

From: [Landenburger, Lisa A -FS](#)
To: [Shoemaker, Rebecca](#)
Subject: monitoring requirements
Date: Thursday, July 24, 2014 12:22:42 PM

Hey Rebecca,

Q1 – Recurring conflicts: Every year we report recurring griz/livestock conflicts on grazing allotments inside & outside the PCA. This monitoring requirement comes from the Forest Plan Amendment, and not the CS. Should we incorporate it into the CS as a monitoring requirement, or leave as is?

Q2 – Private Land Development: CS (p.54) calls for monitoring of private land developments. Specifically, it requires that we monitor human-caused mortality related to private land conflicts (which IGBST does), BUT it also says *“To assist in minimizing conflicts, a need exists to develop a protocol to categorize private lands and report changes”*. This took me by surprise, since no effort that I know of has ever been tasked to accomplish this. It goes on to mention that the states will take the lead on this. Since this hasn’t been done, I am not sure how to re-write this section. Do I just keep it as it is? The same issue goes with tracking hunter numbers (p. 53)!

As always, thanks for your input.

Lisa Landenburger
Grizzly Bear GIS Database Coordinator, Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem
USGS Northern Rocky Mountain Science Center
USFS Affiliate, Region 1
Email: lalandenburger@fs.fed.us
phone: 406-994-7430

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.