

From: Servheen, Chris
To: [Tyers, Daniel - FS](#); [Landenburger, Lisa A -FS](#)
Cc: [Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer](#)
Subject: Re: additions to the CS
Date: Monday, September 14, 2015 12:00:59 PM
Attachments: [Chapter 3 Habitat Standards and Monitoring_LLv5.docx](#)

Dan and Lisa,

Does this document look familiar? We can use this as a template to update the habitat chapter. This is what Rebecca was using. I suggest that you use this to review and confirm your proposed changes and your reviews with managers. You will also have to involve NPS in these discussions. Once you are in agreement, we can work to get these changes into the CS habitat chapter and necessary appendices.

Sound like a plan?

Chris

On 9/14/15, 10:49 AM, "Tyers, Daniel - FS" <dtyers@fs.fed.us> wrote:

>The progress is good news! Yes- we should discuss the changes to the
>"habitat part" of the Conservation Strategy. No, we are not ready to pass
>along those changes. But that also depends on passing on what, when and
>how.
>Several things to consider:
>
>Rebecca was making changes independent of what Lisa and I were doing,
>although they are part of the larger revision effort. We don't have a
>record
>of what she did before she retired. We generally know what issues she was
>pursuing but not the outcome. The work she was doing has not been entirely
>dovetailed to what we are doing.
>
>Lisa and I are ready to recommend changes to the CS but there is a lot of
>homework left to do. Some of these changes are pretty logical and
>therefore
>not very controversial (although they would have been in the recent past).
>Much of this work has been ready for years. Other changes reflect
>substantive changes to effects analysis for land managers. The work done
>to
>date involves preparation of potential changes and pros and cons to
>various
>outcomes. These edits have not been reviewed by our peers or Executive
>Managers, and they should be. We have been in a holding pattern on some of
>these matters waiting for a signal of how and when to move forward. That
>is,
>there needs to be a parallel process for discussing habitat issues as
>there
>apparently has been for the demographic section. Without an awareness of
>the
>pace of the "demographic" discussions, we haven't know how or when to
>advance the potential changes to the "habitat" parts. I'm still not sure
>what's happening in this regard. If I'm reading your message right, this
>is
>a topic we had better get after pretty soon. Thanks!

>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Servheen, Chris [<mailto:grizz@umontana.edu>]

>Sent: Monday, September 14, 2015 9:18 AM

>To: Tyers, Daniel - FS

>Cc: Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer

>Subject: additions to the CS

>

>Hi Dan,

>Last week's meeting resulted in some progress to move toward completing

>necessary edits to the CS in the next few months. The demographic section

>will be the major area that is revised, but there is also a need to be

>sure

>all the USFS habitat monitoring changes are included. I know that you and

>Lisa were working to get these changes to us, and I think we have most of

>them, but could you and Lisa check to be sure you have all your suggested

>habitat monitoring changes complete? We can talk by phone if you like

>later

>in the week.

>Thanks,

>Chris

>