

## Peer Review Plan

### Draft Amendment to the Mexican long-nosed bat Recovery Plan and Draft of the Species Status Assessment for the Mexican Long-nosed Bat

#### About the Document(s)

**Title:** Mexican long-nosed bat recovery plan, Draft Amendment 1; Species Status Assessment Report for Mexican Long-nosed Bat (*Leptonycteris nivalis*)

**Estimated Dissemination Date for Peer Review:**

June, 2019

**Purpose:**

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has identified best available information that indicates the need to amend recovery criteria for the Mexican long-nosed bat. In this proposed modification, we synthesize the adequacy of the existing recovery criteria, show amended recovery criteria, and provide the rationale supporting the proposed recovery plan modification. Once finalized, the modification will be an addendum that supplements the recovery plan, superseding only the affected recovery criteria from the previous recovery plan version.

This Species Status Assessment (SSA) reports the results of the comprehensive status review for the Mexican Long-nosed bat and provides an evaluation of the biological status of the species and its overall viability. The SSA considers the species needs, causes and effects to its biological status, the current conditions of the species, and forecasts future conditions.

#### About the Peer Review Process

**Type of Review:**

Influential

**Timeline for Peer Review:**

Peer review of the draft amendment will be concurrent with the public comment period (30 days) that is identified in a Federal Register Notice of Availability.

Peer review of the Species Status Assessment is expected to be completed by August, 2019.

**Reviewers:**

The Service will solicit reviews from at least three independent scientific reviewers with expertise in bat ecology. Reviewers will be selected based upon the following criteria:

- Expertise: Reviewers will be experts in Mexican long-nosed bat ecology or related bat ecology
- Independence: Reviewers are not employed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Academic and consulting scientists have sufficient independence from the U.S. Fish

and Wildlife Service or the Department of the Interior if the government supports their work.

- **Objectivity:** Reviewers are recognized by their peers as being objective, open-minded, and thoughtful. The reviewers should be comfortable sharing their knowledge and identifying their knowledge gaps.
- **Advocacy:** Reviewers are not known or recognized for an affiliation with an advocacy position regarding the protection of this species under the Endangered Species Act.
- **Conflict of Interest:** Reviewers should not have any financial or other interest that conflicts with or that could impair their objectivity.

### **About Public Participation**

A 30-day public comment period for the draft amendment will begin when the Notice of Availability publishes in the Federal Register. During this period, the public will have the opportunity to review and provide input to the draft recovery plan amendment. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will also implement an outreach plan to provide ample opportunity for public involvement in the review process, and the draft amendment will be posted on U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service websites with solicitations for public comment.

The draft recovery plan amendment will be available at:

<https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp0/profile/speciesProfile?sId=8203>

This peer review plan is made available on this website to allow the public to monitor our compliance with the Office of Management and Budget's Final Information Quality Bulletin for Peer Review.

### **Contact**

For more information, contact Adam Zerrenner, Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Austin Ecological Services Field Office, at (512) 490-0057.