#### U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

## Mesocyclops pehpeiensis (a cyclopoid copepod, no common name) Ecological Risk Screening Summary

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, May 2018 Web Version, 6/7/2018





Photos: BOLD. Licensed under Creative Commons CC-BY-SA. Available: http://www.boldsystems.org/index.php/Taxbrowser\_Taxonpage?taxid=589018. (May 2018).

## **1** Native Range and Status in the United States

#### **Native Range**

From Hołyńska and Nam (2000):

"The range of *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* extends from Central Asia (South Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan) through India, Sri Lanka, South East Asia, China to the Japanese Islands (as far as Honshu) [...] (for distributional data see Reid, 1994; Kawabata & Defaye, 1994; Mirabdullayev et al., 1995; Mirabdullayev, 1996; Ueda & Ishida, 1997; Ueda et al., 1997)."

### **Status in the United States**

From Reid (1993):

"Wild populations of this species were collected in 1990 and 1991 in ricefields near Jennings, Louisiana, about 300 km west of New Orleans, and near Cleveland, Mississippi, about 400 km north of New Orleans. The species seems to be well established and probably spreading in the region."

From Díaz et al. (2006):

"The species was also found in freshwater lagoons and rice fields at several localities in the states of Louisiana and Mississippi in the southern U.S.A. Yet another population is living in greenhouse tanks and outdoor ponds holding imported ornamental aquatic plants in the District of Columbia, eastern U.S.A."

### Means of Introductions in the United States

From Reid (1993):

"The populations in Louisiana and Mississippi were likely introduced, possibly either along with imported tropical aquatic plants or fish, or somehow with rice. [...] Adults originally collected in New Orleans were introduced into Jennings ricefields in 1990 and into both Jennings and Cleveland ricefields in 1991 as part of mosquito-control experiments (G.G. Marten, personal communications, 1990, 1991)."

From Suárez-Morales et al. (2005):

"Reid (1996) found it in ponds of aquatic gardens in the area of Washington, D.C., attributing its presence to the importation of exotic plants."

### Remarks

From Díaz et al. (2006):

"Its major synonyms are *Mesocyclops Leuckarti* [sic] pehpeiensis Hu, 1943, *Mesocyclops ruttneri* Kiefer, 1981, and *Mesocyclops* sp. (*leuckarti* group) of Marten (1989)."

"Because Hu's description [of *M. pehpeiensis*] lacked many of the details that are now understood to be important in discriminating species of this genus, the real identity of his species was resolved only recently by Guo (2000). Guo established that the species described by Kiefer (1981) as a new taxon, *M. ruttneri*, is the same as *M. pehpeiensis*."

"In Louisiana and Mississippi the species was initially reported as *Mesocyclops* sp. (*leuckarti* group) by Marten (1989), and later as *M. ruttneri* by Marten et al. (1993), Reid (1993), and Reid and Marten (1995). The District of Columbia population was also reported as *M. ruttneri* by Reid (1996)."

In addition to the accepted scientific name, the above synonyms were used in searching for evidence of species introduction and impacts.

# 2 Biology and Ecology

### **Taxonomic Hierarchy and Taxonomic Standing**

From ITIS (2018):

"Kingdom Animalia
Subkingdom Bilateria
Infrakingdom Protostomia
Superphylum Ecdysozoa
Phylum Arthropoda
Subphylum Crustacea
Class Maxillopoda
Subclass Copepoda
Infraclass Neocopepoda
Superorder Podoplea
Order Cyclopoida
Family Cyclopidae
Genus Mesocyclops
Species Mesocyclops pehpeiensis Hu, 1943"

"Current Standing: valid"

### Size, Weight, and Age Range

From Guo (2000):

"Average length to the end of caudal rami 1.34 mm (n=10); range 1.21–1.52 mm [for females;] Average length to end of caudal rami 0.81 mm (n=7); range 0.74–0.88 mm [for males]."

Phong et al. (2008) report a mean longevity of 50.9 days across 19 female M. pehpeiensis.

