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#### Abstract

The John Day/The Dalles Dam Mitigation (JDTD) program provides mitigation for the escapement of 30,000 adult fall Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) due to the loss of spawning habitat and production caused by construction of the John Day and The Dalles Dams in the Columbia River. The program is funded by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and operates with a total adult production (TAP) goal of 107,000 adults which include all adults harvested in saltwater and freshwater, returns to the hatchery, strays to other facilities, and any adults observed on the spawning grounds. Working towards this TAP goal, juvenile fall Chinook are reared and released from numerous state, tribal, and federally-operated hatcheries. Spring Creek and Little White Salmon National Fish Hatcheries (NFHs) annually contribute to the TAP goal of the JDTD program through the coordinated rearing and release of juvenile tule and upriver bright fall Chinook. In the past ten years, Spring Creek NFH has annually released a mean of 10.8 million juvenile tules into the Columbia River. Over the past 10 brood years, the program has contributed a mean of 86,407 adult tules (including 65,326 for harvest) annually to the JDTD program TAP goal. Since 2013, Little White Salmon NFH has annually released a mean of 4.4 M juvenile upriver brights into the Little White Salmon River. Over the past 10 brood years, the program at Little White Salmon NFH contributed a mean of 31,643 adult upriver brights (including 16,205 for harvest) annually to the JDTD program TAP goal. Congressional mandated mass marking of juveniles prior to release from both Spring Creek and Little White Salmon NFHs has been conducted to allow selective harvest of hatchery-reared individuals and protection of wild fish stocks. Additionally, coded-wire and PIT tagging of juveniles at both facilities has provided knowledge on timing of juvenile migration, downstream survival, number of adult returns to the facilities by brood year, smolt-to-adult survival rates, and tracking of fish straying. Additional monitoring and evaluation projects for both facilities are ongoing or currently being developed to determine the success and longevity of the programs in meeting their mitigation goals as well as ESA compliance through Biological Opinions as part of the JDTD program.
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## Introduction

Extensive hydropower development on the Columbia River during the 20th century altered habitats and led to rapid declines of wild salmonid populations in the mainstem (Fraley et al. 1989; Bottom et al. 2005). A prominent change in hydromorphology within the Columbia River Gorge occurred in 1957 due to the completion of The Dalles Dam which was constructed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) for hydropower generation and navigation. The impoundment created by The Dalles Dam flooded the town of Celilo and submerged Celilo Falls, a productive fishing site which was utilized by several native tribes on the Columbia River. In 1971, the John Day Dam was completed approximately 40 kilometers upstream of The Dalles (Figure 1), leading to further loss of spawning habitat and decreased production of fall Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) in the mainstem of the Columbia River.

To offset the inundation of spawning habitat and reduced fall Chinook salmon production due to construction of the John Day and The Dalles Dams, Congress authorized the John Day/The Dalles Dam Mitigation (JDTD) program. Mitigation included financial settlements to the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation, Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Reservation, Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, and the Nez Perce Tribe for the submergence of Celilo Falls, and the development of hatchery programs to compensate for the loss of spawning adult Chinook in the mainstem. Using historical data on adult returns and smolt-to-adult survival rates, the USACE negotiated with U.S. v Oregon parties in 2013 to provide mitigation for the escapement of 30,000 adult Chinook salmon as part of the JDTD program. To meet the escapement goal, hatchery programs collectively operate with a total adult production (TAP) goal of 107,000 adults which includes all adults harvested in saltwater and freshwater, returns to the hatchery, strays to other facilities, and any adults observed on spawning grounds. Approximately, $25 \%$ of the TAP goal is composed of tule (or early-run) fall Chinook which begin migrating from the Pacific Ocean in August to spawn from late September to November (PFMC 2011). The other 75\% of the TAP goal consists of upriver bright (URB; or late-run) fall Chinook which begin migrating up the Columbia River in August, but spawn from mid-October to December. The $25 \%$ tule and $75 \%$ URB split was an "In Kind" goal set when considering the impact that both The Dalles and John Day Dams had on spawning and rearing habitat as well as upstream and downstream fisheries. Collectively, the TAP goal is to be
achieved through the coordinated rearing and release of juvenile tule and URB fall Chinook from numerous existing (and planned) state, tribal, and federally-operated facilities.

Spring Creek National Fish Hatchery (NFH) and Little White Salmon NFH (Figure 1) are two federally-operated facilities with fall Chinook production programs that are part of the JDTD program. At Spring Creek NFH, juvenile tules are annually released from the hatchery directly into the mainstem of the Columbia River in April and May. For the production program at Little White Salmon NFH, a proportion of juvenile URBs are annually reared and released from the facility into the Little White Salmon River in June and July. Additionally, as part of the JDTD program, the facility transfers URB juveniles to the Yakima River-Prosser Hatchery program, and URB eggs to the state-operated Bonneville Hatchery to support the Umatilla and Yakima River programs. Juvenile fish released as part of the JDTD program provide locally adapted adult broodstock as well as harvest opportunities for sport, commercial, and tribal fishermen, contributing to the TAP goal and mitigation agreements negotiated by U.S. v Oregon parties and USACE.


Figure 1. Spring Creek and Little White Salmon NFHs are located on the Washington side of the Columbia River downstream of the John Day and The Dalles Dams. Monitoring and evaluation of the fall Chinook production programs at these facilities is conducted by staff at the Columbia River Fish and Wildlife Conservation Office (CRFWCO) located in Vancouver, Washington.

A significant proportion of the juvenile fish reared at Spring Creek and Little White Salmon NFHs are mass marked by removal (clipping) of the adipose fin due to a congressional mandate (February 12, 2003, Congressional Record, Sec. 138) implemented in release year 2005 requiring all production fish from federal facilities (except those explicitly reared for conservation) to be externally marked. Absence of an adipose fin delineates hatchery-reared fish from wild stocks allowing for selective harvest of adult returns in both saltwater and freshwater fisheries. In addition to an adipose fin-mark, a proportion of the juveniles are marked with coded-wire tags (CWT) in the snout prior to release. CWT marking allows researchers to estimate smolt-to-adult survival, determine age structure of adult returns, and evaluate the contribution of the annual juvenile release to the TAP goal by tracking the number of adults recovered during harvest, at the spawning grounds, and as returns to the hatchery. Data is utilized by staff at the facilities and
the Columbia River Fish and Wildlife Conservation Office (CRFWCO) for monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of the production programs in meeting overall mitigation agreements, and for limiting the effects of production programs on fish stocks listed under the U.S. Endangered Species Act (ESA). Fish that have CWTs but are not adipose fin-marked are referred to as double-index tagged (or DIT) fish and are utilized by harvest managers as a proxy for determining the impacts of catch-and-release fisheries on wild fish.

For fiscal year (FY) 2022, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) requested funding from the USACE to support the JDTD programs at Spring Creek and Little White Salmon NFHs. Funds supported costs associated with juvenile production, mass marking, tagging, facility operations, and monitoring and evaluation efforts at the CRFWCO to allow for best management practices as outlined in the National Marine Fisheries Service (2007) and (2017) Biological Opinions. The purpose of this report is to provide an annual update summarizing results of the monitoring and evaluation programs conducted over the past ten years, discuss whether facilities are meeting objectives outlined in their Hatchery and Genetic Management Plans (HGMPs), and identify any special studies or notable trends with the fall Chinook production programs at Spring Creek and Little White Salmon NFHs that are supported by JDTD funds.

For previous Columbia River Fish and Wildlife Program Office reports, please see:
https://www.fws.gov/office/columbia-river-fish-and-wildlife-conservation/reports

## Spring Creek NFH: Tule Program

Spring Creek NFH (Figure 2) was established in 1901 and is located at river kilometer (rkm) 269 of the Columbia River near the towns of Underwood and White Salmon, WA. The tule fall Chinook program at the facility contributes to fulfilling tribal trust mandated responsibilities and mitigation requirements for recreational and commercial fisheries. Previous financial support to produce tule fall Chinook and monitoring and evaluation studies at the facility have been provided by funds from the Mitchell Act (administered by NMFS), USFWS (mass marking), and from the USACE as part of the JDTD program. The USACE has been providing $100 \%$ of the funding for the tule program since FY 2015 (brood year 2014). Broodstock for the tule program originated from the White Salmon River located approximately 1.5 kilometers upstream of the hatchery. The lower Columbia River White Salmon River tule stock is listed as threatened under the ESA (70 FR 37160). Presently, $100 \%$ of the adults used for broodstock at Spring Creek NFH are provided by hatchery-reared, adult returns to the facility as a segregated program.


Figure 2. Aerial photograph of Spring Creek NFH located along the Columbia River. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service stock photograph by Cheri Anderson.

## On-Station Juvenile Production

## a) Egg-to-Smolt Survival

Survival objectives during the early life stages are important monitoring and evaluation metrics for determining whether the hatchery is equipped to meet mitigation goals being funded by the USACE. These survival objectives include:

1. $95 \%$ or higher survival from the egg to eye up stage
2. $90 \%$ survival from the egg to fry stage; and
3. $97 \%$ survival from fry to smolt stage

Mortality can occur during each of these life stages due to disease, injury, predation, starvation, deformities, genetic anomalies, and hatchery equipment malfunction. Throughout the rearing cycle, the hatchery has a maximum Flow Index $\leq 1.5$ and Density Index $\leq 0.3$ to minimize disease risk (USFWS 2004a). Hatchery staff monitor these objectives to make sure facilities are meeting their production levels and determine whether alternative rearing and release practices are needed to improve on-station survival.

## b) Juvenile Mass Marking, Tagging, and Release Data

Historically, Spring Creek NFH released 15.1M juvenile tule into the Columbia River in March, April, and May. Beginning in release year 2009, reprogramming at the facility changed the production level goal to 10.5 M tule released in April and May. For Brood Years (BYs) 20-22, the Pacific Salmon Treaty funded an increase of up to two million juveniles for Southern Resident Killer Whale (SRKW) production. A proposal for additional BY23 funding will be determined in the Spring of 2023. Any fish produced above 10.5M (U.S. v Oregon obligation) for are credited to SRKW production. The actual number of juvenile tule released annually has varied with a mean of $10,826,980$ since release year 2013 (Table 1). The facility has mean juvenile size goals of $90-120$ fish/lb for the April release and $60-80$ fish/lb for the May release as outlined in the hatchery's Hatchery and Genetics Management Plan (USFWS 2004a). Ninety-
two percent $(\sim 10 \mathrm{M})$ of the annual production is mass marked with an adipose fin-mark ( AD ) only. The remaining fish are tagged with CWTs with $\sim 405 \mathrm{~K}$ being AD and tagged with CWTs, and $\sim 405 \mathrm{~K}$ being tagged with CWTs only (DIT fish). The CWT marking and tagging goals comply with the minimum suggested 200,000 per release group level recommended for subyearling fall Chinook by the Coast-wide CWT Database Expert Panel for Pacific Salmon Commission. The actual numbers of juveniles that have been mass marked and tagged since release year 2013 are presented below (Table 1).

