DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018-AU53

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Designating the Northern Rocky Mountain Population of Gray Wolf as a Distinct Population Segment and Removing This Distinct Population Segment From the Federal List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period; notice of a public hearing.

SUMMARY: Under the Endangered Species Act (Act), we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce an extension of the comment period for the proposed rule to establish a distinct population segment (DPS) of the gray wolf (Canis lupus) in the Northern Rocky Mountains (NRM) of the United States and to remove the gray wolf in the NRM DPS from the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife (List) under the Act. We also announce the location and time of one additional public hearing to receive public comments on this proposal. If you previously submitted comments, please do not resubmit them because we have already incorporated them into the public record and will fully consider them in our final decision and rule.

DATES: The public comment period is extended until May 9, 2007. We may not consider any comments we receive after the closing date. We will hold a public hearing on April 19, 2007. For more information, see "Public Hearing and Comments."

ADDRESSES: If you wish to comment, you may submit comments and materials concerning this proposal, identified by "RIN number 1018—AU53," by any one of the following methods:

- 1. Federal e-Rulemaking Portal http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- 2. *E-mail—WesternGrayWolf@fws.gov.* Include "RIN number 1018–AU53" in the subject line of the message.
 - 3. Fax—(406) 449–5339.
- 4. U.S. mail, hand delivery, or courier—U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Western Gray Wolf Recovery Coordinator, 585 Shepard Way, Helena, MT 59601.

Comments and materials we receive, as well as supporting documentation we

used in preparation of this proposed action, will be available for inspection following the close of the comment period, by appointment, during normal business hours, at our Helena office (see ADDRESSES).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edward E. Bangs, Western Gray Wolf Recovery Coordinator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, at our Helena office (see ADDRESSES) or telephone (406) 449— 5225, extension 204. Persons who use a Telecommunications Device for the Deaf may call the Federal Information Relay Service at (800) 877—8339, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 8, 2007, we published a proposal to establish a DPS of the wolf (Canis lupus) in the NRM of the United States and to remove the NRM DPS from the List (71 FR 6106). The comment period on this proposal opened February 8, 2007, and extends to April 9, 2007. Due to the complexity of this proposed action, we are extending the comment period for 30 days to allow the public ample opportunity to comment on this complex proposal.

Public Hearing and Comments

One additional open house, from 3 p.m. to 5 p.m. (brief presentations about the proposed rule will be given at both 3 p.m. and 4 p.m.), and one additional public hearing, from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m., will be held on:

April 19, 2007, Thursday, at the Cody Auditorium Facility, 1240 Beck Avenue, Cody, WY 82414.

Anyone wishing to make an oral statement for the record is encouraged to provide a written copy of their statement and present it to us at the hearing. In the event there is a large attendance, the time allotted for oral statements may be limited. Speakers can sign up only at the open houses and hearings. Oral and written statements receive equal consideration. There are no limits on the length of written comments submitted to us. If you have any questions concerning the public hearing, please contact Sharon Rose (303) 236-4580. If you need reasonable accommodations in order to attend and participate in the public hearing, please contact Sharon Rose at (303) 236-4580 as soon as possible in order to allow sufficient time to process requests. Please call no later than 1 week before the hearing date. Information regarding the proposal is available in alternative formats upon request.

The purpose of the public hearing is to provide additional opportunity for

the public to comment on this complex proposal. Public hearings are the only method for comments and data to be presented verbally for entry into the public record of this rulemaking and for our consideration during our final decision. Comments and data also can be submitted in writing or electronically, as described in our February 8, 2007, proposal (71 FR 6106) and in the ADDRESSES section above.

We intend that any final action resulting from this proposed rule will be as accurate and as effective as possible. Therefore, we solicit comments or suggestions from the public, other concerned governmental agencies, the scientific community, industry, or any other interested party concerning this proposed rule. We solicit information, data, comments or suggestions from the public, other concerned governmental agencies, the scientific community, industry, or any other interested party concerning this proposal. Generally, we seek information, data, and comments concerning the boundaries of the proposed NRM DPS and the status of gray wolf in the NRM. Specifically, we seek documented, biological data on the status and management of the NRM wolf population and its habitat.

Submit comments as indicated under **ADDRESSES**. If you wish to submit comments by e-mail, please include your name and return address in your e-mail message.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. We will take into consideration the comments and any additional information received during the comment period on this proposed rule during our preparation of a final rulemaking. Accordingly, our final decision may differ from this proposal.

Authority

The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Dated: March 23, 2007.

