, CA, Yreka Fish and Wildlife Office. 3 pp.
- 38 FWS. 2005. Recovery outline for contiguous United States distinct population segment of the Canada
39 lynx. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Helena, MT. 21 pp.
- 40 FWS. 2004a. 90-day finding on a petition to list three species of lampreys as threatened or endangered.
41 FR 69:77,158-77,167. December 27.
- 42 FWS. 2004b. Draft recovery plan for vernal pool ecosystems of California and Southern Oregon.
43 Portland, OR. xxii plus 574 pp.

- 1 FWS. 2003. Recovery plan for *Fritillaria gentneri* (Gentner's fritillary). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
2 Portland, OR. viii plus 89 pp.
- 3 FWS. 2002a. Biological/Conference Opinion Regarding the Effects of Operation of the U.S. Bureau of
4 Reclamation's Proposed 10-Year Operation Plan for the Klamath Project and its Effect on the
5 Endangered Lost River Sucker (*Deltistes luxatus*), Endangered Shortnose Sucker (*Chasmistes*
6 *brevirostris*), Threatened Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*), and Proposed Critical Habitat
7 for the Lost River and Shortnose Suckers. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Klamath Falls Fish and
8 Wildlife Office, Klamath Falls, OR.
- 9 FWS. 2002b. Recovery plan for the California red-legged frog (*Rana aurora draytonii*). U.S. Fish and
10 Wildlife Service, Portland, OR. viii plus 173 pp.
- 11 FWS. 2002c. Bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*) draft recovery plan. Region 1, U.S. Fish and Wildlife
12 Service, Portland, Oregon. Accessed from <http://www.fws.gov/pacific/bulltrout/colkla/recovery/>,
13 on November 7, 2005.
- 14 FWS. 2001a. Biological/Conference Opinion Regarding the Effects of Operation of the Bureau of
15 Reclamation's Klamath Project on the Endangered Lost River Sucker (*Deltistes luxatus*),
16 Endangered Shortnose Sucker (*Chasmistes brevirostris*), Threatened Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus*
17 *leucocephalus*), and Proposed Critical Habitat for the Lost River/Shortnose Suckers. U.S. Fish
18 and Wildlife Service, Klamath Falls Fish and Wildlife Office, Klamath Falls, OR.
- 19 FWS. 2001b. Western snowy plover (*Charadrius alexandrinus nivosus*) Pacific coast population draft
20 recovery plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR. xix plus 630 pp.
- 21 FWS. 1999. Trinity River Flow Evaluation. Final Report. A report to the Secretary, U.S. Department of
22 the Interior. Prepared by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Hoopa Valley Tribe. June.
- 23 FWS. 1998a. Klamath River (Iron Gate Dam to Seiad Creek) Life Stage Periodicities for Chinook, Coho,
24 and Steelhead. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Coastal California Fish and Wildlife Office,
25 Arcata, California. 51 pp.
- 26 FWS. 1998b. Applegate's milk-vetch (*Astragalus applegatei*) recovery plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife
27 Service, Portland, OR. 41 pp.
- 28 FWS. 1997. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; determination of endangered status for three
29 plants and threatened status for five plants from vernal pools in the Central Valley of California.
30 Federal Register 62:14, 338-14, 352.
- 31 FWS. 1987. Northern Rocky Mountain wolf recovery plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Denver,
32 CO. 119 pp.
- 33 FWS. 1986. Recovery plan for the Pacific bald eagle. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR.
34 160 pp.
- 35 FWS/HVT (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Hoopa Valley Tribe). 1999. Trinity River Flow
36 Evaluation. Final Report. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arcata Fish and Wildlife Office, and
37 Hoopa Valley Tribe, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC.
- 38 G&G Associates. 2003. Klamath River Dam Removal Investigation. Prepared for American Rivers,
39 Trout Unlimited, California Trout, Friends of the River, and Klamath River Intertribal Fish and
40 Water Commission. Seattle, WA. July. 44 pp.
- 41 Gale, D. B., T. R. Hayden, L. S. Harris, and H. N. Voight. 1998. Assessment of anadromous fish stocks
42 in Blue Creek, Lower Klamath River, California, 1994-1996. Yurok Tribal Fisheries Program,
43 Habitat Assessment and Biological Monitoring Division. Technical Report No. 4. Klamath, CA.