Table 1. Annual release dates, marking and tagging information, number of juveniles released, and mean size at release in April and May for juvenile tule fall Chinook released from Spring Creek NFH. Brood year is one year before release year. Data retrieved from CRiS SR80s File: 12/20/2022.

| Release <br> Year | Release Dates | Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AD + } \\ & \text { CWT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT } \\ & \text { (DIT) } \end{aligned}$ | AD Only | No Mark/No CWT* | Total Released | Mean Size (Fish/lb) | Annual Total Release |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 11-Apr | 7.7 | 196,681 | 203,834 | 6,040,240 | 820 | 6,441,575 | 99 | 11,242,686 |
|  | 2-May | 10.6 | 200,696 | 199,892 | 4,398,952 | 1,571 | 4,801,111 | 79 |  |
| 2014 | 11-Apr | 8.9 | 205,922 | 205,548 | 5,757,948 | 0 | 6,169,418 | 122 | 10,754,482 |
|  | 6-May | 11.1 | 199,060 | 198,350 | 4,186,873 | 781 | 4,585,064 | 88 |  |
| 2015 | 13-Apr | 9.2 | 201,918 | 196,759 | 5,975,115 | 5,370 | 6,379,162 | 148 | 10,415,634 |
|  | 27-Apr | 10.6 | 190,848 | 191,210 | 3,654,414 | 0 | 4,036,472 | 105 |  |
| 2016 | 11-Apr | - | 203,461 | 201,944 | 5,941,689 | 2,278 | 6,349,372 | 112 | 10,167,948 |
|  | 9-May | 8.9 | 194,817 | 197,566 | 3,425,802 | 391 | 3,818,576 | 90 |  |
| 2017 | 10-Apr | 8.9 | 204,714 | 204,431 | 6,168,828 | 393 | 6,578,366 | 126 | 10,775,114 |
|  | 8-May | 11.3 | 195,800 | 194,472 | 3,802,122 | 4,354 | 4,196,748 | 84 |  |
| 2018 | 9-Apr | 4.4 | 203,899 | 201,850 | 6,266,724 | 2,907 | 6,675,380 | 135 | 10,737,862 |
|  | 7-May | 7.0 | 197,100 | 197,321 | 3,666,549 | 1,512 | 4,062,482 | 87 |  |
| 2019 | 8-Apr | 7.7 | 204,668 | 204,551 | 6,228,055 | 218,575 | 6,855,849 | 223 | 11,226,628 |
|  | 6-May | 8.3 | 197,627 | 197,565 | 3,975,216 | 371 | 4,370,779 | 152 |  |
| 2020** | 10-Apr | - | 153,161 | 152,451 | 4,391,178 | 2,199,589 | 6,896,379 | 99 | 11,184,169 |
|  | 13-Apr | - | 149,020 | 147,850 | 2,028,753 | 1,962,167 | 4,287,790 | 104 |  |
| $2021 \dagger$ | 12-Apr | 5.0 | 163,427 | 164,049 | 6,219,089 | 321 | 6,546,886 | 95 | 11,188,509 |
|  | 20-Apr | 6.1 | 196,581 | 196,643 | 4,247,245 | 1,154 | 4,641,623 | 83 |  |
| 2022**† | 14-Mar | - | 150,585 | 116,714 | 2,011,145 | 8,287,850 | 10,566,294 | 204 | 10,576,764 |
|  | 28-Apr: | 8.4 | - | - | - | - | 10,470 | - |  |
| Mean | Group 1 April Group 2 Apr/May | 7.4 9.7 | 189,405 196,564 | 189,083 | 5,387,715 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 9 9 , 4 1 6} \\ & 1,283 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 6 5 2 , 6 8 9}$ $\mathbf{4 , 2 6 7 , 3 1 9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122.4 \\ & 97.9 \end{aligned}$ | 10,826,980 |

*Fish with No Mark/No CWT include unmarked releases and double index tagged fish that shed their coded-wire tag prior to release. **An increased number of No Mark/No CWT fish were released in 2020 when marking was suspended due to COVID-19 and in 2022 when fish were released early due to bacterial gill disease.
${ }^{\dagger}$ All RY 2021 and 2022 juveniles produced above 10.5 M for are credited to SRKW production.
${ }^{*}$ Fish released in April 2022 were part of a study and isolated from the population that was released in early March

## Off-Station Survival

## a) PIT Tagging Program: Juvenile Migration Time

Approximately 15,000 juveniles are annually tagged by crews from the USFWS with Passive Integrated Transponder (PIT) tags prior to release from Spring Creek NFH (Table 2). PIT tagging juveniles provides real-time data as fish migrate to the Pacific Ocean and is accessible from the regional database called the Columbia Basin PIT Tag Information System (PTAGIS). PIT tag detections at fish ladders, hydropower dams, bird colonies, and the Columbia River estuary are utilized by staff at the CRFWCO to estimate juvenile migration time and survival through the Columbia River Basin. This information was also used to inform spill timing and duration need at Bonneville Dam for juvenile tule released early from Spring Creek NFH in 2022. Additionally, PIT tagged fish provide adult return run time information, estimation of straying rates, and knowledge on ecological interactions with ESA listed stocks in the Columbia River.

PIT tagged juvenile tule released from Spring Creek NFH are typically detected at Bonneville Dam located 35 kilometers downstream from the facility as they migrate to the Pacific Ocean. The detection rate of PIT tagged fish at Bonneville Dam is a function of a) migration survival from release to the dam, and b) the detection efficiency of the PIT antenna arrays at the dam. Detection efficiency at Bonneville Dam varies between and within years due to flow levels and dam operations (e.g., amount of spill, number of operating turbines, etc.). Travel times and detection rates to Bonneville Dam are estimated annually (Table 2). The average detection rate at Bonneville Dam of PIT tagged tule fall Chinook juveniles from Spring Creek NFH is approximately $5.8 \%$, with an average median travel time from the hatchery to the dam of 1 day.

Due the low detection rate of Spring Creek PIT tagged juveniles downstream of Bonneville Dam, at bird colony recovery sites and at the estuary trawl survey site (NOAA), no juvenile survival estimates can be accurately calculated.

Table 2. The number of PIT tagged juvenile tule released from Spring Creek NFH and juvenile travel time (days) to Bonneville Dam (BONN). Data retrieved from PTAGIS: 12/2/2022

|  |  |  |  | Travel Time (Days) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Release <br> Year |  |  |  | \# PIT <br> Tagged* | \# Detected <br> at BONN | \% <br> Detected | Mean | Range |
| 2013 | 14,940 | 825 | 5.5 | 2 | $(0.5-38)$ | 1 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| 2014 | 14,866 | 757 | 5.1 | 2 | $(0.5-37)$ | 1 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| 2015 | 14,929 | 847 | 5.7 | 3 | $(1-55)$ | 2 | 2.5 | 3.5 |
| 2016 | 14,954 | 779 | 5.2 | 1 | $(0.5-10)$ | 1 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 2017 | 14,918 | 513 | 3.4 | 1 | $(0.5-12)$ | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 2018 | 14,907 | 619 | 4.2 | 1 | $(0.5-54)$ | 1 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 2019 | 15,225 | 1,519 | 10.0 | 1 | $(0.5-47)$ | 1 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| $2020 \dagger$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2021 | 14,979 | 1,064 | 7.1 | 4 | $(2-56)$ | 3 | 3.5 | 5.0 |
| $2022 \pm$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Average | $\mathbf{1 4 , 9 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 5 - 5 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |

* Number tagged is adjusted for shed tags and pre-release mortality
$\dagger$ PIT tagging operations were cancelled in 2020 due to COVID-19, outmigration timing for Brood Year 2019 (Release Year 2020) could not be determined.
$\ddagger$ In spring 2022, juveniles were released early due to bacterial gill disease and were not PIT tagged; outmigration timing for Brood Year 2021 (Release Year 2022) could not be determined.


## Adult Returns

## a) Harvest Data and Smolt-to-Adult Survival

CWT recoveries, collected by federal, state, and tribal agencies and maintained in the RMIS database, are used to estimate adult returns to hatcheries in the Columbia River basin, harvested adults, and adults recovered on the spawning grounds in all watersheds [Table 3; Pastor (2004); Pastor (2016)]. Based on CWT recoveries from brood years 1990 to 2004, Spring Creek NFH was estimated to have a mean smolt-to-adult survival rate of $0.47 \%$. U.S. v. Oregon parties utilized this rate to set the juvenile production goal and estimated that the facility would contribute an estimated 49,592 adult tule Chinook, on average, towards the TAP goal of 107,000 with 28,000 adults supplied for harvest. However, for brood years 2006-2015, the facility has a mean smolt-to-adult survival rate of $0.74 \%$ (Table 3) which exceeds the program's goal of a 10-year-average of $0.5 \%$ smolt-to-adult survival rate outlined in the facility's HGMP (USFWS 2004a). Additionally, the tule program has contributed an average 86,407 adults for the past ten Brood Years 2006-2015 with the highest number of returns from the April juvenile release group (Table 4). Off-station CWT recoveries for harvest and spawning grounds beyond BY15 may be incomplete due to a lag in RMIS reporting.

Table 3. The estimated number of hatchery returns, harvested adults, and fish present on the spawning grounds based on coded wire tag recovery and expansion data from RMIS for tule fall Chinook released from Spring Creek NFH. Data downloaded from RMIS on 2/28/2023.

| Brood <br> Year | Hatchery <br> Returns* | Columbia <br> River <br> Harvest | Ocean <br> Harvest | Spawning <br> Grounds | Total <br> Adults $\dagger$ | Smolt-to- <br> Adult <br> Survival <br> (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2006 | 11,121 | 12,497 | 4,433 | 0 | 27,951 | 0.18 |
| 2007 | 55,022 | 69,779 | 41,278 | 520 | 166,599 | 1.12 |
| 2008 | 19,087 | 30,011 | 18,773 | 175 | 68,046 | 0.60 |
| 2009 | 20,376 | 30,740 | 21,245 | 151 | 72,512 | 0.67 |
| 2010 | 12,711 | 29,611 | 15,989 | 28 | 58,339 | 0.54 |
| 2011 | 18,558 | 67,380 | 35,933 | 355 | 122,226 | 1.10 |
| 2012 | 34,518 | 99,768 | 57,780 | 1,060 | 193,256 | 1.72 |
| 2013 | 8,842 | 18,898 | 7,791 | 90 | 35,621 | 0.33 |
| 2014 | 13,712 | 32,243 | 25,579 | 189 | 71,723 | 0.69 |
| 2015 | 13,939 | 18,203 | 15,332 | 150 | 47,801 | 0.47 |
| $2016^{\S}$ | 8,933 | 20,145 | 7,001 | 704 | 37,095 | 0.34 |
| $2017^{\S}$ | 14,412 | 34,864 | 10,907 | 406 | 60,786 | 0.56 |
| Average ${ }^{\S}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 7 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 , 9 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 4 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 , 4 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 4}$ |

* Hatchery returns are returns to Spring Creek NFH.
$\dagger$ Total Adults include other recovery locations not listed, such as strays to other hatcheries.
$\$$ Due to delays in reporting to RMIS, CWT recoveries may be adjusted every year for accuracy.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Average calculated for Brood Years 2006-2015

Table 4. Group 1 (April) and Group 2 (Late April/May) survival rates based on CWT expansion. Data retrieved from RMIS 3/28/2023.

| Brood Year | Release Year | Release Date | Release Group | Mean Size (Fish/lb) | Mean \% Survival |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 2007 | Apr-12 | 1 | 89 | 0.17 |
|  |  | May-1 | 2 | 74 | 0.12 |
| 2007 | 2008 | Apr-10 | 1 | 80 | 1.11 |
|  |  | May-2 | 2 | 65 | 1.25 |
| 2008 | 2009 | Apr-13 | 1 | 144 | 0.62 |
|  |  | May-1 | 2 | 91 | 0.44 |
| 2009 | 2010 | Apr-12 | 1 | 111 | 0.69 |
|  |  | May-10 | 2 | 76 | 0.34 |
| 2010 | 2011 | Apr-12 | 1 | 112 | 0.46 |
|  |  | May-4 | 2 | 87 | 0.34 |
| 2011 | 2012 | Apr-13 | 1 | 124 | 0.55 |
|  |  | Apr-30 | 2 | 98 | 1.04 |
| 2012 | 2013 | Apr-11 | 1 | 99 | 1.45 |
|  |  | May-2 | 2 | 79 | 1.10 |
| 2013 | 2014 | Apr-11 | 1 | 122 | 0.34 |
|  |  | May-6 | 2 | 88 | 0.12 |
| 2014 | 2014 | Apr-13 | 1 | 148 | 0.59 |
|  |  | Apr-27 | 2 | 105 | 0.49 |
| 2015 | 2016 | Apr-11 | 1 | 112 | 0.47 |
|  |  | May-9 | 2 | 90 | 0.39 |
| 2016 | 2017 | Apr-10 | 1 | 126 | 0.29 |
|  |  | May-8 | 2 | 84 | 0.23 |
| 2017 | 2018 | Apr-9 | 1 | 135 | 0.46 |
|  |  | May-7 | 2 | 87 | 0.35 |
| Mean |  |  | 1 | 117 | 0.60 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 85 | 0.51 |

An average 634 CWTs have been recovered each year at Spring Creek NFH since 2013 (Table 5). The Spring Creek NFH tule fall Chinook program accounts for 99.8 percent of all recoveries; tule fall Chinook from other programs include Little White Salmon NFH ( $0.1 \%$ ), Bonneville Hatchery ( $0.1 \%$ ), and the Coleman NFH ( $<0.1 \%$ ).

