

---

**From:** Russell, Daniel </O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=D84F6C6D50E74230B05C507F1800FB6D-DANIEL\_RUSS>  
**To:** Snyder, Caitlin  
**CC:** Crowell, Heidi  
**Sent:** 3/23/2020 1:03:09 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Revision of sentence in fisher rule

Looks like it's in the response to #36, toward the end of the response.

\*\*\*\*\*

Daniel Russell - Regional Classification Program Coordinator  
California - Great Basin / Legacy Region 8  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2606  
Sacramento, CA 95825  
Office (916) 978-6191  
Cell (916) 335-9060

\*\*\*\*\*

---

**From:** Russell, Daniel <daniel\_russell@fws.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 23, 2020 9:59 AM  
**To:** Snyder, Caitlin <caitlin\_snyder@fws.gov>  
**Cc:** Crowell, Heidi <heidi\_crowell@fws.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Revision of sentence in fisher rule

Sorry Caitlin, I was going on what the number was in an email from Heidi.

We'll check it out and get you the right one.

\*\*\*\*\*

Daniel Russell - Regional Classification Program Coordinator  
California - Great Basin / Legacy Region 8  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2606  
Sacramento, CA 95825  
Office (916) 978-6191  
Cell (916) 335-9060

\*\*\*\*\*

---

**From:** Snyder, Caitlin <caitlin\_snyder@fws.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 23, 2020 9:18 AM  
**To:** Russell, Daniel <daniel\_russell@fws.gov>  
**Cc:** Crowell, Heidi <heidi\_crowell@fws.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Revision of sentence in fisher rule

Hi Dan and Heidi,

The current Comment and Response for #30 reads as follows:

*(30) Comment:* One commenter stated that listing the fisher would also increase wildfire risk

within the fisher's range and blunt the effectiveness of wildfire prevention measures that are already in place. Private landowners are currently implementing an MOU that is designed to lessen wildfire risks within the fisher's range. If the fisher were listed as threatened or endangered, these wildfire reduction measures would be slowed down and would become less effective. Listing the fisher would also have the consequence of requiring Federal agencies to consult under section 7 of the ESA before taking actions that could affect fisher habitat, including the fuels reduction efforts contemplated under the MOU.

*Our Response:* The MOU referenced by the commenter pertains to the NCSO DPS area, which is found not warranted for listing in this determination. There is no similar agreement applicable to the SSN DPS. Consequently, we believe the concerns expressed are not applicable to this listing determination. We do not believe that listing the fisher would increase wildfire risk in the SSN DPS because the Service is working with Federal agencies to develop a programmatic consultation process to streamline wildfire reduction activities that provide for the conservation of fisher.

I'm unclear how your revised sentence in the email fits in here. Is it a different numbered response?

Caitlin Snyder  
Chief, Branch of Domestic Listing  
Ecological Services  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
(703) 358-2673  
caitlin\_snyder@fws.gov

---

**From:** Russell, Daniel <daniel\_russell@fws.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 23, 2020 11:02 AM  
**To:** Snyder, Caitlin <caitlin\_snyder@fws.gov>  
**Cc:** Crowell, Heidi <heidi\_crowell@fws.gov>  
**Subject:** Revision of sentence in fisher rule

Hi Caitlin,  
When we passed along the fisher rule to you, Heidi had noted a sentence in one of our response to comments (#30) that was unclear.

We've now corrected how it should read, so were hoping we could pass this on to you to fix n the document?

Here's the way the sentence should read:

"When we use the data from our species report and overlay it with 1) newer locations from the California Natural Diversity Database (reviewed for accuracy), 2) newer SPI locations, 3) newer

locations from Collins Pine Company, 4) multiple newer efforts in southern Oregon (captured for NCSO in Current Condition, above), and 5) also consider historical locations before 1994, the majority of new locations are infill within the bounds of our 1994–2013 data (Service 2020, map)."

Is this something that can be worked out there, or is there a different way we should do this?

Thanks,

Dan

\*\*\*\*\*

Daniel Russell - Regional Classification Program Coordinator

California - Great Basin / Legacy Region 8

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2606

Sacramento, CA 95825

Office (916) 978-6191

Cell (916) 335-9060

\*\*\*\*\*