

Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge Recreational Fishing Plan

March 2020

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge 321 Port Road Wells, ME 04090

Submitted:		
Refuge Manager	Date	
Concurrence:		
Refuge Supervisor	Date	
Approved:		
Regional Chief	Date	

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES	3
III.	DESCRIPTION OF FISHING PROGRAM	6
A.	Areas to be Opened to Fishing	6
B.	Species to be Taken, Fishing Seasons, Fishing Access	7
C.	Fishing Permit Requirements.	7
D.	Consultation and Coordination with the State	7
E.	Law Enforcement	7
F.	Funding and Staff Requirements	7
IV.	CONDUCT OF THE FISHING PROGRAM	8
A.	Angler Permit Application, Selection, and/or Registration Procedures	8
B.	Refuge-Specific Fishing Regulations	8
C.	Relevant State Regulations	8
D.	Other Refuge Rules and Regulations for Fishing	8
V.	PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT	8
A.	Outreach for Announcing and Publicizing the Fishing Program	8
B.	Anticipated Public Reaction to the Fishing Program	9
C.	How Anglers Will Be Informed of Relevant Rules and Regulations	9
VI.	COMPATIBILITY DETERMINATION	9
VII.	MAPS	10
VIII.	LITERATURE CITED	20
App	<u>bendices</u>	
Aŗ	ppendix A. Compatibility Determination	A-1
Ar	nnendix B Environmental Assessment	R-1

Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge Recreational Fishing Plan

I. INTRODUCTION

National wildlife refuges are guided by the mission and goals of the National Wildlife Refuge System (Refuge System), the purposes of an individual refuge, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) policy, and laws and international treaties. Relevant guidance includes the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966 (NWRSAA), as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, Refuge Recreation Act of 1962, and selected portions of the Code of Federal Regulations and Fish and Wildlife Service Manual.

The mission of the Refuge System, as outlined by the NWRSAA, as amended by the Refuge System Improvement Act (16 U.S.C. 668dd et seq.), is to:

"...administer a national network of lands and waters for the conservation, management, and where appropriate, restoration of fish, wildlife, and plant resources and their habitats within the United States for the benefit of present and future generations of Americans."

The act requires that refuges restore and maintain the integrity, diversity, and environmental health necessary to achieve this mission and the purposes established for each refuge.

The NWRSAA mandates the Secretary of the Interior in administering the System to (16 U.S.C. 668dd(a)(4):

- Provide for the conservation of fish, wildlife, and plants, and their habitats within the Refuge System;
- Ensure that the biological integrity, diversity, and environmental health of the Refuge System are maintained for the benefit of present and future generations of Americans;
- Ensure that the mission of the Refuge System described at 16 U.S.C. 668dd(a)(2) and the purposes of each refuge are carried out;
- Ensure effective coordination, interaction, and cooperation with owners of land adjoining refuges and the fish and wildlife agency of the States in which the units of the Refuge System are located;
- Assist in the maintenance of adequate water quantity and water quality to fulfill the mission of the Refuge System and the purposes of each refuge;
- Recognize compatible wildlife-dependent recreational uses as the priority general public uses of the Refuge System through which the American public can develop an appreciation for fish and wildlife;

- Ensure that opportunities are provided within the Refuge System for compatible wildlifedependent recreational uses; and
- Monitor the status and trends of fish, wildlife, and plants in each refuge.

Secretarial Order 3347 – "Conservation Stewardship and Outdoor Recreation," signed March 2, 2017, and Secretarial Order 3356 – "Hunting, Fishing, Recreational Shooting, and Wildlife Conservation Opportunities and Coordination with States, Tribes, and Territories," signed September 15, 2017, includes direction to Department of the Interior agencies to "...enhance recreational fishing, specifically regarding efforts to enhance and expand recreational fishing access."

The Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Title 50, Subchapter C, further details additional procedures related to fishing on a national wildlife refuge. The Refuge Recreation Act requires that funds be available for the development, operation, and maintenance of fishing programs. It is anticipated that inclusion of a fishing program will have a negligible impact on refuge financial resources.

Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge (NWR, refuge) consists of 11 refuge divisions protecting approximately 5,690 acres of coastal wetlands, and upland habitat (see Figure 1). All divisions lie along 50 miles of the southern Maine coastline, encompassing the coastal communities of Kittery, York, Eliot, Ogunquit, Wells, Kennebunk, Kennebunkport, Biddeford, Saco, Old Orchard Beach, Scarborough, and Cape Elizabeth, within York and Cumberland Counties.

In order to meet specific refuge and other broader Service directives, the following purposes were established for Rachel Carson NWR:

- For lands acquired under the Migratory Bird Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. §715-715r), as amended, "... for use as an inviolate sanctuary, or for any other management purpose, for migratory birds...." (16 U.S.C. §715d).
- "...suitable for - 1) incidental fish and wildlife oriented recreational development, 2) protection of natural resources, 3) conservation of endangered or threatened species ..." (16 U.S.C. section 460k-1, Refuge Recreation Act).
- "...conservation of wetlands of the Nation in order to maintain the public benefits they provide to help fulfill international obligations contained in various migratory bird treaties and conventions..." (16 U.S.C. Section 13901(b) 100 Stat 3583, Emergency Wetlands Resources Act of 1986).
- "...for the development, advancement, management, conservation and protection of fish and wildlife resources ..." (16 U.S.C. Section 742f(a)(1) Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956).
- "... for the benefit of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, in performing its activities and services" (16 U.S.C. Section 742f(b)(1) Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956.

Therefore, it is a priority of the Service to provide for wildlife-dependent recreation opportunities, including fishing, when this opportunity is compatible with the purposes for which the refuge was established and the mission of the Refuge System.

Rachel Carson NWR was established to preserve migratory bird habitat and waterfowl migration routes associated with southern Maine's coastal estuaries. In the mid-1800s, the estuarine habitats teemed with wildlife. The fishing industry supported many people, and commercial hunters made their living from the wildlife that frequented the marshes. Spurred by the arrival of the railroad in 1842, recreational use of the Maine Coast increased in the 19th and 20th centuries. Thousands of visitors came by train, trolley, and later, automobile. Seasonal and vacation homes built on the edge of the salt marsh quickly followed. By the 1950s and early 1960s, land was at a premium for prospective landowners, individuals, and groups interested in protecting natural resources.

Originally known as the Coastal Maine NWR, the refuge was rededicated in honor of scientist and author Rachel Carson on June 27, 1970, who spent much of her life along the Maine Coast. During the mid-1970s, the refuge acquired 4,000 acres, and has expanded its boundary several times over the years to protect coastal salt marshes from encroaching development, and thereby protect vital wildlife habitat.

In 2007, the approval of the final Comprehensive Conservation Plan (CCP) for Rachel Carson NWR established the 11th division, the York River Division. In December 2011, the refuge acquired Timber Point in fee title, adding 157 acres to the refuge's Little River Division. It was one of the last large, undeveloped properties under private ownership on the southern Maine coast. In 2017, the refuge acquired the 90-acre Davis Property, the first land acquisition in the York River Division.

Portions of the refuge (i.e. the Ogunquit River, the Webhannet River, the Merriland River, the Mousam River, and the Spurwink River) have been open to recreational fishing since 2000, following the refuge's previous fishing plan from 2000. This Recreational Fishing Plan expands fishing opportunities available to the public by opening the Little River at Timber Point.

II. STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES

In addition to the stated purposes of the refuge, further goals were established for Rachel Carson NWR in the CCP and Environmental Assessment (USFWS 2007):

- 1. Perpetuate the biological integrity and diversity of coastal habitats to sustain native wildlife and plant communities, including species of conservation concern.
- 2. Perpetuate the biological integrity and diversity of freshwater habitats to sustain native wildlife and plant communities, including species of conservation concern.
- 3. Perpetuate the biological integrity and diversity of upland habitats to sustain native wildlife and plant communities, including species of conservation concern.
- 4. Increase appreciation and stewardship of coastal Maine wildlife and their habitats by providing positive wildlife-dependent experiences for refuge visitors.

- 5. Develop the Rachel Carson NWR as an outstanding center for research and demonstration emphasizing land management techniques for restoring and sustaining healthy estuarine ecosystems in concert with the national Land Management Research Demonstration (LMRD) program.
- 6. Foster off-refuge cooperative actions and partnerships to advance refuge goals.

The objective of the refuge fishing program, as stated by objective 5.4 in the refuge CCP is as follows:

"Provide high-quality sport fishing opportunities that minimize conflicts with neighbors and refuge programs and ensure that at least 90 percent of anglers have a positive experience."

The NWRSAA of 1966, as amended by the Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, and the Refuge Recreation Act of 1962 authorize public fishing on refuges where the fishing program is compatible with the purposes for which the refuge was established.

As part of this Fishing Plan, a compatibility determination was prepared and, assuming management decisions are based on sound biological principles, and user time and space restrictions are used to minimize wildlife disturbance, fishing is deemed compatible and a worthwhile recreational opportunity to provide for the public (Appendix A).

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service WILDLIFE REFUGE SYSTEM Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge Spurwink Division Waterborn. Goosefare Brook Division Biddeford Pool Division Little River Division Goose Rocks Division Mousam River Division Upper Wells Division Lower Wells Division TATINE HILLS Moody Division Atlantic Ocean South Berwick CANADA Cape Neddick York River Division Atlantic Ocean Brave Boat Harbor Division Map Projection: GC8_North_American_1983
Refuge boundaries from USFW8 R5 Realty
Background Image from ESI
8/refuges/racheicarson/RHC HQ EA/refuge_overview.mxd 04-06-2017 1 = 325,000

Figure 1. Rachel Carson NWR (Additional maps can be found in Section VII)

III. DESCRIPTION OF FISHING PROGRAM

A. Areas to be Opened to Fishing

Currently, nine of the refuge's rivers are accessible for recreational fishing and seasonally support one or more of the targeted recreational fisheries. Chauncey Creek, Brave Boat Tidal Creek, Ogunquit River, Stevens Brook, Webhannet River, Merriland River/Skinner Mill, Mousam River, Goosefare Brook, and Spurwink River have supported fishing activities for hundreds of years and were incorporated into the previous Refuge Fish Plan in 2000. In order to expand the current compatible fishing program, the addition of a designated portion of the Little River at Timber Point will be opened to public fishing. Detailed maps and fishing site descriptions can be found in Section VII, Maps.