Table 5. Coded Wire Tag (CWT) recoveries for all hatchery programs collected at Spring Creek NFH 2013-2022. Number of CWT recoveries are not expanded and do not reflect sample or tagging rates. Data retrieved from RMIS: 1/30/2023

| Return Year | CWT Recoveries | Hatchery Origin | \% of CWT Total Return |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | 699 | Spring Creek NFH | 100 |
| 2014 | 484 | Spring Creek NFH | 100 |
| 2015 | 452 | Spring Creek NFH | 98 |
|  | 8 | L. White Salmon NFH | 2 |
| 2016 | 646 | Spring Creek NFH | 99.4 |
|  | 3 | Bonneville Hatchery | 0.5 |
|  | 1 | Coleman NFH | 0.2 |
| 2017 | 529 | Spring Creek NFH | 99.8 |
|  | 1 | Bonneville Hatchery | 0.2 |
| 2019 | 655 | Spring Creek NFH | 100 |
| 2020 | 719 | Spring Creek NFH | 100 |
| 2021 | 630 | Spring Creek NFH | 100 |
| 2022 | 719 | Spring Creek NFH | 99.7 |
|  | 2 | Bonneville Hatchery | 0.3 |
| Average | 789 | $\mathbf{6 3 4}$ | Spring Creek NFH |

## b) Age Structure

Age structure of returning adult fish is used in pre-season forecast models and to evaluate brood year productivity. The estimated age structure can also identify potential changes and trends in age composition over dime due to ecological or anthropogenic factors. Adult returns to Spring Creek NFH are sampled by hatchery personnel and the USFWS marking and biosampling crew from CRFWCO (Table 6: brood year; Table 7: return year). A subsample of adults (500 minimum) are aged by the biosampling crew using scales and CWT sampling, and the age ratios are applied to the total number of adults to estimate the overall age structure of the adult returns. The majority $(\sim 62 \%)$ of adult tule $(21,339$ of 34,276$)$ return to Spring Creek NFH at Age-3, but $31 \%$ return at Age-2 $(10,526$ of 34,276$)$ as precocially mature males/females. Approximately 7\% of adults return at Age-4 $(2,377$ of 34,276$)$ and less than 1\% return at Age-5 (34 of 34,276). The facility has produced an annual mean of 34,276 adult returns to Spring Creek NFH for return years 2013-2022.

Table 6. Estimated age structure of adult tule fall Chinook returns to Spring Creek NFH by brood year. Data retrieved from CRiS Age Composition reports run on: 12/21/2022

| Brood Year | Age-2 | Age-3 | Age-4 | Age-5 | Total \# Adults |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2008 | 4,856 | 14,618 | 4,328 | 29 | 23,831 |
| 2009 | 4,049 | 20,890 | 3,178 | 119 | 28,236 |
| 2010 | 1,867 | 12,615 | 3,433 | 66 | 17,981 |
| 2011 | 2,827 | 18,221 | 5,203 | 124 | 26,375 |
| 2012 | 10,028 | 36,152 | 3,865 | 0 | 50,045 |
| 2013 | 2,738 | 4,823 | 487 | 0 | 8,048 |
| 2014 | 8,566 | 11,327 | 352 | 0 | 20,245 |
| 2015 | 6,101 | 10,045 | 1,047 | 0 | 17,193 |
| 2016 | 5,018 | 6,290 | 486 | 0 | 11,794 |
| 2017 | 7,695 | 9,938 | 3,657 | 0 | 21,290 |
| $2018^{*}$ | 7,259 | 20,775 | 2,060 | NA | NA |
| $2019^{*}$ | 28,740 | 83,202 | NA | NA | NA |
| $2020^{*}$ | 26,292 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Average | $\mathbf{8 , 9 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 7 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 5 0 4}$ |

* Denotes incomplete brood years given that adults have either not yet returned to the hatchery or have not been aged.

Table 7. Total number of adult tule fall Chinook returns to Spring Creek NFH and estimated age structure by return year. Data retrieved from CRiS Age Composition reports run on: 12/21/2022

| Return Year | Age-2 | Age-3 | Age-4 | Age-5 | Total \# Adults |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | 2,827 | 12,615 | 3,178 | 29 | 18,649 |
| 2014 | 10,028 | 18,221 | 3,433 | 119 | 31,801 |
| 2015 | 2,738 | 36,152 | 5,203 | 66 | 44,159 |
| 2016 | 8,566 | 4,823 | 3,865 | 124 | 17,378 |
| 2017 | 6,101 | 11,327 | 487 | 0 | 17,915 |
| 2018 | 5,018 | 10,045 | 352 | 0 | 15,415 |
| 2019 | 7,695 | 6,290 | 1,047 | 0 | 15,032 |
| 2020 | 7,259 | 9,938 | 486 | 0 | 17,683 |
| 2021 | 28,740 | 20,775 | 3,657 | 0 | 53,172 |
| 2022 | 26,292 | 83,202 | 2,060 | 0 | 111,554 |
| Average | $\mathbf{1 0 , 5 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 , 2 7 6}$ |

## c) Bonneville Dam Detections

Since Return Year 2013, tule fall Chinook adults ( $\geq$ Age 2) PIT tagged and released from Spring Creek NFH returned to Bonneville Dam as early as Jul-31 and as late as Sep-29 with the average median Sep-04 (Table 8). Based on expansion of PIT tags, $73 \%$ of tule fall Chinook adults released from Spring Creek NFH that pass upstream through Bonneville Dam's adult ladders return to Spring Creek NFH (not including return years with BY19 fish). No fish from BY 19 were PIT tagged due to COVID-19 restrictions in 2020. Fish from this brood year are not included in the average expanded returns in 2021 and 2022 (jacks and age- 3 respectively).

Table 8. Median Bonneville Dam passage date of adult tule fall Chinook PIT tagged and released from Spring Creek NFH $\geq$ Age 2). Data retrieved from PTAGIS: 12/21/2022

| Return <br> Year | Median <br> Passage <br> Date | First <br> Detection <br> Date | Last <br> Detection <br> Date | \# of Fish <br> Detected | Bonneville <br> Expansion | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | Hat. <br> Return | Hat. Return/Bonn. <br> Expansion (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | Sep-05 | Aug-15 | Sep-26 | 33 | 24,132 | $(13,121-38,590)$ | 18,649 | 77 |
| 2014 | Sep-08 | Aug-24 | Sep-25 | 59 | 44,216 | $(29,839-72,541)$ | 31,801 | 72 |
| 2015 | Sep-10 | Aug-20 | Sep-29 | 80 | 60,056 | $(46,583-82,880)$ | 44,159 | 74 |
| 2016 | Sep-03 | Aug-08 | Sep-26 | 32 | 23,861 | $(13,498-48,476)$ | 17,378 | 73 |
| 2017 | Sep-08 | Aug-23 | Sep-20 | 22 | 16,131 | $(8,729-31,296)$ | 17,915 | 111 |
| 2018 | Sep-01 | Aug-21 | Sep-13 | 29 | 20,131 | $(11,743-35,637)$ | 15,415 | 77 |
| 2019 | Sep-06 | Jul-31 | Sep-23 | 40 | 28,814 | $(18,133-46,369)$ | 15,032 | 52 |
| 2020 | Sep-02 | Aug-02 | Sep-18 | 51 | 36,977 | $(24,891-55,895)$ | 17,683 | 48 |
| $2021^{*}$ | Aug-31 | Aug-22 | Sep-19 | 39 | 28,656 | $(19,318-47,116)$ | 53,172 | 186 |
| $2022^{*}$ | Sep-05 | Aug-24 | Sep-15 | 33 | 24,655 | $(16,093-42,899)$ | 111,554 | 452 |
| Average | Sep-04 | Aug-15 | Sep-21 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 7 6 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{3 4 , 2 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ |

* Pit tagging operations were cancelled in 2020 due to COVID-19, return timing for brood year 2019 (Release Year 2020) could not be determined; the average expanded returns do not include 2021 and 2022.


## d) Hatchery Ladder Detections

Since Return Year 2013, tule fall Chinook adults ( $\geq$ Age 2) PIT tagged and released from Spring Creek NFH returned to the Spring Creek NFH Ladder as early as Aug-23 and as late as Oct-02 with the average median Sep-08 (Table 9). Fish from brood year 2020 are not included in the average expanded returns in 2021 and 2022.

Table 9. Median detection date of adult tule fall Chinook PIT tagged and released from Spring Creek NFH at the Spring Creek NFH Adult Ladder ( $\geq$ Age 2). Data retrieved from PTAGIS: 12/21/2022

| Return <br> Year | Median <br> Passage <br> Date | First <br> Detection <br> Date | Last <br> Detection <br> Date | \# of Fish <br> Detected | Ladder <br> Expansion | 95\% CI* | Hat. <br> Return | Hat. Return/ <br> Ladder <br> Expansion (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | Sep-10 | Sep-04 | Sep-24 | 12 | 8,774 | $(3,956-15,159)$ | 18,649 | 213 |
| 2014 | Sep-10 | Aug-31 | Oct-01 | 24 | 18,015 | $(9,839-34,036)$ | 31,801 | 177 |
| 2015 | Sep-13 | Sep-04 | Oct-02 | 22 | 16,526 | $(10,341-25,523)$ | 44,159 | 267 |
| 2016 | Sep-06 | Aug-23 | Sep-20 | 11 | 7,861 | $(1,924-11,402)$ | 17,378 | 221 |
| 2017 | Aug-31 | Aug-30 | Sep-11 | 5 | 3,435 | (NA - NA) | 17,915 | 522 |
| 2018 | Sep-08 | Aug-29 | Sep-14 | 16 | 10,964 | $(5,676-17,407)$ | 15,415 | 141 |
| 2019 | Sep-13 | Aug-27 | Sep-22 | 14 | 10,056 | $(4,441-24,342)$ | 15,032 | 149 |
| 2020 | Sep-08 | Aug-31 | Sep-25 | 24 | 17,428 | $(2,926-12,174)$ | 17,683 | 101 |
| $2021^{* *}$ | Sep-08 | Aug-30 | Sep-19 | 19 | 13,959 | $(7,268-20,635)$ | 53,172 | 381 |
| $2022^{* *}$ | Sep-08 | Aug-30 | Sep-23 | 25 | 18,710 | $(12,083-28,044)$ | 111,554 | 596 |
| Average | Sep-08 | Aug-30 | Sep-22 | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 5 7 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{3 4 , 2 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 4}$ |

* Confidence limits do not include detections of five fish or fewer per age group to reduce the variability and increase the accuracy of the estimate
** Pit tagging operations were cancelled in 2020 due to COVID-19, return timing for brood year 2019 (Release Year 2020) could not be determined; the average expanded returns do not include 2021 and 2022.


## Additional Monitoring and Evaluation Projects

## a) Escapement of Hatchery Fish to Spawning Grounds

Coded-wire tag recovery data stored in the RMIS database allows for the estimation of the number of adults that were released from Spring Creek NFH as juveniles and observed on spawning grounds in nearby watersheds (Table 3) including the White Salmon River (Pastor 2004). Biologists at the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) have been monitoring the abundance, age structure, and CWT recovery of adult tule in the White Salmon basin since 1965. Beginning in 2010, the monitoring program was expanded to include estimates for the number of hatchery-origin (for all facilities including Spring Creek NFH) versus naturalorigin (wild) spawners present on the spawning grounds in the White Salmon River.