- 1 101 pp. Accessed from http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/klamath_yurokftp_galeetal_1998_4.pdf,
2 on January 2, 2006.
- 3 Gilroy, D.J., K.W. Kauffman, R.A. Hall, X. Huang, and F.S. Chu. 2000. Assessing Potential Health
4 Risks from Microcystin Toxins in Blue-Green Algae Dietary Supplements”, Environmental
5 Health Perspectives, Vol. 108, Number 5. May. Accessed from
6 <http://www.ehponline.org/members/2000/108p435-439gilroy/108p435.pdf>, on June 20, 2006.
- 7 GM&A (Graham Mathews & Associates). 2003. Evaluation of Tributary Sediment Yields for the
8 PacifiCorp Klamath Project based on Delta Surveys. Prepared for CH2M Hill. November. 75
9 pp.
- 10 Good, T.P., R.S. Waples, and P. Adams (editors). 2005. Updated status of federally listed ESUs of West
11 Coast salmon and steelhead. U.S. Dept. Commerce, NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-NWFSC-66.
12 598 pp.
- 13 Governor’s Advisory Group on Global Warming. 2004. State of Oregon. Oregon Strategy for
14 Greenhouse Gas Reductions. December.
- 15 Grove, S. 2002. Mainstem Klamath River fall Chinook spawning survey – Fiscal year 2002. U.S. Fish
16 and Wildlife Service, Arcata Fish and Wildlife Office. Arcata, CA. 35 pp. Accessed from
17 http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/klamath_usfws_grove_2002_ksspawn.pdf, on December 27,
18 2005.
- 19 Guillen, G. 2003. Klamath River fish die-off, September 2002: Report on estimate of mortality. Report
20 number AFWO-01-03. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arcata Fish and Wildlife Office. Arcata,
21 CA. 35 pp. Accessed from
22 http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/klamath_usfws_guillen_2003_killnumbers.pdf, on December 28,
23 2005.
- 24 Hamilton, J. B., G.L. Curtis, S.M. Snedaker and D.K. White. 2005. Distribution of anadromous fishes in
25 the Upper Klamath River watershed prior to hydroelectric dams--a synthesis of historical
26 evidence. Fisheries 30(4):10-20.
- 27 Hampton, M. 2005. Recovery of Chinook and coho salmon at Iron Gate Hatchery, October 4, 2004 to
28 December 20, 2004. California Department of Fish and Game, Yreka, CA.
- 29 Hardy, T. B. and R. C. Addley. 2001. DRAFT. Evaluation of interim instream flow needs in the
30 Klamath River: Phase II. Final report. Prepared for U.S. Department of the Interior. Prepared by
31 the Institute for Natural Systems Engineering, Utah Water Research Laboratory, Utah State
32 University. Logan, UT. 315 pp. Accessed from
33 http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/klamath_usdoi_hardy_2003_phase2draft.pdf, on December 29,
34 2005.
- 35 Hewes, G.W. 1973. Indian fisheries productivity in pre-contact times in the Pacific salmon area.
36 Northwest Anthropological Research Notes 7(3): 133-155. (not seen, as cited in Norgaard, 2005)
- 37 Hopelain, J. S. 2001. Lower Klamath River angler creel census with emphasis on upstream migrating
38 fall Chinook salmon, coho salmon, and steelhead trout during July through October, 1983 through
39 1987. California Department of Fish and Game, Inland Fisheries Administrative Report 2001-1.
40 Sacramento, CA. 80 pp. Accessed from
41 http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/trinity_cdfg_hopelain_2001_klamathcreel.pdf, on December 23,
42 2005.
- 43 Hopelain, J.S. 1998. Age, Growth, and Life History of Klamath River Basin Steelhead Trout
44 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus*) as Determined from Scale Analysis. Inland Fisheries
45 Administration Report 98-3. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, CA. 19 pp.

1 Accessed from http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/klamath_cdfg_hopelain_1998.pdf, on December
2 29, 2005.

3 Huntington, C.W. 2006. Estimates of Anadromous fish runs above the site of Iron Gate Dam. Canby,
4 OR, Clearwater BioStudies, Inc. 7 pp.

5 Huntington, C.W. 2004. Preliminary estimates of the recent and historic potential for anadromous fish
6 production above Iron Gate dam. Technical Memorandum to Larry Dunsmore, Klamath Tribes.
7 April 5. 13 pp.

8 Huntington, C.W., E.W. Claire, F.A. Espinosa, Jr., and R. House. 2006. Reintroduction of anadromous
9 fish to the Upper Klamath Basin: An evaluation and conceptual plan. Multi-consultant report
10 prepared for the Klamath Tribes, Chiloquin, Oregon, and the Yurok Tribe, Klamath, California.
11 68 pp. Attachment A to Klamath Tribes Response to REA, dated March 29, 2006.

12 Indian Health Service. 2006. Health Fact of the Week: Diabetes and American Indian Elders. Accessed
13 from http://www.elemnation.com/health_care.htm, on August 16, 2006.

14 Interior. 2000. U.S. Department of the Interior Record of Decision: Trinity River Mainstem Fishery
15 Restoration Final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report. December
16 2000.

17 Jacobs, S., J. Firman, G. Susac, D. Stewart, and J. Weybright. 2002. Status of coastal stocks of
18 anadromous salmonids, 2000–2001 and 2001–2002. Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds,
19 monitoring report no. OPSW-ODFW-2002-3. (Available from Oregon Department Fish and
20 Wildlife, 28655 Highway 34, Corvallis, OR 97333).

21 Jaeger, W.K. 2004. Energy pricing and irrigated agriculture in the Upper Klamath Basin. Oregon State
22 University Extension Service, Water Allocation in the Klamath Reclamation Project Brief #3, EM
23 8846-E, July 2004. 11 pp.

24 Johnson, W.C. 1992. Dams and Riparian Forests: Case Study from the Upper Missouri River. *Rivers:*
25 3: 229-242.

26 Kann, J. and E. Asarian. 2005. 2002 Nutrient and Hydrologic Loading to Iron Gate and Copco
27 Reservoirs, California. Kier Associates Final Technical Report to the Karuk Tribe Department of
28 Natural Resources, Orleans, California. 59 pp plus appendices.

29 Kann, J. 1998. Ecology and Water Quality Dynamics of a Shallow Hypereutrophic Lake dominated by
30 Cyanobacteria. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC.

31 Kann, J. and W. Walker. 2001. Nutrient and Hydrologic Loading to Upper Klamath Lake, Oregon,
32 1991-1998. Prepared for the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Klamath Falls, OR.

33 Kann, J., et al. 2006. Summary of 2005 Toxic *Microcystis aeruginosa* Trends in Copco and Iron Gate
34 Reservoirs on the Klamath River, CA Prepared For: Karuk Tribe Department of Natural
35 Resources PO Box 282 Orleans, CA 95556 by Kann, J; Corum, Susan; March, 2006.