#### Environment

From Suárez-Morales et al. (2005):

*"Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* has been collected from a wide variety of tropical freshwater environments. They include ricefields and urban ponds (Reid 1993, 1996). [...] According to Reid (1993), this species is more an epibenthic form dwelling in the littoral zones."

From Anufriieva and Shadrin (2016):

"[...] thermophilic [...]"

### Climate/Range

From Suárez-Morales et al. (2005):

"The overall known latitudinal range of this species extends roughly from 50°N to 7°S, thus including mainly tropical areas of Asia [...]"

### **Distribution Outside the United States**

Native From Hołyńska and Nam (2000):

"The range of *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* extends from Central Asia (South Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan) through India, Sri Lanka, South East Asia, China to the Japanese Islands (as far as Honshu) [...] (for distributional data see Reid, 1994; Kawabata & Defaye, 1994; Mirabdullayev et al., 1995; Mirabdullayev, 1996; Ueda & Ishida, 1997; Ueda et al., 1997)."

Introduced From Reid (1993):

"Specimens of *Mesocyclops ruttneri* were first collected in Austria in a greenhouse, which had been destroyed by the time that the original description was published (Kiefer, 1981)"

From Suárez-Morales et al. (2005):

"The analysis of samples collected in two ponds of the state of Chiapas, on the Pacific coast of Mexico, yielded the identification of male and female individuals of [*M. pehpeiensis*]."

From Díaz et al. (2006):

"The species we identified was *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* Hu, 1943. [...] The collection locality was Havana City Province, Municipality Cotorro, El Cacao Reservoir, [Cuba] on 26 November 2003."

"[...] additional sampling will be necessary to verify whether *M. pehpeiensis* has established viable populations in Cuba."

From Montoliu et al. (2015):

"We found *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* outside its native area of distribution in two very distinct localities. One was a rice paddy in the Albufera Lake Natural Park, Valencia [Spain] in the fields of L'Estell [...] The other localities were two ponds in Campeche State (Mexico) [...]"

From Anufriieva and Shadrin (2016):

"The East Asian freshwater cyclopoid copepods *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* (Hu, 1943) and *Eucyclops roseus* Ishida, 1997 [...] were recorded from samples collected in the water bodies of the city of Lugansk, eastern Ukraine."

"*M. pehpeiensis* was found in a warm water pool in the botanical garden in Vienna (under the name *Mesocyclops ruttneri* Kiefer, 1981, a younger synonym of *M. pehpeiensis*) and in East Crimea (Anufriieva et al., 2014)."

#### Means of Introduction Outside the United States

From Suárez-Morales et al. (2005):

"[...] we speculate that rather than having a "cosmopolitan" distribution, *M. pehpeiensis* was introduced into Mexico by human agency. This introduction is probably a result of an isolated event which was independent from those that are supposedly related to introductions in the United States. This record, on the Mexican Pacific coast, suggests a relatively recent and independent dispersal process because: 1) this large, conspicuous species has not been recorded previously in other parts of Mexico; the southern and central areas of the country have been surveyed for several decades (Suárez-Morales et al. 1996; Suárez-Morales & Reid 1998), including a survey on *Mesocyclops* (see Suárez-Morales & Gutiérrez-Aguire 2001); and 2) the coastal area of Chiapas is active for aquaculture and in the last decade shipments from the Far East, including Malaysia, have delivered seed specimens to support the culturing of the Malayan prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii* de Man) (C. Tovilla pers. comm.). This is likely to be a probable way of introduction for *M. pehpeiensis* in this area; also, it suggests a relatively recent event. Ballast waters and aquacultural activities are widely known to favoring introduction of exotic copepod fauna (Reid & Pinto-Coelho 1994)."

From Díaz et al. (2006):

"[...] probably introduced into [...] Cuba, by humans."

From Montoliu et al. (2015):

"These authors consider rice agricultural practices to be the most probable vector for introduction and establishment of exotic ostracods. However, other factors could be involved in the introduction of this copepod in other places. Anthropogenic translocation associated with shipping activities, through ballast water discharge, is considered one of the major vectors of copepod dispersion (Karanovic & Krajicek, 2012). On the other hand, a recent Crimean record of

*M. pehpeiensis* has been attributed to transportation by birds (Anufriieva et al., 2014). In spite of this, we consider that *M. pehpeiensis* has spread recently in Europe and America owing to human-derived translocation, as can be inferred from the extremely small divergences in the DNA barcodes."