Annual spawning ground surveys conducted in the White Salmon River begin in August and end near mid-December once spawning has been completed. Included in the surveys are identification of run types (spring, tule, or URB Chinook), and escapement estimates for both hatchery-origin and natural-origin spawners (Figure 3). Escapement estimates include the number of live and dead spawners observed from Husum Falls (at rkm 12.5) to the confluence of the Columbia River during the annual surveys. Hatchery-origin individuals are identified by the lack of an adipose fin and/or the presence of a CWT (J. Wilson, WDFW, 2018 memorandum to interested parties, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, on the 2017 White Salmon Chinook survey methods and results). Data from the spawning surveys is accessible on the Salmon Conservation Reporting Engine (SCoRE) website operated by WDFW. Preliminary 2022 data will not be available until spring 2023.


Figure 3. Annual escapement estimates of natural-origin and hatchery-origin tule fall Chinook spawning in the White Salmon River during annual spawning surveys (2011-2021)

As part of the JDTD program, data downloaded from SCoRE is used to estimate the proportion of hatchery-origin spawners (pHOS) for tule fall Chinook on the White Salmon River. These estimates can include hatchery fish released from Spring Creek NFH or other hatchery programs. Based on escapement estimates of natural and hatchery-origin tule for spawning ground surveys from 2011 to 2021, pHOS estimates ranged from $6 \%$ to $51 \%$ with a mean pHOS of $32 \%$ (Figure 4). It appears that the proportion of hatchery origin spawners in the White Salmon River was increasing after 2012 and reached a high of $51 \%$ in 2015 before decreasing in recent years. Reasons for this apparent increase and decrease are not known and may warrant further study. Based on adult return data from Spring Creek NFH, there is a positive correlation between the number of hatchery-origin tule on the White Salmon River spawning grounds and the number of total adult returns to the facility from 2010-2021 is (Pearson's) $\mathrm{r}=0.51$.


Figure 4. Estimated proportion of tule fall Chinook hatchery origin spawners ( pHOS ) in the White Salmon River (2011-2021). Dotted line is the mean (32 \%).
b) Noise Mechanosensory Study

A research project was conducted at Spring Creek NFH analyzing the effect that anthropogenic noise has on the mechanosensory development of juvenile Chinook Salmon. This experiment
specifically measured the development of lateral line neuromasts, inner ear hair cell density, otolith composition, and rheotactic response of fish exposed to varying levels of noise. These measures are indicators of hearing threshold and swimming efficiency in salmonids and may affect overall fitness. Spring Creek provided 12,000 fertilized Chinook eggs for this study. All specimens were reared on station and released by Spring Creek staff. Fish were housed in Spring Creek NFH's Incubation room throughout experimental treatments and never came into proximity the production population. Prior to release, 10,470 pre-smolt fry not used for sampling were PIT tagged for future tracking. This experimental population was distinct and separate from the production population at Spring Creek National Fish hatchery. At the conclusion of the study, once fish return as adults, a standalone report will be written.

## Little White Salmon NFH: URB Program

Little White Salmon (LWS) NFH (Figure 5) was established in 1898 and is located on the Little White Salmon River just upstream of Drano Lake, a small body of water that converges with the Columbia River at rkm 261. The facility began rearing Upriver Bright (URB) fall Chinook in 1982 for the Mitchell Act program and to partially fulfill mitigation agreements for the JDTD program. The USACE currently provides funding for the annual production and mass marking of juvenile URBs into the Little White Salmon River, transfer of URB fingerlings to the Yakama Nation for the Yakima River-Prosser hatchery program, and transfer of URB eggs to the Bonneville Hatchery operated by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife to support the Umatilla/Yakima River programs. The facility is also supported by funds from the Mitchell Act (administered by the NMFS) for egg transfers to Willard NFH and to the Yakama Nation Klickitat Hatchery URB Program, as well as the rearing and release of spring-run Chinook salmon from Little White Salmon NFH (Dammerman et al. 2017). The facility has a broodstock need of 9,300 adults to meet all program requests including USACE, Mitchell Act, and Bonneville Power Administration funded programs. The nearly 4,000 adults used as broodstock for the JDTD URB program are adult returns of hatchery-reared URB to the facility.


Figure 5. Aerial photograph of Little White Salmon NFH located on the Little White Salmon River. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service stock photograph by Speros Doulos.

## On-Station Juvenile Production

## a) Production Goals

The LWS NFH URB program has on-station JDM releases, on-station SRKW releases (BY19-20 only), Willard Mitchell Act URB broodstock collection, egg transfers for the Prosser/Umatilla programs, and transfers juveniles/eggs for the Klickitat program. Table 10 summarizes the production goals for each program (not the actual releases or transfers for each year). For brood years 2019 and 2020, LWS NFH reared an extra $\sim 450 \mathrm{k}$ URBs and Willard an extra $\sim 200 \mathrm{k}$ URBs for SRKW production.

Table 10. Broodstock target collected at Little White Salmon NFH for URB Fall Chinook programs 2019-2022.

| Brood Year | Funding | Program | Total Broodstock need $(1: 1, M: F)$ | Target Green Egg Take | Target Release Number | Lifestage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2019- \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | MA/COE | LWS NFH/ Prosser | 1,000 | 1,900,000 | 1,700,000 | 0+ |
|  | COE | LWS NFH | 2,556 | 4,900,000 | 4,500,000 | 0+ |
|  | MA | Willard NFH | 1,186 | 2,250,000 | 2,000,000 | 0+ |
|  | PST | Klickitat Hatchery | 3,324 | 6,300,000 | 1,000,000 | 0+ |
|  | MA | Klickitat Hatchery |  |  | 4,000,000 | 0+ |
|  | COE | Bonneville/Prosser | 166 | 300,000 | 210,000 | 1+ |
|  | NOAA | SRKW- Willard NFH | 130 | 247,500 | 220,000 | 0+ |
|  | NOAA | SRKW- Little White Salmon NFH | 256 | 490,000 | 450,000 | 0+ |
| 2021 - | MA/COE | LWS NFH/ Prosser | 652 | 1,240,000 | 1,100,000 | 0+ |
| 2022 | MA/COE | LWS NFH/ Prosser |  | 600,000 | NA | Eggs |
|  | COE | LWS NFH | 2,556 | 4,900,000 | 4,500,000 | 0+ |
|  | MA | Willard NFH | 1,186 | 2,250,000 | 2,000,000 | 0+ |
|  | PST | Klickitat Hatchery | 3,324 | 6,300,000 | 1,000,000 | 0+ |
|  | MA | Klickitat Hatchery |  |  | 4,000,000 | 0+ |
|  | COE | Bonneville/Prosser | 166 | 300,000 | 210,000 | 1+ |

## b) Egg-to-Smolt Survival

The survival objectives for the facility are the same as Spring Creek NFH. Hatchery staff at Little White Salmon NFH monitor these objectives to make sure the facilities are meeting their production goals, and design alternative rearing and release practices to improve on-station survival as needed. Throughout the rearing cycle, the hatchery has a maximum Flow Index $\leq 1.5$ and Density Index $<0.25$ to minimize disease risk (USFWS 2004b)

## c) Juvenile Mass Marking, Tagging, and Release Data

The original goal for the facility was to release 2.0 M juvenile URBs into the Little White Salmon River (NMFS 2007); however, production expanded in RY09 (BY08) to a release goal of 4.5M juvenile URBs (NMFS 2017). For BYs 19 and 20, the Pacific Salmon Treaty funded an increase of up to 450,000 juvenile upriver bright fall Chinook for SRKW production. Any fish produced above 4.5M (U.S. v Oregon obligation) for these brood years are credited to SRKW production. Juveniles are released from the facility in late June to mid-July. The actual number of juvenile

URBs released from the facility is recorded by hatchery personnel and has varied for the past ten years (Table 11). Little White Salmon NFH has a mean juvenile size goal of 70-90 fish/lb at the time of release as outlined in the facility's HGMP (USFWS 2004b, 2015). Since release year 2013, the facility has annually released an average $4,396,441$ juveniles with a mean size of 81.2 fish/lb. Ninety percent ( $\sim 4 \mathrm{M}$ ) of the annual production released into the Little White Salmon River is AD only. Approximately 5\% are AD and CWT, and the remaining 5\% are CWT only (DIT fish). The actual numbers of juveniles that have been mass marked and tagged by USFWS crews over the past 10 years are presented below (Table 11).

Table 11. Annual release dates, marking and tagging information, total number of juveniles released, and mean juvenile size for URB fall Chinook released from Little White Salmon NFH. Brood year is one year before release year. Data retrieved from CRiS SR80s File: 1/30/2023

| Release <br> Year | Release <br> Dates | Water <br> Temp. at <br> Release <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | AD + <br> CWT | CWT (DIT) | AD Only | No Mark/No <br> CWT* | Total <br> Released | Mean <br> Size <br> (Fish/lb) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | 2-Jul | 8.3 | 360,089 | 198,443 | $3,862,277$ | 769 | $4,421,578$ | 66 |
| 2014 | 1-Jul, 2- <br> Jul | 7.2 | 267,804 | 99,702 | $4,038,588$ | 298 | $4,406,392$ | 86 |
| 2015 | 2-Jul | 9.8 | 188,763 | 186,398 | $3,583,770$ | 13,595 | $3,972,526$ | 82 |
| 2016 | 11-Jul | 7.6 | 196,105 | 196,772 | $3,565,052$ | 3,186 | $3,961,115$ | 85 |
| 2017 | 5-Jul | 6.8 | 197,829 | 198,487 | $4,297,331$ | 1,381 | $4,695,028$ | 77 |
| 2018 | 11-Jul | 9.0 | 189,005 | 186,872 | $3,475,401$ | 13,093 | $3,864,371$ | 78 |
| 2019 | 9-Jul | 9.0 | 104,346 | 98,088 | $2,961,342$ | $(419,000) \dagger$ | $(419,000) \dagger$ |  |
|  | 15-Jul | 9.1 | 97,123 | 96,545 | $1,120,176$ | 3,545 | $3,167,321$ | 81 |
| $2020 \ddagger$ | 14-Jul | 7.3 | 198,573 | 199,339 | $2,225,542$ | 3,490 | $1,317,334$ | 90 |
| $2021 \ddagger$ | 29-Jun | 7.7 | 169,522 | 169,256 | $4,610,216$ | $2,149,8655^{8}$ | $4,773,319$ | 85 |
| 2022 | 5-Jul | 7.2 | 196,833 | 198,706 | $4,038,267$ | 1,006 | $4,950,000$ | 79 |
| Average |  | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 6 , 5 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 2 , 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 7 7 , 7 9 6}$ | 1,621 | $4,435,427$ | 89 |

* Fish with No Mark/No CWT include unmarked releases and are double index tagged fish that shed their coded-wire tag prior to release.
$\dagger$ Approximately 419,000 unmarked fish accidentally released on 4/18/2018 due to a loose screen. These fish are not included in totals.
$\ddagger$ All juveniles produced above 4.5M for are credited to SRKW production in release years 2020 and 2021
${ }^{\S}$ In 2020 marking and tagging operations were suspended due to COVID-19. Only a portion of fish released were marked


## d) Transfer Data

The facility also transfers 1.7M URB juveniles and/or eggs to the Yakima River-Prosser Hatchery program for the Yakama Nation in late March to late April (Table 12). The transferred URB juveniles are marked prior to release with $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ being adipose marked only, and $\sim 200 \mathrm{~K}$ juveniles being adipose marked and CWT tagged with a half-length tag due to small size at marking. In 2018 and 2021, a portion ( 500 K and 600 K , respectively) of the 1.7 M fish transferred to Prosser Hatchery were transferred as eggs. The current transfer request for the Yakima RiverProsser Hatchery program is 1.1 M sub-yearlings from Little White Salmon NFH and 600k from either Little White Salmon NFH or Priest Rapids Hatchery. The actual number of URB juveniles that have been transferred to the Prosser program since 2013 are presented in Table 12. Little White Salmon NFH also transfers between 1.55 M and 2.48 M (depending on program needs and requests) URB eggs to Bonneville Hatchery operated by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife to support the Umatilla and Yakima River yearling programs. In 2019, no fish or eggs were transferred due to low adult returns to Little White Salmon NFH in 2018. To fulfill full production at Little White Salmon NFH for BY 2018, approximately one million eggs were received from Priest Rapids Hatchery. Egg and juvenile production may change in the future depending on survival and program broodstock needs. In 2020 marking and tagging operations were suspended due to COVID-19, no fish were marked or CWT tagged before their transfer to Prosser Hatchery. In July 2021, an excess $\sim 160 \mathrm{~K}$ marked and untagged BY20 fingerlings were transferred to the Klickitat Tribal Hatchery for release into the Klickitat River due to excess overproduction at LWS NFH.

