FINDING OF APPROPRIATENESS OF A REFUGE USE

Use of this form is required for documenting all appropriate use findings (603 FW 1)

Refuge Name:	Cape Romain National Wildlife Refu	uge
Use:	Rights to Access for the harvest of	horseshoe crabs
This is a:	New Use	Existing Use
A. Does this use (Please Check One	se qualify for an appropriatenes	s review exemption?
-	are exempted from an appropriations are documented through the	teness review [603 FW 1.2; 603 FW 1.2(A)]. Appropriate e use of this form.
Examples i	include the use of snow machines, a per the ANILCA. Provide a written ju	herwise provided for under law or regulation. hirplanes, or motorboats on Alaska refuges under certain ustification as to how this use qualifies for this particular
This could subsurface		orders, consent decrees, pre-existing rights (such as rights, grandfathered easements, etc.). Provide a written
Right-of-wa	Right-of-Way Permit request ay requests are subject to 340 FW 3 n as to how this use qualifies for this	and compatibility determinations (603 FW 2). Attach a brief particular exemption.
	DOES NOT qualify for an appropr to evaluate the use under Part B.	riateness review exemption.
	p Parts B, C, D and E and comple	ons above, then it is exempt from an appropriate use ete Parts F and G, sign and date, and submit a copy to
B. Is the use ad (Please Check On	dministratively determined as a	ppropriate in law or policy?
• •	ge uses are appropriate because t by statute or policy [603 FW 1.11(they have been administratively determined as A)(1); 603 FW 1.6(A)(3)].
	is a wildlife-dependent recreationalishing, Wildlife Observation, Wildlife	al use. Photography, Environmental Education, or Interpretation.
	involves the take of fish and wildlingther forms of state-regulated take be	fe under state/territorial regulations. eyond hunting and fishing.
	HAS NOT been administratively d to evaluate the use under Part C.	letermined as appropriate by statute or policy.

If the use meets one of the two qualifying definitions above, then it is appropriate. Complete Parts E, F, and G, sign and date, and submit a copy to the Refuge Supervisor.

C. Is the use appropriate because it contributes to the refuge's purpose(s), goals, or objectives or Refuge System mission? (Please check one.)

Refuge managers, in their sound professional judgement, may determine a refuge use to be appropriate to fulfilling the refuge purpose(s), goals, or objectives described in the refuge's conconservation plan, or the Refuge System mission [603 FW 1.11 (A)(2)]. Urban wildlife refuges additional goal of fostering environmental awareness through outreach programs and activities an informed and involved populace that supports fish and wildlife conservation [110 FW 1.5].			
	This use contributes to the refuge purpose(s), goals, or objectives, or Refuge System mission. Provide a written justification of how the use contributes to the qualifying purpose(s), goals, or objectives or Refuge System mission. Complete Parts E, F, and G, sign and date, and submit a copy to the Refuge Supervisor.		
X	_This use DOES NOT contribute to refuge purpose(s), goals, objectives, or Refuge System mission.		
	Proceed to evaluate the use under Part D.		

D. Is this use appropriate?

Decision Criteria:	YES	NO
(1) Does the use comply with applicable laws and regulations (Federal, State/Territorial, tribal, and local)?		X
(2) Is the use consistent with applicable Executive orders and Department and Service policies?		X
(3) Is the use consistent with public safety?	X	
(4) Is the use consistent with the goals and objectives of approved management plans or other management document?		X
(5) If this is the first time the use has been proposed or if it was previously found appropriate, check Yes. If the use was previously analyzed but denied, check No.	X	
(6) Is the use manageable within available budget and staff?	X	
(7) Will the use be manageable in the future with existing resources? [603 FW 1.11 (A)(3)(h)].	X	
(8) Does the use contribute to the public's understanding and appreciation of the refuge's natural and cultural resources?		X
(9) Can the use be accommodated without impairing existing wildlife-dependent recreational uses or reducing the potential to provide quality [603 FW 1.6 (D)], compatible, wildlife-dependent recreation into the future?		X
(10) Is the use on an urban wildlife refuge [110 FW 1.15] and/or will it help new audiences become familiar and comfortable with fish, wildlife and their habitats?		X

If the answer is "NO" to (1), (2), or (3), mark the use as "Not Appropriate" under Part G. If the answer is "NO" to any of (4) through (10), the use will generally be "Not Appropriate." Refuge managers may, however, check one or more of boxes (4) through (10) and still find the use "Appropriate" by providing a written justification of the finding and how the factor(s) are mitigated or of minimal effect.

Complete Parts E, F, and G, sign and date, and submit a copy to the Refuge Supervisor.

Refuge managers must consult with the applicable State/Territorial fish and wildlife agency when a request for a use could affect fish, wildlife, or other resources that are of concern to a State fish and wildlife agency [603 FW 1.7E(3) and 1.12]. Consultation WAS required. Consultation took place on: 4/4/22 Proceed to Part F. Consultation WAS NOT required. Proceed to Part F. F. Is the use significantly complex or potentially controversial? (Please check one.) Yes If Yes, date the Regional Chief was briefed: 4/16/21 Proceed to Part G. No Proceed to Part G. **Finding** G. Based on my review of all relevant factors, I find the refuge use identified above: Not Appropriate Exempted Appropriate* [* Includes findings that a use is administratively determined as appropriate (Section B and C) or is found appropriate through the use of the decision tool (Section D).] Digitally signed by SARAH DAWSEY SARAH Date: 2022.03.16 Refuge Manager* DAWSEY Date 14:11:18 -04'00' *Upon signature, all fields except date, Refuge Supervisor signature and date, will be locked as "read only". Concurrence The Refuge Supervisor MUST concur and sign a finding of "Not Appropriate" for an EXISTING use if the designation is made OUTSIDE of the Comprehensive Conservation Plan process. The Refuge Supervisor MUST concur and sign a finding of "Appropriate" for any proposed NEW use. Signature from the Refuge Supervisor WILL NOT be necessary for a finding of "Not Appropriate" with a proposed NEW use. Digitally signed by **EMERY EMERY HOYLE** Date: 2022.05.12 Refuge Supervisor*HOYLE Date 20:09:19 -05'00'

Consultation with State/Territorial Fish and Wildlife Agency

E.

(Please check one.)

Any use found to be "Appropriate" will require the development of a compatibility determination before the use may be allowed on Refuge lands.

*Upon signature, all fields except date will be locked as "read only".

JUSTIFICATION FOR FINDING OF APPROPRIATENESS OF A REFUGE USE

Refuge Name: Cape Romain National Wildlife Refuge

Rights to Access for the harvest of horseshoe crabs

NARRATIVE:

Note: Include in the Justification narrative:

- Your reason for checking a box in Section A to exempt the use from appropriateness review.
- Your reason for determining in Section C that the use contributes to the refuge's purpose, goals and objectives, or the Refuge System mission.
- Your reason for checking each of the boxes in Section D. Include a concise, substantive explanation as to why boxes were checked, either "YES" or "NO", for each decision criteria. Also, for boxes (4) through (10), if any are checked "NO", be sure to describe how the factor(s) are mitigated, or of minimal effect, if use is determined to be "Appropriate."

See Attached Justification