From Anufriieva and Shadrin (2016):

"Long-distance transportation by birds, probably, is the more plausible explanation for their appearance in Lugansk [Ukraine]."

### **Short Description**

From Guo (2000):

"Antennula 17-segmented with groups or rows of spinules on segment 1, 4, 5 and 7–13 and segment 17 with one deep notch. Antennary basis with caudal spinule pattern of *M. leuckarti* (Claus, 1857; Van de Velde, 1984), row of 6–7 spinules at level of medial setae and 2–4 spinules near distal margin. Maxillulary palp without spinules. Maxillar syncoxa shows frontally distinct rows of spinules. Medial distal margin of P1 basis without spine. Distal margin of connecting plate of P4 with two large acute outgrowths, medial expansion of P4 basis naked. Pediger 5 without hairs laterally and dorsally. Seminal receptacle with two short lateral arms, slightly curved at their ends 35 and transverse ducts from copulatory pore slightly V-shaped. Caudal rami without hairs on medial margin and armed with spinules at bases of lateral and external terminal setae."

#### **Biology**

From Phong et al. (2008):

"Females [...] mated more than once. Multiple mating resulted in increased egg production."

"[...] the present study demonstrated that 3 or 4 matings were ordinary for *Mesocyclops*. Depending upon the species and quantity of sperm, a *Mesocyclops* female typically produces 3 to 8 clutches, and then subsequent remating occurs to produce additional egg clutches."

Phong et al. (2008) report a mean clutch size of 90.6 eggs (sample size=100) and mean interclutch period of 1.5 days (sample size=27) for female *M. pehpeiensis*.

From Chang and Hanazato (2005):

"[...] large[-bodied copepod] genera including *Mesocyclops* [...] are voracious predators that feed on a wide range of zooplankton prey from rotifers to cladocerans (Williamson 1986; Gliwicz and Umana 1994; Brandl 1998a, 1998b; Rao and Kumar 2002; Chang and Hanazato [2003]; Kumar and Rao 2003). The predacious copepods often account for a greater mortality of herbivorous zooplankton than do zooplanktivorous fish (Blumenshine and Hambright 2003)."

From Hwang et al. (2009):

"The predatory copepod, *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis*, is numerically abundant and exerts major predation pressures on different cladoceran species in tropical and subtropical freshwater systems. We investigated in the laboratory the responses of 4 cladoceran species: *Scapholeberis kingii*, *Ceriodaphnia cornuta*, *Moina macrocopa*, and *Daphnia similoides* to the copepod *Mes. pehpeiensis*. [...] The presence of the copepod severely suppressed the population growth trajectories of all 4 cladoceran species tested. [...] With the exception of *S. kingii*, the other 3 cladocerans reproduced earlier in the copepod treatment. The neonate size at hatching and maximum body sizes reached by the 3 cladocerans (except *S. kingii*) in treatments were larger than those in the controls."

#### **Human Uses**

From Díaz et al. (2006):

"Mesocyclops pehpeiensis is a good candidate species for biological control. Marten (1989) reported that, in experimental containers in New Orleans, *M. pehpeiensis* thrived and was second only to *Macrocyclops albidus* in aggressively preying upon larvae of *Aedes albopictus* [Asian tiger mosquito]. Moreover, it was more resistant to desiccation and more tolerant of high water temperatures than *M. albidus*. [...] Like several other cyclopoid species tested, *M. pehpeiensis* was unharmed by *Bacillus thuringiensis* (B.t.i.) or larviciding oil; it was less sensitive than *Macrocyclops albidus* or *Acanthocyclops vernalis* to permethrin (Marten et al. 1993)."

### Diseases

No information available. No OIE-listed diseases have been reported in this species.

### **Threat to Humans**

No information available.