B. Species to be Taken, Fishing Seasons, Fishing Access

Anglers with a valid fishing license may fish for any Federal and State authorized recreational species, which may include brook trout, togue, whitefish, smelts, American eel, shad, striped bass, Atlantic mackerel, bluefish, pollock, alewife, herring, and Atlantic menhaden.

The co-occurring open ocean Atlantic salmon, Atlantic sturgeon, and shortnose sturgeon are federally protected species, and as such, are not available for fishing. Incidental catch of these species requires the angler to release the fish immediately, alive and uninjured.

All of the refuge fishing locations are tidal; the fishing season is open year round for saltwater fishing to the head of tide.

Fishing access is limited to specific access points for carry-in boat launch, parking, and for designated shoreline fishing areas. All fishing access points and areas will be posted as open to fishing. Please refer to Section VII. Maps for detailed fishing site descriptions and maps.

Daily bag limits, length limits, and any applicable seasonal regulations for each fishable species can be found online at http://www.eregulations.com/maine/fishing/. Annually, species daily bag limits, length limits, and open seasons are subject to change and all sportsmen must be aware of current regulations, which will be made available at refuge headquarters, sporting goods stores, and also online at: http://www.eregulations.com/maine/fishing/.

C. Fishing Permit Requirements

No specific refuge permit is required for fishing. All applicable State and Federal licenses are required for all recreational angling on the refuge.

D. Consultation and Coordination with the State

Fishing will be permitted within the framework of applicable State and Federal regulations. Pre-season meetings between the refuge and the State to review changes in the regulations and

coordinate law enforcement patrol will take place annually. The Service has consulted with the Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife and the Maine Department of Marine Resources on this plan, and will receive formal comments from them prior to implementation. The State will also be consulted if any further changes occur in the Recreational Fishing Plan.

E. Law Enforcement

Refuge law enforcement will work to ensure compliance with fishing regulations and monitor for impacts to wildlife species (illegal fishing, harassment etc.) and habitat (trail abuse, vegetation damage, plant removal, pollution, etc.). Refuge law enforcement, State game wardens, Maine Marine Patrol, and local police departments all have concurrent jurisdiction on refuge property and will work to ensure all State and Federal regulations are followed by refuge visitors. Consistent patrols will have an outreach and educational component and strategic signage will help inform the public of refuge fishing regulations. Refuge management will monitor for impacts to other species and habitat and will stay in contact with local law enforcement and landowners adjacent to fishing areas to manage any conflicts resulting from angling.

F. Funding and Staffing Requirements

Sufficient resources are available to expand the fishing program. Existing staff and refuge budget have provided sufficient resources to continue with current management, although the refuge anticipates increased capacity necessitated by the additional of new lands for fishing access. These activities are within the projected budget and staffing capabilities of the refuge to manage.

Annual administrative costs for the refuge fishing program total \$5,000. Our existing staffing and budget provide sufficient resources to implement this fishing plan. Although we anticipate increased angler activity resulting from the addition of new access points and fishing opportunities, managing those activities falls within the projected budget and staffing capabilities of the refuge.

IV. CONDUCT OF THE FISHING PROGRAM

A. Angler Application, Selection, and/or Registration Procedures

Information on all fishing opportunities will be found and downloaded from the Rachel Carson NWR website: https://www.fws.gov/refuge/rachel_carson/. No registration with the refuge and no refuge permits are required to fish on the refuge. All anglers must abide by Federal and State regulations and any permitting requirements to fish on the refuge.

B. Refuge Specific Fishing Regulations

Generally, fishing regulations on Rachel Carson NWR will follow Maine regulations except as noted below. General regulations pertaining to all national wildlife refuges are found in 50 CFR subchapter C. Regulations specific to Rachel Carson NWR include:

- Anglers are prohibited from using any lead fishing tackle: including lead jigs, sinkers, lines, and lures.
- Anglers are prohibited from trapping fish on the refuge for use as bait.

C. Relevant State Regulations

Fishing will be conducted according to Maine State regulations for game fish, baitfish and fish bait except as noted in refuge-specific regulations.

D. Other Refuge Rules and Regulations for Fishing

Additional rules and guidelines include:

- The refuge is open from half hour before sunrise to half hour after sunset, unless otherwise posted. Fishing is prohibited outside of these times.
- Anglers are restricted to designated open fishing areas.

V. PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

A. Outreach for Announcing and Publicizing the Fishing Program

To inform the public of the fishing program and any updates, a news release will be submitted to media outlets along the Maine coast following the approval of the plan and on an as-needed basis. Notices will be posted at the refuge office and visitor information center, the refuge website, and the refuge Facebook page. Public comments received during the 30-day comment period will be reviewed and considered when finalizing the plan.

B. Anticipated Public Reaction to the Fishing Program

Fishing has been permitted on Rachel Carson NWR for many years and the lands and waters comprising the refuge were known fishing grounds historically. We are supported by many people who are eager to engage in this long-standing conservation tradition. We expect extensive support for this plan. Fishing is an important economic, recreational and sustainable use of Maine's natural resources.

C. How Anglers Will Be Informed of Relevant Rules and Regulations

Angler orientation of the refuge will be achieved by providing maps of the refuge at the visitor information center, and on the refuge website. The maps have refuge trails, public use areas, closed areas, and local roads clearly defined. A refuge web-based interactive map is available at: takemefishing.org. In addition, anglers will be directed to the State's website (http://www.eregulations.com/maine/fishing) for daily bag limits, length limits, and any applicable seasonal regulations. Anglers may address questions to refuge staff by calling, writing, e-mailing, or visiting.

VI. COMPATIBILITY DETERMINATION

Fishing activities proposed in this plan are compatible with the purposes of the refuge. See attached Appendix A. Compatibility Determination.

VII. MAPS

Fishing Sites Descriptions and Maps

Chauncey Creek ~ Kittery

Carry-in, non-motarized boat access only at the intersection of Cutts Island and Seapoint Roads (yellow dot). Note that tidal changes in this area may cause previously navigable channels to become treacherous or impassable. Park adjacent to the site on Seapoint Road.



Brave Boat Tidal Creek ~ York

Fishing permitted on north side of streambank from Brave Boat Harbor Road to the first trestle downstream, approximately 1,000 feet. Park at pull-off northeast of Brave Boat Harbor Road, south of Payne Road, adjacent to creek. No refuge parking available.



Ogunquit River ~ Ogunquit/Wells

Anglers may fish on the north bank of the Ogunquit River, east of Route 1. Access is limited to the marked and posted areas at the refuge boundary corner behind the Ogunquit River Inn and Suites east (downstream), on the Wells side of the river, for approximately 500 feet. No refuge parking available.



Stevens Brook ~ Wells

The east side of Stevens Brook is open for fishing from Bourne Avenue to the point where Stevens Brook approaches Ocean Avenue (approximately 1/4 mile). Approach from the public parking lot on Ocean Avenue.



Webhannet River ~ Wells

Fishing permitted along the west bank of the Webhannet River. The area begins at the north side of Mile Road and continues approximately 400 feet north (downstream), ending at the first tidal creek.



Merriland River/Skinner Mill ~ Wells

Anglers may fish from the refuge boundary, east (downstream) for approximately 1,000 feet, which includes the oxbow. Access is by an existing trail on the south side of the river across private property. Park on Skinner Mill Road; no refuge parking available.



Mousam River ~ Kennebunk

Fishing allowed east of Route 9, on north side of river, west to our posted boundary and east to the point opposite Great Hill Road (approximately 0.3-mile). Access will be from the bridle path along the first tidal creek. Fishing is currently allowed on the opposite bank and at the mouth of the Mousam River. Park on Route 9; no refuge parking available. A public boat launch is also located at the Western Avenue bridge (yellow dot).



Little River ~ Biddeford

At the end of Granite Point Road in Biddeford, two shoreline sites along the Little River are open for fishing. These two areas total approximately 2,383 meters (marked in red).



Goosefare Brook ~ **Saco**

Anglers may fish on the south side of the Goosefare Brook outlet. There is very little parking in the immediate area; use the public parking lot at the end of Bayview Road.



Spurwink River ~ Scarborough

Fishing is permitted along the west bank of the Spurwink River, north of the Route 77 bridge. The area (red) extends approximately 1,000 feet, ending at a point near the fork in the river. Limited parking available just off Route 77. A carry-in, carry-out, non-motorized boat launch is also located here (yellow dot).



VI. LITERATURE CITED

- Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (MDIFW). 2015. Open Water & Ice Fishing Laws and Rules: January 1, 2015 December 31, 2015. Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, Augusta, Maine.
- Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (MDIFW). 2000. Wildlife Division: Research and Management Report 2000. Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, Augusta, Maine.
- Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (MDIFW). 2006. *Wildlife Division:* Research and Management Report. Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, Augusta, Maine. http://www.maine.gov/ifw/wildlife/surveys_reports/research_management/pdfs/200 6.pdf.
- Smith, K., Wilson, K., Sulikowski, J.S., and Aman, J. 2015. Fish of the Saco Estuary: River Channel and Tidal Marshes. Sustaining the Saco Estuary: Final Report 2015. 39-55.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2007. *Final Comprehensive Conservation Plan*. Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge, Maine. http://www.fws.gov/northeast/planning/Rachel%20Carson/ccphome.html.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2012. *Annual Hunt Program*. Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge, Maine.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2015. Annual Report of Lands Under Control of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2011. *Hunter Information 2010-2011 Hunting Season*. Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge, Maine.
- Wells National Estuarine Research Reserve, WNERR. 2015. Fish Species of the Wells Reserve at Laudholm Farm. Found on: 10/21/2015. http://www.wellsreserve.org/sup/downloads/fish_checklist.pdf

APPENDIX A COMPATIBILITY DETERMINATION FOR RACHEL CARSON NWR FISHING

COMPATIBILITY DETERMINATION

USE: Recreational Fishing

REFUGE NAME: Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge

DATE ESTABLISHED: December 16, 1966

ESTABLISHING AND ACQUISITION AUTHORITIES:

1. Migratory Bird Conservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 715-715r)

- 2. Refuge Recreation Act of 1962 (16 U.S.C. 460k-1)
- 3. Emergency Wetlands Resources Act of 1986 [16 U.S.C. 3901(b)]
- 4. Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 [16 U.S.C. 742f]

PURPOSE(S) FOR WHICH ESTABLISHED:

For lands acquired under the Migratory Bird Conservation Act, the purpose of the acquisition is "for use as an inviolate sanctuary, or for any other management purpose, for migratory birds."

For lands acquired under the Refuge Recreation Act, "suitable for (1) incidental fish and wildlife-oriented recreational development, (2) the protection of natural resources, (3) the conservation of endangered species or threatened species . . ." (16 U.S.C. 460k-1).

