Page	Chapter	Line Number	Comment	Created By	Response	Questions
1	Intro	43	note that “throughout” is appropriate as JTs only occur in very small portions of the Geat Basin and Sonoran desert. I would say “…in parts of…”	Esque, Todd C	Edited.	
1	1	43	"Deserts" should be capitalized where used as part of a formal place name, correct? Similarly throughout document.	Lynn Sweet	Edited and updated throughout document.	
2	1	1	I have revised this thinking based on additional reading and phrased it differently in my manuscript that is in preparation. I suggest you take a quick look at Keeler-Wolf 2007 to fortify this part. My previous stance was the long-held view, but Keeler-Wolf did a quantitative analysis and is citeable – so deserves mention.	Esque, Todd C	Updated section 3.5.3 Vegetation as follows *Previous research indicated that “Joshua tree Woodland” is not considered a replicable vegetation community (Rowlands 1978: 54); but more recent research describes the Joshua tree woodland alliance in California as vegetation communities with greater than 1 percent cover of Yucca brevifolia and/or less than 1 percent absolute cover of Juniperus spp. or Pinus spp. in the tree canopy, with associations generally defined by the degree of disturbance from wildfire and grazing (Sawyer et al. 2008: 301–303). We consider this delineation to also be appropriate for Y. jaegeriana. Depending on the classification system, geographic location, and type of disturbance, Joshua trees can be a component of the following vegetation communities: Sonoran-Colorado desert scrub, Mojave-Sonoran creosote bush scrubland, Mojave middle elevation desert, Mohave desert scrub, pinyon-juniper woodland. Specially they occur in vegetation associations with blackbrush (Coleogyne ramosissima), Larrea divaricate (chapparal), L. tridentata (creosote bush), Artemisia tridentata (Great Basin sagebrush), Hilaria rigida (big galleta grass), Acamptopappus sphaerocephalus (rayless goldenhead), perennial grasses, Gutierrezia microcephala (sticky snakeweed), Cylindropuntia acanthocarpa (buck horn cholla), and Sphaeralcea ambigua (desert mallow), the later three being disturbance-related shrub species (Rowlands 1978: 164–173; Sawyer et al. 2008: 301–303).*	
2	1	1	I appreciate that this is supposed to be succinct, but "the yucca moth" may be too generic for the non-specialist and they could be confused as there are many other species of yucca moths. I would suggest something that reflects that each Joshua tree species is pollinated by a specific species of yucca moth.	Lynn Sweet	*Joshua trees are part of an obligate mutualism and each species is pollinated by a specific species of yucca moth, which is solely responsible for pollination outside of a narrow zone of hybridization.*	
2		14	The Agavaceae is no longer recognized as a family, but a clade (the Agavoideae) within the Asparagaceae	Yoder, Jeremy B	Edited.	
3			Insufficient time provided to review this chapter. [Update: Review completed 7/23/2022]	Lynn Sweet	Acknowledged. See additional comments below from second peer review period.	
4	2	10-11	adding “drought” to fire and flood would really flesh this out and be total relevant to your discussions in this SSA	Esque, Todd C	Edited. *Resiliency is the ability of a species to withstand stochastic events and normal year-to-year variations in both environmental conditions (i.e., temperature, rainfall, and periodic disturbances such a drought, wildfires, or floods) and demographic conditions (i.e., mortality, fecundity; (Redford et al. 2011: 40).*	
5			Insufficient time provided to review this chapter. [Update: Review completed 8/2/2022]	Lynn Sweet	Acknowledged. See additional comments below from second peer review period.	
7			Insufficient time provided to review this chapter. [Update remaining incomplete review 8/5/2022]	Lynn Sweet	Acknowledged. See additional comments below from second peer review period.	
8	4	20&30	referring to Joshua tree height. I believe it was simplified to 10m in the Executive Summary or Introduction (this document is not readily searchable for me), so maybe pick the higher number and say “up to ##m tall” for simplification and accuracy	Esque, Todd C	Kept the height ranges in this section to highlight the differences between species. Pg 1, section 1.1 was edited as follows: *It is often the tallest plant on the landscape where it occurs, growing up to 39 feet [ft; 12 meters (m)] tall depending on the species, but is not typically dominant in terms of vegetation cover (Esque 2022b: pers. comm.).*	
10	Exec Sum	17	Tense agreement "occurs"	Lynn Sweet	Edited	
10	Exec Sum	32	"Yucca" in yucca moth, as a common name, does not need to be capitalized throughout.	Lynn Sweet	Edited	
10	3	29-30	referring to the age of a single Joshua tree, here is says 1,000, while I believe previously the SSA says 1,500. Might be good to pick one or the other.	Esque, Todd C	Removed reference here and elsewhere to 1,000 years based on comment Esque comment below, pg. 46. Edited as follows :*One Joshua tree in California is thought to be more than several hundred years old.*	

10	3	30	Is there a citation for the maximum age listed? These estimates (and similarly, fables about species) have a way of making an lasting impression, and it's important that we know how supported this is. Based on growth rate estimates, this seems like an extreme outlier. Is this worth mentioning here?	Lynn Sweet	Agreed. Reference to 1,000 year old trees or older have been removed.
11	Exec Sum	14	Identify which century you are referring to on the first mention.	Lynn Sweet	Edited to include the end of century (2100)
11	Exec Sum	16	Please define the authority (presumably the IPCC, and CMIP5?) for these scenarios here. I suggest this is relevant even in the executive summary as they are presented out of context. State briefly how you avoided bias in the selection of the climate simulation model(s) to use? For instance, e.g. "hot/dry" or "warm/wet" in relation to ensemble means.	Lynn Sweet	*We did not develop a new model specifically for Joshua tree and instead utilized existing models that varied depending on the parameter evaluated (e.g. land use, invasive grass cover, and climate variables). All data considered were based on Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) greenhouse gas concentration scenarios adopted by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) with the exception of invasive grass cover.*
11	Exec Sum	22	Please separate estimates for each species here, as you do below. This can be done succinctly.	Lynn Sweet	Edited.
11	3	20	It would be useful to also contrast this from species that may *disperse* clonally. In Joshua trees, this method of reproduction lacks the dispersal benefit of sexual reproduction for this species, because parts of plants do not detach and disperse. For example other species reproduce and disperse via asexual reproduction, cholla species, Arundo donax, etc. I believe it's relevant to mention here prior to discussion of dispersal later on, as this limits population spatial movement for primarily-clonal populations.	Lynn Sweet	*Asexually reproduction is not a means of dispersal in Joshua trees because the vegetative growth does not detach and reestablish away from the adult tree as is observed in other species such as (Cylindropuntia sp.) cholla and Arundo donax (giant reed).*
11			don't see evidence for the claim that clonal reproduction is adaptation.	Godsoe, William	Clonal reproduction allows for asexual reproduction and persistence under conditions where recruitment may not be suitable.
12	Exec Sum	33	What "limitation" of climate model do you refer to here? Be specific? Temporal? Scale/resolution? Uncertainty?	Lynn Sweet	*We acknowledge uncertainty in our future projections due to current information gaps, the inherent uncertainty in future climate projections particularly for precipitation, the potential for climate refugia and microclimates that are often not identified in coarse scale climate modeling, and the difficulty forecasting potential demographic changes for such a long-lived species*
12	Exec Sum	14-16; 26-27	In Appendix F, lines 5-7 of the caption, you state that you were unable to make quantitative estimates of acreages that will be occupied into the future, due to the disparate methodology of the models considered. Why and how, then, here are acreage estimates of future suitable habitat indicated?	Lynn Sweet	A new model was not developed. See explanation of methodology pages 110-111 and appendix F. The updated text for Appendix G reads *The following bioclimatic models were evaluated in our assessment of the potential effects of climate change on Joshua trees (Thomas, In review; Thomas 2012, Comer et al. 2013 and Cole et al. 2011). We included the general results of these models for the future climate scenarios as described in section 8-1, with the exception of Thomas, In review. We had intended to utilize the available GIS data from these models to provide a more accurate assessment of the acreage of suitable and unsuitable habitat at the scale of the analysis unit. However, we were unable to move forward with this approach due to inconsistencies between the distributions used in the various models and our current understanding of the species' distribution. For example, large portions of the current distribution were not modeled ranging from 6 percent (Thomas, In review) to 30 percent (Comer et al. 2013). Moreover, in one study (Thomas 2022, In review) a large portion (~46 percent) of Joshua trees occupied habitat was modeled as currently unsuitable according to their modeled baseline distribution. To illustrate the issue, the following figures represent the modeled future distributions from these studies under the climate scenarios indicated, relative to our understanding of the current distribution of Joshua trees (indicated by the gray polygons).*
12	3	30-35	admittedly masting year is a moving target, but 2022 is the last masting year before the release of this document.	Esque, Todd C	Edited. *Recently, masting events were recorded in 2013, 2018/2019, 2022; there is variation across the range and single masting events typically do not occur range-wide. In 2013, 80 percent of the trees were in bloom on average, based on a study of 10 study sites across the Mojave Desert (St. Clair and Hoines 2018, p. 5). However, only 40 percent of the trees were blooming at cooler sites (Cima Dome and Walker Pass) and may have been due to the higher number of clones at these locations (Esque 2022a, pers. comm.).*
12	3		This very likely differs by Joshua tree species. I've seen differences in distance to parent tree for putative sprouts in Y. b. vs. Y. j. If you include this you may cite this reviewer, Sweet pers. comm.	Lynn Sweet	*Research studies have used a distance of 3.3 ft (1 m; Barrows and Murphy-Mariscal 2012) to 6.6 ft (2 m; Sweet et al. 2019) from an adult tree to distinguish seedlings from clones; and there is some indication that the distance of clones from adult trees may be species specific (Sweet 2022, pers. comm.). However, this limit has not been tested through a genetic study making it difficult to determine clones from juvenile trees. Earlier work in blackbrush vegetation community indicated that Joshua tree roots may extend up to 36 ft (11 m) from adult trees (Bowns 1973: 41), but additional research is required to inform the average rooting depth and distance of each species of Joshua tree.*

12	3	19-24	This statement reads as if flowering isn't largely synchronous. In western Joshua trees, most of the population is either flowering or not flowering in a given year. Similar to Smith, I agree that an odd tree may be flowering in most years, (and likewise, not all trees flower in a given year) but my personal observation from living next to Joshua Tree National Park for 6 years is that it's fairly synchronous. I lack the time to find a reference; perhaps CA phenology project has the data. Perhaps the information from the very knowledgeable source cited was referring to Eastern trees and was mischaracterized here.	Lynn Sweet	Modified as the sentence suggests a higher proportion flowering in any given year. *Although large masting events do not happen very often, there is typically a small number of trees flowering in most years (Smith 2022, pers. comm.)*
12	3	33	This is incorrect for Yucca brevifolia. The last large bloom event in and surrounding Joshua Tree National Park was an anomalously-long bloom November 2018-March 2019. This was documented in Brenskelle et al. Scientific Reports, 2021. Please note that I cite this paper here only for the documentation of the flowering event; the analysis may be flawed as it was not produced in communication or collaboration with the University and NPS scientists who collected the data (iNaturalist data) on which it was based as part of their own research project. There are likely issues with using these data without taking into account the intentional spatial bias in the observations made as part of the study assumptions and study design.	Lynn Sweet	Edited. *Recently, masting events were recorded in 2013, 2018/2019, 2022; there is variation across the range and single masting events typically do not occur range-wide. In 2013, 80 percent of the trees were in bloom on average, based on a study of 10 study sites across the Mojave Desert (St. Clair and Hoines 2018, p. 5). However, only 40 percent of the trees were blooming at cooler sites (Cima Dome and Walker Pass) and may have been due to the higher number of clones at these locations (Esque 2022a, pers. comm.).*
13	3	8-21	consider defining diapause. it is used twice in this paragraph and each time the sentences seem a little less understandable than the rest of the section. Try to untangle physiology versus life stage	Esque, Todd C	Edited. *Larvae feed more or less sequentially through the seeds in a chamber of the seed pod and encountering an infertile seed causes the larvae to exit the pod and diapause, a period of suspended development typically during a period of unfavorable conditions (Ziv and Bronstein 1996: 64; Harrower and Gilbert 2018: 13).*
13		36	"... because their ovipositor is not long enough to reach the pistil" has things somewhat turned around; the ovipositor in *T. synthetica* is *too long* for successful oviposition on *Y. jaegeriana*, because it does unnecessary damage to the ovules in the floral ovary. This should trigger a floral abortion response, which does indeed effectively eliminate both moth oviposition success and any seeds that would result from pollination.	Yoder, Jeremy B	*Seed production in Y. jaegeriana is the result of pollination exclusively by T. antithetica since T. synthetica moths are unable to pollinate Y. jaegeriana, because their ovipositor is too long resulting in damage to the ovules in the floral ovary (Starr et al. 2013: 10).*
15	2	30-33	A biologically-meaningful timeframe necessary to detect changes would generally not be, as stated, one to several centuries, as conclusions regarding these topics have been derived from many studies done by government as well as academic scientists, accomplished within the lifetime of individual scientists. This is an overly broad and somewhat misleading statement; perhaps it was could be rephrased if I am misunderstanding what you are trying to say. Population trends can and have been detected in long-lived species using modern demographic analysis. See Harper and White, 1974, Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics or for example, Plath, Evans & Rathbun. Am. Nat. 1988; McLaughlin and Zavaleta 2011 Global Change Biology.	Lynn Sweet	Deleted "so a biologically meaningful timeframe to detect changes in population growth trends, population extirpation, and habitat colonization events may take one to several centuries". New text reads: "Joshua trees can live several hundred years and have a generation time of at least 50 to 70 years (Esque et al. 2015: 89). There is increasing uncertainty as persistence is modeled into the future; therefore, we will use timeframes for future model forecasts that are available for threats identified in this assessment and will project species viability at the end of the century.
15	3	17	'that' should be 'than'	Esque, Todd C	Edited
15	3	30-31	There is a phrase about ensuring viable seed that is attributed to P&H 1994. I don't know what they said exactly, but suggest that it makes more sense to say, "in effect reducing the predator load, and potentially increasing the probability of viable seed" because we don't really know if it ensures enough viable seed for perpetuation under all circumstances	Esque, Todd C	Edited
15	3	17	The statement including the word "that" would make more sense as a contrast if instead, the word "than" was meant to be used in its place.	Lynn Sweet	*During a rangewide study of Joshua trees evaluating yucca moth abundance and reproduction across 16 sites sampling a range of elevations and abiotic parameters, both increased moth abundance and seed set were observed at higher elevations and latitudes than the JTNP research presented above (Smith 2022, pers. comm.).*
15	3	7	The St. Clair and Hoines study covered both species of Joshua tree, and the difference from the Harrower and Gilbert study is that the former looks at inter-site differences (comparing site temperature across sites, lumping the two species in analysis; see Figs 1 and 5), and the latter investigated an elevational gradient, looking at essentially intra-regional differences. These two approaches are at different scales and are not comparable.	Lynn Sweet	Modified text to highlight differences between the scale of each study. *The research in JTNP described above characterizes potential variations in reproductive strategy and moth abundance across an elevation gradient at the southern limit of Yucca brevifolia; but different studies come to slightly different conclusions about what is driving reproductive success depending on what measure of reproduction and the geographical scale evaluated.*

15		21	Unfortunately the insect larva depicted in Fig 3-4 is not a yucca moth; the larvae of the two Joshua tree pollinator species are green with some pink shading rather than brown, and do not have discrete head capsules, as this one does. I suspect it's a beetle, but insect larval IDs can be quite challenging. (A couple of beetle species lay eggs in Joshua tree flowers and fruits, so it's certainly possible this was indeed extracted from a Joshua tree fruit.)	Yoder, Jeremy B	Deleted photo.
16	3	24-26	I do not recall previously seeing the latin for the following - Mohave Ground Squirrel - spelled with an 'h' rather than 'j', (Xerospermophilus mohavensis), round-tailed ground squirrel (X. tereticaudus), and rock squirrel (Otospermophilus variegatus)	Esque, Todd C	*There are five squirrel species documented to predate and potentially disperse Joshua tree seeds: Mohave ground squirrels (Xerospermophilus mohavensis), white-tailed antelope squirrels (Ammospermophilus leucurus), California ground squirrels (Otospermophilus beechyi), round-tailed ground squirrels, and rock squirrels (Lenz 2001: 65; Borchert and DeFalco 2016: 832).*
16	3	~27	I checked Borchert and DeFalco - they state that desert woodrats do not facilitate seed dispersal, because they are not scatter hoarders. They do mention the pinyon mouse (Peromyscus truei) as a joshua tree disperser, and either Borchert or maybe Waitman or maybe VanderWall state that P. truei scatter cache single seeds.	Esque, Todd C	*Pinyon mice (Peromyscus truei), Merriam's kangaroo rats (Dipodomys merriami), agile kangaroo rats (Dipodomys agilis), and the San Diego pocket mouse (Chaetodipus fallax) cache seeds in their burrows in the ground, which facilitates germination (Borchert and DeFalco 2016: 832).*
16	3	23	I would not use "predate" but rather	Esque, Todd C	*There are five squirrel species documented to consume and potentially disperse Joshua tree seeds..*
16	3	39-41	regarding attributed to Cornett about his observation challenging the wind hypothesis by Waitman et al - while Cornett may challenge the research, the observation does not. Coyotes can move seeds too, and that is not discussed here - much more likely than wind - especially based on the replicated experiments in a wind tunnel	Esque, Todd C	*Fruits have also been hypothesized to be dispersed by wind, ungulates, as well as, coyotes. As Joshua tree fruits dry out they are hypothesized to be transported by desert winds (Simpson 1975: 34), though subsequent research noted that wind dispersal is unlikely because wind speeds required to move Joshua tree fruits and seeds across the soil surface were higher than those typically found in the Mojave Desert (Waitman et al. 2012: 6). Seed dispersal may be carried out by ungulates documented to feed on Joshua tree fruits, including bighorn sheep, cattle (Lenz 2001: 64), mule deer (Keith 1982: 42), horses, burros (Lenz 2001: 64). None of these ungulates are tall enough to reach fruits in larger, older Yucca brevifolia trees, and to what extent they serve as Y. jaegeriana seed dispersers remains unknown. Seeds of other plant species have been documented to be viable after passing through the digestive tracts of animals; but it is not clear if that is the case with these seed predators and Joshua tree. Recent observations of juvenile trees greater than 600 m from the nearest adult (Cornett 2022a, pers. comm.) indicate that slightly further dispersal distances may be possible due to the mechanisms above; but are considered rare events.*
16	3	40	Similar to the note about tree maximum age, I would advise caution in introducing this specific number here without more information, and without putting it in context, such as the location of this observation, and how the distance was measured. I appreciate the contribution of natural history observations to the understanding of the species, however typical dispersal distance in practice needs to be further studied empirically and dispersal of these distances are not known to be a common occurrence based on the other literature cited. Some of the information on page 29 would be helpful here.	Lynn Sweet	See response above.
17	3	1-2	although mentioned in the lead sentence the pleistocene megafauna are not detailed. However, I see you covered this later in detail. Maybe make a reference to that as you have for other topics	Esque, Todd C	Deleted reference, see text above.

18	2	20-37	Many of my later comments (likely duplicative) will suggest further explanation is necessary, and those are likely relevant to this section of the Methodology chapter. As this is the methodological basis for the conclusions, please recognize that the particular climate scenario and global climate model (GCM) chosen highly influences the outcome of species distribution models, and therefore, more explanation is necessary here. Please describe here how the authors of the models you are using chose the GCM used, and how you (or they) avoided bias. The word "plausible" implies that some simulations/downscales were ruled out as implausible, or else this is irrelevant to state. To say that they are part of a spectrum is also to leave out whether these were biased towards any particular end of a multi-factor spectrum. You could refer to the number of models considered, and where they sit with regard to the ensemble mean. If the e.g. Cole or Thomas model was used for this analysis, the particular climate scenario and GCM they used. I have further suggestions on the same topic concerning "Figure 6-8."	Lynn Sweet	This template language on how we structure future conditions was not edited. Additional explanation for the modeling is included on pgs. 107-111. Appendix F was also added that includes the specific models and timeframes for the JT models evalauted, to address the reviewers concerns.
18	3	35	researches should be researchers	Esque, Todd C	Edited
18			would benefit from a few additional sentences on what mycorrhizae are and why they are important.	Godsoe, William	*The roots of Joshua tree seedlings are colonized by a suite of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi with species associations varying by plant community, elevation, and other abiotic conditions (Harrower and Gilbert 2021, entire). Mycorrhizal fungi provide plants access to mineral and micro-nutrients in exchange for carbon photosynthate produced by the plant. These relationships can confer benefits to inoculated plant species including increased growth and survival, and through improved disease and drought resistance. A recent study of Yucca brevifolia mycorrhizal fungal associations in JTNP, indicate a potential for decreased growth at low elevations in the first few months following germination, due to the carbon demands necessary to build seedling's mycorrhizal network (Harrower and Gilbert 2021: 14).*
20			One thing that emerges from the Esque citations is just how long the generation time can be because of the rare opportunities for reproduction. This makes it extremely difficult to obtain enough data to explicitly model population growth. I think this concern is not highlighted enough.	Godsoe, William	Addressed under section 5.0 Species Needs. *5.2.2 Abundance* *Joshua trees require populations of sufficient abundance to be maintained over time with stable or increasing population growth. Sufficient abundance is achieved through survival of young age-classes to adult, successful reproduction, and recruitment to support the next generation. There must be adequate survival at all life stages to support an abundant adult population. We currently lack a population viability analysis and information on the abundance at each age class required to maintain a resilient population. It is particularly difficult to model population growth due to the long generation time and rare reproduction opportunities.*
21	3	25	you could cite Esque et al. 2003 instead of Esque 2022b pers comm as citations are held in higher regard than pers comms	Esque, Todd C	Edited
21			Information from harrower and gibert is summarized in several different places, it might be clearer to summarize this all in one place.	Godsoe, William	Acknowledged. This section is structured around by the life cycle and life stage.
22	3	14	this description among deserts is more accurate than the one I previously commented about - might make sure they are consistent	Esque, Todd C	Acknowledged.
22			Clarify claim "earlier references indicated" does that mean later references agree, or are agnostic on the subject.	Godsoe, William	Sentence deleted. Not pertinent to the point of the paragraph.
23		1-12	Observed distribution on the landscape is indeed likely to be a better indicator of tolerances, especially for mature trees, than the 1983 lab study by Smith et al.	Yoder, Jeremy B	Acknowledged.
24	3	21	Spelling, summer.	Lynn Sweet	Edited
26	3	22	dominate should be dominant, also as previously mentioned I suggest re-visiting Keeler-Wolf 2007 and considering his quantitative take on joshua trees and their place in communities. I am writing about this in our In Prep paper and using this citation	Esque, Todd C	Edited. See previous comment referencing page 2 above
26	3	23	Are you referring to Holland types or USNVC accepted types here? Please note the authority. I see you cite Sawyer further down; make it more clear here what USNVC/MCV levels you are using, e.g. group and alliance.	Lynn Sweet	Acknowledged.
27	4	24-36	probably should cite Waitman et al. in this paragraph to be parallel with attributions in the rest of the document	Esque, Todd C	Acknowledged.

29	3	32	the stand in x was not replaced with the inches of precip "current reads monthly precipitation in excess of x in)	Roe, Aaron M	Edited. *Joshua tree seeds appear to germinate and emerge any time after a rain (Went 1948: 250), although monthly precipitation in excess of 1.1 in (2.9 cm) is indicated as important for maintaining soil moisture for recruitment (Reynolds et al. 2012: 1652; Borchert and DeFalco 2016: 835)* .
29	4	25-28	This is discussing spatial or biogeographic distribution and you should omit the qualitative characterization of climate here. The climate niche breadth information that is described in more detail above for the consideration of the reader (e.g. 10C degree span of variation in mean temp of the warmest month). This is desired in lieu of this casual characterization, this being a "wide" variety of climate conditions. Whether this is a broad range of climatic tolerance in comparison to similar plant species is not presented for context.	Lynn Sweet	Acknowledged and deleted following text. *Joshua trees appear to have adapted to the variety of desert climate conditions given the wide range of vegetation communities (Rowlands 1978: 54), soils, elevation, and precipitation gradients exhibited in the areas where the species are found. However, the distribution of Joshua trees does not extend to the lowest parts of the Mojave Desert where it is hottest and driest, such as Death Valley and Cadiz Valley, California.*
29		18	A 500m x 500m grid should produce cells that are a quarter of a *square* kilometer.	Yoder, Jeremy B	Edited
31	4	19	Regarding, the statement about joshua trees not getting to St. George, Utah. Not sure what the point is with this statement, but they do make it into the Dixie Valley where St. George occurs, so it is splitting hairs and a little misleading. Rowlands did not have the resources we had to confirm sightings just on the edge of Bloomington, Utah which is a suburb of St. George. The are used in the highway median and those did not get there on their own	Esque, Todd C	Deleted reference to St. George and added reference to Esque 2022a, pers. comm. (USGS Distribution data)
31	4	24	I would add to the end of this sentence, however, this area has a higher error rate because of the poor satellite imagery and lack of ground truthing, and should be considered and analyzed carefully	Esque, Todd C	*The hybrid zone decreased slightly relative to the historical distribution; however, this area has a higher error rate because of the poor satellite imagery and lack of ground truthing.*
33	3	5-6	statement is written as negatively correlated with lower temperatures. As a double negative would indicate that there is a positive relationship between density and increasing temperature and I'm not sure that is what is intended	Roe, Aaron M	Edited. *In a study of 10 sites throughout the Mojave Desert, tree density was found to be negatively correlated with temperature and ranged from 20 trees per hectare in the warmer sites to the south and up to 280 trees to the northwest near Walker Pass (St. Clair and Hoines 2018: 6), although Walker Pass may include a high number of clones (Esque 2022b, pers. comm.).*
34			has estimates of tree density based on Esque while another part of the document above uses a different paper for estimates, and comments on the role of clonal reproduction. Perhaps this should be consolidated.	Godsoe, William	Acknowledged.
35	3	13-14	How many randomly selected points were used to calculate	Roe, Aaron M	Edited. *Data presented below are based on taking 100 randomly selected points within each analysis unit and summarizing applicable climate parameters available in the ClimateNA dataset for the period of 1991 to 2020 (Wang et al. 2016).*
36	3	Table 3-9 an	Recommend adding a box and whisker plot to show the distribution of climate variables across the ranges of the species	Roe, Aaron M	Acknowledged. The data is presented using box plots by analysis unit in Figure 4-6.
36	3	8-11	were the the MSP to MAP percentages calculated from the summary statistics of each or creating new summary statistics on the distribution of the point by point ratios	Roe, Aaron M	Percentages are based on summary statistics. *Similarly, rainfall was characterized for each species based on the mean annual precipitation (MAP) and mean summer precipitation from May to September (MSP; Table 3-2). Annual precipitation for Yucca brevifolia is higher than Y. jaegeriana. The relative contribution of summer rainfall to annual precipitation varied by species. Summer rainfall accounts for approximately 21 percent of the rainfall within the distribution of Yucca brevifolia and 33 percent for Y. jaegeriana.*
40	5	30	would 'life cycle' be 'life cycles' to address both species' life cycle?	Esque, Todd C	Edited
40	5	30-32	instead of joshua trees 'require' I suggest joshua trees 'have'	Esque, Todd C	Edited
41	5	27	the sentence with MSP might be revisited. First, I think you should spell out MSP on first time use, second I think you want to delete the word, "calculating' for the sentence to make sense	Esque, Todd C	*To describe summer precipitation we utilized the mean summer precipitation over the months of May to September, for the years 1991 to 2010 (MSP; Wang et al. 2016). Within the range of Yucca brevifolia the mean summer precipitation was 1.5 in (3.7 cm) and ranged from 0.4 to 3.7 in (1.1 to 9.4 cm). For Y. jaegeriana the median summer precipitation is higher than Y. brevifolia with a median of 3.0 in (7.5 cm) and a range from 1.2 to 5.8 in (3.1 to 14.8 cm). MSP represents a lower estimate of summer rainfall because it includes the months of May and June and spring rainfall is typically limited throughout range of Joshua trees.*
41	5	Table 5-1	Consider delete the redundant qualifiers of "appropriate" or "abundant" for some rows and not others, or add these to all. This would also need to be done in Figure 5-1.	Lynn Sweet	Acknowledged.
41	5.1.1	7-11	soil substrate - A citation would be good here. maybe one of the keeler-wolf publications.	Esque, Todd C	Acknowledged. We typically do not provide citiations in this section because the information and references are provided eariler in the document.