### **3** Impacts of Introductions

From Montoliu et al. (2015):

"[...] the consequences or ecological impacts of *M. pehpeiensis* introduction could be very important. [...] *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* is also an omnivorous tactile predator that naturally may influence the density and species composition of its preys (cladocerans, rotifers and dipteran larvae) (Dieng et al., 2003; Nagata & Hanazato, 2006; Sarma et al., 2013). These alien predatory cyclopids may change the zooplankton composition in their new habitats, further destabilizing the ecosystems and opening ways for new incomers (Anufriieva et al., 2014). To date, this has not been studied to our knowledge."

From Anufriieva and Shadrin (2016):

"Species composition variation of copepods may have significant effects on population, community, and ecosystem dynamics (Hausch et al., 2013). *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* is a

predator form, which naturally influences the density and species composition of their preys: cladocerans, rotifers, and dipteran larvae (Sarma et al., 2013). This cyclopid may change the zooplankton composition in its new habitats, destabilizing ecosystems and opening doors for new incomers (Richardson, 2011)."



## **4** Global Distribution

**Figure 1**. Known global distribution of *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis*, reported from the United States and Mexico. Map from GBIF Secretariat (2017). Only a small percentage of the occurrences reported by GBIF Secretariat (2017) are georeferenced, accounting for the small number of occurrences shown on the map. For the climate matching analysis, occurrence locations from other sources were used to supplement the georeferenced occurrences in GBIF Secretariat (2017).

## **5** Distribution Within the United States



**Figure 2**. Known distribution of *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* in the United States, reported from Louisiana, Mississippi, and Washington, D.C. Map from USGS (2018). The status noted for each of the reported occurrences is "collected". Reid (1993) reports that the Missouri and Louisiana populations are established; in the absence of additional evidence that the Washington D.C. collection represents an established population, this occurrence was excluded from the climate matching analysis.

# 6 Climate Matching

### **Summary of Climate Matching Analysis**

The climate match (Sanders et al. 2018; 16 climate variables; Euclidean distance) was high in the south-central United States including the Gulf Coast from western Florida to eastern Texas, southern California, and the Upper Midwest except for Minnesota. The climate match was medium throughout much of the remainder of the contiguous U.S., with low matches occurring only in eastern New England, along the Pacific coast north of San Francisco, and small scattered areas of the Interior West. Climate 6 score indicated that the contiguous U.S. has a high climate match overall. Scores of 0.103 and greater are classified as high match; Climate 6 score for *M. pehpeiensis* was 0.385.



RAMP

**Figure 3**. RAMP (Sanders et al. 2018) source map showing weather stations selected as source locations (red; United States, Mexico, Spain, Ukraine, Uzbekistan, China, Vietnam, Malaysia, Japan) and non-source locations (gray) for *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* climate matching. Source locations from GBIF Secretariat (2017) and USGS (2018). Additional source locations from Lim and Fernando (1985; Malaysia), Mirabdullayev (1996; Uzbekistan), Ueda et al. (2007; Japan), Guo (2000; China), Chang and Hanazato (2005; Japan), Nam et al. (2005; Vietnam), Suárez-Morales et al. (2005; Mexico), Montoliu et al. (2015; Mexico, Spain), and Anufriieva and Shadrin (2016; Ukraine). No occurrence information was available for Kazakhstan, India, Sri Lanka, or several countries in Southeast Asia, where *M. pehpeiensis* is reported to be native (Hołyńska and Nam 2000). Additionally, collection locations in Washington, D.C., Austria, and Russia were not included in the climate matching source locations because establishment could not be confirmed.



**Figure 4**. Map of RAMP (Sanders et al. 2018) climate matches for *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* in the contiguous United States based on source locations reported by GBIF Secretariat (2017), USGS (2018), Lim and Fernando (1985), Mirabdullayev (1996), Ueda et al. (2007), Guo (2000), Chang and Hanazato (2005), Nam et al. (2005), Suárez-Morales et al. (2005), Montoliu et al. (2015), and Anufriieva and Shadrin (2016). 0=Lowest match, 10=Highest match. Counts of climate match scores are tabulated on the left.

