
From: Drake, Madeline K </O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A702EEE74C25489280BA08B17D91A406-MADELINE_DR>
To: Hull, Josh
Sent: 3/11/2020 4:16:48 PM
Subject: Re: ownership acres and percent

I just ran the numbers for USFS land within the SSN DPS and I got a number very similar to what Gina did. What I calculated was only 1% higher and is probably just due to using different GIS layers for USFS land. I am assuming they must have used some type of GIS layer that had all landowners, and I just used the Forest Service boundary layer that I have from CSO.

From: Hull, Josh <Josh_Hull@fws.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 11:18 AM
To: Drake, Madeline K <madeline_drake@fws.gov>
Subject: Re: ownership acres and percent

Okay, I'll see if Gina can send the layer.

From: Drake, Madeline K <madeline_drake@fws.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 11:18 AM
To: Hull, Josh <Josh_Hull@fws.gov>
Subject: Re: ownership acres and percent

We do not. Yreka has all the GIS layers.

From: Hull, Josh <Josh_Hull@fws.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 11:17 AM
To: Drake, Madeline K <madeline_drake@fws.gov>
Subject: Re: ownership acres and percent

Thanks, that's what I heard too. Do we have the boundary layer that Gina is using so we can confirm?

From: Drake, Madeline K <madeline_drake@fws.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 11:13 AM
To: Hull, Josh <Josh_Hull@fws.gov>
Subject: Re: ownership acres and percent

Sorry I should clarify. What I heard in the mini RTM was 95% federal, so 5% private.

From: Drake, Madeline K <madeline_drake@fws.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 11:11 AM
To: Hull, Josh <Josh_Hull@fws.gov>
Subject: Re: ownership acres and percent

Yeah, in our mini RTM I thought Gina said more like 95%. I am assuming these numbers came from a GIS exercise using the actual DPS boundary and landownership. I think the discrepancy may be that in the RTM, Gina was using numbers based on the critical habitat they had put together, whereas these numbers are for the entire range.

From: Hull, Josh <Josh_Hull@fws.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 11:09 AM
To: Drake, Madeline K <madeline_drake@fws.gov>
Subject: Fw: ownership acres and percent

Hi Madeline,
Do these numbers look right to you? I though I had heard the percent private lands was lower.
Thanks,
Josh

From: Picco, Angela <Angela_Picco@fws.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 11:04 AM
To: Hull, Josh <Josh_Hull@fws.gov>
Subject: Fw: ownership acres and percent

--
Angela Picco, Ph.D.
Deputy Chief of Ecological Services and Regional Recovery Coordinator
California-Great Basin Region 10 (formerly Pacific Southwest Regional Office-Region 8)
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2606
Sacramento, CA 95825
(916) 414-6490 (office)
(916) 335-5169 (work cell)

From: Glenne, Gina <gina_glenne@fws.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2020 12:57 PM
To: Livingston, Sue <sue_livingston@fws.gov>; Willy, Elizabeth <elizabeth_willy@fws.gov>; Drake, Madeline K <madeline_drake@fws.gov>; Russell, Daniel <daniel_russell@fws.gov>; Crowell, Heidi <heidi_crowell@fws.gov>; Picco, Angela <Angela_Picco@fws.gov>
Subject: ownership acres and percent

I've created ownership tables with acres and percent. They are attached here. Any thoughts on where to put them? I was thinking it would be good to put the NCSO table in the NCSO section, the SSN table in the SSN section, and leave out the table with both DPSs? Will likely take a little text?

Beginning of current condition for each DPS?

Gina Glenne
Deputy Field Supervisor
Yreka Fish and Wildlife Service

(530) 841-3112 desk
(530) 340-4015 cell