

DRAFT COMPREHENSIVE CONSERVATION PLAN and ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

*Steigerwald Lake National Wildlife Refuge
Franz Lake National Wildlife Refuge
Pierce National Wildlife Refuge*




CCPs provide long term guidance for management decisions and set forth goals, objectives, and strategies needed to accomplish refuge purposes and identify the Service's best estimate of future needs. These plans detail program planning levels that are sometimes substantially above current budget allocations and, as such, are primarily for Service strategic planning and program prioritization purposes. The plans do not constitute a commitment for staffing increases, operational and maintenance increases, or funding for future land acquisition.

**Steigerwald Lake, Franz Lake, and Pierce National Wildlife Refuges
Ridgefield National Wildlife Refuge Complex
301 N. Third Avenue / P.O. Box 457
Ridgefield, WA 98642
Telephone 360/887 4106
Fax 360/887 4109**

**U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
<http://www.fws.gov>**

**National Wildlife Refuge System Information
1 800/344 WILD**

August 2004



*Cover photo:
Tundra swans landing*
©Jim Cruce

**Steigerwald Lake National Wildlife Refuge,
Franz Lake National Wildlife Refuge, and
Pierce National Wildlife Refuge**

*Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan
and Environmental Assessment*

August 2004

Prepared by:

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Ridgefield National Wildlife Refuge Complex
301 N. Third Avenue
Ridgefield, Washington 98642

and

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Pacific Northwest Comprehensive Conservation Planning Team
16507 Roy Rogers Road
Sherwood, Oregon 97140

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Introduction	1-1
Proposed Action	1-2
Purpose and Need for Action	1-2
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	1-5
National Wildlife Refuge System	1-5
Refuge Establishment and Explanation of Refuge Purposes	1-7
Relationship to Ecosystem Management Goals	1-9
Refuge Vision Statements	1-16
References	1-20

CHAPTER 2: PLANNING PROCESS AND ISSUES

Planning Process	2-1
Conservation Targets	2-3
Conservation Targets for the Gorge Refuges	2-4
Planning Issues	2-6
Issues Outside the Scope of the CCP/EA	2-12
References	2-13

CHAPTER 3: REFUGE MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES

Introduction	3-1
Features Common to All Alternatives	3-1
Alternative A: No Action	3-8
Alternative B: Proposed Action	3-23
Alternative C	3-44
Alternatives Components Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Analysis	3-57
References	3-65

CHAPTER 4: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

Lower Columbia River Ecosystem	4-1
Physical Environment	4-3
Refuge Habitats and Associated Wildlife	4-8
Invasive Species	4-20
Federally- and State-Listed Species	4-22
Refuge Public Use Facilities, Activities and Programs	4-30
Refuge Access and Administrative Facilities	4-32
Cultural Resources	4-34
Socioeconomic Environment	4-38
Research and Monitoring	4-44
Refuge Management Economics	4-45
References	4-46

CHAPTER 5: ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

Introduction	5-1
Effects on Physical Environment	5-2
Effects on Refuge Habitats and Associated Species	5-5
Effects on Wildlife and Plant Populations Including Listed Species	5-13
Effects on Public Education and Recreation	5-16
Effects on Cultural and Historic Environment	5-19
Economic Effects	5-20
Effects to Environmental Justice	5-20
References	5-28

CHAPTER 6: PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND MONITORING

Introduction	6-1
Annual Funding	6-1
Costs to Implement CCP	6-2
Partnership Opportunities	6-12
Step-Down Management Plans	6-14
Monitoring and Evaluation	6-16
CCP Amendment and Revision	6-16