Table 12. Annual transfer dates and total number of juveniles transferred to the Prosser program from Little White Salmon NFH. Data retrieved from CRiS: 1/30/2023

| Transfer Year | Transfer Dates | Transfer Location | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | $4 / 4,4 / 8,4 / 15,4 / 18$ | Prosser | $1,551,115$ |
| 2014 | $4 / 9,4 / 15,4 / 22,4 / 30$ | Prosser | $1,549,626$ |
| 2015 | $4 / 6,4 / 13,4 / 15,4 / 21,4 / 28$ | Prosser | $1,700,649$ |
| 2016 | $3 / 30,4 / 5,4 / 11,4,14 / 4,18$ | Prosser | $1,650,070$ |
| 2017 | $4 / 4,4 / 10,4 / 13,4 / 19,4 / 21$ | Prosser | $1,701,850$ |
| 2018 | $4 / 16,4 / 18,4 / 23,5 / 2$ | Prosser | $1,203,675$ |
|  | Fall | Prosser | 500,000 |
| 2019 | No Transfers | - | - |
| 2020 | $3 / 31,4 / 1,4 / 6,4 / 7,4 / 9$, | Prosser | $1,701,568$ |
| 2021 | $4 / 10$ | Prosser | $1,100,069$ |
|  | $3 / 25,3 / 31,4 / 6,4 / 13$ | Klickitat Tribal Hatchery | 161,633 |
|  | $14-J u l$ | Prosser | $1,100,000$ |
| Annual Mean | $3 / 28,4 / 12,4 / 18,4 / 21$ |  | $\mathbf{1 , 5 4 6 , 6 9 5}$ |

## Off-Station Juvenile Survival

## a) PIT Tagging Program

PIT tagging juveniles provides real-time data as fish migrate to the Pacific Ocean and is accessible from PTAGIS. PIT tag detections at fish ladders, hydropower dams, bird colonies, and the Columbia River estuary are utilized by staff at CRFWCO to estimate juvenile migration time and survival through the Columbia River Basin. Additionally, PIT tagged fish provide adult return run time information, in-season run forecasts, estimation of straying rates, and knowledge on ecological interactions with ESA listed stocks in the Columbia River. Tagged juvenile URBs from Little White Salmon NFH are typically detected at BONN, approximately 30 kilometers downstream from the confluence of the Little White Salmon and Columbia Rivers. The detection rate of PIT tagged fish at BONN is a function of a) migration survival from release to BONN, and b) the detection efficiency of the PIT antenna arrays at the dam. Detection efficiency at BONN varies between and within years due to flow levels and dam operations (e.g., amount of spill, number of turbines in operation, etc.).
b) Migration Timing

PIT tagging of the juvenile production began with BY07 with 25,000 juvenile URBs being PIT tagged annually to monitor juvenile migration through the Columbia River basin. Beginning with BY12, the number of juveniles that were PIT tagged was decreased to 15,000 (Table 13). The average detection rate at Bonneville Dam of PIT tagged URB juveniles from Little White Salmon is approximately $13.1 \%$, with an average median travel time from the hatchery to the dam of 12 days. A few PIT tagged juveniles take a substantially longer time to migrate downstream each year, with the longest migration time per year ranging from 44 to 252 days.

Table 13. The number of PIT tagged juvenile URB fall Chinook released from Little White Salmon NFH and juvenile travel time (days) to Bonneville Dam (BONN). Data retrieved from PTAGIS: 1/10/2023

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Travel Time (Days) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Release <br> Year | Release <br> Dates | \# PIT <br> Tagged* | $\#$ <br> Detected <br> at BONN | \% <br> Det. | Mean | Range | 50th | 75th | 90th |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 2-Jul | $14,959^{*}$ | 1,977 | 13.2 | 15 | $(0.5-252)$ | 12 | 20 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 2-Jul | 14,925 | 1,787 | 12.0 | 19 | $(1.5-138)$ | 17 | 26 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 2-Jul | 14,958 | 1,194 | 8.0 | 12 | $(1.5-44)$ | 10 | 13 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 11-Jul | 14,823 | 1,647 | 11.1 | 12 | $(2-50)$ | 11 | 13 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 | 5-Jul | 14,438 | 1,855 | 12.8 | 12 | $(1-121)$ | 11 | 14 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 11-Jul | 14,840 | 2,468 | 16.6 | 11 | $(0.5-106)$ | 10 | 12 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 7/9, 7/15 | 14,775 | 1,950 | 13.2 | 14 | $(1.5-45)$ | 13 | 17 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 | 14-Jul | 14,848 | 2,481 | 16.7 | 11 | $(1-77)$ | 10 | 13 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 | 29-Jun | 14,982 | 2,561 | 17.1 | 12 | $(1.5-57)$ | 12 | 15 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 5-Jul | 15,234 | 1,509 | 9.9 | 12 | $(1-128)$ | 11 | 14 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average |  | $\mathbf{1 4 , 8 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

* Number tagged is adjusted for shed tags and pre-release mortality


## c) Juvenile Survival

PIT tag detection histories are used to estimate the apparent juvenile survival from hatchery release downstream to Bonneville Dam for Little White Salmon NFH URBs. A PIT tagged downstream migrating juvenile fish can pass Bonneville Dam using a variety of routes, some of which have PIT tag detection arrays and some of which do not. For example, tagged fish passing through the turbines or through spillways would not be detected, while a fish passing through the juvenile bypass or corner collector could be detected. Since there is not $100 \%$ detection capability at Bonneville Dam, detection probability must be estimated to separate out a tagged fish that died before reaching Bonneville Dam from a tagged fish that was alive but was not detected as it passed Bonneville Dam. For this analysis, apparent survival from release to Bonneville Dam was estimated using the live recapture Cormack-Jolly-Seber model in Program MARK. The model uses encounter histories of tagged fish to estimate the detection probability at Bonneville Dam and estimate the apparent survival of fish from release to Bonneville Dam. Survival estimates are reported on a scale from 0.0 to 1.0 . As a note, the term "apparent survival" is used to indicate that a tagged fish that is alive, but never migrates past Bonneville Dam, is considered a "mortality" in the model.

For the juvenile survival analysis, a PIT tagged juvenile could be encountered on three occasions: 1) at release, 2) passing downstream at Bonneville Dam, and 3) encountered after
passing downstream of Bonneville Dam. Encounter histories for each PIT tagged juvenile released in a particular release were developed based on the following criteria:

- Released: All PIT tags in the tagging file query
- Passing downstream at Bonneville Dam: Tagged fish detected passing downstream of Bonneville Dam on the following PIT antenna arrays:
- Juvenile Bypass: B2J PIT antenna site
- Corner Collector: BCC PIT antenna site
- Adult Ladders: PIT antennas within the adult ladders. Juvenile fish can pass downstream through the adult ladders; however mini-jacks (mature fish in year of release) can also move upstream through the ladders during the year of release. Based on the configuration of antenna sites, the directionality of ladder detections was used to separate out juvenile fish passing downstream from upstream moving mini-jacks.
- After passing downstream of Bonneville Dam:
- Lower river trawl (TWX and PD7 interrogation sites)
- Lower river bird colony recoveries on East Sand Island, Rice Island, Miller Sands Island, and Troutdale Transmission Towers (ESANIS, RICEIS, MLRSNI, and TTOWER mortality sites). The assumption is that the PIT tagged fish were predated on downstream of Bonneville Dam.
- Adult ladder detections at Bonneville Dam, including mini-jack detections. The assumption is that mini-jacks at Bonneville and subsequent adult returns must have passed downstream of Bonneville Dam as juveniles.

Estimated apparent juvenile survival of the Little White Salmon NFH URBs for brood years 2012-2020 (release years 2013-2021) ranged from 0.44 to 0.7 (Table 14; Fig. 6). Due to the limited time and number of fish detections downstream, survival is not reported for the current release year. The variance of the estimates for each year reported (represented by the credible intervals) decreases as adult returns are added into the detection histories (as "downstream of Bonneville" detections). Since recent years do not have adult returns, or at least not the full age complement of adult returns, the more recent estimates have larger variances. In subsequent years, as more adults from a brood year return, the variance of the estimates should decrease.

Table 14. Little White Salmon NFH Upriver Bright Fall Chinook apparent juvenile survival from release to Bonneville Dam. Estimates are median survival, and lower and upper credible intervals. The Markov chain Monte Carlo Bayesian parameter estimation method in MARK was used to estimate the variance of the estimated survival. Note: Due to the limited time and number of fish detections downstream, survival is not reported for the most recent release year. Data retrieved from PTAGIS: 12/16/2022

| Brood Year | Release Year | Median Survival | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ Lower | 95\% Upper |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2012 | 2013 | 0.70 | 0.63 | 0.77 |
| 2013 | 2014 | 0.58 | 0.47 | 0.68 |
| 2014 | 2015 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.63 |
| 2015 | 2016 | 0.58 | 0.46 | 0.71 |
| 2016 | 2017 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.72 |
| 2017 | 2018 | 0.67 | 0.58 | 0.76 |
| 2018 | 2019 | 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.53 |
| 2019 | 2020 | 0.51 | 0.42 | 0.60 |
| 2020 | 2021 | 0.55 | 0.41 | 0.72 |
| Average |  | $\mathbf{0 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 8}$ |



Figure 6. Little White Salmon NFH Upriver Bright Fall Chinook apparent juvenile survival from release to Bonneville Dam, (2012-2020). Note: Due to the limited time and number of fish detections downstream, survival is not reported for the most recent release year. Error bars are lower and upper credible intervals.

## Adult Returns

## a) Harvest Data and Smolt-to-Adult Survival

CWT recoveries maintained in RMIS are used to estimate adult returns to hatcheries in the Columbia River basin, harvested adults, and adults recovered on the spawning grounds in all watersheds [Table 15; Pastor (2004); Pastor (2016)]. Based on a mean smolt-to-adult survival rate of $0.32 \%$ estimated for brood years 1990 to 2004, the facility was expected to contribute an average of 14,382 adults ( 5,900 for harvest) to the TAP goal of 107,000 . Since BY06, the facility has a mean smolt-to-adult survival rate of $0.82 \%$ (Table 15) which is still within the range reported in the facility's HGMP (USFWS 2004b, 2015). Additionally, the URB program has contributed an average 31,643 ( $39 \%$ of the overall TAP target of 80,250 ) adults annually to
freshwater and ocean recoveries for BY06 to BY15. Off-station CWT recoveries for harvest and spawning grounds beyond BY15 may be incomplete due to a lag in RMIS reporting.

The Yakima River-Prosser Hatchery program has a mean smolt-to-adult survival of $0.20 \%$ (based on brood years 1990-2004) contributing an additional 3,383 adult URB fall Chinook towards the TAP goal. Release and adult recoveries for the Prosser Hatchery are monitored by the Yakama Nation.

