

**2020 Microsoft Team Chats**  
**Between Madeline Drake and Dan Russell**

[3/9 3:41 PM] Drake, Madeline K

Hi Dan. We are trying to address the comment on page 172 of the google doc about a list of actions not likely to result in a violation of section 9. We are using the Sonoma CTS final rule. Also included in that example is a list of actions that would result in a section 9 violation. For fisher, do you want us to only include the list of actions that would not result in a section 9 violation, or would you like us to add the list of actions that would?

[3/9 3:45 PM] Russell, Daniel

let's go with the strict wording HQ gave us, and only provide actions that are unlikely to result in take. and i think you can make that as short as you see fit!

[3/9 3:45 PM] Drake, Madeline K

Perfect! Thanks Dan!

[3/10 2:26 PM] Russell, Daniel

hi madeline, how about if i send you my Word doc now with comment 45?

[3/10 2:26 PM] Drake, Madeline K

That works for me

[3/10 2:28 PM] Russell, Daniel

on its way, thank you!

[3/11 10:40 AM] Drake, Madeline K

Is it too late to make a change to a reference in the google doc?

[3/11 10:41 AM] Russell, Daniel

no, just let me know what it is and i can make it

[3/11 10:43 AM] Drake, Madeline K

Thanks! On page 121, it should be Thompson 2020, personal communication. And page 135 should be Thompson et al. 2019b

[3/11 10:56 AM] Russell, Daniel

hey madeline, i'm sorry...i was wrong, it would be best for you to go into the doc and make those changes. there are a number of Thompson citations on those pages, and i'm afraid i'd get them

confused! and i don't know if the page numbvers have changed now that i've gone in and cleaned it up

[3/11 10:57 AM] Drake, Madeline K

Sounds good! do you want me to do it in editing mode so you don't have to go back and accept them?

[3/11 10:57 AM] Russell, Daniel

yep, that would be great. just please let me know when you're done. thanks.

[3/11 10:59 AM] Drake, Madeline K

Done!