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Acronyms and Glossary	
Appendix B. Public Scoping Report	
Appendix C. List of Planning Team Members and Persons Responsible for Preparing this Document	
Appendix D. Conservation Targets for Steigerwald Lake, Franz Lake and Pierce National Wildlife Refuges	
Appendix E. Analysis of Hunting Opportunities at Pierce, Franz Lake, and Steigerwald Lake National Wildlife Refuges	
Appendix F. Key Legislation and Policies	
Appendix G. Wilderness Review	
Appendix H. Overview of U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Steigerwald Lake, Section 1135, Feasibility Study Project	
Appendix I. Species Lists	
Appendix J. Key Ecological Attributes of Focal Conservation Targets	
Appendix K. Compatibility Determinations	
Appendix L. Land Protection Plan	
Appendix M. Wetland Management Guidelines	
Appendix N. Fire Management Plan Summary	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1. Refuge vicinity 1-3
 Figure 1-2. Goals of the Gorge Refuges’ CCP 1-4
 Figure 1-3. Columbia River watershed and Pacific flyway 1-10
 Figure 3-1. Draft Alternative A: Steigerwald Lake National Wildlife Refuge 3-20
 Figure 3-2. Draft Alternative A: Franz Lake National Wildlife Refuge 3-21
 Figure 3-3. Draft Alternative A: Pierce National Wildlife Refuge 3-22
 Figure 3-4. Draft Alternative B: Steigerwald Lake National Wildlife Refuge 3-41
 Figure 3-5. Draft Alternative B: Franz Lake National Wildlife Refuge 3-42
 Figure 3-6. Draft Alternative B: Pierce National Wildlife Refuge 3-43
 Figure 3-7. Draft Alternative C: Steigerwald Lake National Wildlife Refuge 3-54
 Figure 3-8. Draft Alternative C: Franz Lake National Wildlife Refuge 3-55
 Figure 3-9. Draft Alternative C: Pierce National Wildlife Refuge 3-56
 Figure 4-1. Natural areas of biological significance in the lower Columbia River 4-2
 Figure 4-2. Base vegetation: Steigerwald Lake National Wildlife Refuge 4-11
 Figure 4-3. Base vegetation: Franz Lake National Wildlife Refuge 4-12
 Figure 4-4. Base vegetation: Pierce National Wildlife Refuge 4-13

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Focal conservation targets selected in the CCP planning process to represent the conservation targets for the Gorge Refuges 2-5
 Table 3-1. Summary comparison of proposed alternatives 3-61
 Table 4-1. Historic change in wetland and riparian cover, Steigerwald Lake Refuge 4-9
 Table 4-2. Acres of potential wetland habitat, Steigerwald Lake Refuge 4-10
 Table 4-3. Estimated acres of riparian communities on the Gorge Refuges 4-15
 Table 4-4. Canada geese surveys, 1998 through 2003, Steigerwald Lake Refuge 4-18
 Table 4-5. Special status species of birds, Gorge Refuges 4-23
 Table 4-6. Special status species of mammals, Gorge Refuges 4-24
 Table 4-7. Special status reptiles and amphibians species, Gorge Refuges 4-25
 Table 4-8. Special status species of fish, Gorge Refuges 4-28
 Table 4-9. Special status species of plants, Gorge Refuges 4-30
 Table 4-10. Average number of visitors per mile of Columbia River Dike Trail observed during a walking survey from March 2002 to March 2004 4-32
 Table 4-11. Known cultural sites and National Register of Historic Places status 4-38
 Table 4-12. Socioeconomic profile of Clark and Skamania Counties and Washington State 4-39
 Table 4-13. Land use profile for Clark and Skamania Counties 4-40
 Table 4-14. Public use opportunities available in Washington near the Gorge Refuges 4-42
 Table 5-1. Summary of environmental consequences of the alternatives 5-21
 Table 6-1. Estimated costs (excluding Service personnel) and priority rank of projects proposed in Alternative B of the Gorge Refuges CCP 6-6
 Table 6-2. Annual salary and benefits for current and proposed staff to accomplish the projects identified in the Gorge Refuge CCP 6-10

Table 6-3. Estimated fraction of a full-time equivalent (FTE) Service employee for 13 staff positions (11.5 FTEs) to complete projects proposed in the Gorge CCP 6-11

Table 6-4. Maintenance projects and associated costs in FY 2003 MMS database for the Gorge Refuges 6-11

Table 6-5. Estimated costs to implement the Gorge Refuges CCP 6-12