For lands acquired under the Emergency Wetlands Resources Act of 1986 (16 U.S.C. Section 3901(b) 100 Stat. 3583, "for the conservation of the wetlands of the Nation in order to maintain the public benefits they provide to help fulfill international obligations contained in various migratory bird treaties and conventions."

For lands acquired under the Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 (16 U.S.C. Section 742f (a)(1)), "for the development, advancement, management, conservation, and protection of fish and wildlife resources."

For lands acquired under the Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 (16 U.S.C. Section 742f(b)(1)), "for the benefit of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, in performing its activities and services. Such acceptance may be subject to the terms of any restrictive or affirmative covenant, or condition of servitude, if such terms are deemed by the Secretary to be in accordance with law and compatible with the purpose for which acceptance is sought."

NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE SYSTEM MISSION:

The mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System (Refuge System) is "to administer a national network of lands and waters for the conservation, management and where appropriate, restoration of the fish, wildlife and plant resources and their habitats within the United States for the benefit of present and future generations of Americans." (Refuge System Administration act of 1966, as amended [16 U.S.C. 668dd-668ee]).

DESCRIPTION OF USE:

(a) What is the use? Is the use a priority public use?

To provide and allow access for public recreational fishing opportunities on Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge (NWR). All recreational fishing activities, including allowable methods of taking, limits, species, and open/closed seasons would be consistent with applicable State regulations.

Fishing is one of six priority public uses on national wildlife refuges as defined by the Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, when compatible with the refuge purpose. Fishing is a traditional Maine pastime and the refuge helps inform the public of the need for stewardship of public lands and waters.

(b) Where would the use be conducted?

Fishing would be permitted at 11 fishing access points on the refuge (see Recreational Fishing Plan, Section VII and the refuge website https://www.fws.gov/refuge/rachel_carson/ for maps) as well as any future established fishing locations.

(c) When would the use be conducted?

The refuge adapts State regulations for species fished. Fishing is permitted on the refuge from 30 minutes before sunrise to 30 minutes after sunset. Refuge fishing opportunities are for tidewater habitat which is open year-round to open water fishing.

(d) How would the use be conducted?

All tidal waters of the refuge are open to fishing, and bank fishing is permitted in several areas. We will provide fishing access at nine areas currently available to anglers, plus two new sites at Timber Point. Additionally, refuge signs designating bank fishing access, closed areas, and boundaries are posted to ensure refuge visitors are aware of refuge regulations. No refuge permit is required but an applicable State license must be purchased and on person while fishing.

(e) Why is this use being proposed?

The use is being proposed by the refuge to promote one of the priority public uses of the Refuge System. Providing recreational fishing opportunities will promote stewardship of our natural resources and increase public appreciation and support for the refuge. Department of the Interior Secretarial Order 3356 (September 15, 2017) emphasized identifying opportunities to increase outdoor recreation opportunities for all Americans,

including opportunities to hunt and fish. This legitimate and appropriate use of a national wildlife refuge is generally considered compatible, as long as it does not materially interfere with or detract from the fulfillment of the Refuge System mission or the purposes of the national wildlife refuge.

AVAILABILITY OF RESOURCES:

Sufficient resources are available to continue or expand the fishing program. Existing staff and refuge budget have provided sufficient resources to continue with current management, although the refuge anticipates increased capacity necessitated by the additional of new lands for fishing access. These activities are within the projected budget and staffing capabilities of the refuge to manage.

Annual administrative costs for the refuge fishing program total \$5,000. Our existing staffing chart and budget provide sufficient resources to continue the implementation of the fishing plan. Although we anticipate increased angler activity resulting from the addition of new access points and fishing opportunities, managing those activities falls within the projected budget and staffing capabilities of the refuge.

ANTICIPATED IMPACTS OF USE:

Wildlife

Recreational anglers can affect littoral habitats through the act of bank fishing by creating paths to gain access to water, or parallel to shorelines. This would likely influence wildlife resource allocation, reproduction, or the spatial distribution of individuals, thus indirectly affecting an individual's fitness and population dynamics (Knight and Cole 1995, Lewin et al. 2006). Compared to other non-consumptive land-based activities, recreational bank fishing is considered to have greater impacts on water bird communities, including waterfowl, shorebirds, marsh- and wading-birds, and aquatic species due to the prolonged nature of the activity (Watson et al. 1996, Quan et al. 2002). Often during recreational fishing, anglers lose fishing lines, sinkers, hooks, and other general litter. Angling litter can have negative impacts on the health and survival of sessile invertebrates (Asoh et al. 2004) and vertebrate species such as water birds and turtles, which can become entangled (Chiappone et al 2005). To minimize the effects of angler litter, the refuge employs the "Leave No Trace" policy. Additionally, lead is a known metabolic poison that can negatively influence biological processes in both wildlife and humans (Haig et al. 2014). The use of lead fishing tackle (sinkers and jigs) is a major source of lead deposition in the environment (Bellinger et al. 2013). As such, refuge regulations would require use of non-lead jigs and sinkers to prevent wildlife poisoning.

We anticipate minimal impacts to wildlife through disturbance caused by fishing activity. The refuge fishing access points have been selected to coincide with existing uses to help reduce impacts. Additionally, disturbances to wildlife species will be mitigated by regulations restricting access; therefore, impacts to wildlife from human disturbance would be limited to only small portions of the refuge.

Fish

The fishery resource at Rachel Carson NWR is plentiful and fish species are abundant. Recent studies of recreational fishing have found fish populations to be over-exploited if not properly managed (Coleman et al. 2004). In general, recreational fishing tends to be highly selective for species and size (Lewin et al. 2006). This combination of exploitation and selectivity in recreational fishing can cause direct and indirect effects on fish populations.

Federal and State regulations established by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries, Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (MDIFW), and the Maine Department of Marine Resources (MDMR) apply to the harvest of different fish species in Maine. These agencies review annual reports of fish surveys, total catch, effort, population trends, among other variables and set regulations for catch size, limit, and tackle restrictions. Fish populations on the refuge are seasonally plentiful and are present in numbers sufficient to sustain population levels for priority refuge objectives other than fishing. There have not been biological concerns of unacceptable levels of predation and competition for habitat from recreational fish species. Federal and State regulations will provide guidance for all fishing activities that occurs on the refuge.

Anglers tend to target older and larger fish, which typically have greater reproductive capacity. Their selective removal may reduce the population's overall reproductive success. The likelihood of mortality depends on type of fishing gear used, where the fish was hooked, how the fish is handled, angler experience, and environmental conditions. Fish caught and released with nonlethal injuries could be exposed to parasites, or bacterial or fungal infections. Handling fish also increases stress that may lead to changes in physiology and behavior (Lewin et al. 2006).

Since fishing generally removes individuals from a population, at high levels it can lead to reduced population sizes and loss of genetic diversity. The loss of genetic diversity can ultimately reduce a population's fitness, resilience, and ability to adapt to environmental changes and stressors. The higher the fishing mortality, the greater these types of impacts will be (Lewin et al. 2006).

While fishing does remove individuals from the population, we do not anticipate that projected fishing pressure will affect the refuge's fish population as a whole. Anglers must abide by the State's seasons, catch limits, and regulations to protect the State's fish populations. The refuge's fishing pressure is projected to be sustainable. Other fishing opportunities exist nearby in the southeastern part of Maine and adjacent areas.

Fishing activities have caused no additional adverse impacts to any federally endangered or threatened species. Nesting habitat of endangered and threatened species such as the piping plover and least terms are prohibited to fishing during sensitive times. Signs will be erected to inform the public of any closed areas.

Vegetation and Soil

Medium or heavy use of pathways can impact the natural plant community through soil compaction, erosion, or vegetation trampling (Lewin et al. 2006). Bank fishing is also known to accelerate the natural erosion of river banks following vegetation trampling and die-off, which can jeopardize bank integrity (Waters 1995). The aforementioned environmental impacts associated with bank fishing should be taken into consideration; however, they are expected to be minimal in the long-term. To minimize impacts, and to discourage widening of existing trails and establishment of new trails by the public, signs will be posted to educate anglers. As visitors are seeking access to very specific and productive sites, impacts to trails are expected to be localized and minimal.

Hydrology and Water Quality

Paths used by anglers can affect the hydrology of an area by altering drainage patterns. Some anglers may walk off-trail to access a fishing area, thereby creating new trails and affecting drainage. However, we expect those impacts to be minimal considering anglers are not repeatedly using the same paths, and levels of use are unlikely to create adverse effects. Refuge staff has observed only negligible problems associated with erosion, incision, compaction or stream alteration, and we do not expect any increase in these negligible impacts. The recreational fishing program would not violate Federal or State standards from contributing pollutants to water sources and would comply with the Clean Water Act.

Visitors and Other Uses

Recreational fishing should pose little to no conflict between user groups engaged in other refuge public uses such as hunting, wildlife observation, photography, environmental education or interpretation. Visitors that engage in the Refuge System's priority uses may gain a greater understanding and appreciation for the role of the Refuge System in the conservation of fish, wildlife, and their habitats. In fact, visitors engaging in wildlife photography and observation could benefit from a fishing program by increasing access to refuge lands.

There could be some conflicts, however, with those who enjoy the aesthetic value of the land. With any increase of visitors to refuge land, additional litter and trampling of vegetation and soil compaction would likely occur. Trails/paths that are created and trash left behind would take away from the naturalness of the land, and result in conflicts with users who access refuge land for photography or wildlife observations. This impact could be lessened or avoided by designating specific access routes to water edges, and consolidating trails/paths to certain areas. Information about the effects of littering on refuge land and regulations may be posted in certain areas open for fishing to help deter people from littering and educate people about the importance of a healthy environment. Several times a year, staff, volunteers, and Youth Conservation Corps members will clean parking areas and pick up trash, helping to alleviate this issue.

Adverse effects to other wildlife are not expected under this plan. Disturbances would be minimal, as wildlife would have undisturbed areas to take shelter to minimize stress. Designated access routes would also decrease disturbances to any nesting wildlife, such as

the saltmarsh and Nelson's sparrow.

Cultural Resources

Limited to no cultural resource conflicts would result from the implementation of the Recreational Fishing Plan.

PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT:

This Compatibility Determination (CD) is part of the Rachel Carson NWR Recreational Fishing Plan and the accompanying Environmental Assessment (EA). The plan was coordinated with all interested and/or affected parties, including the Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife and Maine Department of Marine Resources staff. The public will be notified of the availability of the Rachel Carson NWR Recreational Fishing Plan, EA, and accompanying CDs with a 30-day review and comment period. We will inform the public through local venues, the refuge website, and social media.