36 KFHAT (Klamath Fish Health Assessment Team). 2005. 2004 End of year report. 29 pp. Accessed
37 from http://www.ncncr-isb.dgf.ca.gov/KFP/uploads/Final%20Endyrrpt%204_13_05.pdf, on July
38 5, 2006.

39 King, T.F. 2004. First salmon. Report prepared for the Klamath River Intertribal Fish and Water
40 Commission. March 25, 2004.

41 Klamath River Technical Advisory Team. 2005. Ocean Abundance Projections and Prospective Harvest
42 Levels for Klamath River Fall Chinook, 2005 Season. Accessed from
43 <http://www.fws.gov/yreka/KFMC-Rpts/KRTAT.2005.Stk.Prj.Rept.23Feb2005.pdf>, on January
44 19, 2006.

- 1 Kostow, Kathryn. 2002. Oregon Lampreys: Natural History, Status, and Analysis of Management
2 Issues. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. 111 pp. Lane and Lane Associates. 1981. The
3 Copco Dams and the fisheries of the Klamath Tribe. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of
4 Indian Affairs, Portland, OR.
- 5 Larson, Z. S. and M. R. Belchik. 1998. A Preliminary Status Review of Eulachon and Pacific Lamprey
6 in the Klamath River Basin. Yurok Tribal Fisheries Program. 24 pp. Accessed from
7 http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/klamath_yurokftp_larsonetal_1998_eulachon.pdf, on January 2,
8 2006.
- 9 Lichatowich, J. 1999. Salmon Without Rivers: A history of the Pacific salmon crisis. Island Press (not
10 seen, as cited in PacifiCorp, 2004a, 2004g).
- 11 Mahoney, J.M. and S.B. Rood. 1998. Streamflow requirements for cottonwood seedling recruitment:
12 An integrative model. *Wetlands* 8:634-645.
- 13 Mahoney, J.M. and S.B. Rood. 1992. Responses of a hybrid poplar to water table decline in different
14 substrates. *Forest Ecology and Management* 54:141-156.
- 15 Markle, D.F., M. Cunningham, and D.C. Simon. 2000. Ecology of Upper Klamath Lake shortnose and
16 Lost River suckers – I. Adult sampling in the lower Williamson River, April-August 1999. U.S.
17 Biological Resources Division, USGS, and Klamath Project – USBR. 14 pp.
- 18 Maurer, S. 2002. Scott River watershed adult coho salmon spawning survey: December 2001-January
19 2002. Prepared for U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Klamath National Forest,
20 Scott River Ranger District. Fort Jones, CA. 121 pp. Accessed from
21 http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/scott_usdafs_maurer_2002_ukcoho.pdf, on December 27, 2005.
- 22 Mayfield, R.B. 2002. Temperature Effects on Green Sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris* Ayres)
23 Bioenergetics: An Experimental Lab Study. M.S. Thesis, University of California, Davis, CA. 41
24 pp.
- 25 McCullough, D. A. 1999. A review and synthesis of effects of alterations to the water temperature
26 regime on freshwater life stages of salmonids, with special reference to Chinook salmon.
27 Published as EPA 910-R-99-010 . Prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA),
28 Region 10. Seattle, Washington . 291 pp. Accessed from
29 http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/gen_usepa_mccullough_1999.pdf, on December 20, 2005.
- 30 Messmer, Rhine T. and R.C. Smith. 2002. Evolution of management for Klamath Lake redband trout.
31 Pages 2-9 and 2-10 In: Everett, Y., M. George, and A. King. (eds.). 2002. Proceedings of the
32 2001 Klamath Basin Fish & Water Management Symposium. Klamath River Inter-Tribal Fish
33 and Water Commission and Humboldt State University Colleges of Natural Resources & Science
34 and Arts, Humanities & Social Sciences. Arcata, CA. 432 pp.
- 35 Meyer Resources, Inc. 1984. Fishery values of the Klamath Basin. Report submitted to CH2M Hill.
36 May.
- 37 Moursund R.A., D.D. Dauble, and M.D. Bleich. 2000. Effects of John Day Dam bypass screens and
38 project operations on the behavior and survival of juvenile Pacific lamprey (*Lampetra tridentate*).
39 Prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland District by Pacific Northwest National
40 Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- 41 Moyle, P.B. 2002. Inland Fishes of California. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press. 502 pp.
- 42 Moyle, P.B., R.M. Yoshiyama, J.E. Williams, and E.D. Wikramanayake. 1995. Fish Species of Special
43 Concern of California, 2nd Ed. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, CA.

