

From: Tyers, Daniel - FS
To: [Servheen, Chris](#); [Landenburger, Lisa A -FS](#)
Cc: [Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer](#)
Subject: RE: additions to the CS
Date: Tuesday, September 15, 2015 9:51:00 AM

Yes. We are available beginning now.

-----Original Message-----

From: Servheen, Chris [<mailto:grizz@umontana.edu>]
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2015 8:46 AM
To: Tyers, Daniel - FS; Landenburger, Lisa A -FS
Cc: Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer
Subject: Re: additions to the CS

Dan and Lisa,

Can we have a conference call discussion about this when you are available?
Chris

On 9/14/15, 1:39 PM, "Tyers, Daniel - FS" <dtyers@fs.fed.us> wrote:

>Proposed changes to the CS habitat portion need more work in terms of
>both concepts and language. In addition, these changes need to be
>reviewed to get agreement from the invested groups. That is going to
>take time.
>
>It's tough to find agency folks who are aware of habitat issues in the
>CS. I called several who I thought would be informed enough to discuss
>this
>with-
>for example, Kerry Gunther, Kevin Frey, and a few RO folks. They are
>aware of the efforts in the past couple years to revise the CS habitat
>language and they know about most of the specifics. They support this
>as critical work. Several said they have been waiting for this
>opportunity because of the strategic significance. However, folks like
>Kerry expect to have a formal review opportunity to consider all
>proposed changes to satisfy their agencies interests. Kerry thought
>that could take months to accomplish, even if he had a clean draft
>today to scrutinize. That's the advice he will pass along to Dan Wenk.
>Similarly, RO folks were speculating on the involvement of RO staff and
>IGBC, since Regional Foresters are signatories to the CS.
>
>The point is, we need a plan on how to proceed with this, we need to
>identify who should participate, and then we need a time line. This
>won't happen by the end of September. It's great to know that this has
>traction now, but it also means the stakes are a lot higher.
>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Servheen, Chris [<mailto:grizz@umontana.edu>]
>Sent: Monday, September 14, 2015 1:02 PM
>To: Landenburger, Lisa A -FS; Tyers, Daniel - FS
>Cc: Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer
>Subject: Re: additions to the CS
>

>A good point. We will consider this.
>c
>
>On 9/14/15, 12:47 PM, "Landenburger, Lisa A -FS"
><lalandenburger@fs.fed.us> wrote:
>
>>Chris, just a thought about calling it the amended 2007 CS . . . some
>>of the literature citations in the current document are ancient and
>>outdated. In some cases I updated text and cited more recent
>>literature (POST 2007) to substantiate a given point. It would be
>>confusing to have
>>post-2007 literature cited in a document titled as 2007. Any thoughts?
>>
>>Lisa
>>
>>-----Original Message-----
>>From: Servheen, Chris [<mailto:grizz@umontana.edu>]
>>Sent: Monday, September 14, 2015 12:22 PM
>>To: Landenburger, Lisa A -FS; Tyers, Daniel - FS
>>Cc: Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer
>>Subject: Re: additions to the CS
>>
>>I would prefer we call it the amended 2007 CS and not a new year, but
>>I may be overruled.
>>c
>>
>>On 9/14/15, 12:19 PM, "Landenburger, Lisa A -FS"
>><lalandenburger@fs.fed.us> wrote:
>>
>>>Chris,
>>>Yes, the document you have attached is familiar but I do have a
>>>slightly more recent version. So, we have a plan and will move forward.
>>>Meanwhile, can you tell me if FWS will be issuing a new version of
>>>the document (i.e., Conservation Strategy, 2016?), or will it still
>>>be referred to as the 2007 CS?
>>>
>>>Lisa Landenburger
>>>Grizzly Bear GIS Database Coordinator, GYE USGS Northern Rocky
>>>Mountain Science Center USFS Affiliate, Region 1
>>>Email: lalandenburger@fs.fed.us
>>>phone: 406-994-7430
>>>
>>>-----Original Message-----
>>>From: Servheen, Chris [<mailto:grizz@umontana.edu>]
>>>Sent: Monday, September 14, 2015 12:01 PM
>>>To: Tyers, Daniel - FS; Landenburger, Lisa A -FS
>>>Cc: Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer
>>>Subject: Re: additions to the CS
>>>
>>>Dan and Lisa,
>>>Does this document look familiar? We can use this as a template to
>>>update the habitat chapter. This is what Rebecca was using. I
>>>suggest that you use this to review and confirm your proposed changes
>>>and your reviews with managers. You will also have to involve NPS in
>>>these discussions. Once you are in agreement, we can work to get
>>>these changes into the CS habitat chapter and necessary appendices.
>>>Sound like a plan?

>>>Chris
>>>
>>>
>>>On 9/14/15, 10:49 AM, "Tyers, Daniel - FS" <dtyers@fs.fed.us> wrote:
>>>
>>>>The progress is good news! Yes- we should discuss the changes to the
>>>>"habitat part" of the Conservation Strategy. No, we are not ready to
>>>>pass along those changes. But that also depends on passing on what,
>>>>when and how.
>>>>Several things to consider:
>>>>
>>>>Rebecca was making changes independent of what Lisa and I were
>>>>doing, although they are part of the larger revision effort. We
>>>>don't have a record of what she did before she retired. We generally
>>>>know what issues she was pursuing but not the outcome. The work she
>>>>was doing has not been entirely dovetailed to what we are doing.
>>>>
>>>>Lisa and I are ready to recommend changes to the CS but there is a
>>>>lot of homework left to do. Some of these changes are pretty logical
>>>>and therefore not very controversial (although they would have been
>>>>in the recent past).
>>>>Much of this work has been ready for years. Other changes reflect
>>>>substantive changes to effects analysis for land managers. The work
>>>>done to date involves preparation of potential changes and pros and
>>>>cons to various outcomes. These edits have not been reviewed by our
>>>>peers or Executive Managers, and they should be. We have been in a
>>>>holding pattern on some of these matters waiting for a signal of how
>>>>and when to move forward. That is, there needs to be a parallel
>>>>process for discussing habitat issues as there apparently has been
>>>>for the demographic section. Without an awareness of the pace of the
>>>>"demographic" discussions, we haven't know how or when to advance
>>>>the potential changes to the "habitat" parts. I'm still not sure
>>>>what's happening in this regard. If I'm reading your message right,
>>>>this is a topic we had better get after pretty soon. Thanks!
>>>>
>>>>-----Original Message-----
>>>>From: Servheen, Chris [<mailto:grizz@umontana.edu>]
>>>>Sent: Monday, September 14, 2015 9:18 AM
>>>>To: Tyers, Daniel - FS
>>>>Cc: Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer
>>>>Subject: additions to the CS
>>>>
>>>>Hi Dan,
>>>>Last week's meeting resulted in some progress to move toward
>>>>completing necessary edits to the CS in the next few months. The
>>>>demographic section will be the major area that is revised, but
>>>>there is also a need to be sure all the USFS habitat monitoring
>>>>changes are included.
>>>>I know that you and Lisa were working to get these changes to us,
>>>>and I think we have most of them, but could you and Lisa check to be
>>>>sure you have all your suggested habitat monitoring changes
>>>>complete? We can talk by phone if you like later in the week.
>>>>Thanks,
>>>>Chris
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>

>