

From: Landenburger, Lisa A -FS
To: Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer
Subject: RE: Appendix C Alternatives - need quick clarification
Date: Friday, September 09, 2016 4:18:47 PM

BTW - I was glad to hear a few members from yesterday's YES meeting express special appreciation of your efforts. You are the glue that is holding this process together! Have a great weekend yourself. You've earned it!

-----Original Message-----

From: Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer [<mailto:jennifer.fortin-noreus@mso.umt.edu>]
Sent: Friday, September 09, 2016 3:11 PM
To: Landenburger, Lisa A -FS <lalandenburger@fs.fed.us>
Subject: RE: Appendix C Alternatives - need quick clarification

Lisa,

That assumption is correct. I will keep you updated if I hear of any updates to the language in these proposals.

Have a great weekend!

Jennifer

Jennifer Fortin-Noreus, Ph.D.
Postdoctoral Researcher
Grizzly Bear Recovery Program
University of Montana
University Hall, Room 309
Missoula, MT 59812
phone: (406) 243-4994
jennifer.fortin-noreus@umontana.edu

From: Landenburger, Lisa A -FS [lalandenburger@fs.fed.us]
Sent: Friday, September 09, 2016 2:58 PM
To: Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer
Subject: Appendix C Alternatives - need quick clarification

Jennifer,

Dave Schmid (USFS Region 1, Deputy Regional Forester) has requested that Dan & I craft a summary of the various alternatives proposed by the population subcommittee in time for a call next week between regional & Washington FS leadership. I want to be certain I interpret things correctly, and in light of this I have a question pertaining to the USFWS language in Alternative B:

" ... The new average population estimate and the lower and upper 95% confidence intervals that correspond to the model-averaged Chao2 population estimates would be inserted ..."

I tend to interpret this as saying the new confidence intervals derived from the Chao2 model would be inserted in

Table 2. However, I'm assuming the intent is to say that the new confidence intervals comparable to those derived from the Chao2 as opposed to those derived from the Chao2 estimator ... will be inserted in Table 2. Is this assumption correct?

Thanks as always Jennifer!

Lisa Landenburger

Grizzly Bear GIS Database Coordinator, Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem USGS Northern Rocky Mountain Science Center USFS Affiliate, Region 1

Email: lalandenburger@fs.fed.us<<mailto:lalandenburger@fs.fed.us>>

phone: 406-994-7430

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.