<u>DETERMINATION (CHECK ONE BELOW):</u>

	_Use is not compatible
X	Use is compatible, with the following stipulations

STIPULATIONS NECESSARY TO ENSURE COMPATIBILITY:

The following stipulations will be implemented and/or maintained to ensure compatibility:

- Anglers are prohibited from using any lead fishing tackle, including lead jigs, sinkers, lines, and lures.
- Anglers are prohibited from trapping fish on the refuge to use as bait.
- Fishing will be restricted to areas that have been designated and posted.
- Fishing is only allowed from 30 minutes before sunrise to 30 minutes after sunset.

JUSTIFICATION:

The Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 identifies fishing as a priority public use. Priority public uses are to receive enhanced consideration when developing goals and objectives for refuges if they are determined to be compatible. Providing fishing opportunities will promote public appreciation and support for the refuge. Recreational fishing will not materially interfere with or detract from the mission of the Refuge System or the purposes for which the refuge was established. We, therefore, find that public fishing conducted according to the State of Maine seasons and limits will be compatible with the principles of sound wildlife management and otherwise in the public interest (50 CFR § 32.1.)

SIGNATURE:		
Refuge Manager		
	(Signature)	(Date)
CONCURRENCE:		
Regional Chief		
	(Signature)	(Date)
MANDATORY 15 Y	EAR RE-EVALUATION DATE:	
		(Date)

REFERENCES:

- Asoh, K., T. Yoshikawa, R. Kosaki, and E.A. Marschall. 2004. Damage to cauliflower coral by monofilament fishing lines in Hawaii. Conservation Biology 18:1645-1650.
- Bellinger, D.C., J. Burdger, T.J. Cade, D.A. Cory-Slechta, M. Finkelstein, H.Hu, M. Kosnett, P.J. Landrigan, B. Lanphear, M.A. Pokras, P.T. Redig, B.A. Rideout, E. Silbergeld, R. Wright, and D.R. Smith. 2013. Health risks from lead-based ammunition in the environment. Environmental Health Perspective 121:A178-A179.
- Chiappone, M., H. Dienes, D.W. Swanson, and S.L. Miller. 2005. Impacts of lost fishing gear on coral reef sessile invertebrates in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary. Biological Conservation. 121:221-230.
- Coleman, F.G.C., W.F. Figueria, J. Ueland, and L.B. Crowder. 2004. The impacts of United States recreational fisheries on marine fish populations. Science 305:1958-1960.
- Haig, S.M., J. D'Elia, C. Eagles-Smith, J.M. Fair, J. Gervais, G. Herring, J.W. Rivers, and J.H. Schulz. 2014. The persistent problem of lead poisoning in birds from ammunition and fishing tackle. The Condor 116 (3): 408-428.
- Knight, R.L. and D.N. Cole. 1995. Wildlife responses to recreationists. *Wildlife and Recreationists, R.L. Knight and K.J. Gutzwiller, eds.* Island Press, Covelo, California.
- Lewin, W., R. Arlinghaus, and T. Mehner. 2006. Documneted and potential biological impacts of recreational fishing: Insights for management and conservation. Reviews in Fisheries Science 14:305-367.
- Quan, R.-C., X. Wen, and X. Yang. 2002. Effects of human activities on migratory waterbirds at Lashihai Lake, China. Biological Conservation 108: 273-279.
- Waters, T.F. 1995. Sediment in streams; sources, biological effects, and control. Fisheries Society Monograph 7, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Watson, J.J., G.I.H. Kerley, and A McLachlan. 1996. A human activity and potential impacts of dune breeding birds in the Alexandia coastal dunefield. Landscape and Urban Planning 34:315-32

APPENDIX B

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR RECREATIONAL FISHING AT RACHEL CARSON NWR

Environmental Assessment for Recreational Fishing at Rachel Carson NWR

This Environmental Assessment (EA) is being prepared to evaluate the effects associated with this proposed action and complies with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR 1500-1509) and Department of the Interior (43 CFR 46; 516 DM 8) and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) (550 FW 3) regulations and policies. NEPA requires examination of the effects of proposed actions on the natural and human environment.

Proposed Action

The Service is proposing to expand fishing opportunities for fresh- and salt-water finfish on the Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge (NWR, refuge) in accordance with the refuge's Comprehensive Conservation Plan (CCP) (USFWS 2007). Rachel Carson NWR consists of 11 refuge divisions along 50 miles of the southern Maine coastline protecting approximately 5,690 acres of coastal wetlands, and upland habitat. We also propose to provide new access opportunities by opening the Little River at Timber Point to fishing.

Background

National wildlife refuges are guided by the mission and goals of the National Wildlife Refuge System (Refuge System), the purposes of an individual refuge, Service policy, and laws and international treaties. Relevant guidance includes the Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, as amended by the Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, Refuge Recreation Act of 1962, and selected portions of the Code of Federal Regulations and Service Manual.

The refuge was established:

- For lands acquired under the Migratory Bird Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. §715-715r), as amended, "...for use as an inviolate sanctuary, or for any other management purpose, for migratory birds...." (16 U.S.C. §715d).
- "...suitable for - 1) incidental fish and wildlife oriented recreational development, 2) protection of natural resources, 3) conservation of endangered or threatened species ..." 16 U.S.C. section 460k-1 Refuge Recreation Act.
- "...conservation of wetlands of the Nation in order to maintain the public benefits they provide to help fulfill international obligations contained in various migratory bird treaties and conventions..." 16 U.S.C. Section 13901(b) 100 Stat 3583 Emergency Wetlands Resources Act of 1986.
- "...for the development, advancement, management, conservation and protection of fish and wildlife resources ..." 16 U.S.C. Section 742f(a)(1) Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956.

• "... for the benefit of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, in performing its activities and services" 16 U.S.C. Section 742f(b)(1) Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956.

The mission of the Refuge System, as outlined by the Refuge System Administration Act (NWRSAA), as amended by the Refuge System Improvement Act (16 U.S.C. 668dd et seq.), is to:

"... administer a national network of lands and waters for the conservation, management and, where appropriate, restoration of the fish, wildlife, and plant resources and their habitats within the United States for the benefit of present and future generations of Americans"

The NWRSAA mandates the Secretary of the Interior in administering the System to (16 U.S.C. 668dd(a)(4):

- Provide for the conservation of fish, wildlife, and plants, and their habitats within the Refuge System;
- Ensure that the biological integrity, diversity, and environmental health of the Refuge System are maintained for the benefit of present and future generations of Americans;
- Ensure that the mission of the Refuge System described at 16 U.S.C. 668dd(a)(2) and the purposes of each refuge are carried out;
- Ensure effective coordination, interaction, and cooperation with owners of land adjoining refuges and the fish and wildlife agency of the States in which the units of the Refuge System are located;
- Assist in the maintenance of adequate water quantity and water quality to fulfill the mission of the Refuge System and the purposes of each refuge;
- Recognize compatible wildlife-dependent recreational uses as the priority general public uses of the Refuge System through which the American public can develop an appreciation for fish and wildlife;
- Ensure that opportunities are provided within the Refuge System for compatible wildlifedependent recreational uses; and
- Monitor the status and trends of fish, wildlife, and plants in each refuge.

Therefore, it is a priority of the Service to provide for wildlife-dependent recreation opportunities, including hunting and fishing, when those opportunities are compatible with the purposes for which the refuge was established and the mission of the Refuge System.

Currently, nine of the refuge's rivers are accessible for recreational fishing and seasonally support one or more of the targeted recreational fisheries. Chauncey Creek, Brave Boat Tidal Creek, Ogunquit River, Stevens Brook, Webhannet River, Merriland River/Skinner Mill, Mousam River, Goosefare Brook, and Spurwink River have supported fishing activities for hundreds of years and were incorporated into the previous Refuge Fish Plan in 2000.

Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action

Fishing is identified as one of the six priority public uses by Executive Order 12996 (March 25, 1996), and legislatively mandated by the NWRSAA of 1966, as amended by the Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (Public Law 105-57) and reinforced as priority uses by Department of the Interior Secretarial Order 3356 (September 15, 2017). The need for action revolves around recreational fishing as a priority use and the requirement to allow fishing that is compatible with the purpose of the refuge and consistency with State regulations. Additionally, fishing is a healthy, traditional recreational use of renewable natural resources deeply rooted in America's heritage, and it can be an important wildlife management tool.

Department of the Interior Secretarial Order 3356, signed in 2017, directs the Service to enhance and expand public access to lands and waters on national wildlife refuges for hunting, fishing, recreational shooting, and other forms of outdoor recreation. The proposed action will also promote one of the priority public uses of the Refuge System, and will promote stewardship of our natural resources and increase public appreciation and support for the refuge by providing additional opportunities for visitors to fish. To address the needs stated above, the purpose of the proposed action will bring the refuge into compliance with the management guidance detailed in the orders, policy, and Federal law to "recognize compatible wildlife-dependent recreational uses as the priority general uses of the Refuge System" and "ensure that opportunities are provided within the Refuge System for compatible wildlife-dependent recreational uses" 16 U.S.C. 668dd (a)(4). Finally, the proposed action will help to meet the statement of objectives detailed in the Recreational Fishing Plan, including opening an additional area—specifically the Little River at Timber Point—of Rachel Carson NWR for recreational fishing. This change will provide the public with additional recreational opportunities, and give managers added flexibility in managing wildlife populations on refuge lands.

The refuge's CCP was approved on June 9, 2007, and is intended to provide guidance for the planning process to address long-term management goals. Through this process, it was determined that fishing is compatible with refuge purposes. The CCP specifically identifies opening additional refuge lands that can biologically, ecologically, and safely accommodate fishing.

This EA serves as the NEPA document which analyzes the impacts on environmental, cultural, and historical resources of expanding fishing opportunities on the refuge.

Alternatives Considered

A. Alternative A: No Action/Current Management

Under this alternative, coastal fishing will remain available at the Moody, Upper and Lower Wells, Mousam River and Spurwink River divisions. State

and Federal and refuge specific regulations will apply to any fishing at these locales. The Recreational Fishing Plan and refuge specific regulations will continue to be evaluated annually and modified when necessary.

B. Alternative B: Expanded Fishing Opportunities, Limited Shore Access (Preferred Alternative)

Under the preferred alternative, the Service proposes expanding the annual fishing program at Rachel Carson NWR to include two shoreline sites along the Little River at Timber Point. These two areas together total approximately 2,383 meters.

