

From: Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer
To: [Daniel Thompson](#)
Cc: [Jodi Bush](#)
Subject: RE: example
Date: Thursday, December 15, 2016 10:20:18 AM

I know it's difficult to respond to the false and/or libelous issues and it is okay to simply state that they are false, and if you have references to back it up than all the better!

*Jennifer Fortin-Noreus, Ph.D.
Postdoctoral Researcher
Grizzly Bear Recovery Program
University of Montana
University Hall, Room 309
Missoula, MT 59812
phone: (406) 243-4994
jennifer.fortin-noreus@umontana.edu*

From: Daniel Thompson [daniel.thompson@wyo.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2016 10:16 AM
To: Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer
Cc: Jodi Bush
Subject: Re: example

Sounds good thanks. Yeah I've been referencing statutes and Cons Strat. documents etc where appropriate. Obviously some statements/questions are just false and/or libelous but still addressing.

On Thu, Dec 15, 2016 at 10:11 AM, Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer <jennifer.fortin-noreus@mso.umt.edu> wrote:

Hi Dan,

I've inserted a issue summary and the draft response below. Although we want to keep the responses as succinct as possible, it is important to include references to literature, regulations, etc. as much as possible to support our response.

Thank you!

Jennifer

Issue 81: We received some comments from peer reviewers and the public in reference to the Forest Service designation of the grizzly bear as a "sensitive species" or "species of conservation concern" upon delisting. Commenters considered this Forest Service designation an important component of ongoing management of grizzly bears. Some asked for specific statutory and regulatory definitions for "sensitive species" and "species of conservation concern" and the amount of protection afforded under each designation. Commenters expressed concern about the different authority these Forest Service designations provide and worried that the new designation of "species of conservation concern" under the 2012 Planning Rule would not provide the same project-level prohibitions as the "sensitive species" designation.

Response 81: The inherent protections afforded by the Sensitive Species designation and the Species of Conservation Concern and the Individual Species Direction are comparable. All three are designed to meet the intent of the U.S. Department of Agriculture Departmental Regulations 9500-4 which directs the Forest Service to “Avoid actions which may cause a species to become threatened or endangered” and Sensitive Species Objectives (USDA Forest Service 2005, Manual 2670.22) which include: “Develop and implement management practices to ensure that species do not become threatened or endangered because of Forest Service actions and “Develop and implement management objectives for populations and/or habitat of sensitive species.” Following are the regulatory definitions:

Sensitive Species: Those plant and animal species identified by a regional forester for which population viability is a concern, as evidenced by: (1) Significant current or predicted downward trends in population numbers or density; and (2) Significant current or predicted downward trends in habitat capability that would reduce a species’ existing distribution. (USDA Forest Service 2005, Manual 2670.05).

Species of Conservation Concern: For purposes of this subpart, a species of conservation concern is a species, other than federally recognized threatened, endangered, proposed, or candidate species, that is known to occur in the plan area and for which the regional forester has determined that the best available scientific information indicates substantial concern about the species’ capability to persist over the long-term in the plan area. (36 CFR 219.9(c)).

Jennifer Fortin-Noreus, Ph.D.
Postdoctoral Researcher
Grizzly Bear Recovery Program
University of Montana
University Hall, Room 309
Missoula, MT 59812
phone: (406) 243-4994
jennifer.fortin-noreus@umontana.edu

From: Daniel Thompson [daniel.thompson@wyo.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2016 7:38 AM
To: Jodi Bush; Fortin-Noreus, Jennifer
Subject: example

Hello,

Working on responses to state comments and wondered if you could send some examples of your answers. I don't want to over or undershoot the responses. Most of these questions have already been answered ad nauseum during all of our public comment periods but there are a few new ones that have been developed.

Thanks

DT

--

Dan J. Thompson, PhD
Large Carnivore Section Supervisor
Wyoming Game and Fish Dept
260 Buena Vista Dr
Lander, WY 82520
(307) 332-2688
Daniel.Thompson@wyo.gov<mailto:Daniel.Thompson@wyo.gov>

--

E-Mail to and from me, in connection with the transaction of public business, is subject to the Wyoming Public Records Act and may be disclosed to third parties.

--

Dan J. Thompson, PhD
Large Carnivore Section Supervisor
Wyoming Game and Fish Dept
260 Buena Vista Dr
Lander, WY 82520
(307) 332-2688
Daniel.Thompson@wyo.gov

--

E-Mail to and from me, in connection with the transaction of public business, is subject to the Wyoming Public Records Act and may be disclosed to third parties.