Table 15. The estimated number of hatchery returns, harvested adults, and fish present on the spawning grounds based on coded wire tag recovery data from RMIS for URB fall Chinook released from Little White Salmon NFH. Adult returns are used to estimate smolt-to-adult survival rates. Data downloaded from RMIS on 3/7/2023.

| Brood <br> Year | Hatchery <br> Returns* | Columbia <br> River <br> Harvest | Ocean <br> Harvest | Spawning <br> Grounds | Total \# <br> Adults $\dagger$ | Smolt-to- <br> Adult <br> Survival <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2006 | 6,793 | 2,308 | 1,777 | 613 | 11,491 | 0.56 |
| 2007 | 14,689 | 6,418 | 5,243 | 1,241 | 27,591 | 1.38 |
| 2008 | 7,983 | 5,317 | 5,120 | 1,813 | 20,233 | 0.43 |
| 2009 | 19,289 | 16,154 | 14,902 | 11,909 | 62,254 | 1.37 |
| 2010 | 30,192 | 29,100 | 28,460 | 12,129 | 100,591 | 2.25 |
| 2011 | 4,502 | 4,071 | 3,216 | 3,270 | 15,059 | 0.33 |
| 2012 | 10,633 | 11,622 | 9,885 | 4,797 | 36,967 | 0.84 |
| 2013 | 2,571 | 4,234 | 1,981 | 779 | 9,565 | 0.22 |
| 2014 | 606 | 748 | 202 | 30 | 1,586 | 0.04 |
| 2015 | 11,871 | 6,886 | 4,405 | 7,824 | 31,093 | 0.78 |
| $2016^{\S}$ | 14,137 | 10,698 | 7,275 | 4,282 | 36,392 | 0.78 |
| $2017^{\S}$ | 5,368 | 4,503 | 6,386 | 3,028 | 19,285 | 0.45 |
| Average $^{\S}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 9 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 6 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 5 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 6 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 2}$ |

* Hatchery returns are returns to Little White Salmon NFH.
$\dagger$ Total Adults includes other recovery locations not listed, such as strays to other hatcheries.
$\$$ Due to delays in reporting to RMIS, CWT recoveries may be adjusted every year for accuracy
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Average calculated for Brood Years 2006-2015

An average 672 CWTs have been recovered each year at Little White Salmon NFH since 2013 (Table 16). The Little White Salmon NFH URB fall Chinook program accounts for 94.2 percent of all recoveries; URB fall Chinook from other programs include Willard NFH (4.7\%), Bonneville Hatchery ( $0.8 \%$ ), other hatchery programs account for $0.3 \%$.

Table 16. Coded Wire Tag (CWT) recoveries for all hatchery programs collected at Little White NFH 2013-2022. Number of CWT recoveries are unexpanded and do not reflect sample or tagging rates. Data retrieved from CRiS CWT Recovery Report: 12/9/2022

| Return Year | CWT Recoveries | Hatchery Origin | \% of Total CWT Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 856 | L White Salmon NFH | 97.6 |
|  | 21 | Bonneville Hatchery | 2.4 |
| 2014 | 538 | L White Salmon NFH | 95.7 |
|  | 21 | Bonneville Hatchery | 3.7 |
|  | 2 | Lyons Ferry Hatchery | 0.4 |
|  | 1 | Nez Perce Hatchery | 0.2 |
| 2015 | 346 | L White Salmon NFH | 98 |
|  | 6 | Bonneville Hatchery | 1.7 |
|  | 1 | Lyons Ferry Hatchery | 0.3 |
| 2016 | 535 | L White Salmon NFH | 100 |
| 2017 | 262 | L White Salmon NFH | 91 |
|  | 26 | Willard NFH@Little White | 9 |
| 2018 | 492 | L White Salmon NFH | 100 |
| $2019$ | 1,315 | L White Salmon NFH | 99.7 |
|  | 1 | Klickitat Hatchery | 0.1 |
|  | 1 | Willard NFH@Little White | 0.1 |
|  | 2 | Willard NFH@Drano | 0.2 |
| 2020 | 871 | L White Salmon NFH | 90.4 |
|  | 76 | Willard NFH@Little White | 7.9 |
|  | 12 | Willard NFH@Drano | 1.2 |
|  | 1 | Lyons Ferry Hatchery | 0.1 |
|  | 3 | Nez Perce Hatchery | 0.3 |
| 2021 | 562 | L White Salmon NFH | 89.3 |
|  | 36 | Willard NFH | 5.7 |
|  | 22 | Willard NFH@Drano | 3.5 |
|  | 2 | Bonneville Hatchery | 0.3 |
|  | 3 | Nez Perce Hatchery | 0.5 |
|  | 2 | Lyons Ferry Hatchery | 0.3 |
|  | 2 | Washougal Hatchery | 0.3 |
| 2022 | 552 | L White Salmon NFH | 79 |
|  | 139 | Willard NFH | 19.9 |
|  | 7 | Bonneville Hatchery | 1 |
|  | 1 | Nez Perce Hatchery | 0.1 |
| Average | 672 |  |  |

## b) Age Structure

Age structure of returning adult fish is used in pre-season forecast models and to evaluate brood year productivity. The estimated age structure can also identify potential changes and trends in age composition over dime due to ecological or anthropogenic factors. Adult returns to Little White Salmon NFH are sampled annually by hatchery personnel and the USFWS marking and biosampling crew from CRFWCO. A subsample of adults (minimum of 500) are aged annually by the biosampling crew using scales and CWT sampling and the age ratios are then applied to the total number of adults to estimate the overall age structure of the adult returns (Table 17: brood year; Table 18: return year). The facility has produced an average 13,906 adult returns to the hatchery each year between 2013 and 2022 (Table 18). The majority (59\%) of adult URBs return to the facility at Age-4 (8,175of 13,906), but 29\% return at Age-3 (3,986 of 13,906). Approximately $2 \%(272$ of 13,906$)$ mature precocially returning as jacks or jills at Age- 2 . Approximately $11 \%$ of adults return at Age-5 $(1,466$ of 13,906$)$ and less than $1 \%$ of adults return at Age-6 ( 25 of 13,906).

Table 17. Estimated age structure of adult URB fall Chinook returns to Little White Salmon NFH by brood year. CRiS age composition reports run on 12/21/2022

| Brood Year | Age-2 | Age-3 | Age-4 | Age-5 | Age-6 | Total \# Adults |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2006 | 652 | 961 | 3,009 | 1,174 | 12 | 5,808 |
| 2007 | 1,156 | 5,675 | 6,863 | 1,229 | 73 | 14,996 |
| 2008 | 1,021 | 2,990 | 2,770 | 1,501 | 0 | 8,282 |
| 2009 | 612 | 4,551 | 18,377 | 2,363 | 13 | 25,916 |
| 2010 | 587 | 15,644 | 17,023 | 2,956 | 75 | 36,285 |
| 2011 | 374 | 1,480 | 3,568 | 1,713 | 39 | 7,174 |
| 2012 | 658 | 5,558 | 5,675 | 2,000 | 23 | 13,914 |
| 2013 | 65 | 759 | 3,384 | 638 | 0 | 4,846 |
| 2014 | 0 | 300 | 1,179 | 185 | 0 | 1,664 |
| 2015 | 101 | 2,282 | 8,194 | 1,374 | 0 | 11,951 |
| 2016 | 676 | 5,861 | 10,812 | 735 | 25 | 18,109 |
| $2017^{*}$ | 246 | 2,444 | 6,946 | 1,193 | NA | NA |
| $2018^{*}$ | 354 | 3,000 | 6,594 | NA | NA | NA |
| $2019^{*}$ | 35 | 2,348 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| $2020^{*}$ | 215 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 2 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 5 4 0}$ |

* Denotes incomplete brood years given that adults have either not yet returned to the hatchery or have not been aged.

Table 18. Total number of adult URB fall Chinook returns to Little White Salmon NFH and estimated age structure by return year. CRiS age composition reports run on 12/21/2022

| Return Year | Age-2 | Age-3 | Age-4 | Age-5 | Age-6 | Total \# Adults |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | 374 | 15,644 | 18,377 | 1,501 | 73 | 35,969 |
| 2014 | 658 | 1,480 | 17,023 | 2,363 | 0 | 21,524 |
| 2015 | 65 | 5,558 | 3,568 | 2,956 | 13 | 12,160 |
| 2016 | 0 | 759 | 5,675 | 1,713 | 75 | 8,222 |
| 2017 | 101 | 300 | 3,384 | 2,000 | 39 | 5,824 |
| 2018 | 676 | 2,282 | 1,179 | 638 | 23 | 4,798 |
| 2019 | 246 | 5,861 | 8,194 | 185 | 0 | 14,486 |
| 2020 | 354 | 2,444 | 10,812 | 1,374 | 0 | 14,984 |
| 2021 | 35 | 3,000 | 6,946 | 735 | 0 | 10,716 |
| 2022 | 215 | 2,348 | 6,594 | 1,193 | 25 | 10,375 |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 1 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 9 0 6}$ |

## c) Bonneville Dam Detections

Since Return Year 2013, URB fall Chinook adults (Ages 2-6) PIT tagged and released from Little White NFH returned to Bonneville Dam as early as Jul-07 and as late as Nov-05 with the average median Sep-08 (Table 19). On average, $41 \%$ of URB fall Chinook adults released from Little White NFH are counted returning to the Little White Salmon NFH after passing upstream through Bonneville Dam's adult ladders (based on expansion of PIT tags).

Table 19. Median Bonneville Dam passage date of URB Fall Chinook adults PIT tagged and released from Little White NFH (Ages 2-6). Data retrieved from PTAGIS 12/21/2022

| Return <br> Year | Median <br> Passage <br> Date | First <br> Detection <br> Date | Last <br> Detection <br> Date | \# of Fish <br> Detected | Bonneville <br> Expansion | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | Hat. <br> Return | Hat. <br> Return/Bonn. <br> Expansion <br> (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | Sep-09 | Aug-07 | Nov-02 | 466 | 84,976 | $(72,739-101,248)$ | 35,969 | 42 |
| 2014 | Sep-10 | Aug-17 | Oct-15 | 375 | 70,175 | $(58,673-86,198)$ | 21,524 | 31 |
| 2015 | Sep-11 | Aug-15 | Oct-22 | 302 | 66,095 | $(54,249-81,529)$ | 12,160 | 18 |
| 2016 | Sep-04 | Jul-29 | Sep-22 | 92 | 24,941 | $(17,816-38,159)$ | 8,222 | 33 |
| 2017 | Sep-12 | Aug-24 | Oct-12 | 62 | 18,174 | $(11,725-25,210)$ | 5,824 | 32 |
| 2018 | Sep-11 | Aug-20 | Oct-13 | 41 | 11,581 | $(6,472-24,149)$ | 4,798 | 41 |
| 2019 | Sep-13 | Aug-22 | Nov-05 | 83 | 23,703 | $(16,608-31,836)$ | 14,486 | 61 |
| 2020 | Sep-08 | Jul-16 | Oct-07 | 84 | 25,507 | $(17,138-36,442)$ | 14,984 | 59 |
| 2021 | Sep-02 | Jul-07 | Oct-01 | 81 | 24,119 | $(16,925-36,654)$ | 10,716 | 44 |
| 2022 | Sep-06 | Aug-13 | Oct-08 | 74 | 22,533 | $(15,140-33,904)$ | 10,375 | 46 |
| Average | Sep-08 | Aug-07 | Oct-13 | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 , 1 8 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 3 , 9 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ |

## d) Hatchery Ladder Detections

Since Return Year 2013, upriver bright fall Chinook adults (Ages 2-6) PIT tagged and released from Little White Salmon NFH returned to the Little White Salmon NFH Ladder as early as Jul08 and as late as Nov-19 with the average median passage date on Oct-21 (Table 20). Upriver bright fall Chinook released from Willard NFH also return to Little White Salmon NFH for spawning. Since Return Year 2018, an average of 5 upriver bright fall Chinook adults (Ages 2 6) reared and PIT tagged at Willard NFH returned to the Little White Salmon NFH Ladder as early as Sep-30 and as late as Nov-08 with the average median passage date on Oct-19. The total number of upriver bright fall Chinook adults reared at Willard NFH that return to the Little White Salmon NFH is unknown because not all returning fish have CWTs to indicate their hatchery of origin. All adult returns, regardless of their origin, are included in the Little White Salmon NFH hatchery count (Table 20).