C. Alternative C: Expanded Fishing Opportunities, Full Shore Access

Under this alternative, the Service would expand the annual fishing program at Rachel Carson NWR to include shoreline fishing on the Little River at Timber Point. This action would open the entire shoreline of the Little River at Timber Point including the land bridge and Timber Island, approximately 3,000 meters.

Affected Environment

The refuge consists of approximately 5,690 acres within York and Cumberland Counties, Maine. Rachel Carson NWR is primarily coastal wetland and upland habitat (Table 1a). Table 1b shows the Statewide General Daily Bag, Possession and Length Limits for Fish Species (South Zone). Tables 2 through 7 provide additional, brief descriptions of each resource affected by the proposed action. For more information regarding the affected environment, please see Chapter 3 of the refuge's CCP, which can be found here:

 $https://www.fws.gov/northeast/planning/Rachel\% 20 Carson/Final CCP/3_CHAPTER_3.pdf.$

TABLE 1A. PRIMARY REFUGE HABITAT TYPES

Habitat Type	Description
Uplands	Mixed oak and pine forest compose the majority of upland tree communities; however, stands of hemlock (<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>), spruce (<i>Picea spp.</i>), pitch pine (<i>Pinus rigida</i>), hickory (<i>Carya spp.</i>) and maple (<i>Acer spp.</i>) also occur. Shrub understory is composed mainly of viburnums, winterberry (<i>Ilex verticillata</i>), blueberry (<i>Vaccinium corymbosum</i>), serviceberry (<i>Amelanchier arborea</i>), and Virginia rose (<i>Rosa virginiana</i>). Other upland habitats include grasslands and thickets (USFWS 2007).
Tidal	Tidal habitats include beach, dune, dune grassland, river, rocky shore, estuarine, bay and salt marsh. Regularly flooded salt marshes are predominantly saltmarsh cordgrass (<i>Spartina alternaflora</i>), while irregularly flooded saltmarshes are dominated by salt meadow cordgrass (<i>Spartina patens</i>), salt grass (<i>Distichlis spicata</i>) and black grass (<i>Juncus</i>

	gerardi) (USFWS 2007).
Freshwater Wetlands	Comprised of cattail marsh (<i>Typha spp.</i>), bog, emergent scrub-shrub wetland, pocket swamp, red maple swamp (<i>Acer rubrum</i>), and floodplain forests (USFWS 2007).

TABLE 1B. STATEWIDE GENERAL DAILY BAG, POSSESSION AND LENGTH LIMITS (SOUTH ZONE)

Zonz	Daily Bag & Possession Limits		Length Limit	
Species	Lakes/Ponds	Rivers, Streams, Brooks	Lakes/Ponds	Rivers, Streams, Brooks
Brook Trout	2 fish 5 fish		6 inches minimum	6 inches minimum
Brown Trout	2 fish	2 fish	14 inches minimum	6" min & 25" max
Rainbow Trout	2 fish 2 fish		12 inches minimum	6 inches minimum
Landlocked Salmon	2 fish	2 fish	14 inches minimum	14" min & 25" max
Togue (Lake Trout)	2 fish	2 fish	18 inches minimum	18 inches minimum
Species	Daily Bag & Possession Limits Length Limit			
Bass (Largemouth & Smallmouth)	2 fish		No minimum ler may exceed 14 i	
Whitefish	3 fish		None	
Smelts	melts 2 quarts		None	
Sea-run Atlantic Salmon	Federally Endangered Species - No Fishing Permitted for this Species		itted for this	
Inland Species not listed above	Unlimited		None	
Striped Bass, Shad, River Herring, American Eel, Sturgeon	See Recreational Angling for Migratory Fish			
Inland species not listed above	Unlimited		None	

Environmental Consequences of the Action

This section analyzes the environmental consequences of the action on each affected resource, including direct and indirect effects. This Environmental Assessment (EA) focuses primarily on analyses of the environmental consequences on a resource when the impacts on that resource could be more than negligible and therefore considered an "affected resource." Resources that will not be more than negligibly impacted by the action have been dismissed from further analyses.

Impact Types

- **Direct effects** are those which are caused by the action and occur at the same time and place.
- Indirect effects are those which are caused by the action and are later in time or farther removed in distance, but are still reasonably foreseeable. Effects includes ecological (such as the effects on natural resources and on the components, structures, and functioning of affected ecosystems), aesthetic, historic, cultural, economic, social, or health, whether direct, indirect, or cumulative. Effects may also include those resulting from actions which may have both beneficial and detrimental effects, even if on balance the agency believes that the effect will be beneficial.
- *Cumulative impacts* result from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency (Federal or non-federal) or person undertakes such other actions.

TABLE 2. AFFECTED NATURAL RESOURCES AND ANTICIPATED IMPACTS

AFFECTED RESOURCE	ANTICPATED DIRECT AND INDIRECT IMPACTS
Target Fish Species	Alternative A: No Action
The refuge contains saltwater	Under this alternative, fishing would occur on nine sites
and brackish sites that support	of the refuge. The current fishing plan has been in effect
fish populations. Species	since 2000, and has been found compatible with refuge
including alewife, pollock,	objectives and other public use programs. The State of
brook trout, brown trout,	Maine sets bag limits to ensure that fishing does not
Atlantic mackerel and striped	impact sustainable populations of fish species, and all
bass were found in the	fishing on the refuge is regulated by these State
Ogunquit River, the	regulations on bag limits. The areas open to fishing would
Webhannet River, the	not expand, eliminating additional mortality to fish
Merriland River, the Mousan	species in these areas. Under this scenario, approximately
River, the Spurwink River, and	615 fishing visits occur on the refuge each year.
the Little River.	
Fish populations on the refuge	
are seasonally plentiful and are	

present in numbers sufficient to sustain population levels for priority refuge objectives other than fishing. There have not been biological concerns of unacceptable levels of predation and competition for habitat from recreational fish species.

The Statewide general daily bag, possession and length limits for fish in the South Zone of Maine can be found in Table 1b.

Alternative B: Open Areas Shore Access

Under this alternative, fishing would occur on 11 sites of the refuge. Designated areas previously closed on the Little River at Timber Point would be open to the public for hook and line shoreline fishing. We anticipate approximately 5 more anglers per day, with fishing visits increasing about 200 per year, up to 800 total each year.

Additional mortality of fish species (striped bass, Atlantic mackerel, bluefish, alewife, shad, pollock, menhaden, winter flounder, brown trout, and brook trout) could occur in the newly opened area.

While fishing does remove individuals from the population, we do not anticipate that projected fishing pressure will affect the refuge's fish population as a whole. Anglers must abide by the State's seasons, catch limits, and regulations to protect the State's fish populations. The refuge's fishing pressure is projected to be sustainable. Other fishing opportunities also exist nearby in the southeastern part of Maine and adjacent areas. The practice and popularity of catch-and-release fishing would also minimize impacts on the fish populations.

Alternative C: Full Shore Access

Under this alternative, impacts to target fish species would be nearly identical to Alternative B: Open Areas Shore Access. We anticipate approximately 7 more anglers per day than the No Action Alternative, with fishing visits increasing by 400 per year, up to 1,000 total each year.

Non-Target Fish Species

Non-target fish species would include mummichog, sticklebacks, and Atlantic silverside.

The mummichog (*Fundulus heteroclitus*) is a small killifish found along the Atlantic coast of the United States and Canada. Also known as Atlantic killifish, mummies,

Alternative A: No Action

Minimal disturbance to non-target fish species would occur under the fish plan. New areas would not be opened and fishing would only occur on the nine sites where it has in the past. Disturbance to non-target fish species would be minimal in duration and localized. All non-target fish species that are not permitted for recreational catch by State or Federal regulations, or fish incidentally caught, must be released immediately, alive and uninjured.

Alternative B: Open Areas Shore Access Under the preferred action alternative, increased

gudgeons, and mud minnows, these fish inhabit brackish and coastal waters including estuaries and salt marshes. The species is noted for its hardiness and ability to tolerate highly variable salinity, temperature fluctuations from 6 to 35 °C (43 to 95 °F), very low oxygen levels (down to 1 mg/L), and heavily polluted ecosystems.

Sticklebacks are small, scaleless fish with spines along their back in front of their dorsal fin. Three-spined sticklebacks are quite plentiful in the Gulf of Maine.
Sticklebacks are bottomfeeders that mainly eat tiny crustaceans.

The Atlantic silverside (Menidia menidia), also known as spearing in the northeast of the United States, are a common subject of scientific research because of their sensitivity to environmental changes. The fish are often found swimming in brackish waters, such as near the mouths of rivers and streams that connect with the sea. The Atlantic silverside's predators are larger predatory fish – striped bass, blue fish, Atlantic mackerel – and many shore birds, including egrets, terns, cormorants, and gulls.

disturbance to non-target fish species in the Little River, tidal waters, and associated saltmarsh pannes and pools would be minimal. Similar disturbances to non-target fish species are expected as described in the No Action Alternative. Specific saltmarsh areas with sensitive panne and pool habitats would be posted and closed to fishing and public access. Removal of top predators (i.e. striped bass) by anglers has the potential to increase local abundance of prey species; however, this impact is expected to be minimal due to the low anticipated number of anglers, and that they are dispersed over a large area of the refuge.

Alternative C: Full Shore Access

Under the full shore access alternative, increased disturbance to non-target fish species in the main channel of the Little River and tidal waters would be minimal. Fish species, common mummichogs (Fundulus heteroclitus), sticklebacks (Gasterosteidae), or Atlantic silverside (*Menidia menidi*), that reside in marsh pannes and pools may experience disturbance and increased mortality under this alternative. Public use of this area is expected to be low. However, continual and unregulated foot traffic around specific marsh areas could eventually lead to habitat degradation, increased erosion, soil compaction, and destruction of the panne habitat, potentially causing a decline in these localized fish populations. Conversely, removal of top predators (i.e. striped bass) by anglers has the potential to increase local abundance of prey species; however, this impact is expected to be minimal as noted for Alternative B.

Other Wildlife Species

The refuge supports a diversity of wildlife species of coastal Maine including reptiles, amphibians, and invertebrates, which are important contributors to the overall biodiversity on the refuge. Songbirds, raptors, and waterbirds utilize the refuge for breeding and feeding, whereas shorebirds and waterfowl primarily utilize the refuge as wintering and migratory habitat.