The "High", "Medium", and "Low" climate match categories are based on the following table:

Climate 6: Proportion of	Climate Match
(Sum of Climate Scores 6-10) / (Sum of total Climate Scores)	Category
0.000≤X≤0.005	Low
0.005 <x<0.103< td=""><td>Medium</td></x<0.103<>	Medium
≥0.103	High

## 7 Certainty of Assessment

Information is available on the biology and ecology of *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis*. A decent body of peer-reviewed literature exists for this species. However, its native distribution is not well

documented through georeferenced occurrences. Introductions may be difficult to track given the small size of the species, lowering the assessor's confidence that all of the introduced distribution has been documented. Despite several confirmed introductions, little research has examined the impact of these introductions. Certainty of this assessment is low.

## 8 Risk Assessment

### Summary of Risk to the Contiguous United States

*Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* is a cyclopoid copepod native to much of Asia. Introductions have been documented in Europe and North America, including in the U.S. states of Louisiana and Mississippi, and in Washington, D.C. Multiple authors have expressed concern about potential impacts of introduction of this large predatory copepod, but impacts have yet to be documented. Climate match to the contiguous U.S. is high overall, with the south-central U.S., the Great Lakes, and southern California highlighted as particularly suitable climates for *M. pehpeiensis*. Because of the lack of documented impacts of introduction, the overall risk assessment category for *M. pehpeiensis* is Uncertain.

### **Assessment Elements**

- History of Invasiveness (Sec. 3): None Documented
- Climate Match (Sec. 6): High
- Certainty of Assessment (Sec. 7): Low
- Overall Risk Assessment Category: Uncertain

## **9** References

Note: The following references were accessed for this ERSS. References cited within quoted text but not accessed are included below in Section 10.

- Anufriieva, E. V., and N. V. Shadrin. 2016. Current invasions of East Asian cyclopoids (Copepoda, Cyclopoida) in Europe: new records from eastern Ukraine. Turkish Journal of Zoology 40:282-285.
- Chang, K.-H., and T. Hanazato. 2005. Impact of selective predation by *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* on a zooplankton community: experimental analysis using mesocosms. Ecological Research 20:726-732.
- Díaz, Z. M., J. W. Reid, I. C. Guerra, and I. V. Ramos. 2006. A new record of *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* (Copepoda: Cyclopoida) for Cuba. Journal of Vector Ecology 31(1):193-195.
- GBIF Secretariat. 2017. GBIF backbone taxonomy: *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* Hu, 1943. Global Biodiversity Information Facility, Copenhagen. Available: https://www.gbif.org/species/2116960. (May 2018).
- Guo, X. 2000. A redescription of *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* Hu, 1943 and notes on *Mesocyclops ruttneri* Kiefer, 1981 (Copepoda, Cyclopidae). Hydrobiologia 418:33-43.

