

From: [Jordan Richard](#)
To: [Kimberly Smith](#)
Subject: RE: Mountain Valley Pipeline - shapefile - pipeline and attendant facilities
Date: Friday, December 09, 2016 11:21:21 AM

I checked this out and passed it on to our Candy Darter working group. From what I see, it's going to chop right through the base of Stony Creek (close to the mouth). Most of our CDs occur from the mouth upstream through ~2/3 of the creek. For background, the mainstem New River was likely historically important, but CDs have been cut down to fragmented populations persisting in large streams. They're usually associated with the downstream and middle areas of these tribes, close to the confluence with the New River (as opposed to being isolated higher up in the headwater areas). The pipeline isn't great news for CDs, but it's also probably not all that terrible in terms of potential effects. FYI, the route shown in these maps crosses the Gauley River in WV in an analogous way to Stony Creek (i.e., it's going in at a fairly low position in the watershed, just below our records of CDs). WV has CDs state-listed in some capacity, so one of their offices might be able to at least tell you what they're up to in terms of the MVP/CD issue. Barb Douglas is the WVFO person on the SSA team for CDs, but that's the extent of my knowledge of who's over there. Let me know if I can help you out with anything.

J

From: Smith, Kimberly [mailto:kimberly_smith@fws.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2016 9:38 AM
To: Jordan Richard
Subject: Fwd: Mountain Valley Pipeline - shapefile - pipeline and attendant facilities

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Smith, Kimberly** <kimberly_smith@fws.gov>
Date: Mon, Dec 5, 2016 at 12:54 PM
Subject: Fwd: Mountain Valley Pipeline - shapefile - pipeline and attendant facilities
To: Judy Dunscomb <jdunscomb@tnc.org>

here you go.