Alternative A: No Action

Under all alternatives, there would continue to be negligible adverse impacts to native wildlife from visitors walking along the existing 1.4-mile Timber Point Trail. Potential impacts from anglers on native wildlife include avoidance or departure from the site, altered behavior or habituation due to human disturbance, and potential negative impacts from angling litter. (Asoh et al. 2004).

Alternative B: Open Areas Shore Access

Under the preferred alternative, we anticipate low levels of fishing at Timber Point relative to other refuge fishing locations, and therefore do not expect greater than negligible impacts from anglers. We anticipate an increase of approximately 5 anglers per day restricted to two sites along the Little River shoreline, which would create a "sanctuary" for shorebirds and waterfowl along the middle section of the river adjacent to the freshwater-shrub-wetland habitat, and on the south and east side of the Timber Point shoreline. Shorebirds and waterfowl may experience minor, short term, and localized disturbance from anglers as discussed above, but the restricted area along the Little River should provide asylum for these species.

Alternative C: Full Shore Access

Under Alternative C, we would expect similar impacts as under Alternative A and B. Anglers (up to 7 additional anglers per day) using the entire shoreline may cause greater disturbance to shorebirds and waterfowl using the area for staging and feeding, as an increased number of encounters would likely cause shorebirds to alter behavior. Undisturbed shoreline is rare in southern Maine and opening the entire shoreline area may have a greater impact on localized shorebird populations than Alternative B.

Threatened and Endangered Species and Other Special Status Species

Two federally listed species of birds, the piping plover and roseate tern, and one State listed species the least tern, regularly occur on the refuge during summer months. The

Alternative A: No Action

No significant impacts would occur to threatened and endangered species under this alternative. Three species of birds protected under State and Federal laws regularly occur on the refuge during spring and summer months: roseate terns (federally and State endangered), piping plovers (federally threatened and State endangered), and least terns (State endangered). Piping plovers and least terns nest on sandy beaches and dunes from late March

Northern long-eared bat has been acoustically detected on two refuge divisions. The New England cottontail occurs on the refuge and was a candidate species for Federal listing; however, it is no longer on the candidate species list. The spotted turtle (*Clemmys* guttata) is listed by the State of Maine as threatened and have been documented at Timber Point. Spotted turtles are associated with acidic wetlands, vernal pools in large forests, shrub swamps, wet meadows, bogs, forested swamps, and stream habitats.

Three species of federally protected anadromous fish occur in Maine waters and potentially on the refuge. Shortnose sturgeon (federally endangered), Atlantic sturgeon (federally threatened), and Atlantic salmon (federally endangered) are prohibited from fishing in Maine.

through July. Roseate terns do not nest on the refuge but use its beaches in late July and August as staging grounds before migration. These nesting beaches are not open to fishing; neither the birds nor their nesting habitat would be adversely impacted by fishing on the refuge.

Northern long-eared bats (federally threatened) occur on the refuge. They prefer mature forest with a complex structure. Roost and maternity trees will not be damaged or disturbed by fishing activities. We do not see any adverse impacts to the Northern long-eared bat populations. Little brown bats (*Myotis lucifugus*, State endangered), and Eastern small-footed bat (*Myotis leibii*, State threatened), occur on the refuge. Fishing activities are not expected to have any adverse impacts on roost trees or buildings. The refuge is also closed from dusk to dawn, when bats are most active. We do not see fishing activities causing any adverse impacts on little brown or Eastern small-footed bats.

The New England cottontail is listed by the State of Maine as endangered. Under the historic fishing plan, disturbances to this species have been minimal during the fishing season. Its preferred habitat is early successional forests and thickets. A limited number of brief and localized disturbances could occur as anglers walk near thickets by fishing areas. Although, these disturbances are unlikely since know rabbit locations are generally far away from fishing areas. Dogs are also prohibited on all open areas of fishing, which would further minimize impacts on the New England cottontail.

The spotted turtle (*Clemmys guttata*) is listed by the State of Maine as threatened and have been documented at Timber Point. Spotted turtles are associated with acidic wetlands, vernal pools in large forests, shrub swamps, wet meadows, bogs, forested swamps, and stream habitats. Refuge visitors may encounter spotted turtles when walking the trails or heading into fishing areas. Refuge visitors are encouraged to leave no trace, respect wildlife, and must not touch, move, or harass wildlife at any refuge location.

Three species of federally protected anadromous fish occur in Maine waters. Shortnose sturgeon (federally endangered), Atlantic sturgeon (federally threatened), and

Atlantic salmon (federally endangered) are prohibited from fishing in Maine. Any listed fish that are incidentally caught, must be released immediately, alive, uninjured and without removing from the water. Under this alternative we anticipate no negative impacts on these fish species.

Alternative B: Open Areas Shore Access

Disturbances to threatened and endangered species would be very similar under this alternative as they are under the No Action Alternative A. Nesting beaches would be closed to all fishing and there would be no impact on the birds or their nesting habitat. Small numbers of roseate terns have occasionally been seen loafing on the rocky areas near Timber Point. Anglers using the area may cause some disturbance to roseate terns using the area for staging and feeding. These disturbances would be minor, short-term, and localized, resulting in an insignificant impact on roseate tern populations. Large areas of the shoreline at Timber Point are closed to public use and provide undisturbed loafing areas. If threatened or endangered shorebirds expanded their nesting or staging habitats into the proposed fishing areas, signs and symbolic fencing would be erected to prohibit foot traffic and human disturbance during the nesting season. The signage and symbolic fencing have been proven to be effective tools to prevent human disturbance around shorebird nest and staging areas. New England cottontails have not been documented at Timber Point and fishing would have no impact on their population. Shortnose sturgeon, Atlantic sturgeon, and Atlantic salmon have not been documented in the Little River and are prohibited from fishing in Maine.

Spotted turtles have been documented at Timber Point. Fishing activities are guided towards the tidal waters of the Little River and generally away from prime spotted turtle habitat. Vehicle traffic through Timber Point is limited to refuge staff, which will minimize turtle road mortality. Other brief disturbances could occur as visitors walking to fishing locations may encounter turtles moving across land, but these disturbances by anglers should be similar to any other public use area on the refuge.

Refuge visitors are encouraged to respect wildlife and must not touch, move, or harass any wildlife. We do not

anticipate any adverse impacts to any State or federally protected species.

Alternative C: Full Shore Access

Under Alternative C, we would expect similar impacts as under Alternative A and B.

Vegetation (including vegetation of special management concern)

Vegetation varies throughout refuge; see Table 1a for full habitat descriptions.

Alternative A: No Action

Under this alternative, the nine sites currently open for fishing, located between Kittery and Scarborough, would remain open as per the original fish plan. No additional access points would be opened at Timber Point. Anglers can park on roads near access sites, or can reach these sites via boardwalk, minimizing soil erosion and adverse effects.

Alternative B: Open Areas Shore Access

Under the preferred alternative, the refuge fish program would expand to include limited access to the Little River at Timber Point. The number of anglers would increase by approximately 5 per day. More anglers using the refuge could lead to a slight increase in incidental littering and vehicular traffic. However, angler density is expected to remain manageably low, and damage to refuge habitats should be minimal. Under this option, the large marsh habitat with numerous pannes would be closed to fishing and foot traffic, preventing erosion and negative impacts to these sensitive habitats. The closed area is adjacent to the largest parcel of freshwater-shrubland-wetland habitat on the refuge.

Existing refuge parking at Timber Point may limit the number of visitors, including anglers, at any given time. There are no current plans to expand parking availability at Timber Point. Limited parking as compared to unlimited parking, may serve as visitor control mechanism to further reduce damage to vegetation and soils from overuse.

Alternative C: Full Shore Access

Under the Alternative C, the refuge fish program would expand to include full shore access to the Little River at Timber Point. The number of anglers could increase to approximately 7 per day. More anglers using the refuge could lead to an increase in incidental littering and vehicle traffic, although angler density is expected to remain

manageably low. There is potential for an increase in localized soil erosion, marsh compaction, and damage to vegetation with the increased foot traffic along the shore of the Little River, particularly along large marsh areas with sensitive panne habitat. Annual review of the program would allow managers to amend the fishing plan if it is found to cause excessive damage to refuge habitat. Water Resources **Alternative A: No Action** Water resources on the refuge Under this alternative, the nine sites currently open for include marshes, tidal creeks fishing, located between Kittery and Scarborough, would and rivers. Fishing is available remain open as per the original plan. No significant at the Ogunquit River, the impacts to water resources would occur under this Webhannet River, the alternative. Merriland River, the Mousan River, the Spurwink River, and **Alternative B: Open Areas Shore Access** the Little River. Disturbances to water resources would be very similar as they are under the No Action Alternative. **Alternative C: Full Shore Access** Under the Alternative C, the refuge fish program would expand to include full shore access to the Little River at Timber Point. The number of anglers would increase as the additional angling opportunities are utilized. More anglers using the refuge could lead to an increase in incidental littering, trampling of vegetation and vehicle traffic. These effects have the potential, when excessive, to lead to denudation of the soil surface and soil erosion which can negatively effect water quality through runoff causing an increase in turbidity and nutrients in the water column. However, angler density is expected to remain manageably low, and these adverse impacts are not expected.

TABLE 3. AFFECTED CULTURAL RESOURCES AND ANTICIPATED IMPACTS

AFFECTED RESOURCE	ANTICPATED DIRECT AND INDIRECT IMPACTS
The refuge contains 50 known	The implementation of any alternative will pose no threat
archaeological sites, 13 of	to historically significant areas on the refuge. Locations
which are eligible for inclusion	of historically significant structures are described in the
in the National Register of	Timber Point EA and all buildings are designated for
Historic Places. In 2016,	long-term preservation under the National Register of
Timber Point in Biddeford,	Historic Places (USFWS 2014).

Maine was registered as a Historic District in the National Register of Historic Places (NPS, 2016). Only a small percentage (less than 1 percent) of refuge lands has been evaluated for the presence of archaeological resources.

Current and new fishing areas and access routes are geographically separated from historic structures, and fishing activities will cause no adverse impacts to these structures. "Area Closed" signs are used throughout the refuge to protect cultural resources from anglers and other visitors.

TABLE 4. AFFECTED VISITOR USE AND ANTICIPATED IMPACTS

AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

Under each alternative, additional members of the public will be exposed to the refuge, fostering increased support for stewardship of the land and the refuge's conservation goals.

Data collected from the FY2019 RAPP suggests that of the 276,100 annual refuge visits, only 615 of those visits (or 0.22 percent) were for the purpose of recreational fishing. Additionally, the majority of fishing-accessible areas are closed to other public uses. In areas where both fishing and other uses coincide, the refuge is clearly marked with signs.