Table 20. Median detection date of adult upriver bright fall Chinook PIT tagged and released from Little White Salmon NFH (LW) and Willard NFH (WI) at the Little White NFH Adult Ladder (Ages 2-6). Data retrieved from PTAGIS 12/21/2022.

| Return <br> Year | Mark <br> Site | Median <br> Passage <br> Date | First <br> Detection <br> Date | Last <br> Detection <br> Date | \# <br> Detected | Ladder <br> Expansion | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | Hat. Return | Hat. Return <br> /Ladder <br> Expansion <br> (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | LW | Oct-30 | Jul-08 | Nov-19 | 196 | 35,567 | $(28,181-47,387)$ | 35,969 | 101 |
| 2014 | LW | Oct-23 | Sep-24 | Nov-05 | 101 | 18,712 | $(12,985-25,402)$ | 21,524 | 115 |
| 2015 | LW | Oct-27 | Oct-09 | Nov-08 | 81 | 17,529 | $(12,068-26,644)$ | 12,160 | 69 |
| 2016 | LW | Oct-16 | Sep-27 | Nov-05 | 39 | 10,286 | $(6,166-19,076)$ | 8,222 | 80 |
| 2017 | LW | Oct-20 | Oct-17 | Oct-26 | 15 | 4,403 | $(2,071-10,490)$ | 5,824 | 132 |
| 2018 | LW | Oct-24 | Sep-29 | Nov-14 | 24 | 6,670 | $(2,840-7,793)$ | 4,798 | 72 |
|  | WI | Oct-25 | Oct-20 | Oct-30 | 3 | 362 | - | - | - |
| 2019 | LW | Oct-22 | Oct-07 | Nov-16 | 34 | 9,951 | $(5,800-16,503)$ | 14,486 | 146 |
|  | WI | Oct-27 | Oct-20 | Nov-03 | 2 | 230 | - | - | - |
| 2021 | LW | Oct-23 | Sep-30 | Oct-05 | 33 | 10,295 | $(5,608-16,234)$ | 14,984 | 146 |
|  | WI | Oct-12 | Sep-30 | Oct-30 | 6 | 789 | - | - | - |
| 2022 | Oct-22 | Oct-15 | Nov-14 | 32 | 9,540 | $(5,157-15,480)$ | 10,716 | 112 |  |
|  | WI | Oct-24 | Oct-19 | Nov-08 | 10 | 857 | - | - | - |
| Average | Oct-12 | Oct-04 | Oct-18 | 12 | 3,636 | $(652-4,670)$ | 10,375 | 285 |  |
|  | WI | Oct-10 | Oct-09 | Oct-10 | 3 | 269 | - | - | - |
|  | Oct-21 | Sep-25 | Nov-03 | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 6 5 9}$ | - | $\mathbf{1 3 , 9 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ |  |

## Additional Monitoring and Evaluation Projects

## a) Other Fish Counted and Handled at Little White Salmon NFH

The Little White Salmon NFH ladder is opened in mid-September with the goal to remain open throughout the entire URB fall Chinook salmon return to collect adult URB broodstock (if the brood pond reaches capacity, the ladder is closed until an adequate number of fish can be processed before the ladder is re-opened). Salmon and other non-target species volitionally enter and leave the fish ladder located immediately below the hatchery barrier dam before reaching the Little White Salmon NFH spawning facility. Tule fall Chinook salmon, coho salmon, chum salmon, sockeye salmon and steelhead that volunteer into the trap are sorted and those that are not adipose fin-marked or tagged with a CWT are assumed to be natural-origin and released back into the Little White Salmon River below the ladder (Table 21).

In recent years, coho salmon have returned in high numbers. In 2021, detections of PIT tagged coho confirmed that coho salmon re-entered the fish ladder multiple times after being released (Baker 2021). The majority of the coho detected by the PIT antennas were reared at Willard NFH and released at other acclimation ponds or hatcheries in the mid-Columbia River. For the 2022 fall Chinook salmon return, Parentage-Based Tagging (PBT) is being used to determine potential hatchery origin of unmarked, untagged coho salmon collected at the Little White Salmon NFH. The results of a post-season PBT analysis could help managers make more informed decisions when planning for future returns on how to handle unmarked, untagged coho salmon. Data obtained from the proposed PBT study would also provide parties with important information that could be used during reinitiation of consultation on the BiOp in 2024 (NMFS 2017; Page 133, Section 2.10).

Table 21. Counts of non-production target fish removed and returned to river (), at the Little White Salmon NFH 2013 - 2022. Totals include both hatchery and wild fish. Data retrieved from Fish Removal files 1/31/2023.

| Year | Coho <br> Salmon | Fall <br> Chinook <br> Salmon | Sockeye <br> Salmon | Chum <br> Salmon | Rainbow <br> Trout | Steelhead <br> Trout |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | 158 | 983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2014 | 615 | 3,520 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2015 | 77 | 1,872 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 2016 | 156 | 472 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| 2017 | 265 | 116 | 0 | 0 | $3(1)$ | $1(6)$ |
| 2018 | 139 | 80 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $1(10)$ |
| 2019 | 749 | 308 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $0(4)$ |
| 2020 | 1,065 | 426 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 174 <br> $\left(2,488^{*}\right)$ | 618 | $0(3)$ | $0(2)$ | 0 | $1(35)$ |
| 2022 | 1,984 <br> $\left(470^{*}\right)$ | 786 | 0 | 0 | $0(1)$ | $0(8)$ |
| Average | $\mathbf{5 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |

*In 2021 and 2022, unmarked Coho were returned to the river. Returned to river fish were encountered multiple times and are included in the total, the actual number of unique fish encountered is not known.

## b) Escapement of Hatchery Fish to the White Salmon River Spawning Grounds and Impacts on Tule Populations

The White Salmon River is a tributary of the Columbia River located approximately 9 river kilometers upstream from Little White Salmon NFH. The White Salmon River supports a natural population of tule fall Chinook Salmon that are part of the Lower Columbia River Chinook Salmon ESU listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act. Hatchery origin upriver bright fall Chinook from the Little White Salmon NFH program are known to stray into the White Salmon River, potentially negatively impacting the listed tule population (NMFS 2017). The URB hatchery stocks in the Columbia River basin were derived from fall Chinook stocks that spawned above the historic Celilo Falls area and are not considered to be part of the Lower Columbia River Chinook Salmon ESU. Monitoring of the abundance of adult URBs in the White Salmon River Basin has been conducted since 1989 (J. Wilson, WDFW, 2018 memorandum to interested parties, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, on the 2017 White Salmon Chinook survey methods and results), and spawning ground surveys conducted by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife since 2010 have included the identification of hatchery-origin (for all facilities, including Little White Salmon NFH) and natural-origin adult URB and tule fall Chinook in the White Salmon River (SCoRE Website) (Table 22; Fig. 7).

Table 22. Estimated number of hatchery origin and natural origin upriver bright (URB) fall Chinook Salmon in the White Salmon River. Data is from WDFW spawning surveys (SCoRE website 12/21/2022).

| Year | Hatchery URB | Natural URB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2010 | 1,093 | 841 |
| $2011^{*}$ | - | - |
| 2012 | 361 | 743 |
| 2013 | 2,135 | 1,221 |
| 2014 | 3,208 | 1,636 |
| 2015 | 6,944 | 1,741 |
| 2016 | 1,508 | 621 |
| 2017 | 753 | 487 |
| 2018 | 1,446 | 991 |
| 2019 | 7,177 | 2,058 |
| 2020 | 2,264 | 1,382 |
| 2021 | 3,531 | 2,472 |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 , 7 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 9 0}$ |

*2011 escapement estimates were unavailable due to the breach of Condit Dam.


Figure 7. Escapement estimates of hatchery-origin and natural-origin upriver bright (URB) fall Chinook in the White Salmon River during annual spawning surveys (2010-2021). 2011 escapement estimates were unavailable due to the breach of Condit Dam.

It is likely that the natural-origin URBs spawning in the White Salmon River are predominately progeny of hatchery URBs that strayed and naturally spawned in the White Salmon River in previous years. Historically, natural URB populations primarily spawned in the Middle and Upper Columbia River areas, and limited spawning in areas of the lower Columbia River, including the White Salmon River. For the URB spawning population (2010-2021), the mean percentage of hatchery-origin spawners was $63 \%$, with a range of $33 \%$ to $80 \%$ (Fig. 8). There appears to be little correlation between the number of hatchery-origin URBs on the spawning grounds of the White Salmon River and either the number of hatchery fish collected at Little White Salmon NFH (Pearson's $r=0.10$ ) or the estimated total number of Little White Salmon URBs (based on PIT tag expansions) passing Bonneville Dam (Pearson's $\mathrm{r}=0.23$ ) each year. Return years 2015 and 2019 saw large numbers of hatchery-origin strays in the White Salmon River but relatively lower counts at Little White Salmon NFH (Table 23). The preliminary 2022 estimates of the number of hatchery-origin URBs spawning in the White Salmon River will not be available until spring 2023.


Figure 8. Estimated proportion of upriver bright (URB) fall Chinook hatchery origin spawners ( pHOS ) in the White Salmon River (2010-2021). Dotted line is the mean (63\%).

Table 23. Number of hatchery upriver bright fall Chinook Salmon collected at Little White Salmon NFH and the estimated number of hatchery upriver bright fall Chinook spawning in the White Salmon River (2013-2021). Hatchery counts are from the CRiS database,

WDFW estimates are from the SCORE website. The 2022 WDFW estimate will be available spring 2023. Data retrieved from SCoRE website 12/21/2022.

| Year | Hatchery Count | WDFW Estimate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | 35,969 | 2,135 |
| 2014 | 21,524 | 3,208 |
| 2015 | 12,160 | 6,944 |
| 2016 | 8,222 | 1,508 |
| 2017 | 5,824 | 753 |
| 2018 | 4,798 | 1,446 |
| 2019 | 14,513 | 7,117 |
| 2020 | 14,992 | 2,264 |
| 2021 | 10,716 | 3,531 |

Coded-wire tag recoveries from hatchery fish in the White Salmon River, collected during WDFW's spawning surveys, are used to estimate the total number of URB hatchery strays from an individual hatchery program. Coded-wire tags from adult returns expected to return to Little White Salmon NFH (i.e., Little White Salmon NFH program releases and releases from the Mitchell Act Willard NFH program) represented $90 \%-100 \%$ of the annual total coded-wire tag recoveries in the White Salmon River (recovery years 2013-2021), with the Little White NFH component averaging $90 \%$ of the total annual recoveries. The total number of coded-wire tags recovered on the spawning grounds each year ranged from 6 to 124 . Expansions of coded-wire tag recoveries to account for $a$ ) the tagging rate at juvenile release, and $b$ ) the sampling rate during the spawning surveys, can be used to estimate the total number of hatchery fish from the Little White Salmon NFH programs that are spawning in the White Salmon River (Table 24). In all years (2013-2021) except 2016-2017, the WDFW estimates of the total number of hatchery URBs on the spawning grounds were within the $80 \%$ confidence intervals of the total estimated number of URBs from the Little White Salmon and Willard NFH programs (Figure 9).

