

THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS, JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT, U. S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, JACKSONVILLE ECOLOGICAL SERVICES FIELD OFFICE AND STATE OF FLORIDA EFFECT DETERMINATION KEY FOR THE WOOD STORK IN CENTRAL AND NORTH PENINSULAR FLORIDA
September 2008

Purpose and Background

The purpose of this document is to provide a tool to improve the timing and consistency of review of Federal and State permit applications and Federal civil works projects, for potential effects of these projects on the endangered wood stork (*Mycteria americana*) within the Jacksonville Ecological Services Field Office (JAFL) geographic area of responsibility (GAR see below). The key is designed primarily for Corps Project Managers in the Regulatory and Planning Divisions and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection or its authorized designee, or Water Management Districts. The tool consists of the following dichotomous key and reference material. The key is intended to be used to evaluate permit applications and Corps' civil works projects for impacts potentially affecting wood storks or their wetland habitats. At certain steps in the key, the user is referred to graphics depicting known wood stork nesting colonies and their core foraging areas (CFA), footnotes, and other support documents. The graphics and supporting documents may be downloaded from the Corps' web page at <http://www.saj.usace.army.mil/permit> or at the JAFL web site at <http://www.fws.gov/northflorida/WoodStorks>. We intend to utilize the most recent information for both the graphics and supporting information; so should this information be updated, we will modify it accordingly. **Note: This information is provided as an aid to project review and analysis, and is not intended to substitute for a comprehensive biological assessment of potential project impacts. Such assessments are site-specific and usually generated by the project applicant or, in the case of civil works projects, by the Corps or project co-sponsor.**

Explanatory footnotes provided in the key must be closely followed whenever encountered.

Scope of the key

This key should only be used in the review of permit applications for effects determinations on wood storks within the JAFL GAR, and not for other listed species. Counties within the JAFL GAR include Alachua, Baker, Bradford, Brevard, Citrus, Clay, Columbia, Dixie, Duval, Flagler, Gilchrist, Hamilton, Hernando, Hillsborough, Lafayette, Lake, Levy, Madison, Manatee, Marion, Nassau, Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, Putnam, St. Johns, Seminole, Sumter, Suwannee, Taylor, Union, and Volusia.

The final effect determination will be based on project location and description, the potential effects to wood storks, and any measures (for example project components, special permit conditions) that avoid or minimize direct, indirect, and/or cumulative

impacts to wood storks and/or suitable wood stork foraging habitat. Projects that key to a “no effect” determination do not require additional consultation or coordination with the JAFL. Projects that key to “NLAA” also do not need further consultation; however, the JAFL staff will assist the Corps if requested, to answer questions regarding the appropriateness of mitigation options. Projects that key to a “may affect” determination equate to “likely to adversely affect” situations, and those projects should not be processed under the SPGP or any other programmatic general permit. For all “may affect” determinations, Corps Project Managers should request the JAFL to initiate formal consultation on the Wood stork.

Summary of General Wood Stork Nesting and Foraging Habitat Information

The wood stork is primarily associated with freshwater and estuarine habitats that are used for nesting, roosting, and foraging. Wood storks typically nest colonially in medium to tall trees that occur in stands located either in swamps or on islands surrounded by relatively broad expanses of open water (Ogden 1991; Rodgers et al. 1996). Successful breeding sites are those that have limited human disturbance and low exposure to land based predators. Nesting sites protected from land-based predators are characterized as those surrounded by large expanses of open water or where the nest trees are inundated at the onset of nesting and remain inundated throughout most of the breeding cycle. These colonies have water depths between 0.9 and 1.5 meters (3 and 5 feet) during the breeding season.

In addition to limited human disturbance and land-based predation, successful nesting depends on the availability of suitable foraging habitat. Such habitat generally results from a combination of average or above-average rainfall during the summer rainy season, and an absence of unusually rainy or cold weather during the winter-spring breeding season (Kahl 1964; Rodgers et al. 1987). This pattern produces widespread and prolonged flooding of summer marshes that tends to maximize production of freshwater fishes, followed by steady drying that concentrate fish during the season when storks nest (Kahl 1964). Successful nesting colonies are those that have a large number of foraging sites. To maintain a wide range of foraging opportunities, a variety of wetland habitats exhibiting short and long hydroperiods should be present. In terms of wood stork foraging, the Service (1999) describes a short hydroperiod as one where a wetland fluctuates between wet and dry in 1 to 5-month cycles, and a long hydroperiod where the wet period is greater than five consecutive months. Wood storks during the wet season generally feed in the shallow water of short-hydroperiod wetlands and in coastal habitats during low tide. During the dry season, foraging shifts to longer hydroperiod interior wetlands as they progressively dry down (though usually retaining some surface water throughout the dry season).

Because of their specialized feeding behavior, wood storks forage most effectively in shallow-water areas with highly concentrated prey. Typical foraging sites for the wood stork include freshwater marshes, depressions in cypress heads, swamp sloughs, managed impoundments, stock ponds, shallow-seasonally flooded roadside or agricultural ditches, and narrow tidal creeks or shallow tidal pools. Good foraging conditions are characterized by water that is relatively calm, open, and having water depths between 5 and 15 inches (5 and 38 cm). Preferred foraging habitat includes wetlands exhibiting a mosaic of submerged and/or emergent aquatic vegetation, and shallow, open-water areas subject to hydrologic

regimes ranging from dry to wet. The vegetative component provides nursery habitat for small fish, frogs, and other aquatic prey, and the shallow, open-water areas provide sites for concentration of the prey during daily or seasonal low water periods.