- Hołyńska, M., and V. S. Nam. 2000. A new Oriental species of *Mesocyclops* (Copepoda: Cyclopidae). Hydrobiologia 429:197-206.
- Hwang, J.-S., R. Kumar, and C.-S. Kuo. 2009. Impacts of predation by the copepod, *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis*, on life table demographics and population dynamics of four cladoceran species: a comparative laboratory study. Zoological Studies 48(6):738-752.
- ITIS (Integrated Taxonomic Information System). 2018. Mesocyclops pehpeiensis Hu, 1943. Integrated Taxonomic Information System, Reston, Virginia. Available: https://www.itis.gov/servlet/SingleRpt/SingleRpt?search\_topic=TSN&search\_value=887 01#null. (May 2018).
- Lim, R. P., and C. H. Fernando. 1985. A review of Malaysian freshwater Copepoda with notes on new records and little known species. Hydrobiologia 128:71-89.
- Mirabdullayev, I. M. 1996. The genus *Mesocyclops* (Crustacea: Copepoda) in Uzbekistan (Central Asia). International Review of Hydrobiology 81(1):93-100.
- Montoliu, L., M. R. Miracle, and M. Elías-Gutiérrez. 2015. Using DNA barcodes to detect nonindigenous species: the case of the Asian copepod *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* Hu, 1943 (Cyclopidae) in two regions of the world. Crustaceana 88:1323-1338.
- Nam, V. S., N. T. Yen, T. V. Phong, T. U. Ninh, L. Q. Mai, L. V. Lo, L. T. Nghia, A. Bektas, A. Briscombe, J. G. Aaskov, P. A. Ryan, and B. H. Kay. 2005. Elimination of dengue by community programs using *Mesocyclops* (Copepoda) against *Aedes aegypti* in central Vietnam. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 72(1):67-73.
- Phong, T. V., N. Tuno, H. Kawada, and M. Takagi. Comparative evaluation of fecundity and survivorship of six copepod (Copepoda: Cyclopidae) species, in relation to selection of candidate biological control agents against *Aedes aegypti*. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 24(1):61-69.
- Reid, J. W. 1993. New records and redescriptions of American species of *Mesocyclops* and of *Diacyclops bernardi* (Petkovski, 1986) (Copepoda: Cyclopoida). Bijdragen tot de Dierkunde 63(3):173-191.
- Sanders, S., C. Castiglione, and M. H. Hoff. 2018. Risk Assessment Mapping Program: RAMP, version 3.1. US Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Suárez-Morales, E., M. A. Gutiérrez-Aguirre, J. L. Torres, and F. Hernández. 2005. The Asian Mesocyclops pehpeiensis Hu, 1943 (Crustacea, Copepoda, Cyclopidae) in Southeast Mexico with comments on the distribution of the species. Zoosystema 27(2):245-256.
- Ueda, H., T. Ishida, and J. Imai. 1997. Planktonic cyclopoid copepods from small ponds in Kyushu, Japan. II. Subfamily Cyclopinae. Hydrobiologia 356:61-71.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 2018. *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis*. U.S. Geological Survey, Nonindigenous Aquatic Species Database, Gainesville, Florida. Available: https://nas.er.usgs.gov/viewer/omap.aspx?SpeciesID=2794. (May 2018).

### **10 References Quoted But Not Accessed**

Note: The following references are cited within quoted text within this ERSS, but were not accessed for its preparation. They are included here to provide the reader with more information.

- Anufriieva, E. V., M. Hołyńska, and N. Shadrin. 2014. Current invasions of Asian cyclopid species (Copepoda: Cyclopidae) in Crimea, with taxonomical and zoogeographical remarks on the hypersaline and freshwater fauna. Annales Zoologici 64(1):109-130.
- Blumenshine, S. C., and K. D. Hambright. 2003. Top-down control in pelagic systems: a role for invertebrate predation. Hydrobiologia 491:347-356.
- Brandl, Z. 1998a. Feeding strategies of planktonic cyclopoids in lacustrine ecosystems. Journal of Marine Systems 15:87-95.
- Brandl, Z. 1998b. Life strategy and feeding relations of *Cyclops vicinus* in two reservoirs. International Review of Hydrobiology 83:381-388.
- Chang, K. H., and T. Hanazato. 2003. Vulnerability of cladoceran species to predation by the copepod *Mesocyclops leuckarti*: laboratory observations on the behavioural interactions between predator and prey. Freshwater Biology 48:476–484
- Dieng, H., M. Boots, N. Tuno, Y. Tsuda, and M. Takagi. 2003. Life history effects of prey choice by copepods: implications for biocontrol of vector mosquitoes. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 19:67-73.
- Gliwicz, Z. M., and G. Umana. 1994. Cladoceran body size and vulnerability to copepod predation. Limnology and Oceanography 39:419-424.
- Hausch, S., J. B. Shurin, and B. Matthews. 2013. Variation in body shape across species and populations in a radiation of Diaptomid Copepods. PLoS ONE 8:1-12.
- Karanovic, T., and M. Krajicek. 2012. When anthropogenic translocation meets cryptic speciation globalized bouillon originates; molecular variability of the cosmopolitan freshwater cyclopoid *Macrocyclops albidus* (Crustacea: Copepoda). International Journal of Limnology 48:63-80.
- Kawabata, K., and D. Defaye. 1994. Description of planktonic copepods from Lake Kahokugata, Japan. Japanese Journal of Limnology 55:143-158.