- 1 Myrick, C.A., and J.J. Cech, Jr. 2001. Temperature Effects on Chinook Salmon and Steelhead: A
2 Review Focusing on California's Central Valley Populations. Technical Publication 01-1.
3 Sacramento, CA: Bay-Delta Modeling Forum. [Online]. Accessed from
4 <http://www.cnr.colostate.edu/~camyrick/Publications.html>, on February 28, 2003.
- 5 Nakamoto, R.J. 1994. Characteristics of pools used by adult summer steelhead overwintering in the
6 New River, California. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 123:757-765.
- 7 NAS (National Academies of Science). 2004. Endangered and Threatened Fishes in the Klamath River
8 Basin: Causes of decline and strategies for recovery. Prepared for the NAS by the National
9 Research Council, Division on Earth and Life Studies, Board on Environmental Studies and
10 Toxicology, Committee on Endangered and Threatened Fishes in the Klamath River Basin. The
11 National Academies Press. Washington, DC. 397 pp.
- 12 Neel, J.V. 1982. The Thrifty Genotype Revisited. In *The Genetics of Diabetes Mellitus*. J. Kobberling
13 and Robert Tattersall, eds. Pp. 283-293. London: Academic Press.
- 14 NERC (North American Electric Reliability Council). 2005. Reliability assessment, 2005-2014: The
15 reliability of bulk electric systems in North America, North American Electric Reliability
16 Council. Princeton, NJ. September.
- 17 Nichols, K., D. Therry, and S. Foott. 2003. Trinity River fall Chinook smolt health following passage
18 through the Lower Klamath River, June - August 2002. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, California-
19 Nevada Fish Health Center, FY2002 Investigational Report. Anderson, CA. 15 pp. Accessed
20 from http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/trinity_usfws_nicholsetal_2003_lkrfishhealth.pdf, on
21 December 28, 2005.
- 22 NMFS (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries). 2006. Accessed from
23 <http://www.nwr.noaa.gov/Salmon-Harvest-Hatcheries/Hatcheries/Index.cfm>, on July 13, 2006.
- 24 NMFS. 2005. Green sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*) status review update. Biological Review Team,
25 Santa Cruz Laboratory, Southwest Fisheries Science Center. 31 pp. Accessed from
26 <http://swr.nmfs.noaa.gov/psd/Final%20Green%20Sturgeon%20Status%20Review%20Update.pdf>
27 on December 30, 2005.
- 28 NMFS. 2002. Biological Opinion, Klamath Project Operations. May 31, 2002.
- 29 NMFS. 2001. Reevaluation of the status of Klamath mountain province steelhead. Prepared by the West
30 Coast Biological Review Team. 30 pp. March 14, 2001.
- 31 Norgaard, Kari Marie, Ph.D. 2005. The effects of altered diet on the health of the Karuk People.
32 Submitted to the FERC on December 15, 2005 on behalf of the Karuk Tribe of California.
33 November, 2005.
- 34 NPCC (Northwest Power and Conservation Council). 2006. Generating project activity in the Pacific
35 Northwest. January 1, 2001 through February 10, 2006. Accessed from
36 <http://www.necouncil.org/energy/powersupply/newprojects.xls>, on April 6, 2006.
- 37 NPPC (Northwest Power Planning Council). 1986. Compilation of information on salmon and steelhead
38 losses in the Columbia River Basin. Appendix D of the 1987 Columbia River Basin fish and
39 wildlife program. Portland, OR. March. (not seen, as cited in PacifiCorp, 2004a, 2004g)
- 40 ODA (Oregon Department of Agriculture). 2005. Noxious weed control policy and classification
41 system. Oregon Department of Agriculture Noxious Weed Control Program. Salem, OR.
- 42 ODE (Oregon Department of Energy). 2005. Oregon's Renewable Energy Action Plan. April 12.

1 Olson, F. 2006. Potential coho salmon production and survival from tributaries entering Iron Gate and
2 Copco reservoirs. Attachment C to PacifiCorp's Alternative to the Joint FWS and NMFS
3 Preliminary Fishway Prescriptions. 6 pp.

4 Oosterhout, Gretchen R. 2005a. KlamRAS Results of Fish Passage Simulations on the Klamath River.
5 Prepared by Decision Matrix, Inc., for PacifiCorp and The Habitat Modeling Group, Portland,
6 OR. October 10, 2005.

7 Oosterhout, G.R. 2005b. KlamRAS results of fish passage simulations on the Klamath River. Review
8 Draft date August 29, 2005. Filed in response to FERC AIR AR-2 on October 17, 2005. 58 pp.

9 Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council. Klamath Generation Facility. Accessed from
10 <http://egov.oregon.gov/Energy/siting/KGF.shtml>, on April 7, 2006.

11 Oregon Environmental Quality (Oregon Department of Environmental Quality). 2003. Oregon
12 Administrative Rules, Chapter 340, Division 041. Water Quality Standards, Beneficial Uses,
13 Policies, and Criteria for Oregon.

14 Oregon Environmental Quality. 2002. Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Upper Klamath
15 Lake Drainage Final TMDL and Water Quality Management Plan. May.

16 Oregon Fish & Wildlife (Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2006. Draft 2006 Oregon Cougar
17 Management Plan. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. Salem, OR.

18 Oregon Fish & Wildlife. 2005a. Oregon Threatened and Endangered Species List on the Oregon
19 Department of Fish and Wildlife, Wildlife Division, Wildlife Diversity (Nongame) webpage.
20 Accessed from www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/diversity/threatened_endangered.asp, on February 2,
21 2006.

22 Oregon Fish & Wildlife. 2005b. Oregon List of Sensitive Species on the Oregon Department of Fish and
23 Wildlife, Wildlife Division, Wildlife Diversity (nongame) webpage. Accessed from
24 www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/pdf/sensitive_species.pdf, on February 2, 2006.

25 Oregon Fish & Wildlife. 2003a. Oregon's Elk Management Plan. Oregon Department of Fish and
26 Wildlife. Portland, OR. February.

27 Oregon Fish & Wildlife. 2003b. Oregon's Mule Deer Management Plan. Oregon Department of Fish
28 and Wildlife. Portland, OR. February.

29 Oregon Fish & Wildlife. 1997. Klamath River Basin, Oregon, Fish Management Plan. Klamath Falls,
30 Oregon. OARS 635-500-3600 through 635-500-3880.

31 Oregon Fish & Wildlife. 1993. Klamath Wildlife Area Long Range Management Plan. Oregon
32 Department of Fish and Wildlife. Portland, OR. December.

