

**Fish and Wildlife Service
Department of Transportation Project
Section 4 (f) Review Checklist**

YES NO

A. Transportation Project Impacts on 4(f) Resources

1. Will recreational resources be significantly impacted?
2. Will historic/archeological on resources be significantly impacted?
3. Does the project involve segmentation of actions?
4. Will secondary development be promoted by the project and affect section 4(f) resources?
5. Will secondary development impacts on section 4(f) resources be environmentally adverse?
6. Will there be Aconstructive use@of any section 4(f) resources?
7. Will the project affect FWCA mitigated lands/waters?
8. Will the project affect National Wildlife Refuge System lands?
9. Will the project affect National Fish Hatchery System lands?
10. Is there segmentation of transportation projects?
11. Are there other projects now in the area, or planned, that may affect section 4(f) resources?
12. Will Scenic Byways be affected?
13. Will National Recreational Trails be affected?
14. Will the project affect Federal Aid acquired or managed lands?

B. General Comments

YES NO

1. Do the Service comments identify that feasible and prudent alternatives to the use of section 4(f) resources have been identified and evaluated by FHWA?
2. Do the Service comments indicate the adequacy of the section 4(f) statement?
3. Do the Service comments indicate whether FHWA has identified proper mitigation measures for the project?
4. Do the Service comments identify existing planning inadequacies and provide additional mitigating measures, if needed?
5. Do the Service comments address inadequacies in the FHWA's document?
6. Are all section 4(f) resources in the project area identified by FHWA?
7. Has the project's significance on section 4(f) resources been properly determined?
8. Has FHWA consulted/coordinated with the Service to minimize harm to any affected Service property?
9. Is a Presidential Permit required?
10. If required, has the Presidential Permit been issued?
11. Has compliance with section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act been completed?
12. Has compliance with E.O.13007 concerning Indian Sacred Sites been completed?

C. Summary Comments Concerning Section 4(f) Approval

1. Service concurs that there are no feasible and prudent alternatives to the use of section 4(f) resources [or the converse].
2. Service concurs that the project includes all possible measures to minimize harm to the use of section 4(f) resources [or the converse].