WOOD STORK KEY

Although designed primarily for use by Corps Project Managers in the Regulatory and Planning Divisions, and State Regulatory agencies or their designees, project permit applicants and co-sponsors of civil works projects may find this key and its supporting documents useful in identifying potential project impacts to wood storks, and planning how best to avoid, minimize, or compensate for any identified adverse effects.

- A. Project within 2,500 feet of an active colony site¹.....*May affect*
Project more than 2,500 feet from a colony site.....go to B
- B. Project does not affect suitable foraging habitat² (SFH).....*no effect*
Project impacts SFH².....go to C
- C. Project impacts to SFH are less than or equal to 0.5 acre³.....*NLAA*⁴
Project impacts to SFH are greater than or equal to 0.5 acre.....go to D
- D. Project impacts to SFH not within a Core Foraging Area⁵ (see attached map) of a colony site, and no wood storks have been documented foraging on site.....*NLAA*⁴
Project impacts to SFH are within the CFA of a colony site, or wood storks have been documented foraging on a project site outside the CFAgo to E
- E. Project provides SFH compensation within the Service Area of a Service-approved wetland mitigation bank or wood stork conservation bank preferably within the CFA, or consists of SFH compensation within the CFA consisting of enhancement, restoration or creation in a project phased approach that provides an amount of habitat and foraging function equivalent to that of impacted SFH (see *Wood Stork Foraging Habitat Assessment Procedure*⁶ for guidance), is not contrary to the Service's *Habitat Management Guidelines For The Wood Stork In The Southeast Region* and in accordance with the CWA section 404(b)(1) guidelines.....*NLAA*⁴
Project does not satisfy these elements.....*May affect*

¹ An active nesting site is defined as a site currently supporting breeding pairs of wood storks, or has supported breeding wood storks at least once during the preceding 10-year period.

² Suitable foraging habitat (SFH) is described as any area containing patches of relatively open (< 25% aquatic vegetation), calm water, and having a permanent or seasonal water depth between 2 and 15 inches (5 to 38 cm). SFH supports and concentrates, or is capable of supporting and concentrating small fish, frogs, and other aquatic prey. Examples of SFH include, but are not limited to, freshwater marshes and stock ponds, shallow, seasonally flooded roadside or agricultural ditches, narrow tidal creeks or shallow tidal pools, managed impoundments, and depressions in cypress heads and swamp sloughs. See above *Summary of General Wood Stork Nesting and Foraging Habitat Information*.

³ On an individual basis, projects that impact less than 0.5 acre of SFH generally will not have a measurable effect on wood storks, although we request the Corps to require mitigation for these losses when appropriate. Wood Storks are a wide ranging species, and individually, habitat change from impacts to less than 0.5 acre of SFH is not likely to adversely affect wood storks. However, collectively they may have an effect and therefore regular monitoring and reporting of these effects are important.

⁴ Upon Corps receipt of a general concurrence issued by the JAFL through the Programmatic Concurrence on this key, "NLAA" determinations for projects made pursuant to this key require no further consultation with the JAFL.

⁵ The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) has identified core foraging area (CFA) around all known wood stork nesting colonies that is important for reproductive success. In Central Florida, CFAs include suitable foraging habitat (SFH) within a 15-mile radius of the nest colony; CFAs in North Florida include SFH within a 13-mile radius of a colony. The referenced map provides locations of known colonies and their CFAs throughout Florida documented as active within the last 10 years. The Service believes loss of suitable foraging wetlands within these CFAs may reduce foraging opportunities for the wood stork.

⁶This draft document, *Wood Stork Foraging Habitat Assessment Procedure*, by Passarella and Associates, Incorporated, may serve as further guidance in ascertaining wetland foraging value to wood storks and compensating for impacts to wood stork foraging habitat.

Monitoring and Reporting Effects

For the Service to monitor cumulative effects, it is important for the Corps to monitor the number of permits and provide information to the Service regarding the number of permits issued that were determined "may affect, not likely to adversely affect." It is requested that information on date, Corps identification number, project acreage, project wetland acreage, and latitude and longitude in decimal degrees be sent to the Service quarterly.

Literature Cited

Kahl, M.P., Jr. 1964. Food ecology of the wood stork (*Mycteria americana*) in Florida. *Ecological Monographs* 34:97-117.

Ogden, J.C. 1991. Nesting by wood storks in natural, altered, and artificial wetlands in central and northern Florida. *Colonial Waterbirds* 14:39-45.

Rodgers, J.A. Jr., A.S. Wenner, and S.T. Schwikert. 1987. Population dynamics of wood storks in northern and central Florida, USA. *Colonial Waterbirds* 10:151-156.

Rodgers, J.A., Jr., S.T. Schwikert, and A. Shapiro-Wenner. 1996. Nesting habitat of wood storks in north and central Florida, USA. *Colonial Waterbirds* 19:1-21.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999. South Florida multi-species recovery plan. Fish and Wildlife Service; Atlanta, Georgia. Available from:
<http://verobeach.fws.gov/Programs/Recovery/vbms5.html>.