33 Oregon Natural Heritage Information Center. 2004. Rare, threatened and endangered species of Oregon.
34 Oregon Natural Heritage Information Center, Oregon State University, Portland, Oregon. 105 pp.

35 Oregon State University. 2004. Supplemental Report: Investigation of *Ceratomyxa shasta* in the
36 Klamath River: Keno Reservoir to the confluence of Beaver Creek. Prepared for PacifiCorp.
37 September 2004. Accessed from <http://www.pacificorp.com/File/File44101.pdf>, on December
38 28, 2005.

39 Oregon Water Resources Department. 2006. Oregon Water Resources Department Streamflow records
40 for gage 11510000. Accessed from [http://www1.wrd.state.or.us/cgi-](http://www1.wrd.state.or.us/cgi-bin/choose_gage.pl?huc=18010206)
41 [bin/choose_gage.pl?huc=18010206](http://www1.wrd.state.or.us/cgi-bin/choose_gage.pl?huc=18010206), on July 10, 2006. Salem, OR.

- 1 Oregon Water Resources Department. 1999. Resolving the Klamath: Special Supplement — Klamath
2 Basin General Stream Adjudication. Prepared by the Oregon Water Resources Department,
3 October.
- 4 PacifiCorp. 2006a. PacifiCorp’s alternative to the joint United States Fish and Wildlife Service and
5 National Marine Fisheries Service preliminary fishway prescriptions. Filed on eLibrary on April
6 25, 2006, Klamath Hydroelectric Project, P-2082. 188 pp.
- 7 PacifiCorp. 2006b. Adaptive reintroduction plan. Attachment A to PacifiCorp’s alternative to the joint
8 United States Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service preliminary
9 fishway prescriptions. Filed on eLibrary on April 25, 2006, Klamath Hydroelectric Project, p-
10 2082. 12 pp.
- 11 PacifiCorp. 2006c. PacifiCorp’s positions on important topics. Filed on eLibrary on May 12, 2006,
12 Klamath Hydroelectric Project, P-2082. 201 pp.
- 13 PacifiCorp. 2005a. Fisheries Assessment Surveys. Prepared in response to AIR AR-4; Filed by
14 PacifiCorp on August 16, 2005. 33 pp.
- 15 PacifiCorp. 2005b. Instream flow studies and analysis of effects on aquatic habitat and other flow-
16 dependent resources. Instream flow addendum report prepared in response to FERC AIR AR-5.
17 Filed August 16, 2005. 135 pp.
- 18 PacifiCorp. 2005c. Noxious weed inventory update. Technical report prepared in response to FERC
19 AIR TR-1. Filed by PacifiCorp on April 1, 2005.
- 20 PacifiCorp. 2005d. Evaluation of Effects of Flow Fluctuation on Aquatic Resources within the J.C.
21 Boyle Peaking Reach. Technical Report prepared in response to FERC AIR GN-2. Filed by
22 PacifiCorp on August 16, 2005.
- 23 PacifiCorp. 2005e. Ecosystem Diagnosis and Treatment (EDT) Analysis. Response to November 10,
24 2005, FERC AIR AR-2. December 16, 2005. 51 pp.
- 25 PacifiCorp. 2005f. Daily and Hourly Hydrologic Data. Prepared in response to AIR WQ-4(b) filed by
26 PacifiCorp on April 1, 2005.
- 27 PacifiCorp. 2005g. Clarification of energy values. Technical information prepared in response to FERC
28 AIR DR-2. Filed by PacifiCorp on April 1, 2005.
- 29 PacifiCorp. 2005h. Sediment budget and supporting hydraulic calculation spreadsheet files (Microsoft
30 .xls format) submitted in response to FERC AIR WQ-5. Filed by PacifiCorp on May 16, and
31 December 16.
- 32 PacifiCorp. 2005i. Conceptual design and preliminary screening of temperature control alternatives.
33 Technical report prepared in response to FERC AIR AR-1 Part (a). Filed by PacifiCorp on
34 August 1, 2005.
- 35 PacifiCorp. 2005j. Supplemental sediment budget hydraulic calculation spreadsheet files (Microsoft .xls
36 format) filed by PacifiCorp on December 16.
- 37 PacifiCorp. 2005k. Iron Gate Hatchery Production and Funding. Prepared in response to AIR AR-3;
38 Filed by PacifiCorp on August 16, 2005. 54 pp.
- 39 PacifiCorp. 2005m. Response to FERC AIR GN-2, Instream Flow Studies Addendum Report,
40 Development of Habitat Suitability Criteria and Simulation of Habitat Area. April 2005. 80 pp.
- 41 PacifiCorp. 2004a. Application for a new license for a major water power project – existing dam.
42 Klamath Hydroelectric Project – FERC Project No. 2082. February 25.

1 PacifiCorp. 2004b. PacifiCorp Internet Website. Accessed from www.pacificorp.com, on November 8,
2 2004.

3 PacifiCorp. 2004c. Recreation resources, final technical report for relicensing the Klamath Hydroelectric
4 Project (FERC Project No. 2082). February.

5 PacifiCorp. 2004d. Study area roadway inventory analysis and project roadway management plan,
6 Klamath Hydroelectric Project (FERC Project No. 2082). PacifiCorp, Portland, OR. October.

7 PacifiCorp. 2004e. Fisheries resources, final technical report for relicensing the Klamath Hydroelectric
8 Project (FERC Project No. 2082). February.

9 PacifiCorp. 2004f. Water resources, final technical report for relicensing the Klamath Hydroelectric
10 Project (FERC Project No. 2082). February.