42	5	24	section 5.1.5 may need close attention starting at this line. First - mean temperature during the coldest moth of each year for comparison is clear. But the next sentence is unclear. for the next sentence wouldn't you want to say, "extreme winter temperature 'each year' over the last 30 years - otherwise it sounds like there is one number for the past 30 years and that is now what your analyses are explaining. Also -26.9 dF is really really cold, and it must be a typo as it does not match dC. You might check I think I saw this referenced somewhere else but got distracted and did not follow through on the comment. Similarly for the other species -23.6 dF does not occur in the Mojave Desert There must be a conversion problem in the calculations pretty sure I saw this number somewhere in the doc previously	Esque, Todd C	To characterize the minimum temperatures the species have experienced and potential temperature thresholds for Joshua tree and the yucca moths, we used the extreme minimum temperature recorded over the last 30 years (EMT). EMT was one of the parameters characterized and the data is correct in Chapter 3. It may not be appropriate to address these extremes in the species needs; therefore reference to this parameter in this section has been deleated.
42	5	45	regarding the sentence beginning with - "We have no information..." suggest placing this at the end of the paragraph because it is unrelated to the rodent discussion. Also suggest clarifying that this is about animals other than rodents - you already mentioned ungulates, and mentioning that inherent in this hypothesis is the requirement that the seeds are not destroyed by passing through the animal, but possibly merely scarified. We discuss this in some detail in the Discussion of Waitman et al. 2012.	Esque, Todd C	*Rodent seed-caching is required to remove mature fruits from the tree and mechanically open the indehiscent fruits to expose the fertile seeds for germination. Although this is a habitat requirement, seed-caching can result in higher seed predation under drought conditions because a larger number of seeds are consumed. Several rodent species locate their caches below shrubs that provide appropriate microclimate conditions to support germination and establishment of seedlings and also protect them from herbivores. We have no information on whether the seeds are viable after they are consumed and pass through the digestive system of rodents or other potential seed dispersers such as ungulates and coyotes.*
42	5	2	Verify germination window or qualify more clearly if this is variable. This seems to say that seeds germinate in summer contrast to the information in Chapter 3, page 17, lines 27-28.	Lynn Sweet	Acknowledged. This section describes why each species need is required/important. You are correct that germination can occur in response to rainfall througout the year and is acknowledged under annual preciptation above.
43	5	8	dominate should be dominant	Esque, Todd C	Edited
43	5	24	This may be one of the really key functions of nurse shrubs considering work showing the impacts of herbivory in a restoration context by DeFalco and Esque, I'm not sure if it's published.	Lynn Sweet	Acknowledged.
43			5.1.8 there are no citations in this section.	Godsoe, William	Acknowledged. We typically do not provide citiations in this section (Species Needs) because the information and references are provided eariler in the document.
44	5	16	How is this differentiated from survival, and does it relate to density in this context? This seems redundant/self-evident as written. Reminding the reader about the spatial scale relevant to the review may help.	Lynn Sweet	Acknowledged. This is section is part of the structure of the SSA analysis. Added density considerations to the following sentence *There must be adequate survival at all life stages to support an abundant adult population and sufficient density to support sexual reproduction.*
45	5	6	dispersal - dispersal is also the primary means by which species have responded to climate change across millenia, even though our current understanding does not explain how this might have happened.	Esque, Todd C	*Dispersal of propagules is important for gene flow to maintain appropriate levels of genetic variability. Dispersal also allows for potential colonization of sites following disturbance. Long-term dispersal and migration is a potential response to climate change across millennia, though dispersal is limited in Joshua trees.*
45			There are few citations concerning grazing, could the report clarify if we know that grazing is a small threat or if we do not know at present.	Godsoe, William	comment references pg. 53, 6.4.1 Grazing. Grazing is classified as an on-going, low magnitude threat.
45			Perhaps the discussion should include information on how likely catastrophes are to be uncorrelated across Joshua tree's distribution. As we see large, long-term droughts in california, why should we expect multiple population within the mojave to be redundant.	Godsoe, William	Add the following clarification. *Although droughts may occur range-wide, the different latitudes, elevations and aspects that Joshua trees occupy provide ecological complexity and the potential for climate refugia such that the entire distribution is not impacted equally.*
46	5	20	I don't think I have seen a reference for this 1,000 year life span although it is mentioned more than once. Sounds like an outlier and maybe should be considered carefully	Esque, Todd C	Deleted reference to 1,000 year lifespan. See response to pg 10 comment above.
46	5	21	I would say, "seed predation, low germination rates, and herbivory of young plants"	Esque, Todd C	*Individual trees also have the potential for high fecundity over the course of their long lifespan (150 to 300 years) based on seed set, though recruitment is anticipated to be low due to seed predation, low germination rates, and herbivory of young plants.*
46	5.3.2		regarding evolutionary potential. If it is possible, you might discuss this with Chris Smith at Willamette University. As the PI for the Joshua Tree Genome Project he has an overview on this topic that might be valuable. I don't think the topic is really covered here. Although I participate in conversations about the data that are being written about, and not sure how much is public at this time.	Esque, Todd C	*Joshua trees representation or adaptive capacity was evaluated to assess the species ability to adapt to changing environments. This approach was utilized because genetic information for Joshua trees is not available, though the Joshua Tree Genome Project is underway.*

46			It would help be to know what the reference taxa are, what does “moderate” mean, is it relative to other species that may be endangered, other plants?	Godsoe, William	Appendix A summarizes the adaptive characteristics based on Thurman et al. 2020. Joshua tree has roughly an equal number of traits that confirm low, moderate and high adaptive capacity and was therefore characterized as moderate overall.
47	4	22	The data for an additional area in the southern range should be included- the data from Joshua Tree National Park- provided from Frakes, Rodgers, Sweet et al. in 2017 concerning densities in 27 macroplots within Joshua Tree National Park (not all containing Joshua trees) . These data might be cited as appropriate, (Frakes, pers. comm 2017; it's my understanding that it was provided by Joshua Tree NPS prior to quality control and completion) or as Sweet et al. 2019, Ecosphere (same authors) as it was the underlying data for the 14 (9-ha) macroplots that contained Joshua trees included in that study. These data were provided to the Service via email on February 25, 2022.	Lynn Sweet	Addressed under current conditions 7.2.1 *The analysis unit condition for demography was modified based on recent studies. Abundance was initially considered high in YUBR South based on high tree density (123.3 trees/ha; Table 7-1). But the data is strongly influenced by high density at Walker Pass (284 trees/ha) along the slopes of the Sierra Nevada, which is reported to have a high density of clones (Esque 2022b, pers. comm.) and is not representative of the habitat throughout the rest of the unit. In addition, preliminary data from a demographic survey of Yucca brevifolia in JTNP indicate there has been a 4.9 percent decline in population abundance at that site in the past 12 years (from 2008/09 to 2021) with mortality observed on 42 percent of the study plots (Graver 2022a, entire). Similarly, at Red Rock Canyon State Park a 46 percent decline in the population was recorded as well as tree vigor and the percentage of young trees (Cornett 2019: 9–10). Based on this information, we consider tree density to be moderate to high condition. Similarly, the data used to characterize condition categories supports moderate recruitment, based on an average of 15 percent juvenile trees. But there is evidence of limited to no recruitment of younger age-classes in this analysis unit (Esque et al. 2010: 11; Cornett 2019: 9), a reduction in the quantity of suitable recruitment habitat (Barrows and Murphy-Mariscal 2012: 34; Sweet et al. 2019: 7), and a lower rate of recruitment relative to mortality (19 seedlings were found compared to 42 trees that died within the survey plots; (Graver 2022a, entire); based on this information recruitment condition was reduced to moderate to high. In consideration of recent evidence of increased mortality, decreased recruitment and moderate to high habitat quantity and quality, we consider YUBR South to be highly resilient to stochastic variability and is forecasted to recover and maintain population resiliency over the course of current environmental variability including prolonged drought.*
47	5	6	Minor point but with respect to JTNP, these surveys are being done in collaboration with USGS by NPS staff and university partners (UCR). Just as information, UCR is currently analyzing the JTNP (only) resurvey data in collaboration with the above partners.	Lynn Sweet	Acknowledged
50	6	41-44	Can you make it more clear if the BLM estimates include the energy development private land? It's unclear as written what the 60% figure refers to and if it is meant to say that's in addition to the estimate given above. Perhaps restructure the paragraph to more clearly indicate what the 1 to 3% refers to. Sentence beginning line 43 might be placed earlier to help or the content of page 51 lines 37-38.	Lynn Sweet	*Current renewable energy development comprises a relatively small proportion of the distribution of the western Joshua tree within YUBR North (1 percent) and YUBR South (3 percent), based on the current distribution.*
51	6.1.2	3-14	A citation for this plan would be good here. I think this is the first time it is mentioned - the PEIS, WEstern Solar Plan	Esque, Todd C	Reference included *Similarly, BLM's Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Solar Energy Development in Six Southwestern States (Solar PEIS, also known also the Western Solar Plan) identifies areas for current and future renewable energy development (solar energy zones) in Arizona, California, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, and Utah (Bureau of Land Management 2012a, entire).*
51	6	22	I suggest stating whether there is evidence that this is effective.	Lynn Sweet	*Salvaging Joshua trees for presumed translocation elsewhere was identified as a potential mitigation measure; however, we do not have information on the methods including the appropriate age-classes were this approach is most effective.*
53	6.1.3	22	I think YUBA - used twice should be YUBR	Esque, Todd C	Edited
53	6.1.4		Grazing - in discussions with some land stewards we have considered that under certain circumstances JT establishment might be facilitated by livestock - but I am checking with those folks to confirm what we do and do not know about this - in checking - there are no citations	Esque, Todd C	Acknowledged. Also included language below. *It is also possible that cattle will browse on invasive annual grasses and reduce their cover; but we are not certain if there is a net benefit since cattle disturb the soil, which can promote invasive grasses.*
54	6.2	37	Brooks and Matchett - my recollection this is a good meta-analysis of fire frequency and roads. There are better citations to describe the interstitial phenomen: try Brown and Minnich 1986, Brooks (any of a few dissertation products), Salo - all those products described what you need to cite	Esque, Todd C	Acknowledge
55			L28 makes it unclear if abundance or risk is being modeled, these two quantities should have different units.	Godsoe, William	*Edited. The reference uses cover and risk simulanteously. Modeled invasive grass cover was categorized from no risk to high risk (greater than 45 percent cover).*
61	6	Figure 6-1	Shouldn't Invasive Annual Grasses link to Altered Fire Regime	Roe, Aaron M	Edited.

62	6.3	21	Re: "Adult joshua trees have a lower..." I really think you should cite DeFalco et al. 2010 here - we actually provided regresssions showing these relationships in that paper.	Esque, Todd C	Edited.
62	6.3	35	There really isn't much of a JT seed bank, so if you mean the other plants' seed bank you might specify	Esque, Todd C	Acknowledge that there is not much of a seed bank but the seeds availabile would likely be impacted by fire. *We also predict that the seedbank of Joshua trees and nurse plants will be negatively impacted.*
66	6.3	5	RE:"All levels of fire..." This sentence does not make sense to me. It sounds like a forest fire dynamic. while there can be minor heterogeneity - most of the big fires have a pretty thorough burn throughout...in my experience. Not sure what point is being made with the sentence	Esque, Todd C	*All levels of fire severity may result in some patchiness across the burn area with areas where trees, nurse plants, and the seedbank may persist (Klinger 2022, pers. comm). However, other researchers indicated that when a fire comes through an area it will likely burn all the available habitat (Esque 2022, pers. comm).*
68	6.4.2		These below zero numbers in Fd, for the Mojave are not possible	Esque, Todd C	This data is based on temperature extremes and are correct based on 100 points sampled within each analysis unit. They have been deleted since they are not relevant to the discussion on climate change.
69	6	43	It should be noted that widespread and thorough control of invasive grasses would be highly impractical considering the extremely limited amount of resources at the discretion of BLM field staff, and there should be no implication that the ubiquity of these grasses are the specific failure of current BLM staff to implement the BMP's mentioned.	Lynn Sweet	Acknowledged. This paragraph is intended to describe applicable mangement and conservation measures. *Although invasive grasses are highly pervasive and beyond the ability of any agency to eradiate, invasive grasses are managed on Federal and State lands to varying degrees.*
69	6.4.3	19	"Droughts typically last..." do you mean to say something about 1-3 intervening years? ...or something like that. As it is not sure what it means	Esque, Todd C	*Droughts typically last for 1 to 3 years; and average or higher rainfall is typically recorded in the intervening period (1 to 3 years).*
70	6.4.3	20	This pers. comm. attributed to me - not sure what this refers to	Esque, Todd C	*Tree mortality has been observed in the recent past due to extended drought conditions, separate from impacts associated with wildfire (Esque et al. 2010, p. 10; Cole et al. 2011, p. 139). In a recent study a total of 94,635 ac (38,314 ha) of Joshua tree occupied habitat was lost and individuals within the habitat are presumed to have died as a result of drought within the last 20 to 40 years (Esque 2022a, pers. comm.).* This refers to the dead tree category in the USGS empirical distribution data.
70		38	low recruitment - I think you should use a citation on that comment	Esque, Todd C	*YUBR South is currently experiencing high moisture stress during the recruitment period that correlates with current observations of reduced recruitment, particularly along the southern limit (Harrower and Gilbert 2018: 2; Sweet et al. 2019: 9; Graver 2022a: 1).*
71		21-31	Caveats relating to the difference between (SDM-estimated) potential niche and realized niche are well-taken and appropriate.	Yoder, Jeremy B	Acknowledge.
72	6.4.4	11	might want to universal search and replace for YUBA	Esque, Todd C	Edited.
73		10	Average dispersal of 2m/yr doesn't work out arithmetically to ~2km over 60 to 90 years; one of these numbers is off by a factor of about 10, I believe.	Yoder, Jeremy B	Edited. *Based on Pleistocene packrat middens, Joshua trees' migration during the Holocene is estimated to be approximately 2 m/yr (Cole et al. 2011: 141).*
73			I wonder if there is too much focus on C02. You might broaden to non-analogue conditions. For example, increased co2 might help joshua tree on its own, but what if it helps competing species more than it helps Joshua tree?	Godsoe, William	Acknowledged. Interspecific interactions may also change; this paragraph refers specifically to the assumptions of the bioclimatic models. This section also describes how they do not account for interspecific interactions.
74			What timescale is "temporary"	Godsoe, William	Deleted temporarily as dead, annual grass cover can persist. *These pulses of higher vegetative cover may increase the risk of wildfire at low elevations.*
74			L38, this is an important worry that projected refugia have burnt.	Godsoe, William	Acknowledged

					To model an increased risk of wildfire, we utilized the current model estimates from Klinger et al. 2021 to predict the proportion of each analysis unit potentially impacted by higher natural ignition probability, higher frequency of wildfire, and higher burn severity (Table 6-1; Figure 6-4), which represents the best available information on current and future wildfire risk. However, Klinger et al. 2021 does not specifically address what portion of the Mojave Desert is likely to burn. To project the proportion of Joshua trees' distribution that may burn by the end of the century (2070-2099), we characterized the average acres burned within each analysis unit over the last 60 years (1960-2020; Table 8-4; Inter-agency Fire Perimeter 2022). On average, 9 percent of the range of Joshua trees has burned, though there is variability across the analysis unit. Based on this estimate, we applied the current wildfire model results to Scenario 1 because the model estimates for projected fire regime are expected to be accurate for the next 30 to 50 years and wildfires, and forecasted a 50 percent increase in wildfires resulting in the potential for wildfires across approximately 12 percent of occupied habitat. Similarly under Scenario 2, we used the same framework and considered how climate change, particularly prolonged drought, may change vegetation dynamics and the risk of wildfire and projected that the area burned would double to approximately 16 percent of occupied habitat. At low elevations, a decreased risk is forecasted due to lower vegetation cover due to drought and a history of more frequent fires, though invasive grass cover and wildfire risk may increase substantial following high rainfall events such as El Niño. High wildfire risk is projected at middle and high elevations due to a drier fuel conditions, the potential for invasive grasses to increase in cover including expanding their distribution to higher elevations, modeled higher burn severity, and the potential for large wildfires. There is uncertainty in where wildfires will occur in the future and the fire return interval; we projected wildfires to occur in middle and high elevation vegetation communities based on the modeled wildfire risk, that includes habitat that could serve as climate refugia.	
75			The justification of 12 and 16 percent is not completely clear to me.	Godsoe, William		
76	6	Figure 6-4	The color ramp for this map does not match how we are normally look at this making easy interpretation difficult	Roe, Aaron M	Acknowledged. We are constrained by the GIS tools available.	
77			summarizes predictions based on climate change and their limitations. I think this summary is quite reasonable, highlighting how the models summarized in table 6-3 can oversimplify physiology and small-scale habitat variation. However, what isn't clear to me is how strong these effects are likely to be and how much uncertainty they contain.	Godsoe, William	*We project that approximately 12 to 16 percent of occupied habitat may burn by the end of the century, roughly double the percent of habitat that has burned in recent years, and areas that have burned are more likely to burn again.*	
79			First, the review interface is a pain to navigate. The only way I could move within the document was to grab the thing on the right and go up or down. It made it difficult to stay on task. My main comment in the Climate Change section is that there does not appear to be consideration of elevated CO2 effects on Joshua tree seedlings and their tolerance of heatwaves, drought, and freezing events. I did not see a full Literature Cited, which would hav ebeen helpful. Otherwise, the SSA is very thorough and I did not detect any other omissions of fact or incorrect information.	Michael E Loik	The following discussion regarding elevated CO2 is located on pg. 84. *Increasing CO2 may improve the tolerance of Joshua trees to freezing temperatures, based on laboratory studies that showed that the low temperature that Yucca brevifolia can tolerate decreased by 1.6oC to -11.9oC (10.6oF) under elevated CO2 (Loik et al. 2000: 51). This result suggests that seedlings may have a higher likelihood of surviving cold temperatures under elevated CO2, potentially allowing habitat expansion to higher elevations and northerly latitudes, although it is not clear how seeding will perform in natural conditions (Loik et al. 2000: 51).*	
82	6	26-28	Citation needed for statement regarding future estimates of annual precipitation being the same as the current. Depending on the exact geographic extent referred to, this is highly depend on the climate GCM used (as later stated a little more clearly on page 83 line 36-37). See Gonzalez et al. 2018, Env. Rsch. Letters, figure 4. This figure illustrates the spread of GCMs and the mean for the RCP's 4.5-8.5.	Lynn Sweet	Paragraph updated to include text below on GCMs evaluated. *To evaluate potential changes in future precipitation and drought conditions we evaluated four parameters: annual precipitation, summer precipitation, Hargreaves's climatic moisture deficit index, and the summer heat moisture index from 13 GCMs from CMIP6 utilizing the ClimateNA tool; Figure 6-7; Wang et a. 2016).*	
83	6		Lenz 2007 is the wrong citation for this statement. The word "refugia" is not contained in this reference.	Lynn Sweet	Reviewer is correct. Updated to Lenz 2001	
83	7.1	20	may be old-fashioned, but data are always plural. I have seen it used singularly in other areas - perhaps universal search "data"	Esque, Todd C	Edited throughout document.	
84	6	44	Yes, colonize and more importantly, form sustainable, viable populations as opposed to sink or waif populations.	Lynn Sweet	Edited. *Joshua trees are generally associated with alluvial fans and bajadas at the base of mountains, and it is not clear if Joshua trees will readily colonize and establish viable populations on steeper, rockier habitat that currently exists at higher elevations.*	…sustainable, viable populations?
84	7.1.1	18	"availability of occupied habitat" not sure what this means...if occupied - must be available	Esque, Todd C	revised to quantity of occupied habitat	
84	7.1.1	24	the assumption that habitat currently occupied by JTs is a big one in the anthropocene. We have to consider that some long-lived species that do not have recruitment may be the last of their kind in some instances or nearly so. That assumption and possible outcome is really important	Esque, Todd C	Agreed. The next sentence reads: *We also acknowledge that Joshua trees may persist in the future in areas where they are functionally extirpated because all or a portion of the species needs are not met.*	
84	7.1.1	26	meet should be met	Esque, Todd C	Edited.	

85	6	18-20	Please state plainly which of the "older" models you have judged to have lower reliability in their projections. Many habitat models, especially at a coarse scale of 1-5km may be fairly robust to imperfect presence data, especially within grid cells (analysis units) and within the known species niche limits.	Lynn Sweet	*As a result, some additional occurrences and suitable habitat areas do not appear to be included in the earlier models, which may increase uncertainty in the modeled results (Appendix G).* Included in appendix G, where GIS data was available to make the comparison.
86	6	36	This citation should be Smith et al. In Review. This paper includes Sweet. This comment appears to be based on work that Sweet did for this co-authored paper.	Lynn Sweet	Edited, acknowledged and updated in the references cited.
86	7.1.1	15-16	to be accurate I would say this study is proposed - we are not fully funded. We finished one park and proposed others. JTNP has re-sampled the previous USGS work	Esque, Todd C	*We also lack historical data and other context to categorize the available demographic data in terms of population resiliency, though a study is proposed to obtain rangewide estimates of abundance, age structure, and survival rates at study sites within the National Park Service system (Esque 2022b, pers. comm.).*
88	6	Figure 6-8; *	You note here that you have not considered the "lower range" of Thomas et al. 2022. Can you be more specific about what range you are referring to? Is this a lower range of emissions scenario or "lower" latitudinal/geographic range? Uf the latter, what is the spatial cut-off for where you consider this model's projection to be more supported by underlying data? This is especially critical as this is one of the 4 models that is indicated that is used in Appendix F: Thomas 2012, Thomas 2022, Comer, and Cole.	Lynn Sweet	Edited. The model was considered but not used in the analysis because of large discrepanicies in the current range. *Summary of climatic habitat suitability models considered in this analysis. Appenix F provides detailed information on each model including the timeframe and GCMs utilized. We acknowledge that predicted outcomes vary depending on the GCMs used in the model. Our analysis of future conditions is structured around the general concensus in the relative decline in suitable habitat across all models for both a low and high emission scenario. All models were considered with the exception of Thomas et al. (In Review) because there was a large discrepancy between the current distribution and modeled distribution (46 percent of the distribution was considered currently unsuitable; Appendix G).*
88	6	Figure 6-8	The Global Climate Model (or other basis) needs to be listed here. As shown in Gonzalez et al. Env. Research Letters 2018, among many other illustrations, there is a difference in the outcome of habitat modeling depending on the GCM used. For example, Sweet et al. 2019 used MIROC, and as downscaled by Flint & Flint (see Sweet et al. Ecosphere 2019), which is a "warm dry" scenario that has been used in other research, but the shortcoming there is the use of just one GCM to demonstrate potential outcomes. If the Service is going to base the ultimate assessment of change in habitat on one or more of the models in this table, there needs to be an explanation of how the GCM was selected for the model used, or whether the model mean was used. Quoting Gonzalez, 2019: (Gonzalez, P. 2019. Anthropogenic Climate Change in Joshua Tree National Park, California, USA.) US National Park Service, Berkeley, CA. "IPCC has coordinated research groups to project possible future climates under four defined greenhouse gas emissions scenarios, called representative concentration pathways (RCPs; Moss et al. 2010). The four emissions scenarios are RCP2.6 (reduced emissions from energy efficiency and of renewable energy, achieving the goals of the Paris Agreement (UNFCCC 2015), RCP4.5 (low emissions), RCP6.0 (high emissions, somewhat lower than continued current practices), and RCP8.5 (highest emissions, no emissions reductions). Climate under each of the four scenarios was projected by up to 33 GCMs. The four emissions scenarios determine the overall range of potential futures. Within each scenario, the spread of projections of the GCMs generates a range of potential futures, characterized here by the average and standard deviation of the GCM ensemble for each scenario."	Lynn Sweet	Acknowledged. The details of each model including the GCM used is provided in Appendix F and additional detail on our methodology for utilizing the climate models is described on p. 110-111. The following text was added to the figure description. *Summary of climatic habitat suitability models considered in this analysis. Appenix F provides detailed information on each model including the timeframe and GCMs utilized. We acknowledge that predicted outcomes vary depending on the GCMs used in the model. Our analysis of future conditions is structured around the general concensus in the relative decline in suitable habitat across all models for both a low and high emission scenario. All models were considered with the exception of Thomas et al. (In Review) because there was a large discrepancy between the current distribution and modeled distribution (46 percent of the distribution was considered currently unsuitable; Appendix G).*
88	7.2.1	22	should this say, "but we reduced the condition from high to moderate..." or something like that?	Esque, Todd C	

89	6	2-6	I understand that this is a challenge to combine disparate predictions into one conclusion, and this is likely much more complex than it needs to. What would be more useful would be if a new, well-justified, rangewide habitat model were produced for both species using the best-available data, now available. As experts in the study of biology, and habitat, the Service most certainly has the capacity to do so. Failing that, please state on which models, or combination of models, these data are based. In the table on page 88 ("Figure 6-8"), please make clear which models were used for rangewide prediction summary ranges, because as stated, some models, e.g. Barrows & Murphy-Mariscal 2012 did not model the entirety of the range. If these partial-range models are being included in your summary, then please state explicitly how. If not, please either append the table or make it more clear which were used.	Lynn Sweet	A new model was not developed. See explanation of methodology pages 110-111 and appendix F.
89	6	Figure 6-8	The column header for columns 6 and 8 should reflect the RCP mentioned, and be self-explanatory as a header, not duplicative and in lieu of the use of highlighting here. This will help with readability and interpretation.	Lynn Sweet	Included in Appendix F.
90	7.2.1	5	should say to moderate from high??	Esque, Todd C	Edited. *But there is evidence of limited to no recruitment of younger age-classes in this analysis unit (Esque et al. 2010: 11; Cornett 2019: 9), a reduction in the quantity of suitable recruitment habitat (Barrows and Murphy-Mariscal 2012: 34; Sweet et al. 2019: 7), and a lower rate of recruitment relative to mortality (19 seedlings were found compared to 42 trees that died within the survey plots; (Graver 2022a, entire); based on this information recruitment condition was reduced from high to moderate.*
90	7.2.1	1-9	This end of 7.2.1 including this paragraph seems a little incongruous to me. The para notes low or no recruitment, reduction of suitable recruitment habitat, and at least locally high mortality, yet the final sentence jumps to 'highly resistant to stochastic variability' and goes on to sound pretty good.	Esque, Todd C	Modlified as follows: *In consideration of recent, localized evidence of increased mortality, decreased recruitment and moderate to high habitat quantity and quality, we consider YUBR South to be highly resilient to stochastic variability and is forecasted to recover and maintain population resiliency over the course of current environmental variability including prolonged drought.* The resiiency ranking is based on the condition category scores which do not reflect lower demography based on the ranking thresholds utilized.
90	7.2.2		"...are (should be is) often managed for [?what - JTs?] and are less..."	Esque, Todd C	*Additionally, habitat is located primarily on Federal lands where Joshua trees are often considered in facility operations or specifically managed for, and are less likely to be impacted by anthropogenic development.*
91	7.2.3	25-26	I don't think that a lack of change in distribution during the Holocene is evidence for the inability to migrate. Hasn't the climate been considered relatively stable during the Holocene?	Esque, Todd C	The warming in the early Holocene is used to describe the large range contraction observed during that time period and the corresponding lack of habitat expansion on several thousand years. See reference in updated language below: *Lastly, limited dispersal capabilities have been documented based on the average dispersal distances of the rodent seed dispersers and through the absence of substantial range expansion since the early Holocene (Cole et al. 2011: 138–140).*
91	7.2.3	7-8	"shift in place"? could it be "migrate"	Esque, Todd C	Edited. *Adaptive capacity was evaluated following Thurman et al. 2021 to characterize Yucca brevifolia's ability to persist in place or shift in space in response to changes in its environment.*
91	7.2.3	11-12	"the large area the species occupies, the broad distribution..." Could you say, "broad environmental range (or distribution), because otherwise it sounds redundant	Esque, Todd C	Edited. *The large area that the species occupies, the broad latitudinal distribution, and lack of habitat specialization promote higher adaptive capacity.*
91	7.2.3	13-14	Re: "Current reductions...or representation." Seems like there needs to be an explanation for this statement - e.g., what information makes this a reasonable assumption?	Esque, Todd C	*Recently documented reductions in recruitment and survival are site-specific and we do not have data to support range-wide trends. Therefore we do not anticipated substantially reduce abundance or representation.*
92	7.3.1	8-10	may have to come back to fire risk discussion in a conversation	Esque, Todd C	Acknowledged concerns regarding the BLM REA and Landfire datasets. 7.1.1 *This data source is consistent with the approach utilized in the previous SSA; though we acknowledge through peer review comments that it may not adequately capture current conditions in all areas of Joshua trees' distribution.* 6.3 *The fire return interval (the time interval between two fires in the same location) across the majority of the range of Joshua trees is generally greater than 100 years with substantial areas greater than 300 years (Figure 6-3), though the longer term intervals are supported primarily by expert opinion rather than carbon dating or other data sources (Landfire 2022; Sugihara et al. 2006, p. 66).*

93	7.3.2	28-29	There is a wide range of diversity (Mojave, Great Basin, Colorado Plateau and Sonoran elements. There is no dimension on either species that stretches 600 miles. It is about 380 miles from Tonopah NV to the I-10 in AZ which provides a good margin south of the actual distribution	Esque, Todd C	*The risk of catastrophic loss is very low because the species is spread across a 4.9 million-acre area (1.9 million ha) distributed over a latitudinal gradient of approximately 300 mi (483 km) and includes potentially millions of individual trees.*
93	7.3.1	24	"...though there is(should be are) no to limited monitoring studies..."	Esque, Todd C	*YUJA East also has lower resiliency overall due to the smaller size of the analysis unit and low tree density and recruitment, though there are no to limited monitoring studies including this portion of the range of Joshua trees.*
93	7.3.2	36		Esque, Todd C	*The risk of catastrophic loss is very low because the species is spread across a 4.9 million-acre area (1.9 million ha) distributed over a latitudinal gradient of approximately 300 mi (483 km) and includes potentially millions of individual trees.*
94	7.3.3	29-42	Regarding Representation - like YUBR, YUJA in the South population have a fairly strong representation of clonal individuals along highway 93 near the eastermost extent of their distribution. There is similarly some clonal representation in the northern unit along the I-15 on Mormon Mesa.	Esque, Todd C	7.3.2 *The risk of catastrophic loss is very low because the species is spread across a 4.9 million-acre area (1.9 million ha) distributed over a latitudinal gradient of approximately 300 mi (483 km) and includes potentially millions of individual trees and clones.*
96			In my opinion the best way to increase consistency in the report is to convert the effects of each predicted threats into units that are comparable. This is particularly evident in table 8.1 where threats are reported in units of: percentage increase, acres (or ha), and percent of all occupied habitat. Worse, the same threat is sometimes reported in different units.	Godsoe, William	Acknowledged. Units are a function of the data source and percent of the current range or percent increase was added to help make the different sources comparable.
98		7-8	typo here, extra "Region"	Esque, Todd C	Edited
98			i assume the predictions of where wildfires will occur is based on Klinger et al. 2021, that isn't quite clear.	Godsoe, William	This section references the Klinger et al 2019 for wildfire risk and acknowledges the uncertainity in where wildfires will occur. *There is uncertainty in where and wildfires will occur in the future and the fire return interval; we projected wildfires to occur in middle and high elevation vegetation communities based on the modeled wildfire risk, that includes habitat that could serve as climate refugia.*
98	8.1	29-30	RE: "At low elevations..." this is a bit tricky. There is almost always less native vegetation at low elevation in the desert due to general lack of rainfall (`10-15% cover), and native annuals decompose rather quickly in most instances. Drought will reduce all annual vegetation, but it only takes one good year to bring back dense annuals and then you can have a fire year. In a strong El Nino of multiple years is even more dangerous. The tricky part is that once there is a fire, the perennials are gone leaving an open niche (water availability) for annual weeds, and then the fuel continuity is greater and increases ignition risks.	Esque, Todd C	*Updated here with text below and in the invasive grass and wildfire threat discussions. At low elevations, a decreased risk is forecasted due to lower vegetation cover due to drought and a history of more frequent fires, though invasive grass cover and wildfire risk may increase substantial following high rainfall events such as El Niño.* 3.2 Invasive Annual Grasses *In the future, invasive annual grasses are projected to expand their competitive edge over native species and are likely to benefit under conditions of drought, extreme precipitation events, increased CO2 concentration, wildfire and atmospheric nitrogen (Archer and Predick 2008, p. 25). As a result, we generally predict that the threat of invasive grasses will increase in the future, though extended droughts have also been hypothesized to result in decreased biomass and the potential to shift toward longer fire return intervals in the most arid areas of the Mojave Desert (Comer et al. 2013a: 7). However, invasive grasses respond quickly to extreme rainfall events and can become the dominant cover, particularly in areas with a history of disturbance such as wildfire. 6.3 Increased Risk of Wildfire High rainfall events can also impact post-fire survival. The loss of perennial shrub cover increases the potential for invasion by invasive grasses that more readily utilize available water, resulting in greater fuel continuity and an increase in the probability of wildfires.*
99		9-12	The approach described here and in the Appendix may be the best available way to synthesize projections of Joshua tree habitat under future climate scenarios. The Appendix contents really highlight the limitations to resolution in many of these models, and it's a bit frustrating that the most recent, potentially most "state of the art" model, by Thomas et al, is not available for examination in detail. I understand the current status of the work (in review for publication) makes this challenging, but some description of the methods used would greatly aid evaluation.	Yoder, Jeremy B	Acknowledged.
100		23	The choice against including projected range expansions is appropriate given the limited dispersal capacities cited.	Yoder, Jeremy B	Acknowledged

101	8.2.1	15	did you describe the distinction between future occupied area and climate refugia somewhere? Just checking	Esque, Todd C	Yes it is defined in several sections, primarily 8.1 Future Scenario Considerations including partial text below. *We applied this general framework to each analysis unit based on its topography and latitude. For example, analysis units at lower elevations and latitudes were projected to have a higher percentage of unsuitable habitat and lower probability of supporting all the species needs in climate refugia, for each scenario evaluated. When evaluating the future condition of climatically unsuitable habitat, we identified habitat areas where Joshua trees may no longer occupy a proportion of the modeled unsuitable habitat resulting in potential range contractions. These areas were generally defined as less than 3,937 ft (1,200 m) elevation, which delineates low elevation and middle elevation vegetation communities (Brooks and Matchett 2006: 153; Klinger et al. 2021: 3). Joshua trees may persist in other areas with occupancy maintained primarily through established trees and asexual reproduction, though recruitment would be very low to nonexistent. Within these degraded habitat areas, we expect that the extinction process may occur over a period of several to many decades such that Joshua trees may continue to occupy habitat where all the species needs are no longer met, including areas that are functionally extirpated. We assume that habitat identified as degraded could include a spectrum of species needs and habitat quality where Joshua trees continue to persist. All the species needs are anticipated to be met within climate refuiga including similar tree densities and recruitment as current conditions. Most of the models described above predict some degree of habitat expansion. However, given the limited dispersal of Joshua trees, we consider the potential for habitat expansion to be minimal overall, and did not include potential habitat expansion in our estimates of Joshua trees future distribution described below.*
101	8.2.1	19-22	This is a complicated sentence that seems confounded without a bit of explanation, or unpacking. Same for next sentence.	Esque, Todd C	*We acknowledge the uncertainty in forecasting the species response to unsuitable climate conditions and project that impacts will increase with increasing exposure to unsuitable conditions both in the magnitude of the potential effects and the timeframe over which individuals are exposed to unsuitable conditions. Therefore, we project unsuitable habitat will vary across the analysis unit and include a range of habitat suitability based largely on latitude, elevation, and wildfire history. There is the potential for habitat loss at lower elevations and lower latitudes due to both the magnitude and duration of climate change effects. We project that the species will continue to occupy mid- to high- elevation zones and higher latitudes identified as unsuitable habitat in the models because either the magnitude of the effects of climate change or the exposure timeframe are reduced, though demography metrics are projected to be lower than current conditions.*
102	8.2.1	9	there is some mis-wording here	Esque, Todd C	*Despite the increase in current threats described above, YUBR North is projected to have high resiliency under Scenario 1, though substantially reduced from current conditions.*
102	8.2.1	25; 27; 32	"is" should be "are"; occurs should be occur - all has to do with refugia versus refugium; that should be than	Esque, Todd C	*Climate refugia are anticipated to be maintained at higher elevations, on steep slopes and northern exposures including up to 20 percent of the analytical unit. Potential climate refugia occur within middle and high elevation vegetation communities characterized by infrequent wildfires of high severity and up to 12 percent of the climate refugia are projected to burn at least once before the end of the century.* Also made changes throughout document.
103	8.2.1	10	While invasive grasses have been discussed a couple of times in this section, I don't believe it was mentioned as one of the factors that were to be considered at the beginning of the section. I read that section a couple of times to confirm that invasive grasses were dropped out. Maybe you want to include them in the introductory part of this section	Esque, Todd C	*Scenario 1 is based on a continuation of the magnitude of the current threats to Yucca brevifolia for habitat loss (land use), climate conditions, climate models, invasive annual grasses, and increased risk of wildfire, following the resources and analysis described above.* Also, updated each introduction paragraph for each species/scenario.
104	8.2.3	24-26	A statement like this in a research paper would require supporting evidence. I would think this would also be preferred for a SSA.	Esque, Todd C	This statement is based on an assumption made in 8.1 due to the lack of information on the yucca moth, as follows: *We also project that habitat suitability for the obligate moth pollinators will vary similarly and generally track with Joshua tree flowering events, though we have limited information on how their populations and range might change under future climate change. We forecast that yucca moths will persist in currently occupied climate refugia and that they may not occur or occur at lower abundance in degraded habitat.* Added additional language acknowledging uncertainity: *We forecast that yucca moth populations may be maintained in areas where the Joshua trees persist and continue to flower in climate refugia and that populations may not persist or be substantitally reduced in habitat with marginal suitability, though there is a high degree of uncertainty with this assumption.*
104	8.2.3	27	"though" should be "and"	Esque, Todd C	Edited
104	8.3.1	32;34;36	Climate refugia 'are'; refugia "occur"; climate refugia 'are'	Esque, Todd C	Edited

