

From: Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer
To: [Landenburger, Lisa A -FS](#)
Subject: RE: Ch. 3 figures
Date: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 11:46:00 AM
Attachments: [Lisa_DMA Fig.docx](#)

Lisa,

True the DMA won't be finalized before the proposed rule but to be consistent within all of the documents we think it'd be clearer to use the DMA throughout. We already have an updated Figure in Chapter 1 to replace the old that reflects the DMA. I'm attaching it here to be sure we're on the same page. I know there were some previous editorial comments regarding the size of the names and readability. I would appreciate it if you could help me with that as I don't have the files to recreate the figure myself.

In Chapter 3 there are a few figures that refer to older boundaries. Fig 13 – has an outline for the GYE that should be updated to reflect the PCA and DMA, currently it looks possibly like outlines for the national parks and forests? Fig 14 – hunter numbers should be estimated for the DMA rather than the recovery zone plus 10-mile perimeter, if possible.

I have been changing the wording to reflect the merger of the Custer-Gallatin as I go through all documents.

Thank you for your offer to help with other figures, I'll definitely let you know!

*Jennifer Fortin-Noreus, Ph.D.
Postdoctoral Researcher
Grizzly Bear Recovery Program
USFWS
University of Montana
University Hall, Room 309
Missoula, MT 59812
phone: (406) 243-4994
jennifer.fortin-noreus@umontana.edu*

From: Landenburger, Lisa A -FS [<mailto:lalandenburger@fs.fed.us>]
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 11:33 AM
To: Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer
Subject: RE: Ch. 3 figures

Jennifer,

Regarding the DMA: As Frank understands it, the DMA will be finalized in tandem with an eventual proposed Delisting Rule, so technically the DMA is not official. However, IGBST has proceeded with the DMA as the new standard, so yes, wherever appropriate, we should reference the DMA. The figure for this is not in Chapter 3, but rather pg.19, Chapter 1. And the reference to this figure is found on pg. 17. We may want to include some language indicating that the DMA will be the area from which population and trend estimates are derived. Meanwhile, I will generate a revised figure to reflect the DMA – which is replacing the Conservation Management Area.

This brings up a point – I am willing to help out with new maps/figures wherever appropriate. Please flag things and bring them to my attention as you see them, and I will add these to my list of tasks.

Also – after discussing w/ Dan Tyers (Grizzly Bear Coordinator, GYE), we believe the revised CS should reflect the current Forest configuration. Since the Gallatin and Custer National Forests have been merged into the Custer-Gallatin National Forest, we will need to revise text, figures and tables. I have this on my red flag list, but whenever you have specific things that you need help with – don't hesitate to let me know.

Lisa Landenburger
Grizzly Bear GIS Database Coordinator, GYE
USGS Northern Rocky Mountain Science Center
USFS Affiliate, Region 1
Email: lalandenburger@fs.fed.us
phone: 406-994-7430

From: Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer [<mailto:jennifer.fortin-noreus@mso.umt.edu>]
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 10:24 AM
To: Landenburger, Lisa A -FS
Subject: Ch. 3 figures

Hi Lisa,

I called yesterday to touch base on the figures for Chapter 3. I assume that you are updating those along with the rest of the chapter, is this correct? In the updating of our figures we want to be sure to make the necessary changes to reflect that the area being monitored is the DMA instead of the old PCA with 10-mile perimeter. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks!

*Jennifer Fortin-Noreus, Ph.D.
Postdoctoral Researcher
Grizzly Bear Recovery Program
USFWS
University of Montana
University Hall, Room 309
Missoula, MT 59812
phone: (406) 243-4994
jennifer.fortin-noreus@umontana.edu*