11 PacifiCorp. 2004g. Socioeconomic resources, final technical report, Klamath Hydroelectric Project
12 (FERC Project No. 2082). Portland, OR. February.

13 PacifiCorp. 2004h. Screening level determination of chemical contaminants in fish tissue in selected
14 project reservoirs. Final Technical Report. Klamath Hydroelectric Project (FERC Project No.
15 2082). September.

16 PacifiCorp. 2004i. Spring Creek Water Quality Investigation. Final Technical Report. Klamath
17 Hydroelectric Project (FERC Project No. 2082). November.

18 PacifiCorp. 2004j. Draft recreation resource management plan for relicensing the Klamath Hydroelectric
19 Project (FERC Project No. 2082). September.

20 PacifiCorp. 2003. Revised final working draft, Klamath Hydroelectric Project study plans (FERC
21 Project No. 2082); 1.10 fish passage planning and evaluation.

22 PacifiCorp. 2000. First Stage Consultation Document. Klamath River Project, FERC No. 2082.

23 PFMC (Pacific Fishery Management Council). 2006a. Preseason Report III. Pacific Fishery
24 Management Council, Portland, OR. April 2006.

25 PFMC. 2006b. Review of 2005 Ocean Salmon Fisheries. Pacific Fishery Management Council,
26 Portland, Oregon. February 2006. Accessed from www.pcouncil.org.

27 PFMC. 2005a. Review of 2004 Ocean Salmon Fisheries. Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland,
28 OR. February.

29 PFMC. 2005b. Preseason Report I. Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR. February.

30 PFMC. 2005c. Preseason Report III. Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR. April.

31 PFMC. 2004. Review of 2003 Ocean Salmon Fisheries. Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland,
32 OR. February.

33 PFMC. 2004b. Preseason Report III. Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR. April.

34 PFMC. 2003a. Fishery Management Plan for Commercial and Recreations Salmon Fisheries Off the
35 Coasts of Washington, Oregon, and Californian, as Revised through Amendment 14. Pacific
36 Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR. September.

37 PFMC. 2003b. Review of 2002 Ocean Salmon Fisheries. Pacific Fishery Management Council,
38 Portland, OR. February.

39 PFMC. 2003c. Preseason Report III. Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR. April.

40 PFMC. 2002a. Review of 2001 Ocean Salmon Fisheries. Document prepared for the Council and its
41 advisory entities. Accessed from <http://www.pcouncil.org/salmon/salsafe.html>.

- 1 PFMC. 2002b. Preseason Report III. Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR. April.
- 2 PFMC. 2001a. Preseason Report III. Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR. April.
- 3 PFMC. 1999. Appendix B: Description of the ocean salmon fishery and its social and economic
4 characteristics. Amendment 14 to the Pacific Coast Salmon Plan. (not seen, as cited in
5 PacifiCorp, 2004a, 2004g).
- 6 Pierce, R.M. 1998. Klamath Salmon; Understanding Harvest. Accessed from
7 <http://www.fws.gov/yreka/KFMC-Docs/KlamSalUndAlloc.pdf>, on January 12, 2005.
- 8 PPM Energy. www.ppmenergy.com/klamath.html. Accessed on April 6, 2006.
- 9 Reclamation (United States Bureau of Reclamation). 2006a. Hydrology Database; Mid Pacific USBR
10 Webpage. Klamath Falls, OR. Accessed from
11 <http://www.usbr.gov/mp/kbao/operations/water/index.html>, on January 10, 2006.
- 12 Reclamation. 2006b. Klamath Basin Pilot Water Bank. Klamath Falls, OR. Accessed from
13 http://www.usbr.gov/mp/kbao/pilot_water_bank/latest_primer_waterbank.pdf, on January 12,
14 2006.
- 15 Reclamation. 2006c. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. Klamath Project 2006 Operations Plan. Klamath
16 Falls, OR. Accessed from
17 http://www.usbr.gov/mp/kbao/news/2006_Klamath_Project_Operations_Plan.pdf, on June 14,
18 2006.
- 19 Reclamation. 2005a. Natural Flow of the Upper Klamath River—Phase 1. Natural inflow to, natural
20 losses from, and natural outfall of Upper Klamath Lake to the Link River and the Klamath River
21 at Keno. Prepared by USBR Technical Service Center: Water Resources Services, Concrete
22 Dams and Waterways, Klamath Basin Area Office, and TSC Project Management. Prepared for
23 USBR Klamath Basin Area Office, Klamath Falls, Oregon. November.
- 24 Reclamation. 2005b. Klamath Project 2005 Operations Plan. Accessed on the web at
25 http://www.usbr.gov/mp/kbao/news/2005_ops_plan_fnl_04-07-05.pdf, downloaded on January
26 12, 2006. Klamath Falls, OR.
- 27 Reclamation. 2005c. USBR News Release 7/7/2005. Accessed from
28 <http://www.usbr.gov/newsroom/newsrelease/detail.cfm?RecordID=5981>, on July 7, 2006.
- 29 Risley, J.C. and M.W. Gannett. 2006. An evaluation and review of water-use estimates and flow data for
30 the Lower Klamath and Tule Lake National Wildlife Refuges, Oregon and California. USGS
31 Scientific Investigations Report, 2005-5036. 18 pp.
- 32 Rogers, D., D. Maria, and J. Deinstadt. 2000. Upper Klamath River Wild Trout Management Plan,
33 2000-2004. California Department of Fish and Game. Final draft. September 8.
- 34 Rood S. B. and J.M. Mahoney. 2000. Revised instream flow regulation enables cottonwood recruitment
35 along St. Mary River, Alberta, Canada. *River: 7*: 109-125.
- 36 Scheiff, A.J., J.S. Lang, and W.D. Pinnex. 2001. Juvenile salmonid monitoring on the Mainstem
37 Klamath River at Big Bar and the Mainstem Trinity River at Willow Creek. U.S. Fish and
38 Wildlife Service Klamath River Fisheries Assessment Program, Arcata, CA. 114 pp. Accessed
39 from http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/klamath_usfws_scheiff_2001_dsm_1997_2000.pdf, on
40 December 27, 2005.
- 41 Scott, M.L, M.A. Wondzell, and G.T. Auble. 1993. Hydrograph characteristics relevant to the
42 establishment and growth of western riparian vegetation. Pages 237-246. In, H.J. Morel-Seytoux,
43 ed., Proceedings of the thirteenth Annual American Geophysical Union Hydrology Days.
44 Hydrology Days Publication, Atherton, CA.