105	8.3.1	40-43	this is a confusing sentence. First it mentions loss, then, I think actual acreage occupied, then refugia, but in the next sentence goes back to loss. I would lump the loss factoids together	Esque, Todd C	*Approximately 1.3-million ac (520,000 ha) is predicted to be occupied in the future, similar to YUJA North, approximately 700,000 ac (280,000 ha) is forecasted to serve as climate refugia and the remaining habitat may be degraded or of marginal habitat quality (Table 8-4). As a result, all demographic parameters are reduced and considered to be low to moderate condition in the future; and higher condition than YUJA North, though it is unclear what level of recruitment is necessary to sustain populations in the future.*
106	8.3.1	34-35	Maybe I missed an assumption about Federal lands. This sentence seems to indicate that JTs are not protected on Federal lands	Esque, Todd C	*A small proportion of the ranged is conserved and a high proportion of the remaining habitat occurs on Federal land and is unlikely to be developed in the future.*
106	8.3.3	39	ecoregions 'at' lower	Esque, Todd C	Text has since been edited and comment no longer applies.
107	8	"Figure 8-3"	Please note in the caption on "figure 8-3" (=Table 8.1?) the geographic bounds characterized in the table (e.g. YUBR North, south, etc.). As in my comment above, ("Drought and Increased Temperatures") there needs to be a more clear methodology laid out for the listed reductions in Joshua tree habitat.	Lynn Sweet	See line 24 above.
107	8	"Figure 8-3"	Drought and Increased Temperatures: What RCP 4.5 and 8.5 GCM (Global Climate Model, or a gridded set of climate data based on the emissions scenarios, there are many) was used to create the climate model for these two species? If there was not a new model created, as it appears not, then please state the methodology used for the model chosen to estimate the impact of climate change on the species. This SSA needs to state exactly which of the models listed in the appendix were used for these estimates, and how. If not the full range of the model projection from one of the published studies, how were they combined to make this estimate?	Lynn Sweet	A new model was not developed. See explanation of methodology pages 110-111 and appendix F.
107	8	10 "Figure 8.	How were the items listed under "Current" threats calculated for climate change? For what period of time, (for example, 1980-2010?) relative to what other period of time? Please update the header "Current" to indicate that this is a specific temporal period, not similar to future scenarios. As it reads, it looks like "default", as in this is one of the options that may occur.	Lynn Sweet	The data sources for Table 8-1 and 8-2 are described for each threat on pp. 108-111. Current includes the time period from approximately 2010 to 2022 but varies depending on the dataset and threat evaluated.
107	8.3.2	3-5	This sentence probably goes up with Methods if not already there	Esque, Todd C	Yes. See text below from methodology 8.1. *However, given the limited dispersal of Joshua trees and uncertainty in the response of the yucca moths, we consider the potential for habitat expansion to be minimal without assisted migration. Therefore, we did not include potential habitat expansion in our estimates of Joshua trees future distribution described below and we forecast that any potential range expansion will not offset the large amount of acreage that are no longer suitable or are degraded.*
107	8.3.3	5	to me, YUJA really occur in the eastern Mojave Desert and the ecotones of the Great Basin, Colorado Plateau, and Sonoran deserts. Don't you want to mention Sonoran over here?	Esque, Todd C	*Representation, as measured by the ecological diversity, is considerably reduced relative to current conditions, though the three analysis units continue to occupy diverse areas within the Mojave, Great Basin, and Sonoran Deserts.*
107		7	instead of "by the ecological diversity", I suggest, 'by ecological diversity'	Esque, Todd C	Edited
108	8	"Figure 8.5" :	Correct the table name in the caption and in the text.	Lynn Sweet	Edited
114	9	27	my estimate of the latitudinal range is <300 miles - still impressive and a useful value to publish	Esque, Todd C	Acknowledged and edited throughout document.
115	9	8	renewal should be renewable	Esque, Todd C	Edited.
115	9	10-12	not sure if it is true that loss of habitat will be minimized on certain DoD lands. Recent agreements with USFWS may free the DoD to 'take' more of the footprint within the boundaries of the military lands.	Esque, Todd C	Acknowledged. But we do not have information to describe potential impacts to Joshua trees from these agreements. Removed specific reference to military lands. *We also predict habitat loss and disturbance to be minimized on federally managed lands, which currently account for 74 percent of the species distribution.*
115	9	15	While Comer models grasses, and Klinger et al. model natural fire, DeFalco et al. 2010 provides the only real data on the risk to JT of wildfire, so I would add that citation to the modeling ones.	Esque, Todd C	Edited

					To model an increased risk of wildfire, we utilized the current model estimates from Klinger et al. 2021 to predict the proportion of each analysis unit potentially impacted by higher natural ignition probability, higher frequency of wildfire, and higher burn severity (Table 6-1; Figure 6-4), which represents the best available information on current and future wildfire risk. However, Klinger et al. 2021 does not specifically address what portion of the Mojave Desert is likely to burn. To project the proportion of Joshua trees' distribution that may burn by the end of the century (2070-2099), we characterized the average acres burned within each analysis unit over the last 60 years (1960-2020; Table 8-4; Inter-agency Fire Perimeter 2022). On average, 8 percent of the range of Joshua trees has burned, though there is variability across the analysis unit. Based on this estimate, we applied the current wildfire model results to Scenario 1 because the model estimates for projected fire regime are expected to be accurate for the next 30 to 50 years and wildfires, and forecasted a 50 percent increase in wildfires resulting in the potential for wildfires across approximately 12 percent of occupied habitat. Similarly under Scenario 2, we used the same framework and considered how climate change, particularly prolonged drought, may change vegetation dynamics and the risk of wildfire and projected that the area burned would double to approximately 16 percent of occupied habitat. At low elevations, a decreased risk is forecasted due to lower vegetation cover due to drought and a history of more frequent fires, though invasive grass cover and wildfire risk may increase substantial following high rainfall events such as El Niño. High wildfire risk is projected at middle and high elevations due to a drier fuel conditions, the potential for invasive grasses to increase in cover including expanding their distribution to higher elevations, modeled higher burn severity, and the potential for large wildfires. There is uncertainty in where wildfires will occur in the future and the fire return interval; we projected wildfires to occur in middle and high elevation vegetation communities based on the modeled wildfire risk, that includes habitat that could serve as climate refugia.
117	9	2	Re:16%...I thought it was 18 in Scenario 2	Esque, Todd C	
118		10	Here and in Table 9-3, I think these summary assessments of current and potential future viability are both supported by the information considered in the report, and in line with my own qualitative understanding.	Yoder, Jeremy B	Acknowledged
118	9	14	says 600 miles, I calculated a generous 282.3 miles from Hiko, NV to Brenda, AZ on I-10	Esque, Todd C	Edited throughout document to approximately 30 miles
105-107	8	All	What is likely supposed to be the tables referred to in the text are mislabeled as "figures". E.g. "Figure 8-3" is presumably supposed to be a table. This makes interpretation of the text unreasonably difficult. There is no table or figure labeled Table 8-1.	Lynn Sweet	Corrected.
73-74	6	41-44/1-17	This paragraph only discusses natural ignition. There should at least be an acknowledgement of the compounding factor of human ignitions. This is particularly important as natural ignitions tend to occur during the monsoon when more lightning but higher fuel moisture. while human ignitions can often occur during early summer after fuels have dried but there is low moisture leading to large high intensity fires (for example the 2020 fires in the Red Cliffs NCA)	Roe, Aaron M	Natural ignitions are discussed on pg. 79. *Ignition sources may be higher than predicted in the models due to the high frequency of wildfires along the urban-wildland interface consistent with correlations between increasing human population density and fire ignitions (Keely and Fotheringham 2001, p. 1541). Disturbance and nitrogen deposition are also higher next to developed areas and continued disturbance will contribute to a higher proportion of invasive grasses in areas burned multiple times.*
84-85	6	Lines 45-46;	Dispersal distances may be informed in part by prehistoric data; however, data based on presence of species plant matter within middens lacks precision to estimate the distances and rates of colonization due to questions about density or even presence of the species within infilled mapped polygons, such as those shown in Cole et al. that illustrate estimated ancient range areas for the species. Add to this paragraph that colonization is also impacted by how the rate of climate shift itself impacts biological processes. Assuming that the post-LGM changes and the present changes would result in comparable rates of colonization is not supported. The periods are not analogous in terms of velocity of change. Put another way, the velocity of climate change (Loarie et al. 2009 Nature) may be very different in the modern era vs. the Holocene. Speed of climatic change has impacts for colonization, including ability to spread based on generational time, mutualisms, production of seeds, etc.	Lynn Sweet	Edited. *Dispersal distances are informed in part by prehistoric data, although we acknowledge the lack of precision in these estimates because the density of trees within Joshua trees prehistoric distribution is unknown and the velocity of current climate change may be faster in the modern era.* However, Cole et al 2011 (p. 138) indicates that Holocene change began with a steep increase over 1 to 3 years and extended over 50 years for the > 4oC increase, these seems to be similar to the projected increases that are projected..
xi			In this report two climate change scenarios are examined. The better case scenario (RCP 4.5) gives a global temperature rise of 2-3 degrees celsius, the worse case scenario leads to 5 C increase. I think it would help to clarify the assumptions needed to reach each scenario. For example RCP 4.5 assumes dramatic reductions of greenhouse gas emissions over the near term.	Godsoe, William	*All data considered were based on Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) greenhouse gas concentration scenarios adopted by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) with the exception of invasive grass cover. RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 were selected because they provide a plausible range of future conditions considering the potential for both near-term mitigation (RCP 4.5) and continued increases in greenhouse gas emissions (RCP 8.5).*

xii	ja	In the current verison i'm having trouble reconciling the estimates for the effect of climate change with the predictions across the species' entire distribution. In my view there are two different estimates presented which arguably lead to different conclusions. The paragraph starting on p. Xii L25 suggests that climate change will dramatically reduce the habitat suitable to Joshua tree, leading to degradation "on the order of 60 to 99 percent". In contrast the SSA page xiii L 16 presents a rosier picture with muce more of Joshua tree's habitat remaining occupied. These details are easy to miss given the size of the report, but in my view there is a real, unresolved tension between the estimates provided in the executive summary and elsewhere. The best way for me to understand the implications of the report is to combine the predicted impacts of each of the sources of change. These are found in table 8-1. The threats under the milder scenario 1 predict that a large part of the distribution of joshua tree will become unsuitable. This is because climate change is expected to render 60-80 % of Joshua tree's distribution unsuitable. Under this scenario, fires are expected to burn across 12 % of Joshua tree's habitats. Other treats have a much smaller predicted impact. Under the harsher scenario 2 it is not clear how much of the Joshua Tree's distribution will remain intact. This is because 80-99% of Joshua tree's distribution is predicted to become unsuitable due to climate change. In addition fires are expected to damage 18% of habitats. Other threats have a much smaller predicted impact. Note also that the effects of fire assumed to be roughly double those currently observed, but no error bounds are provided, it isn't clear to me if the effect of fire might be worse than that suggested. In my understanding, table 8-4 assumes that the effects of climate change will be less severe than those predicted by table 8-1. Page 100 provides some explanation about why, but it provides few citations still don't understand where the numbers are coming from in table 8-4.	Godsoe, William	The models describe that large areas will no longer be suitable but there is uncertainty in the species response. We describe our assumptions and the range of potential responses for climate refugia, degraded habitat, and areas of potential habitat loss. Table 8-4 is the summary of our analysis across all threats analyzed. We acknowledge that the area predicted to be occupied is higher than the percentage of unsuitable habitat forecasted under each scenario. Although there is the potential for range contraction, we expect that Joshua trees will continue to occupy a large portion of unsuitable and degraded habitat in the next 50 to 80 years given the magnitude of forecasted threats and the several decade exposure to unsuitable habitat conditions. The remaining occupied habitat outside of climate refugia is expected to be degraded with reduced tree densities and recruitment. Additional detail regarding our assumptions and approach have been added to 8.1.
xiii		I can't quite picture how a 99 percent reduction in current habitats could be consistent with the species persisting in refugia across all the regions under investigation.	Godsoe, William	See response above. Sc 2 forecasted 80 to 99 percent unsuitable habitat with the higher number occuring at low elevations and the lower (80%) at higher elevations including a range of habitat suitability as described above.
xiii		Page xiii the model predicts that under scenario 2, 1.7 million acres +2.3 million acres= 4 million acres total. The current distribution according to page 29 is 9.5 million acres. So page xiii projects that climate refugia will represent 40% of the distribution. In contrast, table 8-1 states that under scenario 2 no more than 20% of the species' current range will represent refugia. It may be as little as 1 %.	Godsoe, William	See responses above. The percent unsuitable habitat in the models was not applied directly. See section 8.1.
7		treats invasive grass cover as a constant when it is highly variable through time, and uses a decade old assessment -Mojave REA may not be the best available science for invasive grass risk in the west [I asked Dan if he could suggest another citation - although I realize you have committed a lot of work to the REA.... he responded:] "I don't know the quality, but this is one more potential recent resource on invasive grass, from USGS EROS folks: https://www.sciencebase.gov/catalog/item/5f0ddd6e82ce21d4c4053e17 USGS Rangeland Exotic Plant Monitoring System - ScienceBase-Catalog. The introduction of exotic plant species into the western United States has caused substantial changes to rangeland disturbance regimes and ecosystem structure and function. For example, exotic ann... In 2021, they expanded beyond just sagebrush and now include the whole west...also this citation: https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14040807	Esque, Todd C emailed comments 8/5/2022 from Dan Shryock USGS	We acknowledge that the Mojave REA may be be the best available science. It was included in part to maintain consistency with the original SSA. 7.1.1 *This data source is consistent with the approach utilized in the previous SSA; though we acknowledge through peer review comments that it may not adequately capture current conditions in all areas of Joshua trees' distribution.*

			Abundance-abundance data is much more incomplete than implied, and doesn't seem sufficient for a ranking. Recruitment-same goes for recruitment – the St. Clair study has 10 sites; it does not seem representative of the analysis units as a whole; this seems like a major extrapolation here. Ranking-Invasive grass cover is the only measure for habitat quality here? What about level of disturbance and long-term drought conditions that could have been quantified? I don't understand why that material is not part of the current conditions ranking. Or area previously burned (this can also be quantified from available datasets)?	Esque, Todd C emailed comments 8/5/2022	7.1.1 *The demographic need for abundance was assessed using the average adult tree density based on two demographic studies that sampled across the range of Joshua trees' distribution (Esque et al. 2010, entire; St. Clair and Hoines 2018, entire), though we acknowledged that there are a limited study sites in several analysis units. and The recruitment condition category was assessed based on one rangewide study sampling of Joshua tree demography (St. Clair and Hoines 2018, entire), though we acknowledged that there are a limited number of study sites in several analysis units. We also acknowledge the suggestion to include drought and burn history. We are not aware of available datasets that could be used to categorize drought conditions; however, drought conditon and burn history were considered in our discussion of resiliency, redundancy and representation.*
			-I don't see how clonal growth would give protection from wildfire, it can just k	Esque, Todd C emailed comments 8/5/2022	Individuals may persist through clonal growth when the adult tree has died. It is not a protection from wildfire but part of the wildfire response when there is sufficient vigor in the adult tree and root system. Clonal growth that can contribute to persistence and occupany across the range and we aknowledge that clonal sprouts are vulnerable to subsequent fire events.
			"Hopefully they had one of the geneticists review this as well. Environmental	Esque, Todd C emailed comments 8/5/2022 from Dan Shryock USGS	In the absence of data on genetic variation, we utlizie ecological diversity as a surrogate. We acknowledge that extent that it is an adequate surrogate will depend on the species and often we cannot make that assessment until data on genetic variability is available.
			...on the document - does seem weird that drought is considered as a future threat, but doesn't factor into the current conditions ranking. we know that this is the worst drought in 1200 years going on right now	Esque, Todd C emailed comments 8/5/2022 from Dan Shryock USGS	See comment above. We are not aware of a means of categorizing drought condition as low, medium or high for population resiliency but discuss it as a current (6.4.3) and future threat.
			"Just a random note but in Section 3.5.1-Climate (page 22), the report says that the discussion of climate is based on taking 100 random points from each analysis unit. That is a very small number of points to use for that purpose, given what they had"	Esque, Todd C emailed comments 8/5/2022 from Dan Shryock USGS	Acknowledged.
	44	6	The western extent is within and in the vicinity of Hungry Valley SVRA near Gorman, CA.	Leah Gardner, State of California	Edited
44		30	Overall, state lands (including 9 state parks managed by CDPR and several properties managed by CDFW) and property owned by local jurisdictions account for 2.7 percent.	Leah Gardner, State of California	Edited
79		6	Increased risk of wildfire. YUBR South is considered at low to moderate risk. Despite the modeling that predicts low frequency and severity of wildfires in the YUBR south, our state parks in this region have had an increase in fires in recent years (see below: table of wildfires in State Parks in this region, 1950 to 2021). Factors that contribute to regional wildfire risk include areas of wildland/urban interface, recreation, roads (high ignition probability), nitrogen deposition, frequent high winds, and a variety of invasive herbaceous species in addition to invasive grasses that provide fine fuels. Locally, fine fuels are provided by a wide variety of invasive species including Brome grasses, Russian thistle, Erodium, various wild mustards (Brassica nigra, Hirschfeldia incana, Sisybrium altissimum), perennial pepperweed, non-native thistles, and many others. In the Antelope Valley area, we also have a lot of dead and dying California junipers that grown among the Joshua trees and add significant woody fuel. We recommend raising the wildfire threat for this area to Moderate/Medium throughout the document.	Leah Gardner, State of California	Edited YUBR South and YUBR North to moderate risk of fire

67			13	Threat of invasive grasses. Non-native plant species, primarilyincluding annual invasive grasses, are spread by humans and anthropogenic disturbance and have the potential to substantially degrade desert habitats. While this discussion starts with invasive species in general, the focus quickly shifts to only invasive grasses. A wide variety of invasive herbaceous species can provide fine fuels in addition to invasive grasses. Though varying locally, fine fuels are typically provided by a wide variety of invasive herbaceous species including Russian thistle (Salsola spp.), Filaree (Erodium spp.), various wild mustards (Brassica nigra, Hirschfeldia incana, Sisybrium altissimum), perennial pepperweed (Lepidium latifolium), non-native thistles (Cirsium spp., Carduus spp., Centaurea spp.), and many others. It may not be possible to quantify the presence and abundance of these species as readily as it is with the invasive grasses. However, we recommend that they should be mentioned as a contributing factor each time invasive grasses are discussed (such as “invasive grasses and forbs”) or that a qualifying statement be included here such as: "While a wide variety of invasive species can degrade habitat and provide fine fuels for wildfires, the analysis from this point forward will only focus on invasive grasses.”	Leah Gardner, State of California	Edited using text and species described herein.
95			30	Availability of occupied habitat: “We consider areas currently occupied to indicate areas where the habitat needs are currently being met”. Just because an area is currently occupied, it doesn’t necessarily translate into providing ideal habitat conditions currently or into the future that will support a sustainable population. For argument against this idea, see page 60 of the Status Review of Western Joshua Tree, March 2022, a portion of which is copied below: "There may be a time delay between the time when an area becomes no longer suitable for a species (crossing an extinction threshold) and when that species is no longer present, (Tilman et al. 1994, Kuussaari et al. 2009, van Mantgem et al. 2009, Svenning and Sandel 2013, Figueiredo et al. 2019). Extinction processes often occur with a time delay and populations living close to their extinction threshold might survive for long periods of time despite local extinction being inevitable (Hanski and Ovaskainen 2002, Lindborg and Eriksson 2004, Helm et al. 2006, Vellend et al. 2006, Malanson 2008, Cronk 2016). Because western Joshua tree is a long-lived species, adults could persist for decades or longer in areas that are no longer suitable for recruitment, or recruitment may continue, but at rates that are ultimately insufficient to maintain the species. Although these areas may be occupied, the presence of western Joshua tree may merely represent a delayed local extinction. The ability of western Joshua tree to reproduce asexually may extend the ability of the species to persist within its range for very long periods of time, and delay local extinction for centuries or millennia, or perhaps preserve it as a relict species from an earlier climate. The ability of western Joshua tree to reproduce asexually and the episodic nature of western Joshua tree recruitment may also mask the ability to determine whether populations have passed a local extinction threshold." We recommend that this cause for uncertainty be explained here and the suitable habitat acreage be reduced by 10% to account for this uncertainty.	Leah Gardner, State of California	We consider areas currently occupied to indicate analysis units where the habitat needs are currently being met, though we acknowledge that species needs such as recruitment may be reduced or limited in in some areas. We also acknowledge that Joshua trees may persist in the future in areas where they are functionally extirpated because all or a portion of the species needs are not meet. Therefore, we project future areas of habitat degradation separate from climate refugia where species needs are expected to be maintained in the future. We address the extinction process and timeframe under section 8.1 when we describe the approach for incorporating the bioclimatic models including the next below. We applied this general framework to each analysis unit based on its topography and latitude. For example, analysis units at lower elevations and latitudes were projected to have a higher percentage of unsuitable habitat and a lower percentage of the analysis unit that could potentially serve as climate refugia for each scenario evaluated. When evaluating the future condition of climatically unsuitable habitat, we identified habitat areas where Joshua trees may no longer occupy a proportion of the modeled unsuitable habitat resulting in range contractions; and that Joshua trees could persist in other areas with occupancy maintained through established trees and asexual reproduction, although recruitment would be very low to nonexistent. Within these degraded habitat areas, we expect that the extinction process may occur over a period of several to many decades such that Joshua trees may continue to occupy habitat where all the species needs are no longer met, including areas that are functionally extirpated. We assume that habitat identified as degraded could include a spectrum of species needs and habitat quality where Joshua trees continue to persist. All the species needs are anticipated to be met within climate refuiga including similar tree densities and recruitment as current conditions. Most of the models described above predict some degree of habitat expansion. However, given the limited dispersal of Joshua trees, we consider the potential for habitat expansion to be minimal overall, and did not include potential habitat expansion in our estimates of Joshua trees future distribution described below. We acknowledge the comment to decrease the suitable habitat acreage in the future due to the potential for portions of the habitat to be functionally extinct, which is incorporated into areas of potential habitat loss and range contractions in our analysis.
	10	Exec Summary	17	editorial - delete 's' at end of occur(s)	Mindy Wheeler, State of Utah	Editted
	10	Exec Summary	27	short' periods of exposure to cold temperatures conflicts with other places in the document that state the period of cold temps is 'unknown'	Mindy Wheeler, State of Utah	Removed referenece to short period in exec summary and on pg 10
	22	3	41-42	Should state definitively the percentage of each type of reproduction (asexual vs asexual) and if unknown state that definitively, may help in defining unknowns on future genetic adaptation capacity	Mindy Wheeler, State of Utah	Added text below. *We lack information on the relative contribution of sexual and asexual reproduction and if the proportion varies regionally.*
	30	3	40	Are mycorrhizal associations necessary /obligatory across all Joshua trees for suvival? Should state definitively yes or no or unknown…	Mindy Wheeler, State of Utah	*Joshua trees do not require mycorrhizal fungi but they can improve establishment and survival in the early age classes (Harrower 2022a, pers. comm.).*
	37	3	16	Same comment as above, could JTs survive without the mycorrhizal association	Mindy Wheeler, State of Utah	See comment above.

66	6	19	Federal agencies by law should have information on activity and intensity and season of grazing on each allotment. Would be good to have this information to support any conclusions on the overall threat of grazing in different regions of the habitat for JT	Mindy Wheeler, State of Utah	Acknowledged. Unfortunately due to time constraints we were not able to reach out to federal agencies.
67	6	30	This sentence conflicts with Chapter 6, page 93, line 26 and other areas of the document - please state how your analysis came to this conclusion	Mindy Wheeler, State of Utah	Page 93 refers to the invasive grass-wildfire cycle *Our analysis indicated that there is limited evidence of an invasive grass-wildfire cycle currently.* and Pg 67 refers to grazing Grazing is an *on-going, low magnitude threat. The potential impacts to Joshua trees are of low intensity but the impacts are pervasive, occurring across all analysis units.* Added text to pg 93 *Although grazing may reduce invasive grass cover, grazing is also associated with habitat degradation. Therefore, we do not think that grazing will substantially increase or decrease the impacts of invasive grasses.*
85	6	33	Sentence is unfinished?	Mindy Wheeler, State of Utah	Edited as follows. *Lastly, physiological effects of increasing CO2 concentration are considered to have minor effects on these models; with the exception of Dole (2003: 141), which showed a slightly higher amount of current distribution remaining occupied due to increased freezing tolerance.*
96	7	11	A table would be helpful to more clearly show the relationship of no/low risk, high risk of invasive grasses, the proportion of the analysis unit used (and amount of each of low and high risk) to define habitat quality	Mindy Wheeler, State of Utah	Acknowledged. Added reference to table 7-2 on the next page.
101	7	37	Is 'natural fire regime' generally defined by the area having burned or not in recent history? (last 100 years) or does the definition also take into account the level of invasives in each unit?	Mindy Wheeler, State of Utah	Natural fire regime only accounts for the area burned in the last 100 yrs.
overall			Have there been any studies on increasing night time temperatures and its potential effect on invasive presence and abundance in any of these units? This could further inform invasive issues in JT habitats	Mindy Wheeler, State of Utah	Based on a literature review we did not find any studies evaluating invasive grasses and warming night time temperatures.
overall			I commend your efforts on this complicated issue! Thank you for a fascinating read!	Mindy Wheeler, State of Utah	