Table 24. Estimated number of hatchery upriver bright fall Chinook Salmon on the spawning grounds of the White Salmon River from the Little White Salmon and Willard NFH programs, and the total number of hatchery URBs estimated on the spawning ground from WDFW surveys. Coded-wire tag estimates are based on coded-wire tag recoveries and expansions for tagging rate and sampling rate. Confidence intervals (C.I.) are calculated based on proportions (i.e., tagging rate). Data from RMIS and WDFW SCORE website 12/19/2022.

| Year | CWT Estimate | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ Lower C.I. | 80\% Upper C.I. | WDFW Estimate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | 2,147 | 1,217 | 4,030 | 2,135 |
| 2014 | 3,219 | 2,388 | 4,510 | 3,208 |
| 2015 | 5,679 | 3,994 | 8,622 | 6,944 |
| 2016 | 703 | 496 | 1,135 | 1,508 |
| 2017 | 518 | 417 | 655 | 753 |
| 2018 | 1,286 | 887 | 1,983 | 1,446 |
| 2019 | 5,187 | 3,646 | 7,704 | 7,117 |
| 2020 | 1,892 | 1,378 | 2,760 | 2,264 |
| 2021 | 2,716 | 1,887 | 4,143 | 3,531 |



Figure 9. Estimated number of hatchery upriver bright fall Chinook from the Little White and Willard NFH programs, based on coded-wire tag expansions for tagging rate and sampling rate, and the total number of estimated hatchery Upriver Bright Fall Chinook spawning in the White Salmon River (WDFW estimate). Confidence intervals for the coded-wire tag estimates are based on the proportions of fish tagged versus total release. Coded wire tag data from RMIS 12/8/22. WDFW data 2013-2021 from SCORE website.

A variety of environmental and anthropogenic factors have been proposed to explain the incidence of hatchery-origin strays entering the White Salmon River, though the exact causes are not well known (Silver et al. 2021). Interactions between hatchery-origin URB strays and native tule fall Chinook are believed to lead to a loss in productivity of the native tule population (e.g., through hybridization and redd superimposition) (NMFS 2017). As part of the Terms and Conditions (T\&C) in the Biological Opinion for upriver bright fall Chinook increased production at Little White Salmon NFH (NMFS 2017; T\&C 2b), the USFWS is to manage the abundance of hatchery-origin URB fall Chinook that spawn naturally in the White Salmon River so that the abundance does not exceed 3,000 adults, based on a 3-year moving average. Several different methods have been previously discussed for assessing whether the 3,000 hatchery adults from the Little White Salmon NFH URB program threshold has been exceeded, including WDFW point estimates, expanded coded-wire tag recoveries, and assuming $90 \%$ of hatchery fish are from the Little White Salmon River NFH (Silver et al. 2021). Using the WDFW estimates of total hatchery spawners, the 3-year average for 2019 - 2021 was 4,304. Regardless of the approach, the general assessment is that the 3-year average for $2019-2021$ would exceed the 3,000-hatchery adult threshold. Exceedance of this T\&C triggered a review by the USFWS, in cooperation with NMFS, to see what happened and what actions could be taken to address this exceedance (NMFS 2021). Based on the review, the exceedance was caused by a high level of

Little White Salmon NFH URB fall Chinook salmon that strayed into the White Salmon River in 2019, which was believed to be an anomaly (NMFS 2021). A few factors outside the Little White Salmon NFH URB hatchery releases and adult trap operations may have contributed the high stray rate in 2019 including hatchery returns higher than forecasted and reduced harvest combined with low Bonneville Pool levels (NMFS 2021). For return years 2021 and 2022, the Little White Salmon NFH executed several actions to manage hatchery URB fall Chinook salmon staying including maximizing adult ladder operation to collect adults, surplussing adult fish earlier in the run, and coordinating with BPA and USACE to maintain a minimum Bonneville Dam forebay pool level of 74 feet during the URB run.


Figure 10. Estimated total hatchery Upriver Bright Fall Chinook Salmon spawning in the White Salmon River versus total number of hatchery Upriver Bright Fall Chinook Salmon collected at Little White Salmon NFH (2013-2021). Little White Salmon NFH counts are from the CRiS database. White Salmon River estimates 2013-2021 are from WDFW spawning survey data on the SCORE website (12/8/2022).

## c) Monitoring Studies of URB Movement

A previous assessment of ladder operations at the Little White Salmon NFH suggested an increase in straying due to ladder closures, with most movement away from the hatchery occurring from late October to early November (Engle et al. 2006). In this previous study a total of 253 adult URBs were tagged with radio transmitters in 2004 and 35 adult URBs were tagged in 2005 with 45 and 28 recoveries in each year, respectively Inferences of increased straying due to ladder closures were made based on the proportion of tag recoveries at adjacent tributaries (e.g., White Salmon River recoveries were 31 percent of recoveries in 2004 versus 4 percent in 2005) and differences in ladder operation among years (i.e., the ladder was open for only 2.75 hrs over seven days in 2004 versus 557 hrs over 33 days in 2005) (Engle et al. 2006). Based on
these results, leaving the ladder open throughout the URB return was believed to remove more URBs from the Little White Salmon River and prevent those adults from straying to the White Salmon River.

A re-evaluation of CWT recoveries obtained from the RMIS database, however, revealed that the proportion of strays were higher in 2005 when the ladder remained open for most of the URB return then in 2004 when the ladder was closed. Due to delayed reporting of recoveries in the RMIS database these data were not available at the time of Engle et al.'s study. The percent of hatchery-origin strays recovered in the White Salmon River was 30.8 percent of the total estimated CWT freshwater return in 2005 versus 18.9 percent of the total estimated CWT freshwater return in 2004. These results are somewhat contradictory to those presented by Engle et al. 2006 study of radio tagged fish and suggest that additional monitoring studies of URB movement may be warranted to help identify factors contributing to straying.

In 2022, an investigation of the timing and movement of returning adult URBs in the Little White Salmon River and potential straying into the White Salmon River was conducted using submersible PIT antennas placed at strategic locations. One PIT antenna was located adjacent to the Little White Salmon NFH's acclimation ponds in the Little White Salmon River (latitude: 45.7205; longitude: -121.6417). A second PIT antenna was located at RM 0.5 in the White Salmon River (latitude: 45.7360; longitude: -121.5224). At each location a six-foot diameter submersible PIT antenna (Biomark, Boise, Idaho) was weighed down and positioned resting on the bottom substrate at each of these locations. Fish with a PIT tag were detected if they swam overtop within approximately three feet of the antenna. Both antennas provided up to 50 days of continuous operation on a single battery pack and had a data storage capacity of one million records. Data from the antennas were downloaded monthly by retrieving the device and downloading the data via Bluetooth. Battery packs were also switched out at this time to allow for extended field operation over the entire URB return.

A total of 23 unique PIT tags were detected over 83 days (September 09 through November 29, 2022) at the antenna in the Little White Salmon River near the Little White Salmon NFH (Table 25). Several species were detected during this period including hatchery fall Chinook salmon, spring Chinook salmon, coho salmon, and summer steelhead (Table 25). Only three URBs were detected at the submersible antenna; two from the Little White Salmon NFH and one from Willard NFH (Table 25). These three individuals were detected at different dates during the adult return with initial detections on 9/7, 10/25, and 11/06. Additionally, one fall Chinook salmon tagged at Lyle Falls, Klickitat River and nine Chinook salmon (unknown run) tagged at Bonneville dam were also detected. Hatchery coho salmon that were detected at the antenna were reared at Eagle Creek NFH and Leavenworth NFH. Only four individuals that were detected at the antenna were also detected at the Little White Salmon NFH fish ladder (Table 25).

A total of 22 unique PIT tags were detected over 121 days (August 29 through December 28, 2022) at the antenna in the White Salmon River at RM 0.5 (Table 26). Several species were detected during this period including hatchery fall Chinook salmon (tule and URBs), spring Chinook salmon, and summer steelhead (Tables 25). Two URBs from the Little White Salmon NFH were detected at the submersible antenna (Table 26). There was one hatchery tule from Spring Creek NFH that was also detected. Additionally, five adult fall Chinook salmon tagged at Lyle Falls, Klickitat River and ten Chinook salmon (unknown run) tagged at Bonneville dam
were also detected. None of the fish detected at the antenna in the White Salmon River were detected at the Little White Salmon NFH fish ladder or the antenna in the Little White Salmon River adjacent to the hatchery's acclimation ponds.

Table 25. Number of Detections at the Submersible PIT Antenna in the Little White Salmon River by Species, Run, Rearing and Mark/Release Locations

| Species-Run-Rear Name | Number of <br> Detections | Mark <br> Location | Release <br> Location | Number of <br> Detections at <br> LWS Ladder |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hat. Spring Chinook | 3 | LWSH | LWSH | 0 |
| Fall Chinook | 1 | LYLFAT | LYLFAT | 0 |
| Chinook (unknown run) | 9 | BONAFF | BONAFF | 1 |
| Hat. Fall Chinook (URB) | 2 | LWSH | LWSH | 0 |
| Hat. Fall Chinook (URB) | 1 | WILL | WILL | 0 |
| Hat. Coho | 3 | EAGH | NLVP | 1 |
| Hat. Coho | 2 | LEAV | LEAV | 2 |
| Steelhead (unknown run) | 1 | BONAFF | BONAFF | 0 |
| Hat. Summer Steelhead | 1 | SKAM | SKAM | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 7 locations | 7 locations | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Codes for Marall\| |  |  |  |  |

Codes for Mark/Release Locations: BONAFF-Bonneville Dam; EAGH - Eagle Creek National Fish Hatchery; LEAV - Leavenworth National Fish Hatchery; LWSH - Little White Salmon National Fish Hatchery; LYLFAT - Lyle Falls Adult Fish Trap, Klickitat River; SKAM Skamania Hatchery (WDFW); WILL - Willard National Fish Hatchery

Table 26. Number of Detections at the Submersible PIT Antenna in the White Salmon River by Species, Run, Rearing and Mark/Release Locations

| Species-Run-Rear Name | Number of <br> Detections | Mark <br> Location | Release <br> Location | Number of <br> Detections at <br> LWS Ladder |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hat. Spring Chinook | 1 | CARS | CARS | 0 |
| Hat. Spring Chinook | 1 | MVFLAP | MVFLAP | 0 |
| Hat. Fall Chinook (Tule) | 1 | SPRC | SPRC | 0 |
| Fall Chinook | 5 | LYLFAT | LYLFAT | 0 |
| Chinook (unknown run) | 10 | BONAFF | BONAFF | 0 |
| Hat. Fall Chinook (URB) | 2 | LWSH | LWSH | 0 |
| Steelhead (unknown run) | 1 | BONAFF | BONAFF | 0 |
| Hat. Summer Steelhead | 1 | SKAM | SKAM | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 7 locations | 7 locations | $\mathbf{0}$ |

Codes for Mark/Release Locations: BONAFF-Bonneville Dam; CARS - Carson National Fish Hatchery; LWSH - Little White Salmon National Fish Hatchery; LYLFAT - Lyle Falls Adult Fish Trap, Klickitat River; MVFLAP - Moving Falls Acc. Ponds, WF Hood River; SKAM - Skamania Hatchery (WDFW); SPRC - Spring Creek National Fish Hatchery

In 2023, an investigation of the timing and movement of returning adult URBs in and out of the Little White Salmon River and straying into the White Salmon River will continue using submersible PIT antennas placed at strategic locations. Detection data of adult URB movement in the Little White Salmon and White Salmon Rivers collected will be used to assess factors that may be leading to straying.
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## Appendix: Annual Hatchery Notes

## Spring Creek NFH: Tule Fall Chinook

- Emergency early release due to bacterial gill disease. Fish were released on-station midMarch prior to the completion of marking and PIT tag operations (approximately $21 \%$ of the population was marked and/or CWT).
- SRKW proposals for FY22 were funded pending fiscal agreements and brood stock availability: +2 M fall Chinook at Spring Creek


## Little White Salmon NFH: Upriver Bright Chinook

- SRKW proposals for FY22 were funded pending fiscal agreements and brood stock availability: +650 K Spring Chinook at Little White Salmon
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