- 1 Shively, R. S., Kohler, A.K., M.A. Coen, B. S. Hayes, and B. J. Peck. 2000. Water quality, benthic
2 macroinvertebrate, and fish community monitoring in the Lost River sub-basin, Oregon and
3 California, 1999. Report of sampling activities in the Lost River sub-basin conducted by the U.S.
4 Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, Klamath Falls Duty Station.
- 5 Simon, D.C., D.F. Markle and G.R. Hoff. 1995. Larval and juvenile ecology of Upper Klamath Lake
6 suckers. Annual report submitted to Klamath Project, Bureau of Reclamation. Klamath Falls,
7 Oregon. 49 pp.
- 8 Smith, J.J. and H.W. Li. 1983. Energetic factors influencing foraging tactics of juvenile steelhead trout,
9 *Salmo gairdneri*. Pp. 173–180 in *Predators and Prey in Fishes*, D.L.G. Noakes, D.G. Lindquist,
10 G.S. Helfman, and J.A. Ward, eds. The Hague: W. Junk.
- 11 Smith-Morris. C.M. 2004. Reducing diabetes in Indian country: lessons learned from the three domains
12 influencing Pima diabetes. *Human Organization* Vol. 63 No.1. pp. 34-46.
- 13 Snyder, J.O. 1931. Salmon of the Klamath River, California. California Division of Fish and Game, Fish
14 Bulletin No. 34. Sacramento, CA. 121 pp. Accessed from
15 http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/klamath_cdfg_snyder_1931.pdf, on December 18, 2005.
- 16 Sommarstrom, S., E. Kellog, J. Kellog. 1990. Scott River Watershed Granitic Sediment Study; Report
17 for Siskiyou Resource Conservation District. Funding Provided by the Klamath River Basin
18 Fisheries Task Force U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Cooperative Agreement 14-16-001-89506.
19 116 pp. November.
- 20 Spranger, M.S. and R.S. Anderson. 1988. Columbia River salmon. Washington Sea Grant, Marine
21 Advisory Services. WSG-AS-88-3 (not seen, as cited in PacifiCorp, 2004a, 2004g).
- 22 State of California North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2005. Staff Report for the
23 Action Plan for the Scott River Watershed Sediment and Temperature Total Maximum Daily
24 Loads. Accessed from <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb1/programs/tmdl/scott/scott2html>, on
25 June 15, 2006.
- 26 Stillwater Sciences. 2004. A Preliminary Evaluation of the Potential Downstream Sediment Deposition
27 Following Removal of the Iron Gate, Copco, and J.C. Boyle Dams, Klamath River, CA. Final
28 Report. Prepared for American Rivers, California Trout, Friends of the River, and Trout
29 Unlimited. Berkeley, CA. May.
- 30 Stocking, R.W. and J.L. Bartholomew. 2004. Assessing links between water quality, river health and
31 Ceratomyxosis of salmonids in the Klamath River system. Filed with the Commission on
32 November 18, 2004. eLibrary Accession Number 20041118-5057.
- 33 Stromberg, J.C., D.T. Patten, and B.D. Richter. 1991. Flood Flows and Dynamic of Sonoran Riparian
34 Forests. *River*. 2: No. 3. 221-235.
- 35 Tanner, D.Q. and C.W. Anderson. 1996. Assessment of Water Quality, Nutrients, Algal Productivity,
36 and Management Alternatives for Low-Flow Conditions, South Umpqua River Basin, Oregon,
37 1990-92. USGS, Water-Resources Investigations Report 96-4082. Accessed from
38 http://or.water.usgs.gov/pubs_dir/Pdf/96-4082.pdf, on July 6, 2006.
- 39 Taylor, J.E. III. 1996. "Making Salmon: Economy, Culture, and Science in the Oregon Fisheries,
40 Precontact to 1960." Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Washington (not seen, as cited in
41 PacifiCorp, 2004a, 2004g).
- 42 The Fish Sniffer. 2006. Angler's marketplace. Guide services. Accessed from
43 <http://fishsniffer.com/services/#guides>, on July 18, 2006.

