

From: [Jennifer Stanhope](#)
To: [Tiernan Lennon](#); [Sumalee Hoskin](#)
Subject: RE: MVP Information
Date: Tuesday, October 10, 2017 3:04:00 PM

Hi all,

I spoke to Megan Stahl today and she clarified that the information to be provided in the E&S plans and SWPP should be similar in content to FERC's 2013 Upland Erosion Control, Revegetation, and Maintenance Plan and MVP's Restoration and Rehabilitation Plan. The POD for the Jefferson National Forest is thousands of pages and she indicated the main points of interest are discussed in the FEIS. So I told her we did not need to see those documents. She will still send their invasive species management plan.

Also, she indicated that although they plan to implement FERC's recommendation of HDD at Pigg River, they would prefer us to be conservative and still assume dry cut crossing, in case they start to drill and find out that they can't do it. So, we'll need to remove the row with HDD from the effects table.

In the Restoration and Rehabilitation Plan, on pg 7, they indicated that they will also use woody seed mix to revegetate temporary workspaces and access roads within impacted forested areas. I asked her if the temporary workspace included the temporary construction ROW, and she said yes.

Thanks,
Jen

From: Jennifer Stanhope [mailto:jennifer_stanhope@fws.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, October 10, 2017 10:00 AM
To: Tiernan Lennon; Sumalee Hoskin
Subject: FW: MVP Information

Hi Tiernan,

The acres for the unsurveyed parcels are different than the file they sent us 8/2/2017. If you talk to Megan before I do, can you ask her about that?

Tiernan and Sumalee,

What are your thoughts below about the plans? I guess if they are still in development, we probably don't want to see them yet. I am seeing duplication of some information in FERC's 2013 Upland Erosion Control, Revegetation, and Maintenance Plan and MVP's Restoration and Rehabilitation Plan. I can ask Megan if there is other additional information in the E&S plans and SWPP that would be additional AMMs. If not, we probably don't need to see it, but I also wouldn't cite them as AMMs in the BO unless we can set eyes on them.

Jen

From: Stahl, Megan D. [mailto:MStahl@eqt.com]
Sent: Monday, October 09, 2017 5:58 PM
To: Jennifer Stanhope
Cc: Taina Pankiewicz
Subject: MVP Information

Jen,

I am trying to compile the information that you requested last week and I have a few questions. The E&S plans and SWPP are still in development and have not been approved yet. The POD was recently submitted. These documents are very large and I cannot send them by email. Is there particular information you are looking for that I can send to you, or do you need the entire files?

Can you clarify which satellites you were referring to that could cause concerns for light and noise?

I attached the maps of the unsurveyed areas for plants, which includes:

Parcel_Num	County	State	Survey Needed Acre	Surveys Needed
WV-GR-065	Greenbrier	WV	8.076802	small whorled pogonia
WV-SU-046	Summers	WV	3.499243	Virginia spiraea

Can you please clarify which parcel you need information regarding topography?

Thanks,
Megan

Megan Stahl
Permitting Supervisor
625 Liberty Avenue, Suite
1700 Pittsburgh, PA 15222
T 412-553-7783
C 412-737-2587