Kenneth Stansell,

Acting Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. E7–5744 Filed 3–28–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 665

[Docket No. 070226045-7045-01; I.D. 020107A]

RIN 0648-AT55

Fisheries in the Western Pacific; Western Pacific Pelagic Fisheries; Management Measures for Bigeye Tuna Pacific-wide and Yellowfin Tuna in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This proposed rule would implement Amendment 14 to the Fishery Management Plan for Pelagic Fisheries of the Western Pacific Region (Pelagics FMP). The amendment responds to the Secretary of Commerce's determination that overfishing is occurring on bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus) Pacific-wide, and on yellowfin tuna (Thunnus albacares) in the western and central Pacific Ocean (WCPO). The measures in the amendment are designed to end overfishing of bigeye tuna Pacific-wide and yellowfin tuna in the WCPO, as required under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act). Amendment 14 would establish Federal permitting and reporting requirements for all U.S. Hawaii-based small boat commercial pelagic fishermen. Internationally, Amendment 14 would establish for the Western Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) an internal protocol related to its role in making recommendations to the Secretary on the management of pelagic fish stocks that are managed internationally, including its participation in U.S. delegations to meetings of regional fishery management organizations (RFMOs). This amendment also recommends that NMFS and the Department of State work through the RFMOs to immediately end overfishing

of bigeye tuna Pacific-wide and WCPO yellowfin tuna, focusing on fisheries with the greatest impact on Pacific bigeye tuna and WCPO yellowfin tuna, i.e., longline and purse seine fisheries. **DATES:** Comments on the proposed rule must be received by May 14, 2007.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments on the proposed rule, identified by *AT55Tuna*, to any of the following addresses:

- E-mail: AT55Tuna@noaa.gov. Include in the subject line the following document identifier AT55Tuna. Comments sent via email, including all attachments, must not exceed a file size of 10 megabytes.
- Federal e-Rulemaking portal: www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- Mail or Hand Delivery: William L.
 Robinson, Regional Administrator,
 NMFS Pacific Islands Region (PIR), 1601
 Kapiolani Blvd, Suite 1110, Honolulu,
 HI 96814–4700.

An Environmental Assessment (EA) was prepared for this amendment. Copies of the Pelagics FMP and Amendment 14 (containing the EA) may be obtained from Kitty M. Simonds, Western Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council), 1164 Bishop St., Suite 1400, Honolulu, HI 96813.

Written comments regarding the burden-hour estimates or other aspects of the collection-of-information requirements contained in this proposed rule may be submitted to William L. Robinson (see ADDRESSES), or by e-mail to <code>David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov</code>, or fax to 202–395–7285.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob Harman, NMFS PIR, 808–944–2271.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 15, 2004, NMFS notified the Western Pacific and Pacific Fishery Management Councils that overfishing was occurring on bigeye tuna Pacificwide (69 FR 78397, December 30, 2004). On March 16, 2006, NMFS notified the Western Pacific Council that overfishing was occurring on western and central Pacific Ocean (WCPO) yellowfin tuna (71 FR 14837, March 24, 2006). As required by the Magnuson-Stevens Act, the Councils were requested to take appropriate action to end overfishing. Pelagics FMP Amendment 14 contains the Western Pacific Council's recommended actions to end overfishing for both stocks.

According to the guidelines for National Standard 1 of the Magnuson-Stevens Act (50 CFR 600.310), fishery stock status is assessed with respect to two status determination criteria, one of which is used to determine whether a stock is "overfished," and the second of

which is used to determine if the stock is subject to "overfishing." A stock is considered to be overfished if its biomass falls below the minimum stock size threshold (MSST). Overfishing means that fishing is occurring at a rate or level that jeopardizes the capacity of a stock or stock complex to produce maximum sustainable yield (MSY) on a continuing basis. When a stock is not in an overfished condition, the maximum fishing mortality threshold (MFMT) is equal to the fishing mortality associated with MSY (F_{MSY}) . The latest stock assessments for bigeye tuna in the Pacific and WCPO yellowfin tuna have concluded that the biomass of neither stock is below their respective MSST. However, the assessments used as a basis for the overfishing determinations (conducted in 2003 and 2004 for Pacific bigeye tuna and 2005 and 2006 for WCPO yellowfin tuna) indicated that the then-current level of fishing mortality did exceed the stocks respective MFMTs. Consequently, NMFS determined that overfishing was occurring on the Pacific-wide stock of bigeye tuna and on the WCPO stock of yellowfin tuna.

Bigeye and yellowfin tuna are highly migratory species, and occur in the waters of multiple nations and the high seas. Consequently, they are targeted by fishing fleets of several nations, including the United States of America (U.S.A.). Until recently, the majority of bigeye tuna in the Pacific Ocean was caught by longliners, primarily for the Japanese sashīmi market. During the last 10 years, however, catches of bigeye tuna by purse seiners have increased considerably. Purse seine-caught bigeye tuna are taken primarily when purse seiners targeting skipjack and yellowfin tuna set their nets around fish aggregating devices (FADs). Smaller amounts are also taken by handline and troll vessels. Yellowfin tuna in the WCPO are caught primarily by purse seiners. WCPO longline, pole-and-line, handline and troll fisheries also catch substantial amounts of yellowfin tuna.

In 2004, estimated bigeye tuna catches by U.S. commercial fisheries under the Council's authority amounted to 5,163 mt, or 2.3 percent of the 2004 total Pacific-wide bigeye tuna catch. In 2004, estimated yellowfin tuna catches by U.S. commercial fisheries under the Council's authority amounted to 2,383 mt, or about 0.35 percent of the 2004 total Pacific-wide yellowfin tuna catch, and 0.58 percent of the yellowfin tuna caught in the WCPO. These figures indicate that the capacity for unilateral action by the U.S.A. to prevent or end overfishing is limited, as is the capacity