- 1 The Research Group. 2000. Local economic impacts from alternative hydrosystem actions being
2 considered for the Lower Snake River dams and the economic consequences of not increasing
3 production and survival rates of Columbia River anadromous fish runs. Prepared for the National
4 Marine Fisheries Service Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA (not seen, as cited in
5 PacifiCorp, 2004a, 2004g).
- 6 Trihey & Associates Inc. 1996. Instream flow requirements for tribal trust species in the Klamath River.
7 Prepared for the Yurok Tribe. Concord, CA. 46 pp. Klamath Resource Information System
8 (KRIS) web page. Accessed from http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/biblio_klamath.htm, on
9 December 20, 2005.
- 10 USBR (U. S. Bureau of Reclamation). 2002. Final Biological Assessment. The Effects of Proposed
11 Actions Related to Klamath Project Operation (April 1, 2002–March 31, 2012) on Federally-
12 Listed Threatened and Endangered Species. Partially incorporating January 22, 2001, Biological
13 Assessment submitted to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Prepared by the U.S. Department of
14 Interior Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Region, Klamath Basin Area Office. February 25,
15 2002.
- 16 USGS (United States Geological Survey). 2006. Daily Streamflow for the Nation Webpage. Reston,
17 VA. Accessed from <http://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/usa/nwis/discharged>, on January 4, 2006.
- 18 USGS. 2006a. California Geography, Geology, Hazards, and Natural History Information. Washington,
19 DC. Accessed from <http://education.usgs.gov/california/resources.html>, on January 27, 2006.
- 20 USGS. 2006b. Bulletin 1503: Potential Hazards from Future Eruptions in the Vicinity of Mount Shasta
21 Volcano, Northern California. Washington, DC. Accessed from
22 <http://vulcan.wr.usgs.gov/Volcanoes/Shasta/Hazards/Bulletin1503/framework.html>, on January
23 27, 2006. Last updated May 22, 2000.
- 24 USGS. 2006c. California Hydrologic Data Report. Accessed from
25 <http://ca.water.usgs.gov/archive/waterdata/97/11522500.html>, on January 17, 2006.
- 26 USGS. 2005. Daily Streamflow for the Nation Webpage. Reston, VA. Accessed from
27 <http://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/usa/nwis/discharge>, on December 7, 2005.
- 28 USGS. 2003. Sediment Oxygen Demand Rates for the Upper Klamath River, June 2-6, 2003, table.
29 Accessed from http://or.water.usgs.gov/projs_dir/lake_ewauna_sod/rate_table.html, on February
30 9, 2006. Web site last modified March 29, 2004.
- 31 Vanoni, V. 1975. Sedimentation engineering. American Society of Civil Engineers, New York.
- 32 Walker, W.W. 2001. Development of a Phosphorus TMDL for Upper Klamath Lake, Oregon. Oregon
33 Department of Environmental Quality. March 7.
- 34 Water Board (State Water Resources Control Board). 2006. More Blue Green Algae on the Klamath
35 River than Last Year. Posted on the web at Yubanet.com. Accessed from
36 http://www.yubanet.com/artman/publish/printer_40577.shtml, on August 25, 2006.
- 37 Water Board. 2005a. Letter from R. Kanz, Staff Environmental Scientist, California Water Board to the
38 FERC with the subject “Letter Requiring Additional Studies and Information, Klamath
39 Hydroelectric Project, FERC No. 2082”, dated August 6, 2004 and filed with the Commission
40 8/16/2005 under Accession Number 20040817-0145.
- 41 Water Board. 2005b. Letter from R. Kanz, Staff Environmental Scientist, California SWRCB to the
42 FERC with the subject “2002 Nutrient And Hydrologic Loading to Iron Gate And Copco
43 Reservoirs, Klamath Hydroelectric Project, FERC No. 2082”, dated November 15, 2005, and
44 filed with the Commission 11/22/2005 under Accession Number: 20051125-0250.

1 Water Board. 2002. 2002 CWA Section 303(d) List of Water Quality Limited Segments, North Coast
2 Regional Water Quality Control Board. Approved by EPA July 2003. Accessed from
3 http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/tmdl/303d_lists.html. Website updated 7/30/04.

4 Water Board and California EPA. 2005. SWRCB and California EPA Federal, Tribal, and State
5 Authorities Advise Caution on Dangerous Klamath River Algae. SWRCB 05-19. September 30.

6 WECC (Western Electricity Coordination Council). 2005a Ten-year coordinated plan summary.
7 Planning and operation for electric system reliability. June.

8 WECC. 2005b WECC 2005 power supply assessment. May 31, 2005. Accessed from
9 <http://www.wecc.biz>, on July 6, 2006.

10 West, J. R. 1991. A proposed strategy to recover endemic spring-run Chinook salmon populations and
11 their habitats in the Klamath River Basin. U.S.D.A. Forest Service. Yreka, CA. 27 pp. Accessed
12 from http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/biblio_klamath.htm, on December 20, 2005.

13 Wetzel, R.G. 2001. Limnology–Lake and River Ecosystems, 3rd Edition. Academic Press, New York,
14 NY.

15 Whitney, D. 2006. “House OKs \$2 Million for Commercial Salmon Fishermen.” Sacramento Bee, June
16 28, 2006. Accessed from www.sacbee.com/content/politics/story/14272698p-15082952c.html,
17 on June 29, 2006.

18 Wilcock, P.R., A.F. Barta, C.C. Shea, G.M. Kondolf, W.V.G. Matthews, and J. Pitlick. 1996.
19 Observations of flow and sediment entrainment on a large gravel-bed river. Water Resources
20 Research 32:2897-2909.

21 Willis, C.M. and G.B. Griggs. 2003. Reductions in fluvial sediment discharge by coastal dams in
22 California and implications for beach sustainability. The Journal of Geology 111:167-182.

23 Zaroban, D., M. Mulvey, T. Maret, R. Hughes, and G. Merritt. 1999. Classification of Species Attributes
24 for Pacific Northwest Freshwater Fishes. Northwest Science, Vol. 73, No. 2. pp. 81-93.

25