

Impacts Analysis

Fire

- Reviewed by SA and returned to author.
- Should discussion on prescribed burning be retained?
- Should we retain the “Impacts by MZ” table? Decision would apply across all chapters.

Invasives

- Reviewed by SA – no additional changes needed.

Conifer

- Needs SLT review.

Ag Conversion

- Reviewed by AN but there are still several outstanding comments that need to be addressed by author – would it be better for SLT to address these?
- How do we handle “historic” vs “current” mechanisms? In Ag Conversion the paragraph describing historic causes was excluded per KN’s request in a previous draft; for consistency I have retained this. The SLT will need to decide whether providing a historical context is necessary and consistently apply the decision across all chapters.

O&G

- Several outstanding comments that need to be addressed by the author.

Mining

- Reviewed by AN but there are still several outstanding comments and placeholders that need to be addressed by author or the chapter needs to be re-assigned.
- The author provides 2 examples (uranium and bentonite) but his section is outdated and does not incorporate SLT recommendations to update. These paragraphs were taken from the 2010 finding and the author has indicated that based on conversations in Denver it was decided to combine all types of mining into a single layer (except for coal). These serve as examples only and detailed descriptions of mining for each of the 50 mineral was not deemed possible. To what extent do we want to include this info?

Renewable Energy

- Reviewed by GS but comments were not included in this draft. Minor comments which can be addressed by SLT.
- Several tables could be combined.

Infrastructure

- Citations need to be put in chronological order; some page numbers missing from citations.
- Several outstanding comments and placeholders that need to be addressed by author.

Fences

- Author makes comparisons from prairie grouse literature. Should we be limiting our discussion to GRSG? In infrastructure PD commented that we need to establish the link between grouse and prairie chickens to justify bringing in that literature – is the same true here?
- Minor comments which can be addressed by SLT.

Grazing

- Needs SLT review.
- Includes several placeholders that need to be addressed by the author.

Equids

- Reviewed by SA and returned to author.

Urban and Exurban Development

- Minor comments which can be addressed by SLT.

Recreation

- Minor comments which can be addressed by SLT.

Climate Change

- The author notes that this chapter deviates from the template and does not include subsections: Mechanism, Results of impact, Location and extent; Mechanism is currently moved to the Future impacts section following the discussion on timescale. Do we retain the original outline structure or leave as is?
- Minor comments which can be addressed by SLT.

Drought

- Minor comments which can be addressed by SLT.

Hunting

- Minor comments which can be addressed by SLT.

Disease

- Minor comments which can be addressed by SLT.

Predation

- Several outstanding comments and placeholders that need to be addressed by author.

Small Populations

- Not completed.

Contaminants

- Includes some placeholders that need to be addressed by the author – overall, comments can probably be addressed by SLT.

Military Activity

- Not completed.

Outstanding issues:

- Forseeable future – how are we defining?
- Aroga moth – do we need to address?
- How are we referencing MZs? Need to be consistent across chapters.
- Need consistency in how we are reference European settlers across chapters.
- Check for consistency in how we are referring to invasives across all chapters.
- Replace “hen” with “female” so we are consistent across all chapters.
- How are metrics being reported? Metric and statute?
- What is the proper citation for the Federal Register? We also need to be consistent in how we refer to the 2010 finding across all chapters.
- We need consistent formatting for all tables. In addition, we need to ensure all tables and figures have stand alone titles and are referenced within the chapter.
- We need someone to cross-check and ensure authors have included information from the data call.
- Avoid terms threat or threatening – need to review document and make necessary changes where appropriate

Literature cited – outstanding issues:

- Need to cross-check all citations and page numbers.
- Need consistency in how we are formatting our lit cited – what guidelines are we following?
- Who is responsible for the bibliography?

- We need to ensure that references are cited for any figures/tables that are adapted from the literature.
- Need to ensure all citations are included in the lit cited.
- Need to ensure all pdfs are uploaded to SharePoint and follow file naming guidelines – to date only literature from the Fence chapter have been uploaded and the information uploaded to date does not meet file naming guidelines.
- Need to ensure authors have provided all required information to LD for RefWorks.