ANTICIPATED DIRECT AND INDIRECT IMPACTS

Alternative A: No Action Alternative

Fishing is a popular recreational activity enjoyed by many Maine residents and visitors alike. In 2011, 341,000 residents and non-residents participated in fishing in Maine (USFWS, USCB 2011). Rachel Carson NWR continues to be an important provider of public fishing lands along the coast of southern Maine. This alternative continues to provide anglers with a quality wildlife oriented experience. Conflicts with other priority public uses have not been a problem in the past. Under this scenario, approximately 615 fishing visits would be expected to the refuge each year.

Alternative B: Open Areas Shore Access

Impacts to other public uses would be similar to those under Alternative A. The proposed alternative would promote a wildlife-dependent recreational opportunity on the refuge. This alternative would open an additional access site capable of ecologically sustaining fishing along the Little River at Timber Point. This alternative would meet the growing public demand for fishing on the refuge, and would be compatible with goals developed in the CCP and in line with the purposes for which the refuge was established. More people would be introduced to the mission and goals of the Refuge System, giving them a greater appreciation for environmental stewardship and conservation. Under this alternative, fishing visits might increase by 200 per year, up to 800 total each year.

Expanding fishing opportunities to encompass more land has the potential to increase conflicts between anglers and other refuge visitors/private property owners. Conflicts between anglers and other public users have been limited in the past and we anticipate to remain minimal in the future. Site-specific conflict between different recreational user groups may occur over limited parking at Timber Point. Parking is on a first-come basis to be fair to all user groups. However, should significantly more conflicts occur, managers will evaluate solutions to resolve them, and maintain a high quality public use program for all user groups.

Alternative C: Full Shore Access

Under Alternative C, impact to wildlife-dependent recreation would be similar to the impacts under alternative B. Under this alternative, fishing visits might increase by 400 per year, up to 1,000 total each year. Expanding fishing opportunities has the potential to increase conflicts between anglers and other refuge visitors/private property owners, but conflicts between anglers and other public users have been limited in the past and we anticipate to remain minimal in the future. Angler density is expected to remain manageably low, and these adverse impacts are not expected.

TABLE 5. AFFECTED REFUGE MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS AND ANTICIPATED IMPACTS

AFFECTED RESOURCE	ANTICPATED DIRECT AND INDIRECT IMPACTS
Implementation of any	Alternative A: No Action Alternative
alternative will be conducted	Current impacts on refuge facilities have been negligible.
within the capabilities of	There is no impact to refuge-maintained roads as all
existing facilities (i.e., parking	fishing areas are accessed by public roads. The refuge
areas, roads, trails).	provides sufficient parking areas near each access site
Maintenance of these facilities	allowing anglers to park legally and safely, without
in the future may cause short-	interfering with traffic. Parking in non-designated areas
term disturbances to soils and	will be enforced by local and refuge Law Enforcement.
vegetation; however, these	In the past, periodic maintenance to parking areas
impacts will be minimal.	represents a minimal cost to the overall refuge budget.
Annual fishing administration	Alternative B: Open Areas Shore Access
costs for Rachel Carson NWR	Sufficient resources are available to expand the fishing
would include salary, law	program. Existing staff and refuge budget have provided
enforcement, maintenance of	sufficient resources to continue with proposed
	management, although the refuge anticipates some

sites, and communication with the public.

increased capacity necessitated by the addition of new lands for hunting and fishing access. These activities are within the projected budget and staffing capabilities of the refuge to manage. Annual administrative costs for the refuge fishing program total \$5,000.

Parking is limited at Timber Point and there may be times when the parking lot is filled to capacity. Parking in non-designated areas will be enforced by local and refuge law enforcement, which will help minimize damage around the parking lot. Angler foot traffic will also be monitored and access trails may be established in the future to mitigate any widespread trampling of vegetation.

Alternative C: Full Shore Access

Under the preferred alternative, impacts to refuge facilities will be similar to Alternative B. Expanding fishing opportunities has the potential to increase administrative costs, but we anticipate that the relative angler density to remain manageably low, and the costs to remain mostly unchanged.

TABLE 6. AFFECTED SOCIOECONOMICS AND ANTICIPATED IMPACTS

	_
A FFFCTFD	ENVIDONMENT

Local and regional economies

The refuge lies along 50 miles of coastline in York and Cumberland Counties, Maine, and these counties are the most populous in the State, with a combined population of approximately 488,000 (www.maine.gov).

Tourism is an important driver of the economy in these counties, both of which have very high visitation, particularly during the summer months. Fishing and other outdoor activities are very popular with residents of Maine and visitors

ANTICIPATED DIRECT AND INDIRECT IMPACTS

Alternative A: No Action Alternative

The current program has a minor, long-term beneficial impact to the local economy.

Alternative B: Open Areas Shore Access

Fishing use of the refuge would only slightly increase as a result of the proposed action of increasing the number of areas open to fishing from 9 to 11. The 200 additional annual visits would have slight long-term economic benefits to local communities and thus local and regional economies as new anglers spend more in the area through a multiplier effect.

Alternative C: Full Shore Access

Under the preferred alternative, impacts to refuge facilities will be similar to Alternative B.

to the coast alike. The refuge averages around 280,000 visitors per year.	
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low- Income Populations, requires all Federal agencies to incorporate environmental justice into their missions by identifying and addressing disproportionately high or adverse human health or environmental effects of their programs and policies on minorities and low-income populations and communities.	The Service has not identified any potential high and adverse environmental or human health impacts from this proposed action or any of the alternatives. The Service has identified no minority or low-income communities within the impact area. Minority or low-income communities will not be disproportionately affected by any impacts from this proposed action or any of the alternatives.

Cumulative Impact Analysis

Cumulative impacts are defined as "the impact on the environment which results from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency (Federal or non-Federal) or person undertakes such other actions" (40 CFR 1508.7).

For more information on the national cumulative impacts of the Service's hunting and fishing program on the National Wildlife Refuge System, see "U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Cumulative Impacts Report 2020-2021 National Wildlife Refuge and National Fish Hatchery Proposed Hunting and Sport Fishing Openings (2020)."

TABLE 7. ANTICIPATED CUMULATIVE IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION AND ANY ALTERNATIVES

Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Activity Impacting Affected Environment	Descriptions of Anticipated Cumulative Impacts
Fishing The refuge will continue to provide a small but important access opportunity to sites that support migratory fish	Continued fishing on the refuge through any of the alternatives will provide increased opportunity and access for the public, but the Service believes this will not have a significant impact on the fisheries as a whole. Fishing opportunities for these migratory fish extends far beyond the
support migratory fish	refuge borders, and many opportunities to fish for these

populations in the southern Maine and New England. species within the same waterways exists from the ocean side, by boat, or upstream of refuge borders.

Maine has over 32,000 miles of rivers and streams and 6,000 lakes and ponds. Maine's 3,400 miles of rocky coastline comprise innumerable bays, coves, and tidal rivers, thousands of islands and ledges, and a sprinkling of sandy beaches. The number of Maine's licensed resident and non-resident angler population was 289,000 in 2013.

The Service believes that the percentage of fish likely to be taken on the refuge from an additional 5 to 7 anglers per day, though possibly additive to existing fish takes, would be a tiny fraction of the estimated populations. In addition, overall populations will continue to be monitored and future catch limits will be adjusted as needed under the existing fisheries and State regulatory processes. Several points support this conclusion: (1) the proportion of the refuge in a small fraction of the areas open to fishing in southern Maine; (2) there are no fish populations that exist wholly and exclusively on the refuge; (3) annual fishing regulations within the United States are established at levels consistent with the current population status; and (4) the refuge does not permit more liberal seasons than provided for in Federal and State frameworks.

Development and Population Increase

Maine's population is projected to increase from 1,330,232 in 2016 to 1,335,260 in 2021, and further increase to 1,340,462 in 2026. Seven counties are projected to see slight population increases from 2016 to 2026.

The refuge uses an adaptive management approach for its fishing program, reviewing the fishing plan annually and revising annually, if necessary. The Recreational Fishing Plan can be adjusted to ensure that it does not contribute further to any cumulative impacts of population growth.

Use of lead ammunition/tackle

Lead tackle over 2.5 inches in length or over 1 ounce is permitted in Maine but not on the refuge for fishing.

Although lead sinkers over 2.5 inches in length or over 1 ounce are legal to use in Maine, they are not allowed on refuge waters. Anglers may use non-lead alternatives such as tin, steel, or ceramic sinkers. This can reduce the harmful impacts of lead to wildlife and the environment.

Climate Change

Ecological stressors are expected to affect a variety of natural processes and associated resources into the future.

Temperatures have risen about 3°F in Maine since the beginning of the 20th century.

A primary concern at the refuge is sea level rise and the impact on marsh elevation. This is already causing marsh migration, marsh inundation, and increased mortality in forests adjacent to saltmarshes. Finfish species are likely being impacted by shifting habitat through changes in water temperature, currents, and loss of marsh for nurseries due to prolonged inundation that leads to die-off.

Winter temperatures have been increasing about twice as fast as summer temperatures. Under a higher emissions pathway, historically unprecedented warming is projected by the end of the 21st century. Precipitation has increased during the last century. Increases in the frequency and intensity of extreme precipitation events have already occurred and are projected to continue. Sea level at Portland has risen by about 8 inches since 1912. It is projected to rise another 1 to 4 feet by 2100 (Runkle 2017).

The Service adjusts management based on changing environmental conditions. Under this alternative, the refuge would work with the State of Maine's Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife of Fish and Wildlife and use an adaptive management approach for its fishing program, reviewing the program regularly and revising if necessary. The Service's fishing program can be adjusted to ensure that it does not contribute further to the cumulative impacts of climate change on finfish.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

The purpose of this EA is to briefly provide sufficient evidence and analysis for determining whether to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) or a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI). The term "significantly" as used in NEPA requires consideration of both the context of the action and the intensity of effects.

The Service considered three alternatives, Alternative A, No Action/Current Management, Alternative B: Expanded Fishing Opportunities, Open Areas Shore Access and Alternative C: Expanded Fishing Opportunities, Full Shore Access. The impacts of these three alternatives are expected to be very similar on refuge resources and the human and physical environments with the exception that the impact to vegetation (particularly the sensitive refuge salt marsh habitat) would be expected to be greater as more areas are potentially opened to fishing.