- Kiefer, F. 1981. Beitrag zur Kenntnis von Morphologie, Taxonomie und geographischer Verbreitung von Mesocyclops leuckarti auctorum. Archiv f
  ür Hydrobiologie Supplement 62(1, Monographische Beitr
  äge):148-190.
- Kumar, R., and R. Rao. 2003. Predation on mosquito larvae by *Mesocyclops thermocyclopoides* (Copepoda: Cyclopoida) in the presence of alternate prey. International Review of Hydrobiology 88:570-581.
- Marten, G. G. 1989. A survey of cyclopoid copepods for control of *Aedes albopictus* larvae. Bulletin of the Society for Vector Ecology 14:232-236.
- Marten, G. G., W. Che, and E. S. Bordes. 1993. Compatibility of cyclopoid copepods with mosquito insecticides. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 9:150-154.
- Mirabdullayev, I. M., T. S. Stuge, and A. R. Kuzmetov. 1995. On *Mesocyclops ruttneri* Kiefer, 1981 a species new to Kazakstan. Selevinia (Alma-Ata) 2:31-32.
- Nagata, T., and T. Hanazato. 2006. Different predation impacts of two cyclopoid species on a small-sized zooplankton community: an experimental analysis with mesocosms. Hydrobiologia 556:233-242.
- Rao, T. R., and R. Kumar. 2002. Patterns of prey selectivity in the cyclopoid copepod *Mesocyclops thermocyclopoides*. Aquatic Ecology 36:411-424.
- Reid 1994 [Source did not provide full citation for this reference.]
- Reid, J. W. 1996. Checklist of the Copepoda (Crustacea) of the District of Columbia. Bio-Blitz World Wide Web site, USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center. Available: http://www.pwrc.usgs.gov/blitz/biocopewash.html.
- Reid, J. W., and G. G. Marten. 1995. The cyclopoid copepod (Crustacea) fauna of nonplanktonic continental habitats in Louisiana and Mississippi. Tulane Studies in Zoology and Botany 30:39-45.
- Reid, J. W., and R. M. Pinto-Coelho. 1994. An Afro-Asian continental copepod, *Mesocyclops* ogunnus, found in Brazil; with a new key to the species of *Mesocyclops* in South America and a review of intercontinental introductions of copepods. Limnologica 24:359-368.
- Richardson, D. M. 2011. Fifty years of invasion ecology: the legacy of Charles Elton. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, U.K.
- Sarma, S. S., J. Jiménez-Contreras, R. Fernández, S. Nandini, and G. García-García. 2013. Functional responses and feeding rates of *Mesocyclops pehpeiensis* Hu (Copepoda) fed different diets (rotifers, cladocerans, alga and cyanobacteria). Journal of Natural History 47:841-852.

- Suárez-Morales, E., and M. A. Gutiérrez-Aguirre. 2001. Morfología y taxonomía de los Mesocyclops. (Crustacea, Copepoda, Cyclopida) de México. CONACYT/ECOSUR, México.
- Suárez-Morales, E., and J. W. Reid. 1998. An updated list of the free living freshwater copepods (Crustacea) of Mexico. Southwestern Naturalist 43:256-265.
- Suárez-Morales, E., J. W. Reid, T. M. Iliffe, and F. Fiers. 1996. Catálogo de los copépodos (Crustacea) continentales de la Península de Yucatán, México. CONABIO/ECOSUR, México.
- Ueda, H., and T. Ishida. 1997. Species composition and description of limnoplanktonic copepods from Okinawa. Plankton Biology and Ecology 44:41-54.
- Van de Velde, I. 1984. Revision of the African species of the genus *Mesocyclops* Sars, 1914 (Copepoda: Cyclopidae). Hydrobiologia 109:3-66.
- Williamson, C. E. 1986. The swimming and feeding behavior of *Mesocyclops*. Hydrobiologia 134:11-19.