Helper	Species Name	Display Name	Email Address	Review Type	Email Sent	SSA Report Name	COI Form Received	Modified	Modified By	Review Due Date	Item Type	Path
tracey.tuberville@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Tracey Tuberville	tracey.tuberville@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:39	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
elizabethhunter@georgiasouthern.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Elizabeth Hunter	elizabethhunter@georgiasouthern.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:40	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
angelallarsen@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Angela Larsen	angelallarsen@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:41	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
aterando@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Adam Terando	aterando@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:41	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
chinaturtle@126.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Daniel Gaillard	chinaturtle@126.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:41	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
knussear@unr.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Kenneth Nussear	knussear@unr.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:41	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kurt@srel.uga.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Kurt Buhlmann	kurt@srel.uga.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:43	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
DillmanJ@dnr.sc.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Will Dillman	DillmanJ@dnr.sc.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:44	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
GrosseA@dnr.sc.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Andrew Grosse	GrosseA@dnr.sc.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:44	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
KLejeune@wlf.la.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Keri Lejeune	KLejeune@wlf.la.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:44	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
nicole.hodges@mmns.state.ms.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Nicole Hodges	nicole.hodges@mmns.state.ms.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:44	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
John.Jensen@dnr.ga.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	John Jensen	John.Jensen@dnr.ga.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:45	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ericha.shelton-nix@dcnr.alabama.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Erica Shelton	ericha.shelton-nix@dcnr.alabama.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:45	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mary.r.bolt@nasa.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Mary Bolt	mary.r.bolt@nasa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:46	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brothermel@archbold-station.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Betsie Rothermel	brothermel@archbold-station.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:46	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
guyercr@auburn.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Craig Guyer	guyercr@auburn.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:46	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mushinsk@usf.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Mushinski	mushinsk@usf.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:47	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jmoore@fau.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	J Moore	jmoore@fau.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:47	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lora.smith@jonesctr.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Lora Smith	lora.smith@jonesctr.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:48	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Matt.Elliott@dnr.state.ga.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Matt Elliott	Matt.Elliott@dnr.state.ga.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:48	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Melissa.Martin@wdc.usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Melissa Martin	Melissa.Martin@wdc.usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:48	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
hermasm@auburn.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Sharon Herman	hermasm@auburn.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:49	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jmg0037@tigermail.auburn.edu Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Jeff Goessling	jmg0037@tigermail.auburn.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:49	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bcrawfor@uga.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Brian Crawford	bcrawfor@uga.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:49	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
nuse@uga.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Brian Nuse	nuse@uga.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:49	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
joan.berish@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Joan Berish	joan.berish@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:50	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jmcguire@quailforever.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Jessica McGuire	jmcguire@quailforever.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:50	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
earlmccoy@usf.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Earl McCoy	earlmccoy@usf.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:50	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
nomani@ufl.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Saif Nomani	nomani@ufl.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:51	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rostal@georgiasouthern.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Dave Rostal	rostal@georgiasouthern.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:51	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bvan@laforestry.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Buck Vandersteen	bvan@laforestry.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:51	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dgodwin@msforestry.netSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Dave Godwin	dgodwin@msforestry.net	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:52	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mike@forestfla.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Mike Branch	mike@forestfla.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:52	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tsibley@alaforestry.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Tyler Sibley	tsibley@alaforestry.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:55	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jmoon@alaforestry.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Joel Moon	jmoon@alaforestry.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:55	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sjones@forestlandowners.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Scott Jones	sjones@forestlandowners.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:55	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sdelfing@forestfoundation.org Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Sam Delfing	sdelfing@forestfoundation.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:56	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jgassett@wildlifemgt.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Jon Gassett	jgassett@wildlifemgt.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:57	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dmiller@ncasi.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Darren Miller	dmiller@ncasi.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:58	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Bridgett.Costanzo@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Bridgett Costanza	Bridgett.Costanzo@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:58	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jbullock@resourcemgt.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Jimmy Bullock	jbullock@resourcemgt.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:58	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dan.roach@rayonier.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Dan Roach	dan.roach@rayonier.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:59	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
richard.stich@weyerhaeuser.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Richard Stich	richard.stich@weyerhaeuser.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:59	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kevin.godbout@weyerhaeuser.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Kevin Godbout	kevin.godbout@weyerhaeuser.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:59	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kit.hart@potlatchcorp.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Kit Hart	kit.hart@potlatchcorp.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 13:59	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jlowery@westervelt.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Jonathan Lowery	jlowery@westervelt.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 14:00	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rcook@molpus.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Ruth Cook	rcook@molpus.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 14:00	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ttomlinson@molpus.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Tom Tomlinson	ttomlinson@molpus.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 14:00	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
julmer@forestinvest.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Jamie Ulmer	julmer@forestinvest.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 14:00	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
starr@tirllc.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Tiffanie Starr	starr@tirllc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 14:00	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tputnam@langdaleindustries.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Tom Putnam	tputnam@langdaleindustries.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 14:01	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jbarrett@langdaleindustries.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Jim Barret	jbarrett@langdaleindustries.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 14:01	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Todd.Reitz@catchmark.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Todd Reitz	Todd.Reitz@catchmark.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 14:01	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Bill.Hayne1@ipaper.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Bill Hayne	Bill.Hayne1@ipaper.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 14:01	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sasager@ufl.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Scott Sager	sasager@ufl.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 14:02	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
acarroll@wiregrasseco.com Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Austin Carroll	acarroll@wiregrasseco.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 14:02	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ckdohner1@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Cindy Dohner	ckdohner1@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/21/2021 14:02	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jmcguire@pheasantsforever.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Jessica McGuire	jmcguire@pheasantsforever.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/23/2021 11:26	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
roland.leo.white@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Canoe Creek Clubshell	Canoe Creek Clubshell	Ro White	roland.leo.white@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Canoe Creek Clubshell	FALSE	6/23/2021 12:18	White, Roland L	5/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rwhite@go-planet.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Roland White	rwhite@go-planet.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/23/2021 16:31	White, Roland L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
alarsen-gray@ncasi.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Angela Larsen-Gray	alarsen-gray@ncasi.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/24/2021 8:59	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
nicoleh@mmns.ms.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Nicole Hodges	nicoleh@mmns.ms.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/28/2021 13:20	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
fwcconservationplanningservices@myfwc.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tort	Gopher tortoise	FWC Conservation Planning Services	fwcconservationplanningservices@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 13:17	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Alex.Kalfin@MyFWC.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Alex Kalfin	Alex.Kalfin@MyFWC.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 13:18	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Katherine.Richardson@MyFWC.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Katherine Richardson	Katherine.Richardson@MyFWC.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 13:18	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jackewel@cox.netSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Jack Ewel	jackewel@cox.net	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 14:28	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
matthew.k.corby.nfg@mail.milSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Matthew Corby	matthew.k.corby.nfg@mail.mil	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 14:29	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Eric.Sutton@myfwc.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Eric Sutton	Eric.Sutton@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 14:29	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rusty.garrison@dnr.ga.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Rusty Garrison	rusty.garrison@dnr.ga.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 14:29	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
executive@mdwfp.state.ms.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Sam Polles	executive@mdwfp.state.ms.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 14:30	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
BoylesR@dnr.sc.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Robert H. Boyles, Jr.	BoylesR@dnr.sc.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 14:30	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
chuck.sykes@dcnr.alabama.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Charles Sykes	chuck.sykes@dcnr.alabama.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 14:31	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
thomas.harris@la.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Thomas Harris	thomas.harris@la.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 14:31	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cerwin@forestfoundation.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Chris Erwin	cerwin@forestfoundation.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 14:49	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
zprusak@tnc.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Zach Prusak	zprusak@tnc.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 14:32	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jolson@sjrwmd.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Jeremy Olson	jolson@sjrwmd.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 14:32	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jlee@tnc.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Jim Lee	jlee@tnc.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 14:33	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kevin.mcintyre@jonesctr.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Kevin McIntyre	kevin.mcintyre@jonesctr.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 14:33	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dkrusac@fs.fed.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Dennis Krusac	dkrusac@fs.fed.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	6/29/2021 14:34	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
larry.carlile259@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Lawrence Carlile	larry.carlile259@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	7/1/2021 14:47	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
daniela.roth@state.nm.usSpecies Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsopl	Gypsum wild-buckwheat	Daniela Roth	daniela.roth@state.nm.us	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilum)	TRUE	7/19/2021 14:39	Ketram, Natchanon N	8/1/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
thallen@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilum)	Gypsum wild-buckwheat	Ty Allen	thallen@blm.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilum)	TRUE	7/13/2021 14:37	Ketram, Natchanon N	8/1/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
palexander@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilum	Gypsum wild-buckwheat	Patrick Alexander	palexander@blm.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilum)	TRUE	7/16/2021 13:16	Ketram, Natchanon N	8/1/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
zdavidson@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilum)	Gypsum wild-buckwheat	Zoe Davidson	zdavidson@blm.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilum)	TRUE	7/13/2021 14:39	Ketram, Natchanon N	8/1/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sreiss@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilum)	Gypsum wild-buckwheat	Sam Reiss	sreiss@blm.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilum)	TRUE	7/13/2021 14:38	Ketram, Natchanon N	8/1/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jennifer.clayton@swca.comSpecies Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypso	Gypsum wild-buckwheat	Jennifer Clayton	jennifer.clayton@swca.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilum)	TRUE	7/13/2021 14:39	Ketram, Natchanon N	8/1/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
artbypaulknight@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsc	Gypsum wild-buckwheat	Paul Knight	artbypaulknight@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilum)	TRUE	7/30/2021 16:42	Ketram, Natchanon N	8/1/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
taryn.brahmsteadt@colostate.eduSpecies Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum	Gypsum wild-buckwheat	Taryn Brahmsteadt	taryn.brahmsteadt@colostate.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilum)	TRUE	7/19/2021 14:44	Ketram, Natchanon N	8/1/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mmoore@oberlin.eduSpecies Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilur	Gypsum wild-buckwheat	Michael Moore	mmoore@oberlin.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilum)	TRUE	7/13/2021 14:38	Ketram, Natchanon N	8/1/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cavemander17@gmail.comBone Cave Harvestman (Texella reyesi Ubick and Briggs 1992) (Opiliones:	Bone Cave harvestman	Dr. Matthew Niemiller	cavemander17@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Bone Cave Harvestman (Texella reyesi Ubick and Briggs 1992) (Opiliones: Laniatores: Phalangodidae)	TRUE	7/12/2021 18:07	Smith-Castro, Jennifer R	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
drops@dbi.ufla.brBone Cave Harvestman (Texella reyesi Ubick and Briggs 1992) (Opiliones: Laniatore	Bone Cave harvestman	Dr. Rodrigo Lopes Ferreira	drops@dbi.ufla.br	Peer Review	Yes	Bone Cave Harvestman (Texella reyesi Ubick and Briggs 1992) (Opiliones: Laniatores: Phalangodidae)	TRUE	7/23/2021 8:14	Smith-Castro, Jennifer R	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lina.cave@gmail.comBone Cave Harvestman (Texella reyesi Ubick and Briggs 1992) (Opiliones: Laniat	Bone Cave harvestman	Dr. Maria Elina Bichuette	lina.cave@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Bone Cave Harvestman (Texella reyesi Ubick and Briggs 1992) (Opiliones: Laniatores: Phalangodidae)	TRUE	7/15/2021 11:14	Smith-Castro, Jennifer R	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mhedin@mail.sdsu.eduBone Cave Harvestman (Texella reyesi Ubick and Briggs 1992) (Opiliones: Lan	Bone Cave harvestman	Dr. Marshal Hedin	mhedin@mail.sdsu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Bone Cave Harvestman (Texella reyesi Ubick and Briggs 1992) (Opiliones: Laniatores: Phalangodidae)	TRUE	7/12/2021 14:38	Smith-Castro, Jennifer R	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dubick@calacademy.orgBone Cave Harvestman (Texella reyesi Ubick and Briggs 1992) (Opiliones: La	Bone Cave harvestman	Dr. Darrell Ubick	dubick@calacademy.org	Peer Review	Yes	Bone Cave Harvestman (Texella reyesi Ubick and Briggs 1992) (Opiliones: Laniatores: Phalangodidae)	TRUE	7/13/2021 9:59	Smith-Castro, Jennifer R	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
peter@zaraenvironmental.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pat	Widemouth blindcat, Toothless blindcat	Peter Sprouse	peter@zaraenvironmental.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and Widemouth blindc	TRUE	7/13/2021 12:52	White, Roland L	8/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andy.gluesenkamp@sazoo.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis patt	Widemouth blindcat, Toothless blindcat	Dr. Andy Gluesenkamp	andy.gluesenkamp@sazoo.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and Widemouth blindc	TRUE	8/2/2021 12:33	Nail, Kelly	8/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jgl43@drexel.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and V	Widemouth blindcat, Toothless blindcat	Dr. John Lundberg	jgl43@drexel.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and Widemouth blindc	TRUE	7/13/2021 13:32	White, Roland L	8/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bs37@txstate.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and	Widemouth blindcat, Toothless blindcat	Dr. Ben Schwartz	bs37@txstate.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and Widemouth blindc	TRUE	7/30/2021 16:35	Nail, Kelly	8/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gschindel@edwardsaquifer.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pat	Widemouth blindcat, Toothless blindcat	Geary Schindel, P.G.	gschindel@edwardsaquifer.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and Widemouth blindc	TRUE	8/8/2022 11:48	Oetker, Susan	9/5/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
susan_oetker@fws.govBone Cave Harvestman (Texella reyesi Ubick and Briggs 1992) (Opiliones: Lani	Bone Cave harvestman	Susan Oetker	susan_oetker@fws.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Bone Cave Harvestman (Texella reyesi Ubick and Briggs 1992) (Opiliones: Laniatores: Phalangodidae)	TRUE	7/13/2021 13:08	Smith-Castro, Jennifer R	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
deanhend@austin.utexas.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis patt	Widemouth blindcat, Toothless blindcat	Dr. Dean Hendrickson	deanhend@austin.utexas.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and Widemouth blindc	FALSE	7/13/2021 10:23	Nail, Kelly	8/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mgary@edwardsaquifer.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis patter	Widemouth blindcat, Toothless blindcat	Dr. Marcus Gary	mgary@edwardsaquifer.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and Widemouth blindc	FALSE	7/13/2021 10:25	Nail, Kelly	8/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cnorris@gbra.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and V	Widemouth blindcat, Toothless blindcat	Chad Norris	cnorris@gbra.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and Widemouth blindc	FALSE	7/13/2021 10:26	Nail, Kelly	8/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
garygarrett@utexas.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni	Widemouth blindcat, Toothless blindcat	Dr. Gary Garrett	garygarrett@utexas.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and Widemouth blindc	FALSE	7/13/2021 12:52	Nail, Kelly	8/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
todd.slack@erdc.dren.milPearl Darter pCH Rule	Pearl darter	Dr. Todd Slack	todd.slack@erdc.dren.mil	Peer Review	Yes	Pearl Darter pCH Rule	TRUE	8/16/2021 8:41	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
hbartjr@tulane.eduPearl Darter pCH Rule	Pearl darter	Dr. Henry Bart	hbartjr@tulane.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Pearl Darter pCH Rule	TRUE	7/28/2021 16:52	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
matt.roberts@wfp.ms.govPearl Darter pCH Rule	Pearl darter	Dr. Matt Roberts	matt.roberts@wfp.ms.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Pearl Darter pCH Rule	FALSE	7/14/2021 11:46	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Jake.Schaefer@usm.eduPearl Darter pCH Rule	Pearl darter	Dr. Jake Schaefer	Jake.Schaefer@usm.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Pearl Darter pCH Rule	TRUE	8/12/2021 13:50	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
M.Hamilton@kew.orgPalo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	Palo de rosa	Dr. Martin Hamilton	M.Hamilton@kew.org	Peer Review	Yes	Palo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	FALSE	7/14/2021 14:40	Lombardi, Melissa D	9/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
esantiagoupr@gmail.comPalo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	Palo de rosa	Dr. Eugenio Santiago-Valentin	esantiagoupr@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Palo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	FALSE	7/14/2021 12:42	Lombardi, Melissa D	9/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
goetzea@yahoo.comPalo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	Palo de rosa	Dr. Eugentio Santiago-Valentin	goetzea@yahoo.com	Peer Review	Yes	Palo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	FALSE	7/14/2021 12:42	Lombardi, Melissa D	9/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ACEVEDOP@si.eduPalo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	Palo de rosa	Dr. Pedro Acevedo-Rodriguez	ACEVEDOP@si.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Palo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	FALSE	7/14/2021 12:42	Lombardi, Melissa D	9/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jpossley@fairchildgarden.orgPalo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	Palo de rosa	Jennifer Possley	jpossley@fairchildgarden.org	Peer Review	Yes	Palo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	FALSE	7/14/2021 12:43	Lombardi, Melissa D	9/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jsustache@drna.pr.govPalo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	Palo de rosa	Jose A. Sustache	jsustache@drna.pr.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Palo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	FALSE	7/14/2021 12:44	Lombardi, Melissa D	9/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
anibal.negron1.civ@mail.milPalo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	Palo de rosa	Mr. Anibal Negron-Rodriguez	anibal.negron1.civ@mail.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Palo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	FALSE	7/14/2021 12:47	Lombardi, Melissa D	9/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
fernando@paralanaturalezaPalo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	Palo de rosa	Mr. Lloveras	fernando@paralanaturaleza	Partner Review	Yes	Palo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule	FALSE	7/14/2021 14:41	Lombardi, Melissa D	9/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
skcarter@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Sarah Carter	skcarter@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	FALSE	7/16/2021 13:35	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
charles_drost@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Charles Drost	charles_drost@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	FALSE	7/16/2021 13:36	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ldefalco@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Lesley DeFalco	ldefalco@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	TRUE	7/19/2021 12:21	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rafas.lara@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Rafael Alejandro Lara Resendiz	rafas.lara@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	TRUE	7/19/2021 12:13	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
daren.riedle@ks.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Daren Riedle	daren.riedle@ks.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	TRUE	7/19/2021 12:20	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dcolodner@desertmuseum.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Debra Colodner	dcolodner@desertmuseum.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	TRUE	7/19/2021 12:30	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
SCampbell@albanypinebush.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Steve Campbell	SCampbell@albanypinebush.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	TRUE	7/20/2021 16:52	White, Roland L	8/16/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
steidl@arizona.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Bob Steidl	steidl@arizona.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	FALSE	7/16/2021 13:38	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
roy_averill-murray@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Roy Averill-Murray	roy_averill-murray@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	FALSE	7/16/2021 13:38	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ian.murray@pima.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Ian Murray	ian.murray@pima.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	FALSE	7/16/2021 13:39	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bsullivan@asu.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Brian Sullivan	bsullivan@asu.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	FALSE	7/16/2021 13:39	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
erinzylstra@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Erin Zylstra	erinzylstra@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	FALSE	7/16/2021 13:39	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
conor.mcgowan@ufl.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Conor McGowan	conor.mcgowan@ufl.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	FALSE	7/16/2021 13:39	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
esther.nelson@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Esther Nelson	esther.nelson@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	FALSE	7/16/2021 13:41	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
JohnsonJ@emo.azdema.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Janet Johnson	JohnsonJ@emo.azdema.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	FALSE	7/16/2021 13:44	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gadolby@asu.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Greer Dolby	gadolby@asu.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	FALSE	7/16/2021 13:44	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
knussear@unr.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Ken Nussear	knussear@unr.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	FALSE	7/20/2021 17:00	White, Roland L	8/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
todd_esque@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Dr. Todd Esque	todd_esque@usgs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	FALSE	7/16/2021 13:45	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Eric.Verwys@tonation-nsn.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	Sonoran desert tortoise	Eric Verwys	Eric.Verwys@tonation-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise	FALSE	7/16/2021 13:45	Burkhart, Jacob J	8/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
thomas.eason@myfwc.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Thomas Eason	thomas.eason@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	7/21/2021 15:19	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
melissa.tucker@myfwc.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Melissa Tucker	melissa.tucker@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	7/21/2021 15:20	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
claire.sunquist@myfwc.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Claire Sunquist	claire.sunquist@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	7/21/2021 15:22	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers

kurt@srel.uga.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Massachusetts Population of the Northern Red-l	Northern Red-bellied cooter	Dr. Kurt Buhlmann	kurt@srel.uga.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Massachusetts Population of the Northern Red-bellied Cooter	FALSE	7/21/2021 18:09	Hinderliter, Matthew	8/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
AkreT@si.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Massachusetts Population of the Northern Red-bellie	Northern Red-bellied cooter	Dr. Tom Akre	AkreT@si.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Massachusetts Population of the Northern Red-bellied Cooter	FALSE	7/21/2021 18:08	Hinderliter, Matthew	8/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lisabeth.willey@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Massachusetts Population of the Northe	Northern Red-bellied cooter	Dr. Lisabeth Willey	lisabeth.willey@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Massachusetts Population of the Northern Red-bellied Cooter	FALSE	7/21/2021 18:05	Hinderliter, Matthew	8/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
will.selman@millsaps.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Massachusetts Population of the Northe	Northern Red-bellied cooter	Dr. Will Selman	will.selman@millsaps.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Massachusetts Population of the Northern Red-bellied Cooter	FALSE	7/21/2021 18:09	Hinderliter, Matthew	8/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brian.zarate@dep.nj.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Massachusetts Population of the Northern	Northern Red-bellied cooter	Brian Zarate	brian.zarate@dep.nj.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Massachusetts Population of the Northern Red-bellied Cooter	TRUE	8/13/2021 14:50	Hinderliter, Matthew	8/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ctmoore@uga.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	Gopher tortoise	Clinton Moore	ctmoore@uga.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise	FALSE	7/22/2021 12:59	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gary_pandolfi@fws.govRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Gary Pandolfi	gary_pandolfi@fws.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	TRUE	8/5/2021 13:56	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
matthew_s_johnson@fws.govRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Matt Johnson	matthew_s_johnson@fws.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	FALSE	8/5/2021 12:48	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jas0018@auburn.eduRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Dr. Jim Stoeckel	jas0018@auburn.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	TRUE	8/16/2021 12:19	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
omibob1@gmail.comRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Dr. John Harris	omibob1@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	TRUE	8/9/2021 8:03	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jhroberts@georgiasouthern.eduRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Dr. Jamie Roberts	jhroberts@georgiasouthern.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	TRUE	8/10/2021 8:23	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
elinor.martin@ou.eduRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Dr. Elinor Martin	elinor.martin@ou.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	TRUE	8/9/2021 12:41	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
charles.Randklev@ag.tamu.eduRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Dr Charles Randklev	charles.Randklev@ag.tamu.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	FALSE	8/5/2021 15:34	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Clint.Robertson@tpwd.texas.govRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Clint Robertson	Clint.Robertson@tpwd.texas.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	TRUE	8/6/2021 7:48	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Chase.smith@austin.utexas.eduRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Dr. Chase Smith	Chase.smith@austin.utexas.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	FALSE	8/5/2021 15:34	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
hunth2o@aol.comRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Tom Miller	hunth2o@aol.com	Partner Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	FALSE	8/5/2021 15:35	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cnorris@gbra.orgRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Chad Norris	cnorris@gbra.org	Partner Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	FALSE	8/5/2021 15:35	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
leslie.grijalva@ibwc.govRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Lesli Grijalva	leslie.grijalva@ibwc.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	TRUE	8/6/2021 8:24	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
colin.mcdonald@cpa.texas.govRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Colin McDonald	colin.mcdonald@cpa.texas.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	TRUE	8/5/2021 15:42	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mark.fisher@txdot.govRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Mark Fisher	mark.fisher@txdot.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	FALSE	8/5/2021 15:35	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
thomas_athens@nps.govRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Thomas Athens	thomas_athens@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	FALSE	8/5/2021 15:35	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sarah_howard@nps.govRio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	Mexican fawnsfoot, salina mucket	Sarah Howard	sarah_howard@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report	FALSE	8/5/2021 15:34	Oetker, Susan	9/7/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
archis.grubh@tpwd.texas.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis patte	Widemouth blindcat, Toothless blindcat	Archis Grubh	archis.grubh@tpwd.texas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and Widemouth blindc	FALSE	8/10/2021 13:02	Nail, Kelly	8/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kevin.mayes@tpwd.texas.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis patte	Widemouth blindcat, Toothless blindcat	Kevin Mayes	kevin.mayes@tpwd.texas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and Widemouth blindc	FALSE	8/10/2021 13:02	Nail, Kelly	8/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
danmag@uark.eduLongnose Darter Species Status Assessment	Longnose darter	Dr. Dan Magoulick	danmag@uark.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Longnose Darter Species Status Assessment	TRUE	10/18/2021 9:21	Giglio, Deborah	10/18/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
thomas.near@yale.eduLongnose Darter Species Status Assessment	Longnose darter	Dr. Tom Near	thomas.near@yale.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Longnose Darter Species Status Assessment	TRUE	8/27/2021 20:17	Giglio, Deborah	10/18/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tom.buchanan@uafs.eduLongnose Darter Species Status Assessment	Longnose darter	Dr. Tom Buchanan	tom.buchanan@uafs.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Longnose Darter Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/27/2021 12:49	Giglio, Deborah	10/18/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
matthew.anderson4@usda.govLongnose Darter Species Status Assessment	Longnose darter	Matt Anderson	matthew.anderson4@usda.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Longnose Darter Species Status Assessment	FALSE	10/4/2021 17:30	Giglio, Deborah	10/18/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gadams@uca.eduLongnose Darter Species Status Assessment	Longnose darter	Dr. Ginny Adams	gadams@uca.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Longnose Darter Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/27/2021 13:47	Giglio, Deborah	10/18/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dbfitzgerald@usgs.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Dr. Dan Fitzgerald	dbfitzgerald@usgs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	TRUE	8/24/2021 14:49	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Todd.Morris@dfo-mpo.gc.caSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Todd Morris	Todd.Morris@dfo-mpo.gc.ca	Peer Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/24/2021 14:54	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ChrisBarnhart@MissouriState.eduSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Dr. Chris Barnhart	ChrisBarnhart@MissouriState.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/24/2021 14:54	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
BFisher@dnr.IN.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Brant Fisher	BFisher@dnr.IN.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:06	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
KennedyD@michigan.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Dan Kennedy	KennedyD@michigan.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:06	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
harrisc9@michigan.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Cleyo Harris	harrisc9@michigan.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	9/9/2021 9:55	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
johnsonj17@michigan.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Jen Johnson	johnsonj17@michigan.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	9/7/2021 14:00	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Stephen.McMurray@mdc.mo.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Steve McMurray	Stephen.McMurray@mdc.mo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:06	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kendall.moles@agfc.ar.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Dr. Kendall Moles	kendall.moles@agfc.ar.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:06	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
amy.mahar@dec.ny.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Amy Mahar	amy.mahar@dec.ny.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:06	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bh05147@tn.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Jason Wisniewski	bh05147@tn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	9/2/2021 12:19	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
john.navarro@dnr.ohio.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	John Navarro	john.navarro@dnr.ohio.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/30/2021 15:37	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
owen.boyle@wisconsin.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Owen Boyle	owen.boyle@wisconsin.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:07	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Jesse.Weinzinger@wisconsin.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Jesse Weinzinger	Jesse.Weinzinger@wisconsin.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	9/2/2021 12:19	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Lisie.Kitchel@wisconsin.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Lisie Kitchel	Lisie.Kitchel@wisconsin.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:07	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Monte.McGregor@ky.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Dr. Monte McGregor	Monte.McGregor@ky.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:07	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Kelly.Poole@dnr.iowa.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Kelly Poole	Kelly.Poole@dnr.iowa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:07	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
c-nwelte@pa.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Nevin Welte	c-nwelte@pa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:08	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
curban@pa.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Chris Urban	curban@pa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:08	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Bridget.Henning-Randa@state.mn.usSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Bridget Henning	Bridget.Henning-Randa@state.mn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:08	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bernard.sietman@state.mn.usSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Benard Sietman	bernard.sietman@state.mn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/24/2021 11:02	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brian.metzke@illinois.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Brian Metzke	brian.metzke@illinois.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:08	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
E029415@wv.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Kevin Eliason	E029415@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	9/7/2021 9:45	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
alexander.silvis@wv.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Alexander Silvis	alexander.silvis@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:09	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Melvin_Tobin@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Melvin Tobin	Melvin_Tobin@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:09	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kraig_mcpeek@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Kraig McPeek	kraig_mcpeek@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:09	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Scott_Pruitt@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Scott Pruitt	Scott_Pruitt@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:09	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lee_andrews@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Lee Andrews	lee_andrews@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:09	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
karen_Herrington@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Karen Herrington	karen_Herrington@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:10	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
david_stilwell@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	David Stilwell	david_stilwell@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:10	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
patrice_ashfield@fws.gov Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Patrice Ashfield	patrice_ashfield@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:10	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sonja_jahrsdoerfer@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Sonja Jahrsdoerfer	sonja_jahrsdoerfer@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:11	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lee_andrews@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Lee Andrews	lee_andrews@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:11	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jennifer_L_norris@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Jennifer Norris	jennifer_L_norris@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:12	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Anthony_Ford@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Andy Ford	Anthony_Ford@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:12	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andrew_phipps@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Andrew Phipps	andrew_phipps@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:13	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andrew_henderson@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Andrew Henderson	andrew_henderson@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:13	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jordan_richard@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Jordan Richards	jordan_richard@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:13	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
robert_m_anderson@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Robert Anderson	robert_m_anderson@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:13	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
megan_bradley@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Megan Bradley	megan_bradley@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:13	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tom_augspurger@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Tom Augspurger	tom_augspurger@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:13	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
shauna_marquardt@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Shauna Marquardt	shauna_marquardt@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/23/2021 17:15	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mike.davis@state.mn.usSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Mike Davis	mike.davis@state.mn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/24/2021 11:03	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andy_roberts@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Andy Roberts	andy_roberts@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/24/2021 14:13	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mc78anderson@yahoo.comLongnose Darter Species Status Assessment	Longnose darter	Matt Anderson	mc78anderson@yahoo.com	Peer Review	Yes	Longnose Darter Species Status Assessment	FALSE	10/4/2021 17:31	Giglio, Deborah	10/18/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
chris_davidson@fws.govLongnose Darter Species Status Assessment	Longnose darter	chris davidson	chris_davidson@fws.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Longnose Darter Species Status Assessment	TRUE	8/27/2021 12:28	Giglio, Deborah	9/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
longjm@okstate.eduLongnose Darter Species Status Assessment	Longnose darter	Dr. Jim Long	longjm@okstate.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Longnose Darter Species Status Assessment	TRUE	9/16/2021 18:36	Giglio, Deborah	10/18/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
susan_e_cooper@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Susan Cooper	susan_e_cooper@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	8/30/2021 11:09	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
krista.larson@state.mn.usSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Krista Larson	krista.larson@state.mn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	9/2/2021 10:04	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rebekah_ewing@fws.govSalamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	Salamander mussel	Rebekah Ewing	rebekah_ewing@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment	FALSE	9/7/2021 14:06	Kelly, Kaitlyn J	9/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kirk.patten@state.nm.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in th	Roundtail chub	Kirk Patten	kirk.patten@state.nm.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	FALSE	9/10/2021 15:28	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jeremy_voeltz@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the	Roundtail chub	Jeremy Voeltz	jeremy_voeltz@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	FALSE	9/10/2021 15:28	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
yvette.paroz@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the	Roundtail chub	Yvette Peroz	yvette.paroz@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	FALSE	9/10/2021 15:28	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wstewart@usbr.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lo	Roundtail chub	William Stewart	wstewart@usbr.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	FALSE	9/10/2021 15:28	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cyackulic@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lov	Roundtail chub	Charles Yackulic	cyackulic@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	TRUE	9/10/2021 15:29	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
pnr@email.arizona.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the	Roundtail chub	Peter Reinthal	pnr@email.arizona.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	TRUE	9/10/2021 15:29	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kshollenberger@nativefishlab.netSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robu	Roundtail chub	Kurt Shollenberger	kshollenberger@nativefishlab.net	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	TRUE	9/10/2021 15:31	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ailawson@nmsu.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lc	Roundtail chub	Abby Lawson	ailawson@nmsu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	TRUE	9/10/2021 15:32	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dweedman@azgfd.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the	Roundtail chub	David Weedman	dweedman@azgfd.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	FALSE	9/10/2021 15:32	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jacob.a.mazzone@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta)	Roundtail chub	Jacob Mazzone	jacob.a.mazzone@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	FALSE	9/10/2021 15:33	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jcarter@azgfd.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lowe	Roundtail chub	Julie Carter	jcarter@azgfd.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	FALSE	9/10/2021 15:33	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mrinker@azgfd.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Low	Roundtail chub	Matt Rinker	mrinker@azgfd.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	FALSE	9/10/2021 15:33	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
harry.crockett@state.co.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in	Roundtail chub	Harry Crockett	harry.crockett@state.co.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	FALSE	9/10/2021 16:39	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
StuartLeon@wmat.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the L	Roundtail chub	Stuart Leon	StuartLeon@wmat.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	FALSE	9/10/2021 17:15	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Kevin.Bestgen@colostate.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta)	Roundtail chub	Kevin Bestgen	Kevin.Bestgen@colostate.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	TRUE	9/10/2021 17:24	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
megansmth67@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Dr. Megan Smith	megansmth67@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	TRUE	9/22/2021 10:04	Brown, Elise M	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
burketeca@hotmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Tom Burke	burketeca@hotmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	TRUE	9/30/2021 16:26	Brown, Elise M	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
barry_roth@yahoo.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Dr. Barry Roth	barry_roth@yahoo.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:13	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jacks@uidaho.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Dr. Jack Sullivan	jacks@uidaho.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:13	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
darci.pankratz@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Darci Rivers-Pankratz	darci.pankratz@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:14	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rhuff@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Rob Huff	rhuff@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:15	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cpl@dnr.wa.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Hillary Franz	cpl@dnr.wa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:15	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
hannah.anderson@dfw.wa.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Hannah Anderson	hannah.anderson@dfw.wa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:16	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mike.wilson@grandronde.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Mike Wilson	mike.wilson@grandronde.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:16	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
myzquierdoprimus@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Melissa Yzquierdo Primus	myzquierdoprimus@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:17	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
smckinney@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Stephanie McKinney	smckinney@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:17	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
elizabeth.berkley@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Elizabeth Berkley Peckham	elizabeth.berkley@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:18	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brett.carre@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Brett Carré	brett.carre@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:19	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
trina_staloch@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Trina Staloch	trina_staloch@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:19	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
douglas.f.cottam@state.or.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Doug Cottam	douglas.f.cottam@state.or.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:19	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sehlers@kootenai.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Shannon Ehlers	sehlers@kootenai.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:20	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
frank.edelmann@idfg.idaho.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Frank Edelmann	frank.edelmann@idfg.idaho.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:21	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
neilt@nezperce.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Neil Thagard	neilt@nezperce.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:21	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
species@osc.idaho.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Michael Edmondson	species@osc.idaho.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:22	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kpindel@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Kurt Pindel	kpindel@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:23	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
diane.probasco@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Diane Probasco	diane.probasco@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/13/2021 14:32	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cdcarter@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lowe	Roundtail chub	Codey Carter	cdcarter@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V	FALSE	9/13/2021 15:56	Bohling, Justin H	10/11/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cataylor@illinois.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Yazoo crayfish, Version 1.3	Yazoo crayfish	Dr. Chris Taylor	cataylor@illinois.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Yazoo crayfish, Version 1.3	FALSE	9/14/2021 11:52	Hamilton, Byron		Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
guenter.schuster@eku.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Yazoo crayfish, Version 1.3	Yazoo crayfish	Dr. Guenter Schuster	guenter.schuster@eku.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Yazoo crayfish, Version 1.3	FALSE	9/14/2021 11:52	Hamilton, Byron		Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
zanethia.c.barnett@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Yazoo crayfish, Version 1.3	Yazoo crayfish	Dr. Zanethia Barnett	zanethia.c.barnett@usda.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Yazoo crayfish, Version 1.3	FALSE	9/14/2021 11:53	Hamilton, Byron		Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Jamison.England@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Jamison England	Jamison.England@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/14/2021 20:28	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Jamie.Ratliff@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Jamie Ratliff	Jamie.Ratliff@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/14/2021 20:28	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Tiffany.Cummins@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Tiffany Cummins	Tiffany.Cummins@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/14/2021 20:29	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
John.Chatel@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	John Chatel	John.Chatel@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/14/2021 20:30	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Christine.Pyle@usda.gov Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Christine Pyle	Christine.Pyle@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/14/2021 20:31	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
m2mcguir@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Megan McGuire	m2mcguir@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/14/2021 20:32	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mketchum@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Monica Ketchum	mketchum@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/14/2021 20:32	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lashton@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Larry Ashton	lashton@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/14/2021 20:32	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kvannorm@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Kelli Van Norman	kvannorm@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/14/2021 20:33	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
thinkley@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Tera Hinkley	thinkley@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/14/2021 20:33	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Joshua.Uriarte@osc.idaho.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Josh Uriarte	Joshua.Uriarte@osc.idaho.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/15/2021 14:09	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rex.sallabanks@idfg.idaho.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Rex Sallabanks	rex.sallabanks@idfg.idaho.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/15/2021 20:38	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
joseph.vacirca@usda.govLongnose Darter Species Status Assessment	Longnose darter	Joseph Vacirca	joseph.vacirca@usda.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Longnose Darter Species Status Assessment	FALSE	10/4/2021 17:28	Giglio, Deborah	10/18/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rank3288@vandals.uidaho.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Andrew Rankin	rank3288@vandals.uidaho.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/17/2021 21:20	Robinson, Gabrielle L	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
george.yatskievych@austin.utexas.eduSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimu	Geocarpon	Dr. George Yatskievych	george.yatskievych@austin.utexas.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	FALSE	9/20/2021 15:04	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dzollner@tnc.orgSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Doug Zollner	dzollner@tnc.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	TRUE	9/21/2021 12:21	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
keith@ravenenvironmental.comSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Eric Keith	keith@ravenenvironmental.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	TRUE	9/20/2021 17:28	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
paulbeckymo@mchsi.comSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Paul McKenzie	paulbeckymo@mchsi.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	TRUE	7/21/2022 19:48	White, Roland L	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
vernonia628@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Matt White	vernonia628@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	TRUE	9/21/2021 7:52	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
matthew.albrecht@mobot.orgSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Dr. Matthew Albrecht	matthew.albrecht@mobot.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	FALSE	9/20/2021 15:06	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers

cedwards@mobot.orgSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Dr. Christy Edwards	cedwards@mobot.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	FALSE	9/21/2021 7:36	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
david.r.hoover@usace.army.milSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	David Hoover	david.r.hoover@usace.army.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	FALSE	9/20/2021 15:06	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rhonda.rimer@mdc.mo.govSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Rhonda Rimer	rhonda.rimer@mdc.mo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	FALSE	9/20/2021 15:07	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
theo.witsell@arkansas.govSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Theo Witsell	theo.witsell@arkansas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	FALSE	9/20/2021 15:07	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
malissa.briggler@mdc.mo.govSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Malissa Briggler	malissa.briggler@mdc.mo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	FALSE	9/20/2021 15:07	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brent.baker@arkansas.govSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Brent Baker	brent.baker@arkansas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	FALSE	9/20/2021 15:07	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jason.singhurst@tpwd.texas.govSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Jason Singhurst	jason.singhurst@tpwd.texas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	FALSE	9/20/2021 15:07	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cdoffitt@wlf.la.govSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Chris Doffitt	cdoffitt@wlf.la.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	FALSE	9/20/2021 15:08	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
trisha_crabill@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Trisha Crabill	trisha_crabill@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	FALSE	9/20/2021 15:08	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
robert_allen@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Robert Allen	robert_allen@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	FALSE	9/20/2021 15:08	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
monica_sikes@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	Geocarpon	Monica Sikes	monica_sikes@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)	FALSE	9/20/2021 15:08	Nail, Kelly	10/20/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
alincoln@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for DeBeque Phacelia	DeBeque phacelia	Anna Lincoln	alincoln@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for DeBeque Phacelia	FALSE	9/21/2021 12:45	Burgess, Kevin	9/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ralward@aridlands-nrc.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for DeBeque Phacelia	DeBeque phacelia	Rich Alward	ralward@aridlands-nrc.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for DeBeque Phacelia	TRUE	9/22/2021 11:34	Burgess, Kevin	10/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
deliamalone@earthlink.netSpecies Status Assessment Report for DeBeque Phacelia	DeBeque phacelia	Dee Malone	deliamalone@earthlink.net	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for DeBeque Phacelia	FALSE	9/21/2021 12:46	Burgess, Kevin	9/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rita.dixon@idfg.idaho.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	Columbia Oregonian	Dr. Rita Dixon	rita.dixon@idfg.idaho.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian	FALSE	9/21/2021 13:19	Brown, Elise M	10/13/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jhand@colostate.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for DeBeque Phacelia	DeBeque phacelia	Jill Handwerk	jhand@colostate.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for DeBeque Phacelia	TRUE	###############	Burgess, Kevin	10/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
epaxton@usgs.govHawaii and Mariana Islands Species	Bridled white-eye, Kauai akialoa (honeycreeper), Kauai nukupu	Eben Paxton	epaxton@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Hawaii and Mariana Islands Species	TRUE	10/8/2021 16:16	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
najames@lsu.eduIvory-billed woodpecker	Ivory-billed woodpecker	Van Remsen	najames@lsu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Ivory-billed woodpecker	FALSE	10/4/2021 15:10	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jwf7@cornell.eduIvory-billed woodpecker	Ivory-billed woodpecker	John Fitzpatrick	jwf7@cornell.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Ivory-billed woodpecker	TRUE	11/1/2021 15:14	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gravesg@si.eduIvory-billed woodpecker	Ivory-billed woodpecker	Gary Graves	gravesg@si.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Ivory-billed woodpecker	FALSE	10/4/2021 15:10	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
steven.latta@aviary.orgIvory-billed woodpecker	Ivory-billed woodpecker	Steve Latta	steven.latta@aviary.org	Peer Review	Yes	Ivory-billed woodpecker	TRUE	10/8/2021 16:38	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
najames@lsu.eduBachman's warbler	Bachman's warbler (=wood)	Van Remsen	najames@lsu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Bachman's warbler	FALSE	10/4/2021 15:11	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jwf7@cornell.eduBachman's warbler	Bachman's warbler (=wood)	John Fitzpatrick	jwf7@cornell.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Bachman's warbler	TRUE	11/1/2021 15:14	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gravesg@si.eduBachman's warbler	Bachman's warbler (=wood)	Gary Graves	gravesg@si.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Bachman's warbler	FALSE	10/4/2021 15:12	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
steven.latta@aviary.orgBachman's warbler	Bachman's warbler (=wood)	Steve Latta	steven.latta@aviary.org	Peer Review	Yes	Bachman's warbler	TRUE	10/8/2021 16:38	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cnorris@gbra.orgSan Marcos gambusia	San Marcos gambusia	Chad Norris	cnorris@gbra.org	Peer Review	Yes	San Marcos gambusia	TRUE	10/8/2021 15:04	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Mike_montagne@fws.govSan Marcos gambusia	San Marcos gambusia	Mike Montagne	Mike_montagne@fws.gov	Peer Review	Yes	San Marcos gambusia	TRUE	10/8/2021 15:05	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
deanhend@austin.utexas.eduSan Marcos gambusia	San Marcos gambusia	Dean Hendrickson	deanhend@austin.utexas.edu	Peer Review	Yes	San Marcos gambusia	FALSE	10/4/2021 15:13	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Zimmerman.205@osu.eduScioto madtom	Scioto madtom	Brian Zimmerman	Zimmerman.205@osu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Scioto madtom	FALSE	10/8/2021 16:26	White, Roland L	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Kibbey.3@osu.eduScioto madtom	Scioto madtom	Marc Kibbey	Kibbey.3@osu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Scioto madtom	TRUE	10/8/2021 16:37	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
braig.1@osu.eduScioto madtom	Scioto madtom	Eugene Braig	braig.1@osu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Scioto madtom	TRUE	10/8/2021 16:37	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
todd.crail@utoledo.eduScioto madtom	Scioto madtom	Todd Crail	todd.crail@utoledo.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Scioto madtom	TRUE	###############	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Liba.Pejchar@colostate.eduHawaii and Mariana Islands Species	Bridled white-eye, Kauai akialoa (honeycreeper), Kauai nuku	Liba Pejchar	Liba.Pejchar@colostate.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Hawaii and Mariana Islands Species	TRUE	10/8/2021 16:16	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Jeff.Foster@nau.eduHawaii and Mariana Islands Species	Bridled white-eye, Kauai akialoa (honeycreeper), Kauai nuku	Jeff Foster	Jeff.Foster@nau.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Hawaii and Mariana Islands Species	TRUE	10/8/2021 16:16	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cali@kauaiforestbirds.orgHawaii and Mariana Islands Species	Bridled white-eye, Kauai akialoa (honeycreeper), Kauai nukupuu, Ka	Cali Crampton	cali@kauaiforestbirds.org	Peer Review	Yes	Hawaii and Mariana Islands Species	FALSE	10/4/2021 15:30	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
scott.fretz@hawaii.govHawaii and Mariana Islands Species	Bridled white-eye, Kauai akialoa (honeycreeper), Kauai nukupuu, Ka	Scott Fretz	scott.fretz@hawaii.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Hawaii and Mariana Islands Species	FALSE	10/4/2021 15:30	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
fern.p.duvall@hawaii.govHawaii and Mariana Islands Species	Bridled white-eye, Kauai akialoa (honeycreeper), Kauai nukupuu, Ka	Fern Duvall	fern.p.duvall@hawaii.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Hawaii and Mariana Islands Species	FALSE	10/4/2021 15:30	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mounce@mauiforestbirds.orgHawaii and Mariana Islands Species	Bridled white-eye, Kauai akialoa (honeycreeper), Kauai nukupuu, Ka	Hanna Mounce	mounce@mauiforestbirds.org	Peer Review	Yes	Hawaii and Mariana Islands Species	FALSE	10/4/2021 15:30	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kbogner@pulamalanai.comHawaii and Mariana Islands Species	Bridled white-eye, Kauai akialoa (honeycreeper), Kauai nuk	Kari Bogner	kbogner@pulamalanai.com	Peer Review	Yes	Hawaii and Mariana Islands Species	TRUE	10/8/2021 16:17	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
henryo@hawaii.eduHawaii and Mariana Islands Species	Bridled white-eye, Kauai akialoa (honeycreeper), Kauai nukupuu, Ka	Hank Oppenheimer	henryo@hawaii.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Hawaii and Mariana Islands Species	FALSE	10/4/2021 15:31	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dianevice@gmail.comHawaii and Mariana Islands Species	Bridled white-eye, Kauai akialoa (honeycreeper), Kauai nukupuu, Ka	Diane Vice	dianevice@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Hawaii and Mariana Islands Species	FALSE	10/4/2021 15:31	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
laura.duenas@doag.guam.govHawaii and Mariana Islands Species	Bridled white-eye, Kauai akialoa (honeycreeper), Kauai nu	Laura Duenas	laura.duenas@doag.guam.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Hawaii and Mariana Islands Species	TRUE	10/8/2021 16:17	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
carl.e.williams@tn.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	Pristine crayfish	Mr. Williams	carl.e.williams@tn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	FALSE	10/5/2021 16:01	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
david.withers@tn.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	Pristine crayfish	Mr. Withers	david.withers@tn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	FALSE	10/5/2021 16:02	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jwsimmons0@tva.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	Pristine crayfish	Mr. Simmons	jwsimmons0@tva.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bobby.wilson@tn.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	Pristine crayfish	Mr. Wilson	bobby.wilson@tn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	FALSE	10/5/2021 16:05	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
roger.mccoy@tn.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	Pristine crayfish	Mr. McCoy	roger.mccoy@tn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	FALSE	10/5/2021 16:05	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
johansenr@apsu.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	Pristine crayfish	Dr. Johansen	johansenr@apsu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	TRUE	10/6/2021 9:15	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
zloughman@westliberty.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	Pristine crayfish	Dr. Loughman	zloughman@westliberty.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	FALSE	10/5/2021 16:06	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
skelton.chris@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	Pristine crayfish	Dr. Skelton	skelton.chris@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	TRUE	10/6/2021 8:51	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
arosenberger@tntech.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	Pristine crayfish	Dr. Rosenberger	arosenberger@tntech.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	TRUE	10/6/2021 8:53	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
santiago_martin@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	Pristine crayfish	Santiago Martin	santiago_martin@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)	FALSE	10/6/2021 9:03	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mmarr@wildflower.orgSpecies Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	Bushy whitlow-wort	Minnette Marr	mmarr@wildflower.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	FALSE	10/6/2021 17:03	Shoultz, Michelle C	11/5/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mkterryaiw@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	Bushy whitlow-wort	Martin Terry	mkterryaiw@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	FALSE	10/6/2021 17:03	Shoultz, Michelle C	11/5/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
anna.strong@tpwd.texas.govSpecies Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	Bushy whitlow-wort	Anna Strong	anna.strong@tpwd.texas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	FALSE	10/6/2021 17:04	Shoultz, Michelle C	11/5/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
racobber@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	Bushy whitlow-wort	Robyn Cobb	racobber@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	FALSE	10/6/2021 17:04	Shoultz, Michelle C	11/5/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
shelly.maher@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	Bushy whitlow-wort	Shelly Maher	shelly.maher@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	FALSE	10/6/2021 17:04	Shoultz, Michelle C	11/5/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
meason@sabot.orgSpecies Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	Bushy whitlow-wort	Michael Eason	meason@sabot.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	FALSE	10/6/2021 17:05	Shoultz, Michelle C	11/5/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
moconnell@brit.orgSpecies Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	Bushy whitlow-wort	Meghan O'Connell	moconnell@brit.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	FALSE	10/6/2021 17:05	Shoultz, Michelle C	11/5/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Matt.Vance@MyFWC.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sand Skink (Neoseps reynoldsi) V	Sand skink	Matt Vance	Matt.Vance@MyFWC.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sand Skink (Neoseps reynoldsi) Version 1.0	FALSE	10/7/2021 17:25	Colangelo, Nikki	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Carol.Rizkalla@MyFWC.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sand Skink (Neoseps reynoldsi)	Sand skink	Dr. Carol Rizkalla	Carol.Rizkalla@MyFWC.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sand Skink (Neoseps reynoldsi) Version 1.0	FALSE	10/7/2021 17:33	Colangelo, Nikki	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
steve.godley@cardno.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sand Skink (Neoseps reynoldsi) V	Sand skink	Steve Godley	steve.godley@cardno.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sand Skink (Neoseps reynoldsi) Version 1.0	FALSE	10/7/2021 17:26	Colangelo, Nikki	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brothermel@archbold-station.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sand Skink (Neoseps reyn	Sand skink	Dr. Betsie Rothermel	brothermel@archbold-station.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sand Skink (Neoseps reynoldsi) Version 1.0	FALSE	10/7/2021 17:29	Colangelo, Nikki	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
aschrey@georgiasouthern.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sand Skink (Neoseps reynold	Sand skink	Dr. Aaron Schrey	aschrey@georgiasouthern.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sand Skink (Neoseps reynoldsi) Version 1.0	FALSE	10/7/2021 17:28	Colangelo, Nikki	11/4/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kevin.mayes@tpwd.texas.govSan Marcos gambusia	San Marcos gambusia	Kevin Mayes	kevin.mayes@tpwd.texas.gov	Peer Review	Yes	San Marcos gambusia	TRUE	10/8/2021 16:11	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
matthew.niemiller@uah.eduSpecies Status Assessment for West Virginia Spring Salamander	West Virginia spring salamander	Dr. Matthew Niemiller	matthew.niemiller@uah.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for West Virginia Spring Salamander	FALSE	###############	Hinderliter, Matthew	11/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brian.miller@mtsu.eduSpecies Status Assessment for West Virginia Spring Salamander	West Virginia spring salamander	Dr. Brian Miller	brian.miller@mtsu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for West Virginia Spring Salamander	TRUE	10/12/2021 9:42	Hinderliter, Matthew	11/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
pauley@marshall.eduSpecies Status Assessment for West Virginia Spring Salamander	West Virginia spring salamander	Dr. Tom Pauley	pauley@marshall.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for West Virginia Spring Salamander	FALSE	###############	Hinderliter, Matthew	11/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dfong@american.eduSpecies Status Assessment for West Virginia Spring Salamander	West Virginia spring salamander	Dr. Daniel Fong	dfong@american.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for West Virginia Spring Salamander	FALSE	###############	Hinderliter, Matthew	11/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bal4karst@hotmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for West Virginia Spring Salamander	West Virginia spring salamander	William Balfour	bal4karst@hotmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for West Virginia Spring Salamander	FALSE	###############	Hinderliter, Matthew	11/10/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
carl.e.williams@tn.govBrawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment	Brawleys Fork crayfish	Mr. Carl Williams	carl.e.williams@tn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Brawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
david.withers@tn.govBrawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment	Brawleys Fork crayfish	Mr. David Withers	david.withers@tn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Brawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jwsimmons0@tva.govBrawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment	Brawleys Fork crayfish	Mr. Jeff Simmons	jwsimmons0@tva.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Brawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
zloughman@westliberty.eduBrawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment	Brawleys Fork crayfish	Dr. Loughman	zloughman@westliberty.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Brawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
skelton.chris@gmail.comBrawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment	Brawleys Fork crayfish	Dr. Skelton	skelton.chris@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Brawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment	TRUE	10/13/2021 9:05	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
johansenr@apsu.eduBrawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment	Brawleys Fork crayfish	Dr. Johansen	johansenr@apsu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Brawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
arosenberger@tntech.eduBrawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment	Brawleys Fork crayfish	Dr. Rosenberger	arosenberger@tntech.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Brawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	11/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Valerie.Foster@usda.govHawaii and Mariana Islands Species	Bridled white-eye, Kauai akialoa (honeycreeper), Kauai n	Valerie Stein Foster	Valerie.Foster@usda.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Hawaii and Mariana Islands Species	FALSE	###############	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
alice.hempel@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	Bushy whitlow-wort	Alice Hempel	alice.hempel@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort	FALSE	###############	Shoultz, Michelle C	11/12/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
hillgee@auburn.eduIvory-billed woodpecker	Ivory-billed woodpecker	Geoff Hill	hillgee@auburn.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Ivory-billed woodpecker	TRUE	10/20/2021 9:12	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
hillgee@auburn.eduBachman's warbler	Bachman's warbler (=wood)	Geoff Hill	hillgee@auburn.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Bachman's warbler	TRUE	10/20/2021 9:12	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
roland_white@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Canoe Creek Clubshell	Canoe Creek Clubshell	Roland White	roland_white@fws.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Canoe Creek Clubshell	TRUE	6/25/2022 1:18	White, Roland L	2/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bart.carter@tn.gov Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	Popeye shiner	Bart Carter	bart.carter@tn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brk@tnaqua.orgPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	Popeye shiner	Bernard Kuhajda	brk@tnaqua.org	Peer Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
zimmerman.205@osu.eduPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	Popeye shiner	Brian Zimmerman	zimmerman.205@osu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cal.johnson@adem.alabama.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Versi	Popeye shiner	Cal Johnson	cal.johnson@adem.alabama.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
d.eisenhour@moreheadstate.eduPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Vers	Popeye shiner	David Eisenhour	d.eisenhour@moreheadstate.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Jacob.culp@ky.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	Popeye shiner	Jacob Culp	Jacob.culp@ky.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jwsimmons0@tva.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	Popeye shiner	Tennessee Valley Authority	jwsimmons0@tva.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brett.albanese@dnr.ga.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.(	Popeye shiner	Brett Albanese	brett.albanese@dnr.ga.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dcmatth5@tva.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	Popeye shiner	Dave Matthews	dcmatth5@tva.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dave.neely@gmail.comPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	Popeye shiner	Dave Neely	dave.neely@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
david.cravens@ky.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	Popeye shiner	David Cravens	david.cravens@ky.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
david.withers@tn.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	Popeye shiner	David Withers	david.withers@tn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
doufischer@pa.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	Popeye shiner	Doug Fischer	doufischer@pa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
john.navarro@dnr.ohio.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.	Popeye shiner	John Navarro	john.navarro@dnr.ohio.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
matt.thomas@ky.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	Popeye shiner	Matt Thomas	matt.thomas@ky.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mike.pinder@dgif.virginia.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version	Popeye shiner	Michael Pinder	mike.pinder@dgif.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mike.compton@ky.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	Popeye shiner	Mike Compton	mike.compton@ky.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
nathaniel.v.owens@wv.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.(	Popeye shiner	Nate Owens	nathaniel.v.owens@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
smcgregor@gsa.state.al.usPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.(	Popeye shiner	Stuart McGregor	smcgregor@gsa.state.al.us	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
david.cook@myfwc.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	Miami Cave crayfish	David Cook	david.cook@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	FALSE	###############	Herrmann, Charles R	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
hagooddaniel@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	Miami Cave crayfish	Daniel Hagood	hagooddaniel@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	FALSE	###############	Herrmann, Charles R	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
arc_wfl@bellsouth.netSpecies Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	Miami Cave crayfish	Willim Loftus	arc_wfl@bellsouth.net	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	TRUE	###############	Herrmann, Charles R	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kcunning@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	Miami Cave crayfish	Kevin Cunningham	kcunning@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	FALSE	###############	Herrmann, Charles R	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cristina.bruno@fmach.itSpecies Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	Miami Cave crayfish	Maria Cristina Bruno	cristina.bruno@fmach.it	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	TRUE	11/22/2021 9:18	Herrmann, Charles R	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Paul.Moler@MyFWC.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	Miami Cave crayfish	Paul Moler	Paul.Moler@MyFWC.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	FALSE	###############	Herrmann, Charles R	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
adamstern1974@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	Miami Cave crayfish	Adam Stern	adamstern1974@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	TRUE	12/7/2021 12:42	Herrmann, Charles R	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Parks.Small@dep.state.fl.usSpecies Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	Miami Cave crayfish	Parks Small	Parks.Small@dep.state.fl.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	FALSE	###############	Herrmann, Charles R	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
James.Murley@miamidade.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	Miami Cave crayfish	James Murley	James.Murley@miamidade.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	FALSE	###############	Herrmann, Charles R	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dbartlett@sfwmd.gov Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	Miami Cave crayfish	Drew Bartlett	dbartlett@sfwmd.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	FALSE	###############	Herrmann, Charles R	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lrfranz08@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	Miami Cave crayfish	Richard Franz	lrfranz08@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	FALSE	###############	Herrmann, Charles R	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cavemander17@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	Rye Cove Cave isopod	Matthew Niemiller	cavemander17@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	FALSE	###############	Hinderliter, Matthew	12/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
carlini@american.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	Rye Cove Cave isopod	David Carlini	carlini@american.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	TRUE	1/6/2022 15:16	Hinderliter, Matthew	1/20/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sockydr@cox.netSpecies Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	Rye Cove Cave isopod	Dave Socky	sockydr@cox.net	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	FALSE	###############	Hinderliter, Matthew	12/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
peter@climsystems.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	Rye Cove Cave isopod	Dr. Peter Urich	peter@climsystems.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	FALSE	###############	Hinderliter, Matthew	12/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wil.orndorff@dcr.virginia.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	Rye Cove Cave isopod	Wil Orndorff	wil.orndorff@dcr.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	FALSE	###############	Hinderliter, Matthew	12/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
chris.hobson@dcr.virginia.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	Rye Cove Cave isopod	Chris Hobson	chris.hobson@dcr.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	FALSE	###############	Hinderliter, Matthew	12/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
katarina.kosic@dcr.virginia.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	Rye Cove Cave isopod	Katarina Kosic	katarina.kosic@dcr.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	FALSE	###############	Hinderliter, Matthew	12/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tom.malabad@dcr.virginia.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	Rye Cove Cave isopod	Tom Malabad	tom.malabad@dcr.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	FALSE	###############	Hinderliter, Matthew	12/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lewisbioconsult@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	Rye Cove Cave isopod	Jerry Lewis	lewisbioconsult@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod	FALSE	###############	Hinderliter, Matthew	12/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
fieryblackshiner@gmail.comCape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	Cape Fear shiner	Bryn Tracy	fieryblackshiner@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Cape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	TRUE	12/2/2021 6:44	McRae, Sarah	1/4/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gpottern@rjgacarolina.comCape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	Cape Fear shiner	Gerald Pottern	gpottern@rjgacarolina.com	Peer Review	Yes	Cape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	TRUE	1/4/2022 8:22	McRae, Sarah	1/4/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gabriela.hogue@naturalsciences.orgCape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	Cape Fear shiner	Gabriela Hogue	gabriela.hogue@naturalsciences.org	Peer Review	Yes	Cape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	FALSE	11/23/2021 7:06	McRae, Sarah	1/4/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
nora.deamer@ncdenr.govCape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	Cape Fear shiner	Nora Deamer	nora.deamer@ncdenr.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Cape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	TRUE	1/26/2022 9:27	McRae, Sarah	2/11/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
nmedlin@rkk.comCape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	Cape Fear shiner	Neil Medlin	nmedlin@rkk.com	Peer Review	Yes	Cape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	TRUE	12/1/2021 16:11	McRae, Sarah	1/4/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
info@hawriver.orgCape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	Cape Fear shiner	Elaine Chiosso	info@hawriver.org	Peer Review	Yes	Cape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	TRUE	1/3/2022 11:17	McRae, Sarah	1/4/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
emccombs@americanrivers.orgCape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	Cape Fear shiner	Erin McCombs	emccombs@americanrivers.org	Peer Review	Yes	Cape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	TRUE	12/1/2021 16:10	McRae, Sarah	1/4/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
judith.ratcliffe@ncdcr.govCape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	Cape Fear shiner	Judy Ratcliffe	judith.ratcliffe@ncdcr.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Cape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	FALSE	11/23/2021 7:07	McRae, Sarah	1/4/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wstarnes99@gmail.comCape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	Cape Fear shiner	Wayne Starnes	wstarnes99@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Cape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	FALSE	11/23/2021 7:07	McRae, Sarah	1/4/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
allison.weakley@gmail.comCape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	Cape Fear shiner	Allison Weakley	allison.weakley@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Cape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	FALSE	11/23/2021 7:08	McRae, Sarah	1/4/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
aldermanjm@gmail.comCape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	Cape Fear shiner	John Alderman	aldermanjm@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Cape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	FALSE	11/23/2021 7:08	McRae, Sarah	1/4/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
todd.ewing@ncwildlife.orgCape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	Cape Fear shiner	Todd Ewing	todd.ewing@ncwildlife.org	Partner Review	Yes	Cape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment	FALSE	11/23/2021 7:08	McRae, Sarah	1/4/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bkeck@utk.eduPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	Popeye shiner	Ben Keck	bkeck@utk.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
steve.roble@dcr.virginia.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1	Popeye shiner	Steve Roble	steve.roble@dcr.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bfisher@dnr.in.govPopeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	Popeye shiner	Brant Fisher	bfisher@dnr.in.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0	FALSE	###############	Worker, Suzanne B	12/17/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
handreot@sfwmd.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	Miami Cave crayfish	Holly Andreotta	handreot@sfwmd.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	FALSE	###############	Herrmann, Charles R	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
twarraic@sfwmd.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	Miami Cave crayfish	Natasha Warraich	twarraic@sfwmd.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish	FALSE	###############	Herrmann, Charles R	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sacuna@mwdh2o.comSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population	longfin smelt	Shawn Acuna	sacuna@mwdh2o.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	TRUE	12/8/2021 18:59	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers

randy.baxter@wildlife.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Popu	longfin smelt	Randy Baxter	randy.baxter@wildlife.ca.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	TRUE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kimmerer@sfsu.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Se	longfin smelt	Wim Kimmerer	kimmerer@sfsu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jon@baykeeper.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Se	longfin smelt	Jon Rosenfeld	jon@baykeeper.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	TRUE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mwulff@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segm	longfin smelt	Marissa Wulff	mwulff@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lenny.grimaldo@water.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Pop	longfin smelt	Lenny Grimaldo	lenny.grimaldo@water.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lewis.sci@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Se	longfin smelt	Levi Lewis	lewis.sci@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
nknowles@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Se	longfin smelt	Noah Knowles	nknowles@usgs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lauren.damon@wildlife.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Pop	longfin smelt	Lauren Damon	lauren.damon@wildlife.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bobker@bay.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segme	longfin smelt	Gary Bobker	bobker@bay.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	12/2/2021 10:55	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
fbrewster@valleywater.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Popula	longfin smelt	Frances Brewster	fbrewster@valleywater.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cchang@ccwater.comSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population S	longfin smelt	Ching-Fu Chang	cchang@ccwater.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Louise.Conrad@deltacouncil.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinc	longfin smelt	Louise Conrad	Louise.Conrad@deltacouncil.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Steve.Culberson@deltacouncil.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Disti	longfin smelt	Steve Culberson	Steve.Culberson@deltacouncil.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	1/5/2022 19:13	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ahalston@usbr.govSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Seg	longfin smelt	Armin Halston	ahalston@usbr.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dobegi@nrdc.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segm	longfin smelt	Doug Obegi	dobegi@nrdc.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tpate@scwa2.comSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Seg	longfin smelt	Thomas Pate	tpate@scwa2.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
scott.petersen@sldmwa.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Popul	longfin smelt	Scott Peterson	scott.petersen@sldmwa.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
christopher_pearl@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Christopher Pearl	christopher_pearl@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	TRUE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jonah.piovia-scott@wsu.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Jonah Piovia-Scott	jonah.piovia-scott@wsu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	TRUE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bhalstead@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Brian Halstead	bhalstead@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	TRUE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
justin.garwood@wildlife.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Justin Garwood	justin.garwood@wildlife.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Rebecca_A_Lofgren@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Rebecca Lofgren	Rebecca_A_Lofgren@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Maxime.Lambert@dfw.wa.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Max Lambert	Maxime.Lambert@dfw.wa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
stephen@whatfrogs.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Stephen Nyman	stephen@whatfrogs.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
BJohnson@collinsco.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Bennie Johnson	BJohnson@collinsco.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
barbara.adams@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Barbara Adams	barbara.adams@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Laura.Patterson@wildlife.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Laura Patterson	Laura.Patterson@wildlife.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Hugh_anthony@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Hugh Anthony	Hugh_anthony@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Steven_Fradkin@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Steven Fradkin	Steven_Fradkin@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Julie.Tyson@dfw.wa.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Julie Tyson	Julie.Tyson@dfw.wa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
t.cotten@dfw.wa.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Tylor Cotton	t.cotten@dfw.wa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
prigdon@yakama.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Phil Rigdon	prigdon@yakama.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mark_nuetzmann@yakama.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Mark Nuetsman	mark_nuetzmann@yakama.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
john.chatel@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	John Chatel	john.chatel@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
betsy.howell@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Betsy Howell	betsy.howell@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jesse.plumage@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Jesse Plumage	jesse.plumage@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
katherine.malengo@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Katherine Malengo	katherine.malengo@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jamison.england@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Jamison England	jamison.england@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tiffany.cummins@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Tiffany Cummins	tiffany.cummins@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
joseph.doerr@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Joseph Doerr	joseph.doerr@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
justin.hadwen@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Justin Hadwen	justin.hadwen@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
laura.turner@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Lauri Turner	laura.turner@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 18:09	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
william.baer@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	William Baer	william.baer@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rhuff@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Rob Huff	rhuff@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
miadams@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Michael Adams	miadams@usgs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Martin.Nugent@state.or.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Martin Nugent	Martin.Nugent@state.or.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Jeffrey.S.ZILLER@odfw.oregon.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Jeffrey Ziller	Jeffrey.S.ZILLER@odfw.oregon.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Elise.X.KELLEY@odfw.oregon.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Elise Kelley	Elise.X.KELLEY@odfw.oregon.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Robert.brunoe@ctwsbnr.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Robert Brunoe	Robert.brunoe@ctwsbnr.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Will.hatcher@klamathtribes.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Will Hatcher	Will.hatcher@klamathtribes.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ron.alvarado@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Ron Alvarado	ron.alvarado@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
craig_ackerman@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Craig Ackerman	craig_ackerman@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Daniel.Applebee@wildlife.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Dan Applebee	Daniel.Applebee@wildlife.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
erin.chappell@wildlife.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Erin Chappell	erin.chappell@wildlife.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Scott.Gardner@wildlife.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Scott Gardner	Scott.Gardner@wildlife.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
holly.eddinger@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Holly Eddinger	holly.eddinger@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
todd.johnson1@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Todd Johnson	todd.johnson1@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
karen.pope@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Karen Pope	karen.pope@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
valerie.williams@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Valerie Williams	valerie.williams@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
maija.meneks@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Maija Meneks	maija.meneks@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brian.thomas3@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Brian Thomas	brian.thomas3@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ann.bowers@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Ann Bowers	ann.bowers@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jessicca.mcmullen@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Jessicca McMullen	jessicca.mcmullen@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kelly.mosinski@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Kelly Mosinski	kelly.mosinski@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
patricia.l.johnson@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Patricia Johnson	patricia.l.johnson@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
peter.johnston@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Peter Johnston	peter.johnston@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Michael_magnuson@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Michael Magnuson	Michael_magnuson@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
stuf@wmbeaty.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Stu Farber	stuf@wmbeaty.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
MReno@spi-ind.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Matt Reno	MReno@spi-ind.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jmata@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Jennifer Mata	jmata@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
carlos.suarez@ca.usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Carlos Suarez	carlos.suarez@ca.usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cdrake@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Craig Drake	cdrake@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kroberts@spi-ind.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Kevin Roberts	kroberts@spi-ind.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
amanda.brinkmanparker@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Amanda Brinkman-Parker	amanda.brinkmanparker@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
adam.duarte@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Adam Duarte	adam.duarte@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dede.olson@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Dede Olson	dede.olson@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Shastamtninc2@aol.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Phillip Del Rosa	Shastamtninc2@aol.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
hankbrenard@brb-nsn.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Hank Brenard	hankbrenard@brb-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
fsteele@berrycreekrancheria.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Francis Steele, Jr.	fsteele@berrycreekrancheria.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
vmoorehead@earthlink.netSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Virgil Moorehead	vmoorehead@earthlink.net	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
MFuller@bluelakerancheria-nsn.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Michelle Fuller	MFuller@bluelakerancheria-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
carter.crouch@burnspaiute-nsn.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Carter Crouch	carter.crouch@burnspaiute-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cicc@colusa-nsn.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Daniel Gomez	cicc@colusa-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
meld904@yahoo.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Melinda Dollarhide	meld904@yahoo.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jschaefer@ctclusi.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	John Schaefer	jschaefer@ctclusi.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
stanvandewetering@yahoo.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Stan van de Wetering	stanvandewetering@yahoo.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
nrd@grandronde.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Mike Wilson	nrd@grandronde.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ericquaempts@ctuir.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Eric Quaempts	ericquaempts@ctuir.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
robinharkins@coquilletribe.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Robin Harkins	robinharkins@coquilletribe.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jrobison@cowcreek.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Jason Robinson	jrobison@cowcreek.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rjacob@elk-valley.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Rob Jacob	rjacob@elk-valley.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
debier@enterpriserancheria.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Debie Rasmussen	debier@enterpriserancheria.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kevintownsend26@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Kevin Townsend	kevintownsend26@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
amartin@greenvillerancheria.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Angela Martin	amartin@greenvillerancheria.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mhigley@hoopa-nsn.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Mark Higley	mhigley@hoopa-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
btripp@karuk.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Bill Tripp	btripp@karuk.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dramirez@mechoopda-nsn.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Dennis Ramirez	dramirez@mechoopda-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Benjamin.clark@mooretown.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Benjamin Clark	Benjamin.clark@mooretown.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
doahiwolfin@yahoo.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Marissa Fierro	doahiwolfin@yahoo.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
crystal.robinson@qvir-nsn.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Crystal Robinson	crystal.robinson@qvir-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
melodiet@redding-rancheria.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Jack Potter Jr.	melodiet@redding-rancheria.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
shaunna.mccovey@resighinirancheria.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Shaunna McCovey	shaunna.mccovey@resighinirancheria.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jbigeagle@sir-nsn.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Jerry Big Eagle	jbigeagle@sir-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
erika.partee@tolowa.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Erika Partee	erika.partee@tolowa.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jsavage@trinidadrancheria.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Jonas Savage	jsavage@trinidadrancheria.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gwhitehouse@auburnrancheria.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Gene Whitehouse	gwhitehouse@auburnrancheria.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
adam@wiyot.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Adam Canter	adam@wiyot.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lomccovey@yuroktribe.nsn.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Louisa McCovey	lomccovey@yuroktribe.nsn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
don.gentry@klamathtribes.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Don Gentry	don.gentry@klamathtribes.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mcallisters4@comcast.netSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Kelly McAllister	mcallisters4@comcast.net	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wendy_palen@sfu.caSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Wendy Palen	wendy_palen@sfu.ca	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
amanda@csp-inc.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Amanda Kissel	amanda@csp-inc.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sean_mohren@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Sean Mohren	sean_mohren@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
david_hering@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	David Hering	david_hering@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jdmorris@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	John Morris	jdmorris@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
eflores@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Elias Flores	eflores@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ceagle-smith@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Collin Eagle-Smith	ceagle-smith@usgs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:33	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mary.davis@usda.govDraft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	Brandegee Buckwheat	Beth Davis	mary.davis@usda.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	FALSE	12/2/2021 19:51	Skorupa, Joseph	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kholsing@blm.govDraft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	Brandegee Buckwheat	Ken Holsinger	kholsing@blm.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	TRUE	###############	Skorupa, Joseph	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kmalone@chaffeecounty.orgDraft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	Brandegee Buckwheat	Kayla Malone	kmalone@chaffeecounty.org	Peer Review	Yes	Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	FALSE	12/2/2021 19:52	Skorupa, Joseph	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
suzanne_worker@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Suzanne Worker	suzanne_worker@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 14:19	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
roland_white@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Roland White	roland_white@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/6/2021 16:47	White, Roland L	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
michele.volz@grandronde.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Michele Voltz	michele.volz@grandronde.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	12/7/2021 14:15	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
longfinssareview@wwd.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Pop	longfin smelt	LongfinSSAReview	longfinssareview@wwd.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfir	FALSE	###############	Roessler, Arnold	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lthurman@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Lindsey Thurman	lthurman@usgs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
eglenn@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Betsy Glen	eglenn@usgs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
marie.vicksta@grandronde.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog	Marie Vicksta	marie.vicksta@grandronde.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jackewel@cox.netSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	Short-tailed snake	Dr. Jack Ewel	jackewel@cox.net	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	TRUE	1/24/2022 12:37	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jezkovt@miamioh.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	Short-tailed snake	Dr. Tereza Jezkova	jezkovt@miamioh.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	TRUE	1/24/2022 12:50	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
swalls@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	Short-tailed snake	Dr. Susan Walls	swalls@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jcmaerz@uga.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	Short-tailed snake	Dr. John Maerz	jcmaerz@uga.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
charlie.pederson@fdacs.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis exter	Short-tailed snake	CharliePederson	charlie.pederson@fdacs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
parks.small@dep.state.fl.usSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis exten	Short-tailed snake	Parks Small	parks.small@dep.state.fl.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jay.garcia@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	Short-tailed snake	Jay Garcia	jay.garcia@usda.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Brian.Camposano@fdacs.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis exte	Short-tailed snake	Brian Camposano	Brian.Camposano@fdacs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mark.kiser@fdacs.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	Short-tailed snake	Mark Kiser	mark.kiser@fdacs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
fwcconservationplanningservices@myfwc.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (l	Short-tailed snake	FWC	fwcconservationplanningservices@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Mitchell.McGlaughlin@unco.eduDraft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	Brandegee Buckwheat	Mit McGlaughlin	Mitchell.McGlaughlin@unco.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	TRUE	###############	Skorupa, Joseph	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mrustand@blm.govDraft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	Brandegee Buckwheat	Matthew Rustand	mrustand@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	FALSE	###############	Skorupa, Joseph	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers

pkrening@blm.govDraft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	Brandegee Buckwheat			Phillip Krening	pkrening@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	FALSE	###############	Skorupa, Joseph	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cdawson@blm.govDraft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	Brandegee Buckwheat			Carol Dawson	cdawson@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	FALSE	###############	Skorupa, Joseph	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jp.smith@colostate.eduDraft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	Brandegee Buckwheat			Jessica Smith	jp.smith@colostate.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	FALSE	###############	Skorupa, Joseph	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
deprengm@botanicgardens.orgDraft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	Brandegee Buckwheat			Michelle DePrenger-Levin	deprengm@botanicgardens.org	Partner Review	Yes	Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	FALSE	###############	Skorupa, Joseph	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
raquel.wertsbaugh@state.co.usDraft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	Brandegee Buckwheat			Raquel Wertsbaugh	raquel.wertsbaugh@state.co.us	Partner Review	Yes	Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	FALSE	###############	Skorupa, Joseph	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
samantha.naibauer@unco.eduDraft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	Brandegee Buckwheat			Samantha Naibauer	samantha.naibauer@unco.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	FALSE	###############	Skorupa, Joseph	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
craigv@miccosukeetribe.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis exte	Short-tailed snake			Craig van der Heiden	craigv@miccosukeetribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	12/13/2021 8:30	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kevincunniff@semtribe.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis exten	Short-tailed snake			Kevin Cunniff	kevincunniff@semtribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	12/13/2021 8:33	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
eric.sutton@myfwc.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuat	Short-tailed snake			Director Sutton	eric.sutton@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	12/13/2021 8:33	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
suzanne_worker@fws.govHawaii and Mariana Islands Species	Bridled white-eye,	Kauai akialoa (honeycreeper),	Kauai n	Suzanne Worker	suzanne_worker@fws.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Hawaii and Mariana Islands Species	TRUE	###############	Ketram, Natchanon N	11/3/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Erin.Albury@FDACS.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata	Short-tailed snake			Erin Albury	Erin.Albury@FDACS.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
eric.draper@dep.state.fl.usSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis exten	Short-tailed snake			Eric Draper	eric.draper@dep.state.fl.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
snaibauer@yahoo.comDraft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	Brandegee Buckwheat			Samantha Naibauer	snaibauer@yahoo.com	Partner Review	Yes	Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	FALSE	###############	Skorupa, Joseph	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mmcglaughlin@gmail.comDraft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	Brandegee Buckwheat			Mit McGlaughlin	mmcglaughlin@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	TRUE	###############	Skorupa, Joseph	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
alexandra_kasdin@fws.govDraft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	Brandegee Buckwheat			Alex Kasdin	alexandra_kasdin@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat	FALSE	###############	Skorupa, Joseph	12/30/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
meason@sabot.orgNavasota False Foxglove SSA	Navasota False Foxglove			Michael Eason	meason@sabot.org	Peer Review	Yes	Navasota False Foxglove SSA	TRUE	1/31/2022 8:39	Shoultz, Michelle C	1/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mmarr@wildflower.orgNavasota False Foxglove SSA	Navasota False Foxglove			Minnette Marr	mmarr@wildflower.org	Peer Review	Yes	Navasota False Foxglove SSA	TRUE	###############	Shoultz, Michelle C	1/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
pat@merkord.comNavasota False Foxglove SSA	Navasota False Foxglove			Pat Merkord	pat@merkord.com	Peer Review	Yes	Navasota False Foxglove SSA	FALSE	###############	Shoultz, Michelle C	1/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
moconnell@brit.orgNavasota False Foxglove SSA	Navasota False Foxglove			Meghan O'Connell	moconnell@brit.org	Peer Review	Yes	Navasota False Foxglove SSA	FALSE	###############	Shoultz, Michelle C	1/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
monique.dubrule.reed@gmail.comNavasota False Foxglove SSA	Navasota False Foxglove			Monique Reed	monique.dubrule.reed@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Navasota False Foxglove SSA	FALSE	1/27/2022 8:39	Shoultz, Michelle C	1/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tschumann553@gmail.comNavasota False Foxglove SSA	Navasota False Foxglove			Tim Schumann	tschumann553@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Navasota False Foxglove SSA	TRUE	1/31/2022 8:39	Shoultz, Michelle C	1/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jason.singhurst@tpwd.texas.govNavasota False Foxglove SSA	Navasota False Foxglove			Jason Singhurst	jason.singhurst@tpwd.texas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Navasota False Foxglove SSA	FALSE	###############	Shoultz, Michelle C	1/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
anna.strong@tpwd.texas.govNavasota False Foxglove SSA	Navasota False Foxglove			Anna Strong	anna.strong@tpwd.texas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Navasota False Foxglove SSA	FALSE	###############	Shoultz, Michelle C	1/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
atiller@hcp4.netNavasota False Foxglove SSA	Navasota False Foxglove			Anita Tiller	atiller@hcp4.net	Peer Review	Yes	Navasota False Foxglove SSA	FALSE	###############	Shoultz, Michelle C	1/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
pw04@txstate.eduNavasota False Foxglove SSA	Navasota False Foxglove			Paula Williamson	pw04@txstate.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Navasota False Foxglove SSA	FALSE	###############	Shoultz, Michelle C	1/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
elizabeth.m.ramirez@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis ex	Short-tailed snake			Elizabeth Ramirez	elizabeth.m.ramirez@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jessica.blain@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata	Short-tailed snake			Jessica Blain	jessica.blain@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
djackson@fnai.fsu.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	Short-tailed snake			Dale Jackson	djackson@fnai.fsu.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
julie.koehlinger@hohtribe-nsn.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog			Julie Koehlinger	julie.koehlinger@hohtribe-nsn.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dbingaman@quinault.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog			Dave Bingaman	dbingaman@quinault.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jpavel@skokomish.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog			Joseph Pavel	jpavel@skokomish.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
frank.geyer@quileutenation.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog			Frank Geyer	frank.geyer@quileutenation.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mike.middleton@muckleshoot.nsn.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog			Mike Middleton	mike.middleton@muckleshoot.nsn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
troutt.david@nisqually-nsn.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog			David Troutt	troutt.david@nisqually-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gmacwilliams@nooksack-nsn.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog			Gary MacWilliams	gmacwilliams@nooksack-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
russ.ladley@puyalluptribe-nsn.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog			Russ Ladley	russ.ladley@puyalluptribe-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jjoseph@sauk-suiattle.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog			Jason Joseph	jjoseph@sauk-suiattle.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cindy@snoqualmietribe.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog			Cindy Spiry	cindy@snoqualmietribe.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ScottS@upperskagit.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog			Scott Schuyler	ScottS@upperskagit.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	###############	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
steve.godley@cardno.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenu	Short-tailed snake			Steve Godley	steve.godley@cardno.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	White, Roland L	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
roland_white@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata	Short-tailed snake			Roland White	roland_white@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	###############	White, Roland L	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kelsey.payne@snoqualmietribe.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog			Kelsey Payne	kelsey.payne@snoqualmietribe.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	1/5/2022 15:32	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ryan.lewis@snoqualmietribe.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	Cascades frog			Ryan Lewis	ryan.lewis@snoqualmietribe.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog	FALSE	1/5/2022 15:33	Leal, David	1/7/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cruzan@pdx.eduSpecies Status Assessment fot the Large-flowered skullcap	Large-flowered skullcap			Dr. Mitch Cruzan	cruzan@pdx.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment fot the Large-flowered skullcap	FALSE	1/6/2022 15:23	Hamilton, Byron	2/3/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
smonteleone@tusculum.eduSpecies Status Assessment fot the Large-flowered skullcap	Large-flowered skullcap			Dr. Susan Monteleone	smonteleone@tusculum.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment fot the Large-flowered skullcap	FALSE	1/6/2022 15:24	Hamilton, Byron	2/3/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mhodges@tnc.orgSpecies Status Assessment fot the Large-flowered skullcap	Large-flowered skullcap			Malcolm Hodges	mhodges@tnc.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment fot the Large-flowered skullcap	FALSE	1/6/2022 15:25	Hamilton, Byron	2/3/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jrickard@fw.fed.usSpecies Status Assessment fot the Large-flowered skullcap	Large-flowered skullcap			Jimmy Rickard	jrickard@fw.fed.us	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment fot the Large-flowered skullcap	FALSE	1/6/2022 15:26	Hamilton, Byron	2/3/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mkunz@unc.eduSpecies Status Assessment fot the Large-flowered skullcap	Large-flowered skullcap			Michael Kunz	mkunz@unc.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment fot the Large-flowered skullcap	FALSE	1/6/2022 15:27	Hamilton, Byron	2/3/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mrustand@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Matt Rustand	mrustand@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:48	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cdawson@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Carol Dawson	cdawson@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:50	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
thomas.bates@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Tom Bates	thomas.bates@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:50	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lurban@mt.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Larry Urban	lurban@mt.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:51	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jessica.salix@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Jessie Salix	jessica.salix@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:52	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
adelcalzo@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Andrae Delcalzo	adelcalzo@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:53	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cengel@forestry.nv.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Cavenne Engel	cengel@forestry.nv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:53	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
luked@utetribe.comSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Luke Duncan	luked@utetribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:54	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
emily_spencer@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Emily Spencer	emily_spencer@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:55	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jim.spencer@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Jim Spencer	jim.spencer@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:55	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mstamp@usbr.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Melissa Stamp	mstamp@usbr.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:56	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
walter.fertig@dnr.wa.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Walter Fertig	walter.fertig@dnr.wa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:57	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mauritao@nezperce.orgSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Maurita Oatman	mauritao@nezperce.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:57	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bheidel@uwyo.eduSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Bonnie Heidel	bheidel@uwyo.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:58	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
linglebird@yahoo.comSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Robert Dorn	linglebird@yahoo.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 17:59	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
whighamd@si.eduSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Dennis Whigham	whighamd@si.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	TRUE	4/4/2022 18:14	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mccormickm@si.eduSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses, Utah valvata snail			Melissa McCormick	mccormickm@si.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/7/2022 18:01	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dana.blumenthal@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Dana Blumenthal	dana.blumenthal@usda.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	TRUE	3/29/2022 10:06	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
perryl@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Laura Perry	perryl@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	TRUE	3/29/2022 10:09	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
hnr@ign.ku.dkSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Hanne Rasmussen	hnr@ign.ku.dk	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 11:54	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
russ@cuwcd.comSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Russ Franklin	russ@cuwcd.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 11:55	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wfindlay@usbr.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Russ Findlay	wfindlay@usbr.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 11:57	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mindywheeler@utah.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Mindy Wheeler	mindywheeler@utah.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 11:57	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rbrinker@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Ray Brinkerhoff	rbrinker@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 11:57	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dburnham@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Dashell Burnham	dburnham@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 11:58	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ron.fox@dfw.wa.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Ron Fox	ron.fox@dfw.wa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 11:58	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kelly.cordell@chelanpud.orgSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Kelly Cordell	kelly.cordell@chelanpud.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 11:58	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
von.pope@chelanpud.orgSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Von Pope	von.pope@chelanpud.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 11:59	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mclemen@gcpud.orgSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Mike Clement	mclemen@gcpud.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 11:59	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rdoolittle@usbr.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Rebecca Doolittle	rdoolittle@usbr.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 11:59	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mboyter@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Molly Boyter	mboyter@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:00	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
riedell@bouldercolorado.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Lynn Reidel	riedell@bouldercolorado.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:00	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
branne@fs.fed.usSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Brigitte Ranne	branne@fs.fed.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:00	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kali.robson.ynerwm@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Kali Robson	kali.robson.ynerwm@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:00	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ymarquez@ynerwm.comSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Yvette Marquez	ymarquez@ynerwm.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:03	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
stevenlink@ctuir.orgSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Steven Link	stevenlink@ctuir.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:03	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mauritao@nezperce.orgSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Maurita Oatman	mauritao@nezperce.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:03	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jessicag@nezperce.orgSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Jessica Glindeman	jessicag@nezperce.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:04	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bheidel@uwyo.eduSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Bonnie Heidel	bheidel@uwyo.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:04	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
justin.williams@wyo.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Justin Wiliams	justin.williams@wyo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:04	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ckeefe@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Chris Keefe	ckeefe@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:04	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
paige.wolken@usace.army.milSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Paige Wolken	paige.wolken@usace.army.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:05	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jordan.dresser@northernarapaho.comSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Jordan Dresser	jordan.dresser@northernarapaho.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:05	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jstclair@easternshoshone.orgSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Darwin St. Clair, Jr.	jstclair@easternshoshone.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:05	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
aroe@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Aaron Roe	aroe@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:06	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gerry.steinauer@nebraska.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Gerry Steinauer	gerry.steinauer@nebraska.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:06	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lynn.kinter@idfg.idaho.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Dr. Lynn Kinter	lynn.kinter@idfg.idaho.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:07	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jennifer.miller@idfg.idaho.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Jennifer Miller	jennifer.miller@idfg.idaho.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:07	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ahalford@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Anne Halford	ahalford@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 15:35	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rose.lehman@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Rose Lehman	rose.lehman@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:07	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Jill.Handwerk@colostate.eduSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Jill Handwerk	Jill.Handwerk@colostate.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:08	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
apipp@mt.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Andrea Pipp	apipp@mt.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:09	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
luanat@utetribe.comSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Luana Thompson	luanat@utetribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:10	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
luked@utetribe.comSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Luke Duncan	luked@utetribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:10	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
antonio.pingree@bia.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Antonio Pingree	antonio.pingree@bia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:10	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tova.spector@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Tova Spector	tova.spector@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 12:50	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rose.lehman@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Rose Lehman	rose.lehman@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 13:48	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mindywheeler@utah.govSpecies Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkve	[Cisco] milkvetch			Mindy Wheeler	mindywheeler@utah.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Astragalus sabulosus	FALSE	1/10/2022 15:05	Hansen, Craig	2/10/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
aroe@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Astrag	[Cisco] milkvetch			Aaron Roe	aroe@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Astragalus sabulosus	FALSE	1/10/2022 15:06	Hansen, Craig	2/10/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Barbara.Smith1@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milk	[Cisco] milkvetch			Barbara Smith	Barbara.Smith1@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Astragalus sabulosus	FALSE	1/10/2022 15:06	Hansen, Craig	2/10/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jtuhy@tnc.orgSpecies Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Astrag	[Cisco] milkvetch			Joel Tuhy	jtuhy@tnc.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Astragalus sabulosus	FALSE	1/10/2022 15:07	Hansen, Craig	2/10/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dwinkler@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (A	[Cisco] milkvetch			Daniel Winkler	dwinkler@usgs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Astragalus sabulosus	FALSE	1/10/2022 15:08	Hansen, Craig	2/10/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
priddle@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Ast	[Cisco] milkvetch			Pam Riddle	priddle@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Astragalus sabulosus	FALSE	1/10/2022 15:08	Hansen, Craig	2/10/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Walter.Fertig@dnr.wa.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Walter Fertig	Walter.Fertig@dnr.wa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 15:30	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lynn.kinter@idfg.idaho.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Lynn Kinter	lynn.kinter@idfg.idaho.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 15:34	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jessie.salix@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Jessie Salix	jessie.salix@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/10/2022 15:58	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jtuhy@frontiernet.netSpecies Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch	[Cisco] milkvetch			Joel Tuhy	jtuhy@frontiernet.net	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Astragalus sabulosus	FALSE	1/10/2022 16:40	Hansen, Craig	2/10/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
susan.meyer@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvet	[Cisco] milkvetch			Dr. Susan Meyer	susan.meyer@usda.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Astragalus sabulosus	TRUE	1/18/2022 16:22	Hansen, Craig	2/10/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kwahl@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Kimberly Wahl	kwahl@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/11/2022 10:41	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
martin.wojciechowski@asu.eduSpecies Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco	[Cisco] milkvetch			Dr. Wojciechowski	martin.wojciechowski@asu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Astragalus sabulosus	TRUE	1/25/2022 13:10	Hansen, Craig	2/10/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
biota@vt.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	Roanoke logperch			Paul Angermeier	biota@vt.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	TRUE	1/25/2022 7:27	McRae, Sarah	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
judith.ratcliffe@ncdcr.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	Roanoke logperch			Judy Ratcliffe	judith.ratcliffe@ncdcr.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	FALSE	1/13/2022 15:48	McRae, Sarah	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
todd.ewing@ncwildlife.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	Roanoke logperch			Todd Ewing	todd.ewing@ncwildlife.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	FALSE	1/13/2022 15:48	McRae, Sarah	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mike.bednarski@dwr.virginia.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	Roanoke logperch			Mike Bednarski	mike.bednarski@dwr.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	FALSE	1/13/2022 15:49	McRae, Sarah	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
scott.smith@dwr.virginia.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	Roanoke logperch			Scott Smith	scott.smith@dwr.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	FALSE	1/13/2022 15:49	McRae, Sarah	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bensign@kennesaw.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	Roanoke logperch			William Ensign	bensign@kennesaw.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	FALSE	1/13/2022 15:49	McRae, Sarah	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
arosenberger@tntech.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	Roanoke logperch			Amanda Rosenberger	arosenberger@tntech.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	FALSE	1/13/2022 15:50	McRae, Sarah	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jenkins@roanoke.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	Roanoke logperch			Robert Jenkins	jenkins@roanoke.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	FALSE	1/13/2022 15:50	McRae, Sarah	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brett.albanese@dnr.ga.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	Roanoke logperch			Brett Albanese	brett.albanese@dnr.ga.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	TRUE	2/2/2022 13:28	McRae, Sarah	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
drsmith@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	Roanoke logperch			David Smith	drsmith@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	FALSE	1/13/2022 15:51	McRae, Sarah	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ggarman@vcu.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	Roanoke logperch			Greg Garman	ggarman@vcu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	FALSE	1/13/2022 15:51	McRae, Sarah	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kelly.cordell@chelanpud.orgSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Kelly Cordell	kelly.cordell@chelanpud.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/18/2022 10:59	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cruzan@pdx.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Large-flowered skullcap	Large-flowered skullcap			Dr. Mitch Cruzan	cruzan@pdx.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Large-flowered skullcap	TRUE	2/1/2022 12:54	White, Roland L	2/3/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
smonteleone@tusculum.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Large-flowered skullcap	Large-flowered skullcap			Dr. Susan Monteleone	smonteleone@tusculum.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Large-flowered skullcap	FALSE	1/18/2022 11:04	Hamilton, Byron	2/3/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mhodges@tnc.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the Large-flowered skullcap	Large-flowered skullcap			Malcolm Hodges	mhodges@tnc.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Large-flowered skullcap	FALSE	1/18/2022 11:05	Hamilton, Byron	2/3/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jrickard@fw.fed.usSpecies Status Assessment for the Large-flowered skullcap	Large-flowered skullcap			Jimmy Rickard	jrickard@fw.fed.us	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Large-flowered skullcap	FALSE	1/18/2022 11:05	Hamilton, Byron	2/3/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mkunz@unc.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Large-flowered skullcap	Large-flowered skullcap			Michael Kunz	mkunz@unc.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Large-flowered skullcap	FALSE	1/18/2022 11:06	Hamilton, Byron	2/3/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mawilliams@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses			Mark Williams	mawilliams@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/18/2022 16:02	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sarah.barga@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetc	[Cisco] milkvetch			Dr. Sarah Barga	sarah.barga@usda.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Astragalus sabulosus	TRUE	1/18/2022 18:48	Hansen, Craig	2/10/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jwillson@uark.eduFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake			Dr. J.D. Willson	jwillson@uark.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	TRUE	1/25/2022 11:42	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lancemcbrayer@georgiasouthern.eduFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake			Dr. Lance McBrayer	lancemcbrayer@georgiasouthern.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	TRUE	1/24/2022 13:40	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers

rbking@niu.eduFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Dr. Rich King	rbking@niu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	TRUE	1/24/2022 10:09	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bhalstead@usgs.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Dr. Brian Halstead	bhalstead@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	TRUE	1/24/2022 10:09	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
btodd@ucdavis.eduFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Dr. Brian Todd	btodd@ucdavis.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	TRUE	1/26/2022 10:33	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
adurso@fgcu.eduFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Dr. Andrew Durso	adurso@fgcu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	TRUE	1/25/2022 18:36	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
SMullin@astate.eduFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Dr. Stephen Mullin	SMullin@astate.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	TRUE	1/20/2022 12:10	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wheelermindy@yahoo.comSpecies Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milk	[Cisco] milkvetch	Mindy Wheeler	wheelermindy@yahoo.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Astragalus sabulosus	FALSE	1/19/2022 10:49	Hansen, Craig	2/10/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wheelermindy@yahoo.comSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses	Mindy Wheeler	wheelermindy@yahoo.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/19/2022 10:50	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dillmanj@dnr.sc.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Will Dillman	dillmanj@dnr.sc.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:47	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
grossea@dnr.sc.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Andrew Grosse	grossea@dnr.sc.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:49	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
smithah@dnr.sc.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Anna Smith	smithah@dnr.sc.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:49	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
pmccormack@scprt.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Paul McCormack	pmccormack@scprt.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:50	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sscherman@scprt.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Stacey Scherman	sscherman@scprt.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:50	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
thurley@scprt.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Terry Hurley	thurley@scprt.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:51	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jon.ambrose@dnr.ga.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Jon Ambrose	jon.ambrose@dnr.ga.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:55	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
daniel.sollenberger@dnr.ga.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Daniel Sollenberger	daniel.sollenberger@dnr.ga.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:55	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kevin.enge@myfwc.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Kevin Enge	kevin.enge@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:55	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bradley.ohanlon@myfwc.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Bradley O'Hanlon	bradley.ohanlon@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:56	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
david.steen@myfwc.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	David Steen	david.steen@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:56	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Carrie.Threadgill@dcnr.alabama.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Carrie Threadgill	Carrie.Threadgill@dcnr.alabama.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:57	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
roger.clay@dcnr.alabama.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Roger Clay	roger.clay@dcnr.alabama.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:57	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Ashley.Peters@dcnr.alabama.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Ashley Peters	Ashley.Peters@dcnr.alabama.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:57	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jeff.hall@ncwildlife.orgFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Jeff Hall	jeff.hall@ncwildlife.org	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:58	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jeff.humphries@ncwildlife.orgFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Jeff Humphries	jeff.humphries@ncwildlife.org	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:58	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mark.garner@usda.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Mark Garner	mark.garner@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:59	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
devela.clark@usda.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	DeVela J. Clark	devela.clark@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:59	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
charles.e.davis@usda.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Charlie Davis	charles.e.davis@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 12:59	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kelly.russell@usda.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Kelly Russell	kelly.russell@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:00	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sandra.kilpatrick@usda.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Sandie Kilpatrick	sandra.kilpatrick@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:04	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tim.mersmann@usda.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Tim Mersmann	tim.mersmann@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:05	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
danielle.flynn@usda.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Danielle Flynn	danielle.flynn@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:07	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sylvia.harris2@usda.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Sylvia Harris	sylvia.harris2@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:08	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bcrawford@compassrm.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Brian Crawford	bcrawford@compassrm.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:08	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rbarre2@clemson.eduFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Kyle Barrett	rbarre2@clemson.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:10	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bdhudso@g.clemson.eduFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Bryan Hudson	bdhudso@g.clemson.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:11	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
JCamper@fmarion.eduFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Jeff Camper	JCamper@fmarion.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:12	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tubervil@srel.uga.eduFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Tracey Tuberville	tubervil@srel.uga.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:12	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kbuhlmann@earthlink.netFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Kurt Buhlman	kbuhlmann@earthlink.net	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:13	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lora.smith@jonesctr.orgFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Dr. Lora L. Smith	lora.smith@jonesctr.org	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:13	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jlinehan@jonesctr.orgFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Jennifer Howze	jlinehan@jonesctr.org	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:14	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ctmoore@uga.eduFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Dr. Clinton Moore	ctmoore@uga.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:15	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lrfranz08@gmail.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Richard Franz	lrfranz08@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:16	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
FFSsupport@FDACS.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Erin Albury	FFSsupport@FDACS.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:16	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
laura@flforestry.orgFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Laura Bosworth	laura@flforestry.org	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:16	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ksash@talltimbers.orgFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Kim Sash	ksash@talltimbers.org	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:17	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jcg0001@auburn.eduFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Jim Godwin	jcg0001@auburn.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:17	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
norops@auburn.eduFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	David Laurencio	norops@auburn.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:18	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cljenkins@oriannesociety.orgFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Chris Jenkins	cljenkins@oriannesociety.org	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:18	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bstegenga@oriannesociety.orgFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Ben Stegenga	bstegenga@oriannesociety.org	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:18	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
carol@longleafalliance.orgFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Carol Denhof	carol@longleafalliance.org	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:19	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Marla_Hamilton@nps.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Marla Hamilton	Marla_Hamilton@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:19	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jjapodaca@amphibiansreptiles.orgFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	JJ Apodaca	jjapodaca@amphibiansreptiles.org	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:19	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jholmes@amphibiansreptiles.orgFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Jeff Holmes	jholmes@amphibiansreptiles.org	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:20	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gary.herndon@usmc.milFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Gary Herndon	gary.herndon@usmc.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:20	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
alvaro.urrutia@us.af.milFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Alvaro Urrutia	alvaro.urrutia@us.af.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:20	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
terrence.larimer@us.af.milFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Terrence Larimer	terrence.larimer@us.af.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:21	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
roderick.m.thornton2.civ@mail.milFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Mark Thorton	roderick.m.thornton2.civ@mail.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:21	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
julie.m.robbins@usmc.milFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Julie Robbins	julie.m.robbins@usmc.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:22	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
miguel.mozdzen@us.af.milFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Miguel Mozdzen	miguel.mozdzen@us.af.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:22	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
penny.howell@us.af.milFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Renee Howell	penny.howell@us.af.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:23	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
paul.l.catlett.nfg@mail.mil Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Paul Catlett	paul.l.catlett.nfg@mail.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:23	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
daniel.m.spillers.civ@mail.milFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Daniel M. Spillers	daniel.m.spillers.civ@mail.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:23	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
chris.petersen@navy.milFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Chris Petersen	chris.petersen@navy.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:24	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lawrence.d.carlile.civ@mail.milFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Larry Carlile	lawrence.d.carlile.civ@mail.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:24	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
louis.c.layton.civ@mail.milFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Chris Layton	louis.c.layton.civ@mail.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:25	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
roy.l.king2.civ@mail.milFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Roy King	roy.l.king2.civ@mail.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:25	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
CraigV@miccosukeetribe.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Craig Van der Heiden	CraigV@miccosukeetribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:26	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kweatherford@pci-nsn.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Kristi Weatherford	kweatherford@pci-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:26	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kevincunniff@semtribe.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Kevin Cunniff	kevincunniff@semtribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:27	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
karlieckel@semtribe.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Karli Eckel	karlieckel@semtribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:27	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
stacymyers@semtribe.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Mr. Stacy Myers	stacymyers@semtribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 13:27	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mark_caldwell@fws.gov Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Mark Caldwell	mark_caldwell@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 15:52	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
john_doresky@fws.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	John Doresky	john_doresky@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 15:52	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
christopher_coppola@fws.gov Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Christopher Coppola	christopher_coppola@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 15:53	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gianfranco_basili@fws.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Giafranco Basili	gianfranco_basili@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 15:53	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lourdes_mena@fws.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Lourdes Mena	lourdes_mena@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 15:53	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bill_pearson@fws.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Bill Pearson	bill_pearson@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 15:54	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jenna_king@fws.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Jenna King	jenna_king@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 15:54	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
pete_benjamin@fws.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Pete Benjamin	pete_benjamin@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 15:54	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tom_augspurger@fws.gov Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Tom Augspurger	tom_augspurger@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 15:57	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
melanie_kaeser@fws.gov Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Melanie Kaeser	melanie_kaeser@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 16:48	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lorraine_p_ketzler@fws.gov Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Lorraine Ketzler	lorraine_p_ketzler@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 16:48	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ashleigh_blackford@fws.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Ashleigh Blackford	ashleigh_blackford@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 16:49	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
chuck_hunter@fws.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Chuck Hunter	chuck_hunter@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 16:49	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rebekah_gibble@fws.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Rebekah Gibble	rebekah_gibble@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 16:49	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
stanley_howarter@fws.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Stanley Howarter	stanley_howarter@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 16:50	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
amanda_bessler@fws.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Amanda Bessler	amanda_bessler@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/19/2022 16:51	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
katelynhorn@semtribe.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Katelyn Horn	katelynhorn@semtribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/20/2022 10:27	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
roland_white@fws.gov Species Status Assessment Report for the Canoe Creek Clubshell	Canoe Creek Clubshell	Roland White	roland_white@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Canoe Creek Clubshell	FALSE	1/20/2022 13:33	White, Roland L	2/21/2021	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
melanie_olds@fws.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Melanie Olds (SSA Lead)	melanie_olds@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/20/2022 14:10	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kathryn.perez@utrgv.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	Quitobaquito tryonia	Dr. Kathryn Perez	kathryn.perez@utrgv.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	TRUE	2/18/2022 10:29	Albert, Jacqueline R	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Don.Sada@dri.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	Quitobaquito tryonia	Dr. Don Sada	Don.Sada@dri.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	TRUE	1/24/2022 18:06	Albert, Jacqueline R	2/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
clange@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	Quitobaquito tryonia	Corey Lange	clange@blm.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	TRUE	1/21/2022 13:49	Albert, Jacqueline R	2/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wnowlin@txstate.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	Quitobaquito tryonia	Weston Nowlin	wnowlin@txstate.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	TRUE	1/21/2022 13:50	Albert, Jacqueline R	2/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jcooley@azgfd.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	Quitobaquito tryonia	Jon Cooley	jcooley@azgfd.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	FALSE	1/21/2022 13:50	Albert, Jacqueline R	2/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
csoria@azgfd.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	Quitobaquito tryonia	Cynthia Soria	csoria@azgfd.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	FALSE	1/21/2022 13:50	Albert, Jacqueline R	2/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mbogan@arizona.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	Quitobaquito tryonia	Dr. Michael Bogan	mbogan@arizona.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	FALSE	1/21/2022 13:51	Albert, Jacqueline R	2/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
daniel_j_martin@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	Quitobaquito tryonia	Daniel Martin	daniel_j_martin@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	FALSE	1/21/2022 13:51	Albert, Jacqueline R	2/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Peter_Holm@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	Quitobaquito tryonia	Peter Holm	Peter_Holm@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	FALSE	1/21/2022 13:51	Albert, Jacqueline R	2/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Rijk_Morawe@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	Quitobaquito tryonia	Rijk Morawe	Rijk_Morawe@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia	FALSE	1/21/2022 13:51	Albert, Jacqueline R	2/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brackejt@miamioh.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata	Short-tailed snake	Jason Bracken	brackejt@miamioh.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	TRUE	2/7/2022 10:53	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
swalls@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	Short-tailed snake	Susan Walls	swalls@usgs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)	FALSE	1/24/2022 11:25	Lombardi, Melissa D	1/21/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jj@tbconservation.orgFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	J.J. Apodaca	jj@tbconservation.org	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/24/2022 12:43	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
daniel.sullivan@myfwc.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Dan Sullivan	daniel.sullivan@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/24/2022 13:01	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
alyssa.hoekstra@myfwc.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Alyssa Hoekstra	alyssa.hoekstra@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/24/2022 13:02	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mark.garner@usda.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Mark Garner	mark.garner@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/24/2022 15:44	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jholmes@amphibiansreptiles.orgFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Jeff Holmes	jholmes@amphibiansreptiles.org	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/24/2022 15:44	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jcary42@tntech.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	Roanoke logperch	Josh Cary	jcary42@tntech.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	TRUE	1/31/2022 16:15	McRae, Sarah	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kiroe@iastate.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Dr. Kevin Roe	kiroe@iastate.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	TRUE	1/26/2022 16:15	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mark_hove@umn.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Mark Hove	mark_hove@umn.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	TRUE	1/26/2022 16:16	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Todd.Morris@dfo-mpo.gc.caSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Dr. Todd Morris	Todd.Morris@dfo-mpo.gc.ca	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	TRUE	1/26/2022 16:19	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
zanat1d@cmich.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Dr. Dave Zanatta	zanat1d@cmich.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	TRUE	1/26/2022 16:19	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bergdj@miamioh.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodo	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Dr. David Berg	bergdj@miamioh.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	TRUE	1/26/2022 16:20	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
DagunaConsulting@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Brett Ostby	DagunaConsulting@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	TRUE	1/26/2022 16:21	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
hdunn@ecoanalysts.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Ms. Heidi Dunn	hdunn@ecoanalysts.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	TRUE	1/26/2022 16:23	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wooln1d@cmich.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Dr. Daelyn Woolnough	wooln1d@cmich.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	TRUE	1/26/2022 16:23	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kelly.mcnichols-orourke@dfo-mpo.gc.caSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa	Rayed Bean	Kelly McNichols-O'Rourke	kelly.mcnichols-orourke@dfo-mpo.gc.ca	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	TRUE	1/26/2022 16:24	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kelly_nail@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Dr. Kelly Nail	kelly_nail@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	TRUE	1/27/2022 11:02	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kelly_nail@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Dr. Kelly Nail	kelly_nail@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:18	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kelly_nail@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodont	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Dr. Kelly Nail	kelly_nail@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:18	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kelly_nail@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Dr. Kelly Nail	kelly_nail@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:19	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Stephen.McMurray@mdc.mo.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus	Sheepnose Mussel	Steve McMurray	Stephen.McMurray@mdc.mo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:44	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
John.Navarro@dnr.state.oh.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyp	Sheepnose Mussel	John Navarro	John.Navarro@dnr.state.oh.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:45	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jesse.j.weinzinger@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyph	Sheepnose Mussel	Jesse Weinzinger	jesse.j.weinzinger@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:45	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Monte.McGregor@ky.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus	Sheepnose Mussel	Dr. Monte McGregor	Monte.McGregor@ky.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:46	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brian.metzke@illinois.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Brian Metzke	brian.metzke@illinois.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:46	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kevin.m.eliason@wv.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Kevin Eliason	kevin.m.eliason@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:46	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
alexander.silvis@wv.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Alexander Silvis	alexander.silvis@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:47	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
BFisher@dnr.IN.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Brant Fisher	BFisher@dnr.IN.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:47	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mike.davis@state.mn.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Mike Davis	mike.davis@state.mn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:47	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tim.lane@dwr.virginia.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus	Sheepnose Mussel	Tim Lane	tim.lane@dwr.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:48	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Scott_Pruitt@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Scott Pruitt	Scott_Pruitt@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:51	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
marissa_reed@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Marissa Reed	marissa_reed@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:52	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Anthony_Ford@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Andy Ford	Anthony_Ford@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:53	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andrew_phipps@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Andrew Phipps	andrew_phipps@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:53	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
megan_bradley@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Megan Bradley	megan_bradley@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:54	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andrew_henderson@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Andrew Henderson	andrew_henderson@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:56	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sandra_doran@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Sandra Doran	sandra_doran@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:57	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
robert_m_anderson@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Robert Anderson	robert_m_anderson@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:58	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rebekah_ewing@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Rebekah Ewing	rebekah_ewing@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 9:59	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers

michelina.dziadzio@myfwc.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Michelina Dziadzio	michelina.dziadzio@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/27/2022 10:15	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wooln1d@cmich.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Dr. Daelyn Woolnough	wooln1d@cmich.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 10:20	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bergdj@miamioh.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Dr. David Berg	bergdj@miamioh.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 10:29	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bergdj@miamioh.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Dr. David Berg	bergdj@miamioh.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 10:30	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bergdj@miamioh.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Dr. David Berg	bergdj@miamioh.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 10:30	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kiroe@iastate.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Dr. Kevin Roe	kiroe@iastate.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:05	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kiroe@iastate.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Dr. Kevin Roe	kiroe@iastate.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:05	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kiroe@iastate.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Dr. Kevin Roe	kiroe@iastate.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:06	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mark_hove@umn.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Mark Hove	mark_hove@umn.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:09	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mark_hove@umn.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monod	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Mark Hove	mark_hove@umn.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:10	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kevin.m.eliason@wv.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Kevin Eliason	kevin.m.eliason@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:14	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kevin.m.eliason@wv.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monc	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Kevin Eliason	kevin.m.eliason@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:14	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kevin.m.eliason@wv.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Kevin Eliason	kevin.m.eliason@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:14	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Stephen.McMurray@mdc.mo.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Steve McMurray	Stephen.McMurray@mdc.mo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:21	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Stephen.McMurray@mdc.mo.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberla	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Steve McMurray	Stephen.McMurray@mdc.mo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:21	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jesse.j.weinzinger@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Jesse Weinzinger	jesse.j.weinzinger@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:24	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jesse.j.weinzinger@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Jesse Weinzinger	jesse.j.weinzinger@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:24	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
alexander.silvis@wv.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Alexander Silvis	alexander.silvis@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:27	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
alexander.silvis@wv.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monc	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Alexander Silvis	alexander.silvis@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:27	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
alexander.silvis@wv.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Alexander Silvis	alexander.silvis@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:27	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brian.metzke@illinois.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Brian Metzke	brian.metzke@illinois.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:29	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brian.metzke@illinois.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia mor	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Brian Metzke	brian.metzke@illinois.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:29	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brian.metzke@illinois.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Brian Metzke	brian.metzke@illinois.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:29	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
John.Navarro@dnr.state.oh.usSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	John Navarro	John.Navarro@dnr.state.oh.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:31	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
John.Navarro@dnr.state.oh.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia	Spectaclecase (mussel)	John Navarro	John.Navarro@dnr.state.oh.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:31	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
John.Navarro@dnr.state.oh.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	John Navarro	John.Navarro@dnr.state.oh.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:31	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
BFisher@dnr.IN.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Brant Fisher	BFisher@dnr.IN.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:36	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
BFisher@dnr.IN.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Brant Fisher	BFisher@dnr.IN.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:37	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mike.davis@state.mn.usSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Mike Davis	mike.davis@state.mn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:39	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mike.davis@state.mn.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monc	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Mike Davis	mike.davis@state.mn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:39	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tim.lane@dwr.virginia.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia mo	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Tim Lane	tim.lane@dwr.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:40	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tim.lane@dwr.virginia.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Tim Lane	tim.lane@dwr.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:40	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tim.lane@dwr.virginia.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Tim Lane	tim.lane@dwr.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:41	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Scott_Pruitt@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Scott Pruitt	Scott_Pruitt@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:43	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Scott_Pruitt@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monod	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Scott Pruitt	Scott_Pruitt@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:44	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Scott_Pruitt@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Scott Pruitt	Scott_Pruitt@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:44	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
marissa_reed@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Marissa Reed	marissa_reed@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:45	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
marissa_reed@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monc	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Marissa Reed	marissa_reed@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:45	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
marissa_reed@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Marissa Reed	marissa_reed@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:45	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Anthony_Ford@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Andy Ford	Anthony_Ford@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:48	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Anthony_Ford@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monc	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Andy Ford	Anthony_Ford@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:48	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andrew_phipps@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia mon	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Andrew Phipps	andrew_phipps@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:50	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andrew_phipps@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Andrew Phipps	andrew_phipps@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:50	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andrew_phipps@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Andrew Phipps	andrew_phipps@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:50	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
megan_bradley@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Megan Bradley	megan_bradley@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:51	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
megan_bradley@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia mon	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Megan Bradley	megan_bradley@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:52	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
megan_bradley@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Megan Bradley	megan_bradley@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:52	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rebekah_ewing@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia mon	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Rebekah Ewing	rebekah_ewing@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:59	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andrew_henderson@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Andrew Henderson	andrew_henderson@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 11:59	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
robert_m_anderson@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Robert Anderson	robert_m_anderson@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 12:08	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
robert_m_anderson@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyph	Sheepnose Mussel	Robert Anderson	robert_m_anderson@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 12:09	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
monte.mcgregor@ky.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Dr. Monte McGregor	monte.mcgregor@ky.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 12:46	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
monte.mcgregor@ky.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Dr. Monte McGregor	monte.mcgregor@ky.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 12:47	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
monte.mcgregor@ky.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia mon	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Dr. Monte McGregor	monte.mcgregor@ky.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 12:47	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
monte.mcgregor@ky.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Dr. Monte McGregor	monte.mcgregor@ky.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	1/27/2022 12:48	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mike.pinder@dwr.virginia.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	Roanoke logperch	Mike Pinder	mike.pinder@dwr.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch	FALSE	1/27/2022 13:23	McRae, Sarah	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andy_roberts@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Andy Roberts	andy_roberts@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	1/27/2022 15:36	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andy_roberts@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Andy Roberts	andy_roberts@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	1/27/2022 15:36	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andy_roberts@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monc	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Andy Roberts	andy_roberts@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	1/27/2022 15:37	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
arthur_beyer@fws.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Art Beyer	arthur_beyer@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:29	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ryan_nordsven@fws.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Ryan Nordsven	ryan_nordsven@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:29	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jwhinton@esf.eduRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Joey Hinton	jwhinton@esf.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:29	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
vonholdt@princeton.eduRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Bridgett vonHoldt	vonholdt@princeton.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:30	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bnsacks@ucdavis.eduRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Ben Sacks	bnsacks@ucdavis.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:30	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kbrzeski@mtu.eduRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Kristen Brzeski	kbrzeski@mtu.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:31	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rebecca_harrison@fws.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Becky Harrison	rebecca_harrison@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:31	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
eric.gese@usu.eduRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Eric Gese	eric.gese@usu.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:31	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Colleen.Olfenbuttel@ncwildlife.orgRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Colleen Olfenbuttel	Colleen.Olfenbuttel@ncwildlife.org	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:50	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
nlorenz@wlf.la.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Nicole Lorenz	nlorenz@wlf.la.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:32	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Dave.Holdermann@tpwd.texas.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Dave Holdermann	Dave.Holdermann@tpwd.texas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:33	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ButfiloskiJ@dnr.sc.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Jay Butfiloski	ButfiloskiJ@dnr.sc.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:33	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Brian.Flock@tn.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Brian Flock	Brian.Flock@tn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:34	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mike.fies@dwr.virginia.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Mike Fies	mike.fies@dwr.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:50	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Mike.Gillikin@MyFWC.comRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Mike Gillikin	Mike.Gillikin@MyFWC.com	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:35	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
adamsj@uidaho.eduRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Jennifer Adams	adamsj@uidaho.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:36	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
LFaust@lpzoo.orgRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Lisa Faust	LFaust@lpzoo.org	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:36	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sarah.terese.long@gmail.comRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Sarah Long	sarah.terese.long@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:37	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Natalie.Davis@pdza.orgRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Natalie Davis	Natalie.Davis@pdza.org	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:37	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
firthoclyde53@gmail.comRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Will Waddell	firthoclyde53@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:38	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
angelina@weilerwoods.comRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Angelina Casillas	angelina@weilerwoods.com	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:38	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lauren_toivonen@fws.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Lauren Toivonen	lauren_toivonen@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:38	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mgruder@uga.eduRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Mark Ruder	mgruder@uga.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:39	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Michael.Cherry@tamuk.eduRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Mike Cherry	Michael.Cherry@tamuk.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:39	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rmossotti@endangeredwolfcenter.orgRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Regina Mossotti	rmossotti@endangeredwolfcenter.org	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:40	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sagan@antioch.eduRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Suzanne Agan	sagan@antioch.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:40	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
amielle@impactbydesigninc.orgRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Amielle Dewan	amielle@impactbydesigninc.org	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:40	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Corinne.Kendall@nczoo.orgRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Corinne Kendall	Corinne.Kendall@nczoo.org	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:41	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Mike.Phillips@tedturner.comRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Mike Phillips	Mike.Phillips@tedturner.com	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:41	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kwheeler@redwolves.comRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Kim Wheeler	kwheeler@redwolves.com	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:42	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Kel.cb.davis@gmail.comRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Kelly Davis	Kel.cb.davis@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:42	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wes@seegarsfence.comRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Wes Seegers	wes@seegarsfence.com	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:42	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
duke.rankin@usda.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Duke Rankin	duke.rankin@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:43	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
pat-gwin@cherokee.orgRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Pat Gwin	pat-gwin@cherokee.org	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:43	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kaleigh@monacannation.comRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Kaleigh Pollak	kaleigh@monacannation.com	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:43	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
environment@umitribe.orgRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Leigh Mitchell	environment@umitribe.org	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:44	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
liz@ncwf.org Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Liz Rutledge	liz@ncwf.org	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:44	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dclegg@tyrrellcounty.netRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	David Clegg	dclegg@tyrrellcounty.net	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:45	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jason_keith@fws.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Jason Keith	jason_keith@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:45	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
robert.wayne@ncwildlife.orgRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Robert Wayne	robert.wayne@ncwildlife.org	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:46	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
SongsasenN@si.eduRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Nuch Songsasen	SongsasenN@si.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:46	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
trisch@astate.eduRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Tom Risch	trisch@astate.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:46	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
chris.lasher@nczoo.orgRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Chris Lasher	chris.lasher@nczoo.org	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:47	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
johnsonae@si.eduRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Amy Johnson	johnsonae@si.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:47	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andrea.shipley@ncwildlife.orgRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Andrea Shipley	andrea.shipley@ncwildlife.org	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:48	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
becky.gwynn@dwr.virginia.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Becky Gwynn	becky.gwynn@dwr.virginia.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:48	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
aaron_valenta@fws.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Aaron Valenta	aaron_valenta@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:48	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
pete_benjamin@fws.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Pete Benjamin	pete_benjamin@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 13:48	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
joseph_madison@fws.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Joe Madison	joseph_madison@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 16:19	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
emily_weller@fws.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Emily Weller	emily_weller@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/28/2022 16:19	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bryan.phillips@myfwc.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Bryan Phillips	bryan.phillips@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/28/2022 16:04	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
logan.benedict@myfwc.com Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Logan Benedict	logan.benedict@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	1/28/2022 16:05	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lajordan24@comcast.netSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses	Lucy Jordan	lajordan24@comcast.net	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	1/31/2022 11:16	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
pmiller@cpsg.orgRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Phil Miller	pmiller@cpsg.org	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	1/31/2022 14:50	Valenta, Aaron	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
nimbus_22@msn.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Dan Kelner	nimbus_22@msn.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	TRUE	2/1/2022 10:11	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Rebecca.Hayman@MyFWC.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Blair Hayman	Rebecca.Hayman@MyFWC.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	2/2/2022 10:07	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
caphilli@illinois.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoens	Illinois chorus frog	Dr. Chris Phillips	caphilli@illinois.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis)	TRUE	2/7/2022 8:46	Nail, Kelly	3/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jeff.briggler@mdc.mo.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris ill	Illinois chorus frog	Dr. Jeff Briggler	jeff.briggler@mdc.mo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis)	FALSE	2/7/2022 8:46	Nail, Kelly	3/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kelly.irwin@agfc.ar.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illino	Illinois chorus frog	Dr. Kelly Irwin	kelly.irwin@agfc.ar.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis)	FALSE	2/7/2022 8:47	Nail, Kelly	3/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
john.wilker@illinois.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinc	Illinois chorus frog	John Wilker	john.wilker@illinois.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis)	FALSE	2/7/2022 8:47	Nail, Kelly	3/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
joacrawford@lc.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoen	Illinois chorus frog	Dr. John Crawford	joacrawford@lc.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis)	FALSE	2/7/2022 8:47	Nail, Kelly	3/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
arkuhns@illinois.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoen	Illinois chorus frog	Dr. Andrew Kuhns	arkuhns@illinois.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis)	FALSE	2/7/2022 8:48	Nail, Kelly	3/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ressner@siue.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis	Illinois chorus frog	Dr. Richard Essner	ressner@siue.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis)	TRUE	2/7/2022 8:48	Nail, Kelly	3/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
christopher.shulse@modot.mo.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pse	Illinois chorus frog	Dr. Chris Shulse	christopher.shulse@modot.mo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis)	FALSE	2/7/2022 8:48	Nail, Kelly	3/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lneumanlee@astate.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illin	Illinois chorus frog	Dr. Lori Neuman-Lee	lneumanlee@astate.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis)	TRUE	2/7/2022 8:48	Nail, Kelly	3/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dustin.lynch@arkansas.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris i	Illinois chorus frog	Dr. Dustin Lynch	dustin.lynch@arkansas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis)	FALSE	2/7/2022 8:49	Nail, Kelly	3/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Scott.Ballard@illinois.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris ill	Illinois chorus frog	Scott Ballard	Scott.Ballard@illinois.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis)	FALSE	2/7/2022 8:49	Nail, Kelly	3/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mlyons@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis	Illinois chorus frog	Dr. Marta Lyons	mlyons@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis)	TRUE	2/7/2022 8:49	Nail, Kelly	3/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mark.alessi@illinois.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinc	Illinois chorus frog	Mark Alessi	mark.alessi@illinois.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis)	FALSE	2/7/2022 8:50	Nail, Kelly	3/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Eric.Suarez@MyFWC.comFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Eric Suarez	Eric.Suarez@MyFWC.com	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	FALSE	2/7/2022 13:55	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
noah.mccoard@usda.govFlorida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	Florida pinesnake	Noah McCoard	noah.mccoard@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0	TRUE	2/9/2022 11:49	London, Paula (Kaye)	2/18/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
paigewolken@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses	Paige	paigewolken@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	2/9/2022 17:15	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Paul.Johnson@dcnr.alabama.govOblong Rocksnail SSA	Oblong rocksnail	Paul D. Johnson	Paul.Johnson@dcnr.alabama.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Oblong Rocksnail SSA	TRUE	2/15/2022 11:24	Hamilton, Byron	3/11/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jeffrey.garner@dcnr.alabama.govOblong Rocksnail SSA	Oblong rocksnail	Jeff Garner	jeffrey.garner@dcnr.alabama.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Oblong Rocksnail SSA	TRUE	2/15/2022 11:25	Hamilton, Byron	3/11/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ljordan@jsu.eduOblong Rocksnail SSA	Oblong rocksnail	Dr. Lori Tolley-Jordan	ljordan@jsu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Oblong Rocksnail SSA	TRUE	2/16/2022 11:33	Hamilton, Byron	3/11/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
skbrewer@usgs.govOblong Rocksnail SSA	Oblong rocksnail	Dr. Shannon Brewer	skbrewer@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Oblong Rocksnail SSA	FALSE	2/11/2022 11:53	Hamilton, Byron	3/11/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jthroneberry@tnc.orgOblong Rocksnail SSA	Oblong rocksnail	Jason Throneberry	jthroneberry@tnc.org	Peer Review	Yes	Oblong Rocksnail SSA	FALSE	2/11/2022 11:54	Hamilton, Byron	3/11/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
smcgregor@gsa.state.al.usOblong Rocksnail SSA	Oblong rocksnail	Stuart W. McGregor	smcgregor@gsa.state.al.us	Peer Review	Yes	Oblong Rocksnail SSA	FALSE	2/11/2022 11:56	Hamilton, Byron	3/11/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
christopherkeleher@utah.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses	Christopher Keleher	christopherkeleher@utah.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	2/17/2022 16:25	Burgess, Kevin	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
E029415@wv.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Sheepnose Mussel	Kevin Eliason	E029415@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	2/18/2022 7:13	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers

E029415@wv.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Kevin Eliason	E029415@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	2/18/2022 7:14	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
E029415@wv.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Kevin Eliason	E029415@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	2/18/2022 7:14	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
E029415@wv.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Rayed Bean	Kevin Eliason	E029415@wv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	FALSE	2/18/2022 7:15	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bridget.henning-randa@state.mn.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasu	Sheepnose Mussel	Bridget Henning-Randa	bridget.henning-randa@state.mn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	2/18/2022 8:54	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bridget.henning-randa@state.mn.usSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetr	Snuffbox mussel	Bridget Henning-Randa	bridget.henning-randa@state.mn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	2/18/2022 8:55	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bridget.henning-randa@state.mn.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumber	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Bridget Henning-Randa	bridget.henning-randa@state.mn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	2/18/2022 8:55	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
paigewolken@hotmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses	Paige	paigewolken@hotmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	2/22/2022 18:17	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Lisie.Kitchel@wisconsin.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia m	Spectaclecase (mussel)	Lisie Kitchel	Lisie.Kitchel@wisconsin.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)	FALSE	2/24/2022 8:15	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Lisie.Kitchel@wisconsin.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Snuffbox mussel	Lisie Kitchel	Lisie.Kitchel@wisconsin.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	FALSE	2/24/2022 8:18	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Lisie.Kitchel@wisconsin.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyu	Sheepnose Mussel	Lisie Kitchel	Lisie.Kitchel@wisconsin.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	FALSE	2/24/2022 8:19	Nail, Kelly	2/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
palexander@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian pair	Glowing Indian paintbrush	Dr. Patrick Alexander	palexander@blm.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian paintbrush) (Castilleja ora	FALSE	3/1/2022 13:49	Burkhart, Jacob J	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
georgef@arizona.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian pair	Glowing Indian paintbrush	Dr. George Ferguson	georgef@arizona.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian paintbrush) (Castilleja ora	TRUE	3/22/2022 14:01	Burkhart, Jacob J	4/1/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kendelheil@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian pa	Glowing Indian paintbrush	Dr. Ken Heil	kendelheil@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian paintbrush) (Castilleja ora	FALSE	3/1/2022 13:50	Burkhart, Jacob J	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bsivinski@cybermesa.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indiar	Glowing Indian paintbrush	Bob Sivinski	bsivinski@cybermesa.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian paintbrush) (Castilleja ora	TRUE	3/2/2022 15:34	Burkhart, Jacob J	4/1/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
daniela.roth@state.nm.usSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indiar	Glowing Indian paintbrush	Daniela Roth	daniela.roth@state.nm.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian paintbrush) (Castilleja ora	FALSE	3/1/2022 13:51	Burkhart, Jacob J	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
m.egger@comcast.netSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian pa	Glowing Indian paintbrush	Dr. J. Mark Egger	m.egger@comcast.net	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian paintbrush) (Castilleja ora	FALSE	3/1/2022 13:51	Burkhart, Jacob J	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mithomas@cabq.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian pair	Glowing Indian paintbrush	Maria Thomas	mithomas@cabq.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian paintbrush) (Castilleja ora	FALSE	3/1/2022 13:55	Burkhart, Jacob J	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
daniel_leavitt@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Dr. Daniel Leavitt	daniel_leavitt@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	FALSE	3/1/2022 18:07	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
emily.wirth@cehmm.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Emily Wirth	emily.wirth@cehmm.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	FALSE	3/1/2022 18:07	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lfitzgerald@tamu.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Dr. Lee Fitzgerald	lfitzgerald@tamu.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	FALSE	3/1/2022 18:08	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
khucks@slo.state.nm.usSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Katrina Hucks	khucks@slo.state.nm.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	FALSE	3/1/2022 18:08	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
paul.crump@tpwd.texas.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Paul Crump	paul.crump@tpwd.texas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	FALSE	3/1/2022 18:09	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
thallen@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Ty Allen	thallen@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	FALSE	3/1/2022 18:09	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cameron.barrows@ucr.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Dr. Cameron Barrows	cameron.barrows@ucr.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	TRUE	3/3/2022 14:30	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
garyk@isg.utexas.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Dr. Gary Kocurek	garyk@jsg.utexas.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	TRUE	3/2/2022 17:06	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
thibbitts@tamu.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Dr. Toby Hibbitts	thibbitts@tamu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	TRUE	3/2/2022 17:08	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brent.elliott@rrc.texas.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Dr. Brent Elliot	brent.elliott@rrc.texas.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	TRUE	3/4/2022 9:42	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dcooke@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Danica Cooke	dcooke@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	FALSE	3/1/2022 18:11	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
snell@unm.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Dr. Howard Snell	snell@unm.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	FALSE	3/1/2022 18:12	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lchan@pacificu.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Dr. Lauren Chan	lchan@pacificu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	TRUE	3/2/2022 17:06	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
neomexicanus@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Mike Hill	neomexicanus@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	TRUE	3/29/2022 10:13	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jonpaul.pierre@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Dr. John Paul Pierre	jonpaul.pierre@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	FALSE	3/1/2022 18:16	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
justin_bohling@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Me	justin_bohling@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	FALSE	3/1/2022 18:45	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
leesbuys@comcast.netSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Lealand Pierce	leesbuys@comcast.net	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	FALSE	3/2/2022 10:26	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jmedina@vtc.netSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian paintbru	Glowing Indian paintbrush	Jennifer Medina	jmedina@vtc.net	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian paintbrush) (Castilleja ora	FALSE	3/2/2022 12:28	Burkhart, Jacob J	4/1/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
erees@cabq.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian paintbru	Glowing Indian paintbrush	Emily Rees	erees@cabq.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian paintbrush) (Castilleja ora	FALSE	3/4/2022 9:48	Burkhart, Jacob J	4/1/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
adiamond@troy.eduRelict Trillium	Relict trillium	Alvin Diamond	adiamond@troy.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Relict Trillium	TRUE	3/8/2022 13:59	Kenney, Leah A	4/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lchafin@uga.eduRelict Trillium	Relict trillium	Linda Chafin	lchafin@uga.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Relict Trillium	FALSE	3/7/2022 17:37	Kenney, Leah A	4/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
james.rickard@usda.govRelict Trillium	Relict trillium	Jimmy Rickard	james.rickard@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Relict Trillium	FALSE	3/7/2022 17:37	Kenney, Leah A	4/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bslay@tnc.orgRelict Trillium	Relict trillium	Brant Slay	bslay@tnc.org	Partner Review	Yes	Relict Trillium	FALSE	3/7/2022 17:38	Kenney, Leah A	4/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dwear@augusta.eduRelict Trillium	Relict trillium	Donna Wear	dwear@augusta.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Relict Trillium	TRUE	3/7/2022 17:39	Kenney, Leah A	4/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wayne.barger@dcnr.alabama.govRelict Trillium	Relict trillium	Wayne Barger	wayne.barger@dcnr.alabama.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Relict Trillium	FALSE	3/7/2022 17:39	Kenney, Leah A	4/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Leah_Kenney@fws.govRelict Trillium	Relict trillium	Leah Kenney	Leah_Kenney@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Relict Trillium	FALSE	3/7/2022 17:43	Kenney, Leah A	4/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
leah_kenney@fws.govSSA_TRRE_PeerReview_20220307	Relict trillium	Leah Kenney	leah_kenney@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	SSA_TRRE_PeerReview_20220307	FALSE	3/8/2022 9:32	Kenney, Leah A	4/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Peter_Maholland@fws.govRelict Trillium	Relict trillium	Peter maholland	Peter_Maholland@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Relict Trillium	FALSE	3/8/2022 12:26	Kenney, Leah A	4/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Kelly_Nail@fws.govRelict Trillium	Relict trillium	Kelly Nail	Kelly_Nail@fws.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Relict Trillium	TRUE	3/8/2022 13:59	Kenney, Leah A	4/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Whit.storey@cehmm.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Whit Storey	Whit.storey@cehmm.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	FALSE	3/9/2022 16:11	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Matt.mathis@cehmm.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	Dunes sagebrush lizard	Matt Mathis	Matt.mathis@cehmm.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0	FALSE	3/9/2022 16:11	Bohling, Justin H	3/31/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jim.spencer@usda.govSpecies Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	Ute ladies'-tresses	Jim Spencer	jim.spencer@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses	FALSE	3/15/2022 12:13	Hansen, Craig	3/9/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dana.karelus@tpwd.texas.govRed Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	Red wolf	Dana Karelus	dana.karelus@tpwd.texas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022	FALSE	3/18/2022 10:57	Servis, Jennifer A	2/25/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
rick_toomey@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spelae	Northern cavefish	Rick Toomey	rick_toomey@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spelaea)	FALSE	4/13/2022 10:22	Evans, Brian	5/12/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
matt.thomas@ky.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spelae	Northern cavefish	Matt Thomas	matt.thomas@ky.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spelaea)	FALSE	4/20/2022 8:30	Evans, Brian	5/12/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
MSlay@TNC.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spelaea)	Northern cavefish	Mike Slay	MSlay@TNC.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spelaea)	FALSE	4/13/2022 10:24	Evans, Brian	5/12/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gadams@uca.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spelaea)	Northern cavefish	Ginny Adams	gadams@uca.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spelaea)	FALSE	4/13/2022 10:24	Evans, Brian	5/12/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dante.fenolio@sazoo.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spe	Northern cavefish	Dante Fenolio	dante.fenolio@sazoo.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spelaea)	TRUE	6/29/2022 13:43	Evans, Brian	7/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dculver@american.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spela	Northern cavefish	Dave Culver	dculver@american.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spelaea)	TRUE	5/17/2022 15:26	Evans, Brian	5/12/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
aengel1@utk.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spelaea)	Northern cavefish	Annette Engel	aengel1@utk.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spelaea)	FALSE	4/13/2022 10:27	Evans, Brian	5/12/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
djachow@clemson.eduPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Dr. David Jachowski	djachow@clemson.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	TRUE	6/30/2022 9:15	Shoemaker, Justin	7/1/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Blake.Sasse@agfc.ar.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Blake Sasse	Blake.Sasse@agfc.ar.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 11:25	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
robert.lonsinger@okstate.eduPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Dr. Robert Lonsinger	robert.lonsinger@okstate.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	TRUE	6/14/2022 15:58	Shoemaker, Justin	6/16/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
eric.odell@state.co.usPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Eric Odell	eric.odell@state.co.us	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:33	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Joe.Kath@illinois.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Joe Kath	Joe.Kath@illinois.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:34	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kelly.poole@dnr.iowa.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Kelly Poole	kelly.poole@dnr.iowa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:34	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
chris.berens@ks.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Chris Berens	chris.berens@ks.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:34	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
DGarig@wlf.la.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Dustin Garig	DGarig@wlf.la.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:35	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
nlorenz@wlf.la.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Nicole Lorenz	nlorenz@wlf.la.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:35	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
john.erb@state.mn.usPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	John Erb	john.erb@state.mn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:36	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lisa.joyal@state.mn.usPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Lisa Joyal	lisa.joyal@state.mn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:36	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Kelly.Rezac@mdc.mo.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Kelly Rezac	Kelly.Rezac@mdc.mo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:36	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bmaxell@mt.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Bryce Maxell	bmaxell@mt.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:37	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
DBachen@mt.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Dan Bachen	DBachen@mt.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:37	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
matthew.fullerton@odwc.ok.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Matt Fullerton	matthew.fullerton@odwc.ok.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:37	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
shaun.dunn@nebraska.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Shaun Dunn	shaun.dunn@nebraska.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:38	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
pisakson@nd.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Patrick Isakson	pisakson@nd.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:38	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
eileen.dowdstukel@state.sd.usPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Eileen Stukel	eileen.dowdstukel@state.sd.us	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:38	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bob.gottfried@tpwd.texas.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Bob Gottfried	bob.gottfried@tpwd.texas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:38	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jonah.evans@tpwd.texas.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Jonah Evans	jonah.evans@tpwd.texas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:39	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
owen.boyle@wisconsin.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Owen Boyle	owen.boyle@wisconsin.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:39	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
charles.e.pekins.civ@mail.milPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Charles Pekins	charles.e.pekins.civ@mail.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/19/2022 14:40	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cbattaglia@wlf.la.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Charles Battaglia	cbattaglia@wlf.la.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/20/2022 14:27	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bridget.henning-randa@state.mn.usPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Bridget Henning-Randa	bridget.henning-randa@state.mn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/20/2022 14:28	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gerda.nordquist@state.mn.usPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Gerda Nordquist	gerda.nordquist@state.mn.us	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/20/2022 14:29	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
zack.walker@wyo.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Zack Walker	zack.walker@wyo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/20/2022 14:29	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jestoo@gmail.comPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Heather O'Brien	jestoo@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/21/2022 10:07	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dana.karelus@tpwd.texas.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Dana Karelus	dana.karelus@tpwd.texas.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	4/21/2022 10:06	Shoemaker, Justin	5/27/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gemma.milly@dnr.ga.govRelict Trillium	Relict trillium	Gemma Milly	gemma.milly@dnr.ga.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Relict Trillium	FALSE	5/4/2022 10:44	Kenney, Leah A	4/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bradleyk@dnr.sc.govRelict Trillium	Relict trillium	Keith Bradley	bradleyk@dnr.sc.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Relict Trillium	FALSE	5/4/2022 13:18	Kenney, Leah A	4/6/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
justin_shoemaker@fws.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Justin Shoemaker	justin_shoemaker@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	5/12/2022 14:22	Shoemaker, Justin	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
zackary.cordes@ks.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Zackary Cordes	zackary.cordes@ks.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	5/13/2022 12:31	Shoemaker, Justin	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mcook@sfwmd.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociab	Everglade snail kite	Dr. Mark Cook	mcook@sfwmd.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:38	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ldacunto@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociab	Everglade snail kite	Dr. Laura D'Acunto	ldacunto@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	TRUE	5/13/2022 18:19	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tylan_dean@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus socia	Everglade snail kite	Tylan Dean	tylan_dean@nps.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:38	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wiley01@ufl.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis	Everglade snail kite	Dr. Wiley Kitchens	wiley01@ufl.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	TRUE	6/27/2022 15:44	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
craigv@miccosukeetribe.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrham	Everglade snail kite	Craig van der Heiden	craigv@miccosukeetribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:50	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
karlieckel@semtribe.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus s	Everglade snail kite	Karli Eckel	karlieckel@semtribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:50	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lori_miller@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociab	Everglade snail kite	Lori Miller	lori_miller@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:51	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jed_redwine@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus soci	Everglade snail kite	Jed Redwine	jed_redwine@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:51	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tim.coughlin@myfwc.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus	Everglade snail kite	Tim Coughlin	tim.coughlin@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:52	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Marsha.Ward@myfwc.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamu	Everglade snail kite	Marsha Ward	Marsha.Ward@myfwc.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:52	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sbousqu@sfwmd.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus socia	Everglade snail kite	Steve Bousquin	sbousqu@sfwmd.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:53	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dhall@sjrwmd.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabi	Everglade snail kite	Dr. Dianne Hall	dhall@sjrwmd.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/16/2022 10:22	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Paul.Gray@audubon.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus s	Everglade snail kite	Paul Gray	Paul.Gray@audubon.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:54	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sromanach@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus soci	Everglade snail kite	Dr. Stephanie Romanach	sromanach@usgs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:54	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Andrew.J.Loschiavo@usace.army.milSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (R	Everglade snail kite	Andy LoSchiavo	Andrew.J.Loschiavo@usace.army.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:55	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bgarret@sfwmd.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociab	Everglade snail kite	Brian Garrett	bgarret@sfwmd.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:55	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
twarraic@sfwmd.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus socia	Everglade snail kite	Natasha Warraich	twarraic@sfwmd.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:56	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
handreot@sfwmd.govSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus soci	Everglade snail kite	Holly Andreotta	handreot@sfwmd.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/13/2022 13:56	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
knussear@unr.eduSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	Ken Nussear	knussear@unr.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/13/2022 17:32	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
William.Godsoe@lincoln.ac.nzSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	William Godsoe	William.Godsoe@lincoln.ac.nz	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	TRUE	7/11/2022 14:43	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mloik@ucsc.eduSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	Michael Loik	mloik@ucsc.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	TRUE	5/24/2022 9:10	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jharrower@ucsc.eduSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriar	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	Jennifer Harrower	jharrower@ucsc.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	TRUE	5/31/2022 17:51	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jeremy.yoder@csun.eduSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaege	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	Jeremy Yoder	jeremy.yoder@csun.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	TRUE	5/23/2022 17:55	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lynn.sweet@ucr.eduSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegerian	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	Lynn Sweet	lynn.sweet@ucr.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	TRUE	5/24/2022 18:18	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ken.cole@nau.eduSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	Kenneth Cole	ken.cole@nau.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/13/2022 17:35	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
matt.peek@ks.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Matt Peek	matt.peek@ks.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	5/16/2022 10:38	Shoemaker, Justin	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
nathaniel.bowersock@mdc.mo.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Nate Bowersock	nathaniel.bowersock@mdc.mo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	5/16/2022 10:47	Shoemaker, Justin	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Laura.conlee@mdc.mo.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Laura Conlee	Laura.conlee@mdc.mo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	5/16/2022 10:47	Shoemaker, Justin	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sjmiller@sjrwmd.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus soci	Everglade snail kite	Steve Miller	sjmiller@sjrwmd.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	5/16/2022 16:48	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mkunz@ad.unc.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	Venus flytrap	Mike Kunz	mkunz@ad.unc.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	FALSE	5/26/2022 16:03	McRae, Sarah	6/24/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brandon.dean@ncwildlife.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	Venus flytrap	Brandon Dean	brandon.dean@ncwildlife.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	FALSE	5/18/2022 9:22	McRae, Sarah	6/24/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brenda.wichmann@ncdcr.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	Venus flytrap	Brenda Wichmann	brenda.wichmann@ncdcr.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	FALSE	5/18/2022 9:22	McRae, Sarah	6/24/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
april_punsalan@fws.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	Venus flytrap	April Punsalan	april_punsalan@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	FALSE	5/18/2022 9:22	McRae, Sarah	6/24/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bradleyk@dnr.sc.govSpecies Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	Venus flytrap	Keith Bradley	bradleyk@dnr.sc.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	FALSE	5/18/2022 9:23	McRae, Sarah	6/24/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gkauffman@fs.fed.usSpecies Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	Venus flytrap	Gary Kauffman	gkauffman@fs.fed.us	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	FALSE	5/18/2022 9:23	McRae, Sarah	6/24/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
akrings@ncsu.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	Venus flytrap	Alexander Krings	akrings@ncsu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	TRUE	5/26/2022 12:38	McRae, Sarah	6/24/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
deborah.maurer@tnc.orgSpecies Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	Venus flytrap	Deb Maurer	deborah.maurer@tnc.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	TRUE	5/26/2022 12:38	McRae, Sarah	6/24/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
steph_jeffries@ncsu.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	Venus flytrap	Steph Jeffries	steph_jeffries@ncsu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	FALSE	5/18/2022 9:24	McRae, Sarah	6/24/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lehamon@ncsu.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	Venus flytrap	Laura Hamon	lehamon@ncsu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	TRUE	5/26/2022 12:53	McRae, Sarah	6/24/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
shewr@uncw.eduSpecies Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	Venus flytrap	Roger Shew	shewr@uncw.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	TRUE	5/26/2022 12:38	McRae, Sarah	6/24/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
eyoungsteadt@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	Venus flytrap	Elsa Youngsteadt	eyoungsteadt@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap	TRUE	6/22/2022 14:19	McRae, Sarah	6/24/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Nathaniel.Bowersock@mdc.mo.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk	Nathaniel Bowersock	Nathaniel.Bowersock@mdc.mo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	5/25/2022 14:36	Shoemaker, Justin	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
armando.quintero@parks.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yuc	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	armando quintero	armando.quintero@parks.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:22	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kmourits@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	k mourits	kmourits@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:24	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jraby@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	J Raby	jraby@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:25	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gsheehan@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	G Sheehan	gsheehan@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:25	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
azstatedirector@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaeg	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	AZ State Director	azstatedirector@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:26	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
pcooley@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	P Cooley	pcooley@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:26	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Stan_Austin@nps.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeria	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	Stan Austin	Stan_Austin@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:27	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mark_sogge@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeri	Eastern Joshua tree, Western Joshua Tree	Mark Sogge	mark_sogge@usgs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:28	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers

jareid@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Jareid	jareid@usgs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:28	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
doug.powers1@navy.milSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaege	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Doug Powers	doug.powers1@navy.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:29	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
larry.zimmerman.3@us.af.milSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Larry Zimmerman	larry.zimmerman.3@us.af.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:29	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
william.h.berry@usmc.milSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaeg	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	William Berry	william.h.berry@usmc.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:30	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
AFIMSC.PA.Workflow@us.af.milSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yuc	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	AFIMSC	AFIMSC.PA.Workflow@us.af.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:30	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Chuck.Bonham@wildlife.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucc	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Chuck Bonham	Chuck.Bonham@wildlife.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:31	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Lisa.Mangat@wildlife.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca ja	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Lisa Mangat	Lisa.Mangat@wildlife.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:31	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
TWasley@NDoW.orgSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegerian	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	T Wasley	TWasley@NDoW.org	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:32	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
briansteed@utah.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeria	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Brian Steed	briansteed@utah.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:32	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
justinshirley@utah.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeri	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Justin Shirley	justinshirley@utah.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:33	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jpeterson@azda.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegerian	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	J. Peterson	jpeterson@azda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 10:34	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Ron.Melcer@parks.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jae	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Ron Melcer	Ron.Melcer@parks.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 12:10	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Leah.gardner@parks.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca ja	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Leah Gardner	Leah.gardner@parks.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 12:10	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Christopher.hon@parks.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucc	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Christopher Hon	Christopher.hon@parks.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 12:11	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
aroe@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Aron Roe	aroe@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 13:12	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mindywheeler@utah.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaeg	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Mindy Wheeler	mindywheeler@utah.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	6/17/2022 11:26	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mpreston@blm.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Matt Preston	mpreston@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 13:15	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
roland.a.sosa2.civ@us.navy.milSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucc	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Roland Sosa	roland.a.sosa2.civ@us.navy.mil	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	5/31/2022 17:58	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
terri.gaines@parks.ca.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaeg	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	terri gaines	terri.gaines@parks.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	6/1/2022 10:58	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bcrowell@dcnr.nv.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeria	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	bradley crowell	bcrowell@dcnr.nv.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	FALSE	6/1/2022 12:54	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jhogue-manuel@wlf.la.govPlains Spotted Skunk SSA	Spotted skunk		Jennifer Hogue-Manuel	jhogue-manuel@wlf.la.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Plains Spotted Skunk SSA	FALSE	6/7/2022 10:47	Shoemaker, Justin	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
victoria.dreitz@mso.umt.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrham	Everglade snail kite		Dr. Victoria Dreitz	victoria.dreitz@mso.umt.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	TRUE	6/15/2022 12:47	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tyler.j.michels@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus	Everglade snail kite		Tyler Michels	tyler.j.michels@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	6/17/2022 18:32	Tipton, Heather	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Kent.Laudon@wildlife.ca.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Kent Laudon	Kent.Laudon@wildlife.ca.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:30	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Rachel_Wolstenholme@nps.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Rachel Wolstenholme	Rachel_Wolstenholme@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:30	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
craig.thompson@usda.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Craig Thompson	craig.thompson@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:30	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
eric.odell@state.co.usGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Eric Odell	eric.odell@state.co.us	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:31	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
eellsworth@blm.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Ethan Ellsworth	eellsworth@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:31	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jon.rachael@idfg.idaho.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Jon Rachael	jon.rachael@idfg.idaho.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:32	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Joshua.Uriarte@osc.idaho.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Josh Uriarite	Joshua.Uriarte@osc.idaho.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:32	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ctboone@blm.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Chris Boone	ctboone@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:33	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Molly.parks@mt.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Molly Parks	Molly.parks@mt.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:34	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tammy.fletcher2@usda.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Tammy Fletcher	tammy.fletcher2@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:34	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
John.shivik@USDA.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		John Shivik	John.shivik@USDA.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:35	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mscott@ndow.orgGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Michael Scott	mscott@ndow.org	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:35	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
derek.j.broman@odfw.oregon.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Derek Broman	derek.j.broman@odfw.oregon.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:35	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bhollen@blm.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Bruce Hollen	bhollen@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:37	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
john.chatel@usda.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		John Chatel	john.chatel@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:37	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kimberlyasmus@utah.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Kim Hersey	kimberlyasmus@utah.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:37	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jason_i_ransom@nps.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Jason Ransom	jason_i_ransom@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:38	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
roberto.garcia@usda.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Robert Garcia	roberto.garcia@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:38	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Julia.Smith@dfw.wa.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Julia Smith	Julia.Smith@dfw.wa.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:39	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ckeefe@blm.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Chris Keefe	ckeefe@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:39	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Dan_stahler@nps.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Dan Stahler	Dan_stahler@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:39	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Doug_Smith@nps.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Doug Smith	Doug_Smith@nps.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:40	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andrew.pils@usda.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Andrew Pils	andrew.pils@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:40	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kerry.murphy@usda.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Kerry Murphy	kerry.murphy@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:40	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
daniel.thompson@wyo.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Daniel Thompson	daniel.thompson@wyo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:41	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Keith.p.wehner@usda.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Keith Wehner	Keith.p.wehner@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:41	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
apils5783@gmail.comGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Andrew Pils	apils5783@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:46	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
robert.brunoe@ctwsbnr.orgGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Robert Brunoe	robert.brunoe@ctwsbnr.org	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:52	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Calla.Hagle@burnspaiute-nsn.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Calla Hagle	Calla.Hagle@burnspaiute-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:52	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
andrewwildbill@ctuir.orgGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Andrew Wildbill	andrewwildbill@ctuir.org	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:52	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
steve.rondeau@klamathtribes.comGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Steve Rondeau	steve.rondeau@klamathtribes.com	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:53	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cameron.heusser@cdatribe-nsn.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Cameron Hausser	cameron.heusser@cdatribe-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:54	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
soults@kootenai.orgGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Scott Soults	soults@kootenai.org	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:55	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lawson@windriverfishandgame.comGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Art Lawson	lawson@windriverfishandgame.com	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:55	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Kari.Eneas@cskt.orgGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Kari Eneas	Kari.Eneas@cskt.org	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:55	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gcobell@blackfeetnation.comGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Gerald Cobell	gcobell@blackfeetnation.com	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:56	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
richard.whitney@colvilletribes.comGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Richard Whitney	richard.whitney@colvilletribes.com	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:56	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
savanah.walker@spokanetribe.comGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Savanah Walker	savanah.walker@spokanetribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:57	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dosterman@knrd.orgGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Deane Osterman	dosterman@knrd.org	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/23/2022 9:57	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wileycoyotekitchens@outlook.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Ros	Everglade snail kite		Dr. Wiley Kitchens	wileycoyotekitchens@outlook.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	6/27/2022 15:55	Colangelo, Nikki	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
roland_white@fws.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Roland White	roland_white@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	6/29/2022 13:07	White, Roland L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
todd_esque@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeri	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Todd Esque	todd_esque@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	TRUE	7/11/2022 14:25	Giglio, Deborah	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf				Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/13/2022 14:35	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tom.schumacher@alaska.govAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Tom Schumacher	tom.schumacher@alaska.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:22	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bonnie.bennetsen@usda.gov Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Bonnie Bennetsen	bonnie.bennetsen@usda.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	8/8/2022 15:39	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ppaquet@baudoux.ca Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Paul Paquet	ppaquet@baudoux.ca	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:24	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dausband@uidaho.eduAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Dave Ausband	dausband@uidaho.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	TRUE	7/7/2022 12:41	White, Roland L	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jgude@mt.govAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Justin Gude	jgude@mt.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:27	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
DanielJ.Storm@wisconsin.govAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Dan Storm	DanielJ.Storm@wisconsin.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:27	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
smcrimmins2@alaska.eduAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Shawn Crimmins	smcrimmins2@alaska.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	TRUE	7/8/2022 13:43	White, Roland L	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
chris.darimont@gmail.comAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Chris Darimont	chris.darimont@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:28	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
garth.mowat@gov.bc.caAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Garth Mowat	garth.mowat@gov.bc.ca	Peer Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	TRUE	7/14/2022 16:49	White, Roland L	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cshanley@tnc.orgAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Colin Shanley	cshanley@tnc.org	Peer Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	TRUE	7/8/2022 17:30	White, Roland L	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sophie.gilbert@ncx.comAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Sophie Gilbert	sophie.gilbert@ncx.com	Peer Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:28	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tthornto@alaska.eduAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Tom Thornton	tthornto@alaska.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:28	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tjbrinkman@alaska.eduAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Todd Brinkman	tjbrinkman@alaska.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	TRUE	7/26/2022 17:57	White, Roland L	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
ckeefe@blm.govNarrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	Narrow-foot hygrotus diving beetle		Chris Keefe	ckeefe@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Narrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:36	Eakin, Carly J	8/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dstaffor@blm.govNarrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	Narrow-foot hygrotus diving beetle		Darci Stafford	dstaffor@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Narrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:36	Eakin, Carly J	8/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
david.zafft@wyo.govNarrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	Narrow-foot hygrotus diving beetle		Dave Zafft	david.zafft@wyo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Narrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:36	Eakin, Carly J	8/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
j75wrigh@blm.govNarrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	Narrow-foot hygrotus diving beetle		Jim Wright	j75wrigh@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Narrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:36	Eakin, Carly J	8/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
justin.williams@wyo.govNarrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	Narrow-foot hygrotus diving beetle		Justin Williams	justin.williams@wyo.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Narrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:37	Eakin, Carly J	8/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tronstad@uwyo.eduNarrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	Narrow-foot hygrotus diving beetle		Lusha Tronstad	tronstad@uwyo.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Narrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:42	Eakin, Carly J	8/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
splawler@ucdavis.eduNarrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	Narrow-foot hygrotus diving beetle		Sharon Lawler	splawler@ucdavis.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Narrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:43	Eakin, Carly J	8/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tschmidt@usgs.govNarrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	Narrow-foot hygrotus diving beetle		Travis Schmidt	tschmidt@usgs.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Narrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:43	Eakin, Carly J	8/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wwittkop@blm.govNarrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	Narrow-foot hygrotus diving beetle		Wyatt Witkopp	wwittkop@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Narrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	FALSE	7/5/2022 20:43	Eakin, Carly J	8/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf				Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/6/2022 20:40	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tfletcher22@gmail.comGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Tammy Fletcher	tfletcher22@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	7/6/2022 9:47	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
eric.a.odell@gmail.comGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Eric Odell	eric.a.odell@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	7/7/2022 12:03	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dot@kake-nsn.gov Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Mike Jackson	dot@kake-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/8/2022 17:54	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
douvillema@gmail.comAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Mike Douville	douvillema@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/8/2022 17:54	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
otterhunter1@hotmail.com Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Scott Jackson	otterhunter1@hotmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/8/2022 17:54	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
daxootsu@hotmail.com Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Judy Ramos	daxootsu@hotmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/8/2022 17:55	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
fvhighpockets@gmail.com Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Tom George	fvhighpockets@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/8/2022 17:55	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jon.rowan@klawockschool.comAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Jon Rowan	jon.rowan@klawockschool.com	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/8/2022 17:55	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
danderstrom13@gmail.com Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Devlin Anderstrom	danderstrom13@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/8/2022 17:55	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Jeffrey.Brooks@boem.govAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Jeffrey Brooks	Jeffrey.Brooks@boem.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/8/2022 17:56	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sjlangdon@alaska.eduAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Dr. Stephen Langdon	sjlangdon@alaska.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/8/2022 17:56	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
borntofish05@hotmail.com Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Tony Sanderson	borntofish05@hotmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/8/2022 17:56	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jedredwine@semtribe.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus	Everglade snail kite		Jed Redwine	jedredwine@semtribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Versi	FALSE	7/11/2022 16:00	Tipton, Heather	6/13/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
craig_hansen@fws.govClay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report	Clay-Loving wild buckwheat		Craig M Hansen	craig_hansen@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Clay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report	FALSE	7/11/2022 16:54	Hansen, Craig	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
cdawson@blm.govClay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report	Clay-Loving wild buckwheat		Dr. Carol Dawson	cdawson@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Clay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report	FALSE	7/11/2022 18:40	Hansen, Craig	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jp.smith@colostate.eduClay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report	Clay-Loving wild buckwheat		Jessica Smith	jp.smith@colostate.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Clay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report	TRUE	7/28/2022 13:54	Hansen, Craig	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kholsing@blm.govClay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report	Clay-Loving wild buckwheat		Kenneth Holsinger	kholsing@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Clay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report	FALSE	7/11/2022 19:18	Hansen, Craig	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
pkrening@blm.govClay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report	Clay-Loving wild buckwheat		Phillip Krening	pkrening@blm.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Clay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report	FALSE	7/12/2022 11:19	Hansen, Craig	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
samantha.naibauer@unco.eduClay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report	Clay-Loving wild buckwheat		Samantha Naibauer	samantha.naibauer@unco.edu	Partner Review	Yes	Clay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report	FALSE	7/12/2022 11:48	Hansen, Craig	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mark_nuetzmann@yakama.comGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Mark Nuetzmann	mark_nuetzmann@yakama.com	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	7/12/2022 14:22	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Chuck.Smythe@sealaska.comAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Dr. Chuck Smythe	Chuck.Smythe@sealaska.com	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/12/2022 18:59	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
carly_eakin@fws.govAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		carly PEER TEST with COI	carly_eakin@fws.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	TRUE	7/15/2022 18:44	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
carly_eakin@fws.govAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		carly TEST PEER without COI	carly_eakin@fws.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/15/2022 18:44	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
carly_eakin@fws.govAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		carly TEST PARTNER	carly_eakin@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/15/2022 18:45	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gretchen.roffler@alaska.govAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Gretchen Roffler	gretchen.roffler@alaska.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/15/2022 19:01	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
tribalpresident@gmail.comAlexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	Alexander Archipelago wolf		Sidney Edenshaw	tribalpresident@gmail.com	Partner Review	Yes	Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA	FALSE	7/16/2022 21:18	Eakin, Carly J	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
alicia.langton@gmail.comClay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report	Clay-Loving wild buckwheat		Alicia Langton	alicia.langton@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Clay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report	TRUE	8/2/2022 12:59	Hansen, Craig	8/15/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
carly_eakin@fws.govNarrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	Narrow-foot hygrotus diving beetle		TEST carly eakin	carly_eakin@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Narrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	FALSE	7/18/2022 15:08	Eakin, Carly J	8/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
carly_eakin@fws.govNarrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	Narrow-foot hygrotus diving beetle		TEST2 carly	carly_eakin@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Narrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	FALSE	7/20/2022 0:55	Eakin, Carly J	8/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
yalarie@laurentian.caNarrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	Narrow-foot hygrotus diving beetle		Dr. Yves Alarie	yalarie@laurentian.ca	Peer Review	Yes	Narrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA	FALSE	7/20/2022 10:44	Shoemaker, Justin	8/26/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
scott.hansen@catawbaindian.netGopher Tortoise SSA	Gopher tortoise		Scott Hansen	scott.hansen@catawbaindian.net	Partner Review	Yes	Gopher Tortoise SSA	FALSE	7/21/2022 10:46	Hoffmann, Scott L	8/20/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kswalden@chitimacha.govGopher Tortoise SSA	Gopher tortoise		Kimberly Walden	kswalden@chitimacha.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gopher Tortoise SSA	FALSE	7/21/2022 10:47	Hoffmann, Scott L	8/20/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
grose@coushattatribela.orgGopher Tortoise SSA	Gopher tortoise		Gardner Rose	grose@coushattatribela.org	Partner Review	Yes	Gopher Tortoise SSA	FALSE	7/21/2022 10:47	Hoffmann, Scott L	8/20/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
michlavo@nc-cherokee.comGopher Tortoise SSA	Gopher tortoise		Michael LaVoie	michlavo@nc-cherokee.com	Partner Review	Yes	Gopher Tortoise SSA	FALSE	7/21/2022 10:47	Hoffmann, Scott L	8/20/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lwilliamson@jenachoctaw.orgGopher Tortoise SSA	Gopher tortoise		Lillie Williamson	lwilliamson@jenachoctaw.org	Partner Review	Yes	Gopher Tortoise SSA	FALSE	7/21/2022 10:48	Hoffmann, Scott L	8/20/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
craigv@miccosukeetribe.comGopher Tortoise SSA	Gopher tortoise		Craig van der Heiden	craigv@miccosukeetribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Gopher Tortoise SSA	FALSE	7/21/2022 10:48	Hoffmann, Scott L	8/20/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
mreed@choctaw.orgGopher Tortoise SSA	Gopher tortoise		Mitzi Reed	mreed@choctaw.org	Partner Review	Yes	Gopher Tortoise SSA	FALSE	7/21/2022 10:48	Hoffmann, Scott L	8/20/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kweatherford@pci-nsn.govGopher Tortoise SSA	Gopher tortoise		Kristi Weatherford	kweatherford@pci-nsn.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gopher Tortoise SSA	FALSE	7/21/2022 10:49	Hoffmann, Scott L	8/20/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kevincunniff@semtribe.comGopher Tortoise SSA	Gopher tortoise		Kevin Cunniff	kevincunniff@semtribe.com	Partner Review	Yes	Gopher Tortoise SSA	FALSE	7/21/2022 10:49	Hoffmann, Scott L	8/20/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bbordelon@tunica.orgGopher Tortoise SSA	Gopher tortoise		Babette Bordelon	bbordelon@tunica.org	Partner Review	Yes	Gopher Tortoise SSA	FALSE	7/21/2022 10:49	Hoffmann, Scott L	8/20/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
scott_hoffmann@fws.govGopher Tortoise SSA	Gopher tortoise		Scott Hoffmann	scott_hoffmann@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gopher Tortoise SSA	FALSE	7/21/2022 10:50	Hoffmann, Scott L	8/20/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
scott_hoffmann@fws.govGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Scott Hoffmann	scott_hoffmann@fws.gov	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	7/29/2022 8:27	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kereybmwolves@outlook.comGray Wolf Species Status Assessment	Gray wolf		Kerey Barnowe-Meyer	kereybmwolves@outlook.com	Partner Review	Yes	Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment	FALSE	7/29/2022 10:06	Hoffmann, Scott L	7/23/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
dshryock@usgs.govSpecies Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana	Eastern Joshua tree,	Western Joshua Tree	Daniel Shryock	dshryock@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)	TRUE	8/4/2022 12:27	White, Roland L	6/17/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
john@conmatters.comSpecies Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	Georgia blind salamander		John Jensen	john@conmatters.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	FALSE	8/10/2022 10:04	Wardell, Jonathan A	8/28/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lrfranz08@gmail.comSpecies Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	Georgia blind salamander		Dick Franz	lrfranz08@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	FALSE	8/10/2022 10:05	Wardell, Jonathan A	8/28/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
thomas.sawicki@famu.eduSpecies Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	Georgia blind salamander		Thomas Sawicki	thomas.sawicki@famu.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	TRUE	8/10/2022 10:54	White, Roland L	8/28/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bvmiller@usgs.govSpecies Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	Georgia blind salamander		Ben Miller	bvmiller@usgs.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	FALSE	8/10/2022 10:08	Wardell, Jonathan A	8/28/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
Rick_Toomey@nps.govSpecies Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	Georgia blind salamander		Rick Toomey	Rick_Toomey@nps.gov	Peer Review	Yes	Species Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	FALSE	8/10/2022 10:09	Wardell, Jonathan A	8/28/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
gadams@uca.eduSpecies Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	Georgia blind salamander		Ginny Adams	gadams@uca.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	FALSE	8/10/2022 10:09	Wardell, Jonathan A	8/28/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers

aengel1@utk.eduSpecies Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	Georgia blind salamander	Annette Engel	aengel1@utk.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	FALSE	8/10/2022 10:10	Wardell, Jonathan A	8/28/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
arc_wfl@bellsouth.etSpecies Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	Georgia blind salamander	William Loftus	arc_wfl@bellsouth.et	Peer Review	Yes	Species Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander	FALSE	8/10/2022 10:11	Wardell, Jonathan A	8/28/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
richard@tannerenvironmental.comCalifornia Spotted Owl Species Status Assessment Version 2.0	California spotted Owl	Richard Tanner	richard@tannerenvironmental.com	Peer Review	Yes	California Spotted Owl Species Status Assessment Version 2.0	FALSE	8/10/2022 15:38	West, Sabrina	8/12/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
punitt@cdnhm.orgCalifornia Spotted Owl Species Status Assessment Version 2.0	California spotted Owl	Phil Unitt	punitt@cdnhm.org	Peer Review	Yes	California Spotted Owl Species Status Assessment Version 2.0	FALSE	8/10/2022 15:38	West, Sabrina	8/12/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
lschofield@birdooo.oraCalifornia Spotted Owl Species Status Assessment Version 2.0	California spotted Owl	Lynn Schofield	lschofield@birdooo.ore	Peer Review	Yes	California Spotted Owl Species Status Assessment Version 2.0	FALSE	8/10/2022 15:38	West. Sabrina	8/12/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
eforsman001@gmail.comCalifornia Spotted Owl Species Status Assessment Version 2.0	California spotted Owl	Eric Forsman	eforsman001@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	California Spotted Owl Species Status Assessment Version 2.0	FALSE	8/10/2022 15:39	West, Sabrina	8/12/2022	Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
chaynes@gsa.state.al.usSpecies Status Assessement Report for Coal Darter	Coal darter	Chris.Haynes	chaynes@gsa.state.al.us	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessement Report for Coal Darter	FALSE	8/10/2022 16:44	Wardell, Jonathan A		Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
jthroneberry@tnc.orgSpecies Status Assessement Report for Coal Darter	Coal darter	Jason Throneberry	jthroneberry@tnc.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessement Report for Coal Darter	FALSE	8/10/2022 16:46	Wardell, Jonathan A		Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
johnsc5@auburn.eduSpecies Status Assessement Report for Coal Darter	Coal darter	Dr. Carol Johnston	johnsc5@auburn.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessement Report for Coal Darter	FALSE	8/10/2022 16:49	Wardell, Jonathan A		Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
benw@cahabariversocietv.oreSpecies Status Assessement Report for Coal Darter	Coal darter	Ben Wakeltner	benw@cahabariversocietv.ore	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessement Report for Coal Darter	FALSE	8/10/2022 16:50	Wardell, Jonathan A		Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
sam.mccoy@freshwaterlandtrust.orgSpecies Status Assessement Report for Coal Darter	Coal darter	Sam McCoy	sam.mccoy@freshwaterlandtrust.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessement Report for Coal Darter	FALSE	8/10/2022 16:50	Wardell, Jonathan A		Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
bkeck@utk.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for Smallscale Darter	Smallscale darter	Ben Keck	bkeck@utk.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Smallscale Darter	FALSE	8/10/2022 17:14	Wardell, Jonathan A		Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kitwheelere@tntech.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for Smallscale Darter	Smallscale darter	Kit Wheeler	kitwheelere@tntech.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Smallscale Darter	FALSE	8/10/2022 17:14	Wardell, Jonathan A		Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
kirwin@tntech.eduSpecies Status Assessment Report for Smallscale Darter	Smallscale darter	Kristin Womble	kirwin@tntech.edu	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Smallscale Darter	FALSE	8/10/2022 17:15	Wardell, Jonathan A		Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
brk@tnaqua.orgSpecies Status Assessment Report for Smallscale Darter	Smallscale darter	Bernie Kuhaida	brk@tnaqua.org	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Smallscale Darter	FALSE	8/10/2022 17:16	Wardell, Jonathan A		Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers
wgwells80@gmail.comSpecies Status Assessment Report for Smallscale Darter	Smallscale darter	Grady Wells	wgwells80@gmail.com	Peer Review	Yes	Species Status Assessment Report for Smallscale Darter	FALSE	8/10/2022 17:17	Wardell, Jonathan A		Item	sites/ext-fws-ssa/Lists/Reviewers

SSA Report Name
Alexander Archipelago Wolf SSA
Bachman's warbler
Bone Cave Harvestman (Texella reyesi Ubick and Briggs 1992) (Opiliones: Laniatores: Phalangodidae) Species Status Assessment
Brawleys Fork Crayfish Species Status Assessment
California Spotted Owl Species Status Assessment Version 2.0
Cape Fear Shiner Species Status Assessment
Clay-loving wild buckwheat SSA report
Draft SSA Brandegee's Buckwheat
Florida Pinesnake SSA, Version 1.0
Gopher Tortoise SSA
Gray Wolf Species Status Assessment
Hawaii and Mariana Islands Species
Ivory-billed woodpecker
Longnose Darter Species Status Assessment
Narrow-foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle SSA
Navasota False Foxglove SSA
Oblong Rocksnail SSA
Palo de Rosa Proposed Downlisting and 4(d) Rule
Pearl Darter pCH Rule
Plains Spotted Skunk SSA
Popeye Shiner (Notropis ariommus) Species Status Assessment Version 1.0
Red Wolf Draft Recovery Plan, 1/27/2022
Relict Trillium
Rio Grande Mussels Draft SSA Report
SSA_TRRE_PeerReview_20220307
Salamander Mussel Draft Species Status Assessment
San Marcos gambusia
Scioto madtom
Species Satus Assessment for Georgia Blind Salamander
Species Status Assessement Report for Coal Darter
Species Status Assessment (SSA) report for 3 Utah Milkvetches: Cisco Milkvetch (Astragalus sabulosus), Stage Station Milkvetch (A. vehiculus), and
Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog
Species Status Assessment Report for Cascades frog

Species Status Assessment Report for Columbia Oregonian
Species Status Assessment Report for DeBeque Phacelia
Species Status Assessment Report for Rye Cove Isopod
Species Status Assessment Report for Smallscale Darter
Species Status Assessment Report for the Canoe Creek Clubshell
Species Status Assessment Report for the Everglade Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus), Version 1.0
Species Status Assessment Report for the Gopher Tortoise
Species Status Assessment Report for the Illinois Chorus Frog (Pseudacris illinoensis)
Species Status Assessment Report for the Northern Cavefish (Amblyopsis spelaea)
Species Status Assessment Report for the Quitobaquito Tryonia
Species Status Assessment Report for the Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)
Species Status Assessment Report for the Roundtail Chub (Gila robusta) in the Lower Colorado River V2
Species Status Assessment Report for the Sand Skink (Neoseps reynoldsi) Version 1.0
Species Status Assessment Report for the Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)
Species Status Assessment Report for the Spectaclecase (Cumberlandia monodonta)
Species Status Assessment Report for the Swale Paintbrush (Glowing Indian paintbrush) (Castilleja oranta)
Species Status Assessment Report for the Yazoo crayfish, Version 1.3
Species Status Assessment for Geocarpon (Geocarpon minimum)
Species Status Assessment for Joshua Trees (Yucca brevifolia and Yucca jaegeriana)
Species Status Assessment for Ute Ladies'-Tresses
Species Status Assessment for West Virginia Spring Salamander
Species Status Assessment for the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard V1.0
Species Status Assessment for the Large-flowered skullcap
Species Status Assessment for the Massachusetts Population of the Northern Red-bellied Cooter
Species Status Assessment for the Miami Cave Crayfish
Species Status Assessment for the Pristine Crayfish (Cambarus pristinus)
Species Status Assessment for the Roanoke Logperch
Species Status Assessment for the San Francisco Bay-Delta Distinct Population Segment of the Longfin Smelt
Species Status Assessment for the Short-tailed Snake (Lampropeltis extenuata)
Species Status Assessment for the Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)
Species Status Assessment for the Sonoran Desert Tortoise
Species Status Assessment for the Toothless blindcat (Trogloglanis pattersoni) and Widemouth blindcat (Satan eurystomus)
Species Status Assessment for the Venus Flytrap
Species Status Assessment fot the Large-flowered skullcap

Species Status Assessment of Bushy Whitlow-wort
Species Status Assessment of Gypsum Wild Buckwheat (Eriogonum gypsophilum)

Isely’s milkvetch (A. iselyi)