Under the No Action Alternative (Alternative A), fishing would continue to take place on 9 sites on the refuge and impact on all resources (including vegetation) would be minimal. Under the Open Areas Shore Access (Alternative B), fishing would be open on 11 sites on the refuge — but not on sensitive salt marsh habitat at the Little River Biddeford (Timber Point) site and the expected impact on vegetation would be expected to be minor. Under the Full Shore Access Alternative (Alternative C), the entire shoreline of the Little River at Timber Point would be open to fishing and the expected impact on vegetation would be expected to be greater than Alternative A or B. The number of anglers would increase as additional angling opportunities are utilized. More anglers using the refuge could lead to an increase in incidental littering, trampling of vegetation and vehicle traffic. These effects have the potential, when excessive, to lead to denudation of the soil surface and soil erosion, which can negatively affect water quality through runoff, causing an increase in turbidity and nutrients in the water column. However, angler density is expected to remain manageably low, and such adverse impacts are not expected.

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted

During the preparation of this Environmental Assessment, Service personnel requested information from several representatives of the Maine Department of Inland Fisheries, James Pellerin, Asst. Regional Biologist, and the Maine Department of Marine Resources, Bruce Joule, Marine recreational fisheries group leader, regarding the proposed fishing program at Rachel Carson NWR. Service staff, including refuge and Regional Office personnel, also reviewed the fishing plan and Environmental Assessment and provided ideas and comments during their development.

List of Preparers

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge
Karl Stromayer, Refuge Manager
Ryan Kleinert, Assistant Refuge Manager
Kate O'Brien, Wildlife Biologist
Bri Benvenuti, Biological Science Technician
Sean Campbell, Maintenance

Tribal Consultation

The Fish Plan, Compatibility Determination, and Environmental Assessment will be sent to the Tribal Nations in Maine for comment on the proposed action.

Public Outreach

The Service will make available the Recreational Fishing Plan, Compatibility Determination, and Environmental Assessment for public review and comment. Press releases will be sent to local newspapers for public notification, and a copy of the document will be available on the refuge website and at refuge headquarters for the public to review. The public will have a 30-day period to comment on the proposed action. Additionally, the Recreational Fishing Plan, Compatibility Determination, and Environmental Assessment will be sent to the Tribal Nations in Maine for comment on the proposed action.

Determination

This section will be filled out upon completion of any public comment period and at the time of finalization of the Environmental Assessment.

The Service's action will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. See the attached "Finding of No Significant Impact".
The Service's action may significantly affect the quality of the human environment and the Service will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement.

Preparer Signature:	Date:
Name/Title/Organization:	
Reviewer Signature:	Date:
Name/Title:	

References

- Adamus, P.R. 1985. Atlas of breeding birds in Maine, 1978-1983. Maine Department Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, Augusta, Maine.
- Belanger, L., and J. Bedard. 1990. Energetic cost of man-induced disturbance to staging snow geese. Journal of Wildlife Management 54:36.
- Burger, J. 1981. Effect of human activity on birds at a coastal bay. Biological Conservation 21:231-241.
- Camuso, J., B. Currier, K. Frens, J. Jones, K. O'Brien, B. Simpson. 2010. 2010 Piping plover and least tern project report for Maine. Maine Audubon; Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife; Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge.
- Erwin, R. M. 1980. Breeding habitat by colonially nesting water birds in two mid-Atlantic U.S. regions under different regimes of human disturbance. Biological Conservation. 18:39-51.
- Havera, S.P., L.R. Boens, M.M. Georgi, and R.T. Shealy. 1992. Human disturbance of waterfowl on Keokuk Pool, Mississippi River. Wildlife Society Bulletin 20:290-298.
- Hodgman T.P., Shriver W.G., and Vickery P.D. (2002). Redefining range overlap between the Sharp-tailed Sparrows of coastal New England. Wilson Bulletin. 114: 38-43.
- Kahl, R. 1991. Boating disturbance of canvasbacks during migration at Lake Poygan, Wisconsin. Kaiser, M.S., and Fritzell, E.K. 1984. Effects of river recreationists on green-backed heron behavior. Journal of Wildlife Management 48: 561-567.
- Klein, M.L. 1993. Waterbird behavioral responses to human disturbance. Wildlife Society Bulletin 21:31–39.
- Korschen, C.E., L.S. George, and W.L. Green. 1985. Disturbance of diving ducks by boaters on a migrational staging area. Wildlife Society Bulletin 13:290-296.
- Litvaitis, J.A., B. Johnson, W. Jakubas, and K. Morris. 2003. Distribution and habitat features associated with remnant populations of New England cottontails in Maine. Canadian Journal of Zoology. 81: (5) 877-887.
- Fenderson, L.E., A.I., Kovach, J.A., Litvaitis, and M.K., Litvaitis. (2011). Population genetic structure and history of fragmented remnant populations of the New England cottontail. Conservation Genetics. 12:943-958.
- Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (MDIFW). 2011. Wildlife Division: Research and Management Report. Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, Augusta, Maine.

- Miller, J.R. and N. Thompson Hobbs. 2000. Recreational Trails, Human Activity, and Nest Predation in Lowland Riparian Areas. Landscape and Urban Planning. Volume 50, Issue 4, 30 Pages 227-236.
- Morton, J. M., A.C. Fowler, and R.L. Kirkpatrick. 1989. Time and energy budgets of American black ducks in winter. Journal of Wildlife Management 53:401-410.
- National Park Service (NPS). 2016. National Register of Historic Places. Accessed online January 2017 at: https://www.nps.gov/nr/feature/places/pdfs/16000786.pdf
- Owen, M. 1973. The management of grassland areas for wintering geese. Wildfowl. 24:123-130.
- Runkle, J., K. Kunkel, S. Champion, R. Frankson, B. Stewart, and A.T. DeGaetano, 2017: Maine State Climate Summary. *NOAA Technical Report NESDIS 149-ME*, 4 pp.
- Smith D.F., J.A. Litvaitis. 2000. Foraging strategies of sympatric lagomorphs: implications for differential success in fragmented landscapes. Canadian Journal of Zoology. 78: (12) 2134-2141.
- Tudor, L. 2000. Migratory shorebird assessment. Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, 284 State Street, State House Station 41, Augusta, Maine.
- State of Maine Hunting and Trapping 2015 Laws and Rules. Maine
- U.S. Census Bureau. State and Country QuickFacts. 2016. U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, D.C. http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/23000.html.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1996a. Piping plover (Charadrius melodus), Atlantic Coast population, revised recovery plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Hadley, Massachusetts.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2000. Hunting and migratory birds: how hunters benefit many migratory bird species. Office of Migratory Bird Management, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2006. 2006 National survey of fishing, hunting, and wildlife-associated recreation. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington D.C.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2007. Final Comprehensive Conservation Plan. Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge, Maine.http://www.fws.gov/northeast/planning/Rachel%20Carson/ccphome.html.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2010. Annual Hunt Program. Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge, Maine.

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2010. Annual Report of Lands Under Control of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2011. Hunter Information 2010-2011 Hunting Season. Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge, Maine.
- U S Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and United States Census Bureau (USCB). 2011. 2011 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation: Maine. Report FHW/11-ME (RV). Revised December 2013. Accessed online November 2017 at: https://www.census.gov/prod/2013pubs/fhw11-me.pdf.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2014. Environmental Assessment: Management of Buildings and Other Infrastructure on Timber Point: Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge. Accessed online February 2016 at: http://www.fws.gov/uploadedFiles/Region_5/NWRS/North_Zone/Rachel_Carson/Timber PointEA Sept2 014.pdf.
- Whittaker, D., and R.L. Knight. 1998. Understanding wildlife responses to humans. Wildlife Society Bulletin 26:312-317.
- Williams, G.J. and E. Forbes. 1980. The habitat and dietary preferences of dark-bellied brant geese and widgeon in relation to agricultural management. Wildfowl 31:151-157.
- Ward, D.H., and R.A. STEHN. 1989. Response of brant and other geese to aircraft disturbance at Izembek Lagoon, Alaska. U.S. Fish and Wildl. Serv. Final Rep. No. 14-12-0001-30332.

OTHER APPLICABLE STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS AND REGULATIONS

Cultural Resources

- American Indian Religious Freedom Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 1996 1996a; 43 CFR Part 7
- Antiquities Act of 1906, 16 U.S.C. 431-433; 43 CFR Part 3
- Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979, 16 U.S.C. 470aa 470mm; 18 CFR Part 1312; 32 CFR Part 229; 36 CFR Part 296; 43 CFR Part 7
- National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, 16 U.S.C. 470-470x-6; 36 CFR Parts 60, 63, 78, 79, 800, 801, and 810
- Paleontological Resources Protection Act, 16 U.S.C. 470aaa 470aaa-11
- Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, 25 U.S.C. 3001-3013; 43 CFR Part 10
- Executive Order 11593 Protection and Enhancement of the Cultural Environment, 36 Fed. Reg. 8921 (1971)
- Executive Order 13007 Indian Sacred Sites, 61 Fed. Reg. 26771 (1996)

Fish and Wildlife

• Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, as amended, 16 U.S.C. 668-668c, 50 CFR 22

- Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, 16 U.S.C. 1531-1544; 36 CFR Part 13; 50 CFR Parts 10, 17, 23, 81, 217, 222, 225, 402, and 450
- Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956, 16 U.S.C. 742 a-m
- Lacey Act, as amended, 16 U.S.C. 3371 et seq.; 15 CFR Parts 10, 11, 12, 14, 300, and 904
- Migratory Bird Treaty Act, as amended, 16 U.S.C. 703-712; 50 CFR Parts 10, 12, 20, and 21
- Executive Order 13186 Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds, 66 Fed. Reg. 3853 (2001)

Natural Resources

- Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q; 40 CFR Parts 23, 50, 51, 52, 58, 60, 61, 82, and 93; 48 CFR Part 23
- Wilderness Act, 16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.
- Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, 16 U.S.C. 1271 et seq.
- Executive Order 13112 Invasive Species, 64 Fed. Reg. 6183 (1999)

Water Resources

- Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, 16 U.S.C.1451 et seq.; 15 CFR Parts 923, 930, 933
- Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972 (commonly referred to as Clean Water Act), 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.; 33 CFR Parts 320-330; 40 CFR Parts 110, 112, 116, 117, 230-232, 323, and 328
- Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, as amended, 33 U.S.C. 401 et seq.; 33 CFR Parts 114, 115, 116, 321, 322, and 333.Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, 42 U.S.C. 300f et seq.; 40 CFR Parts 141-148
- Executive Order 11988 Floodplain Management, 42 Fed. Reg. 26951 (1977)
- Executive Order 11990 Protection of Wetlands, 42 Fed. Reg. 26961 (1977)