The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is the principal Federal agency responsible for conserving, protecting, and enhancing fish, wildlife, plants, and their habitats for the continuing benefit of the American people. The Service manages the 95-million acre National Wildlife Refuge System comprised of more than 545 national wildlife refuges and thousands of waterfowl production areas. It also operates 65 national fish hatcheries and 78 ecological services field stations. The agency enforces Federal wildlife laws, manages migratory bird populations, restores nationally significant fisheries, conserves and restores wildlife habitat such as wetlands, administers the Endangered Species Act, and helps foreign governments with their conservation efforts. It also oversees the Federal Assistance Program which distributes hundreds of millions of dollars in excise taxes on fishing and hunting equipment to state wildlife agencies.

Comprehensive Conservation Plans provide long term guidance for management decisions and set forth goals, objectives, and strategies needed to accomplish refuge purposes and identify the Service’s best estimate of future needs. These plans detail program planning levels that are sometimes substantially above current budget allocations and, as such, are primarily for Service strategic planning and program prioritization purposes. The plans do not constitute a commitment for staffing increases, operational and maintenance increases, or funding for future land acquisition.
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The Missisquoi River delta is known as an important international resource for the people of the United States and Canada. The Missisquoi Refuge is recognized for its role in maintaining the ecological integrity of the river delta, providing breeding, staging, and migration habitat for thousands of waterfowl and other fish and wildlife. Education, research, and wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities are available, insofar as they are compatible with Refuge health and protection. Refuge staff partner with local, state, and federal agencies, local organizations and communities, and individuals to sustain a healthy Lake Champlain ecosystem for current and future generations.

The future of the Missisquoi Refuge and the sustained integrity of the river delta ecosystem relies on continued understanding of the past and present biological processes and human influences that created and maintain this large wetland complex. The cultural resources at the Refuge provide valuable insight into the history and way of life of native peoples. The Refuge is a welcoming destination for our neighbors and other visitors seeking to enjoy and learn about the history and wildlife of the Missisquoi River delta and the National Wildlife Refuge System.
# Table of Contents

Summary .................................................. vii

## Chapter 1  Introduction

Purpose and Need for the Plan .......................... 1-1
Project Area ............................................. 1-2
Policies, Legal Mandates, and Other Plans Guiding the Planning Process ...... 1-4
National and Regional Plans and Conservation Initiatives ....................... 1-7
Refuge Purposes and Land Acquisition History ................................... 1-12
Missisquoi Refuge Vision Statement ............................................. 1-15
Step-Down Management Plans .................................................. 1-15
Refuge Goals .............................................. 1-16

## Chapter 2  The Planning Process

The Comprehensive Conservation Planning Process .......................... 2-1
Planning Issues ........................................... 2-4
Issues Outside the Scope of this Project ....................................... 2-7

## Chapter 3  Summary of Refuge and Resource Descriptions

Landscape Setting ........................................... 3-1
The Historical Picture ....................................... 3-5
Socioeconomic Environment ........................................... 3-8
Refuge Administration ........................................ 3-9
Refuge Biological Resources ....................................... 3-23
Refuge Cultural and Historic Resources .................................. 3-46
Refuge Public Use .......................................... 3-48

## Chapter 4  Management Direction and Implementation

Introduction ................................................... 4-1
Relating Goals, Objectives, and Strategies ...................................... 4-1
General Refuge Management ........................................... 4-1
Refuge Goals, Objectives, and Strategies ...................................... 4-5
Implementation, Monitoring, and Revision ...................................... 4-54

## Glossary

Glos-1

## Bibliography

Bibl-1

## Appendixes

Appendix A  Special Designation Areas .......................... A-1
Appendix B  Findings of Appropriateness and Compatibility Determinations  B-1
Appendix C  Species of Regional Conservation Concern .......................... C-1
Appendix D  Wildlife and Plant Common and Scientific Names .................. D-1
Appendix E  Public Scoping Report in Refuge Newsletter .......................... E-1
Table of Contents

Appendixes (cont’d)

Appendix F  Shad and Metcalfe Islands and Maquam Bog Discussions .............. F-1
Appendix G  Vermont Agency of Transportation Study of Route 78. ................. G-1
Appendix H  Refuge Staffing Chart. ....................................................... H-1
Appendix I  Refuge Operating Needs (RONS) and Service Asset Maintenance
Management Systems (SAMMS) .......................................................... I-1
Appendix J  Process to Determine Priority Resources of Concern Leading to
Development of Refuge Habitat Goals and Objectives ......................... J-1
Appendix K  Consultation and Coordination with Others and List of Preparers ... K-1
Appendix L  Summary and Response of Public Comments ......................... L-1
Appendix M  Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) ............................ M-1

List of Figures

Figure 2.1  The Comprehensive Conservation Planning process and
its relationship to the National Environmental Policy Act .................... 2-1
Figure 3.1  This National Weather Service graph depicts the range of variations
in Lake Champlain water levels throughout the year ......................... 3-3
Figure 3.2  Osprey nesting locations on the Missisquoi River Delta (2004) ....... 3-39
Figure 3.3  Shannon Diversity Index for bird species in seven habitat types on
the Missisquoi Refuge (Clews 2002) .................................................... 3-40
Figure 3.4  Bird species composition in floodplain forests on
the Missisquoi Refuge based on point count surveys (Clews 2002)... ... 3-40
Figure 3.5  Bird species composition in the Missisquoi River Delta based on
point counts surveys (Clews 2002). ......................................................... 3-41
Figure 3.6  Bird species composition in grassland-agricultural habitats on
Missisquoi Refuge based on point count surveys (Clews 2002). ............ 3-41
Figure 3.7  Bird species composition in red maple-green ash swamp on
Missisquoi Refuge based on point count surveys (Clews 2002). ............ 3-42

List of Tables

Table 1.1  History of Acquisition at Missisquoi Refuge. ............................. 1-14
Table 1.2  Step-Down Management Plan Schedule for Missisquoi Refuge.......... 1-15
Table 2.1  CCP Core Planning Team. ....................................................... 2-3
Table 2.2  Other Contributors to CPP Preparation. ..................................... 2-4
Table 3.1  Population changes from 2000 to 2004 in Vermont, Franklin County,
and the Towns of Swanton and Highgate* .......................................... 3-8
Table 3.2  Refuge budgets from 1998 to 2004. .......................................... 3-13
Table 3.3  Refuge Roads and Infrastructures. ........................................... 3-14
Table 3.4  Annual refuge revenue sharing payments from 2000 to 2004. .......... 3-17
Table 3.5  Current habitat management on the refuge. ............................... 3-20
Table 3.6  Soils mapped on the refuge [from the Franklin County
Soil Survey (1994)]. ............................................................................. 3-25
Table 3.7  Natural communities and other cover types on the refuge. ............ 3-29
Table 3.8  Significant natural communities on or near the refuge. ............... 3-31
List of Tables (cont’d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table 3.9</td>
<td>Rare species on the refuge</td>
<td>3-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 3.10</td>
<td>Estimated number of black tern breeding pairs on Lake Champlain and Lake Memphreanagog, 1990–2005</td>
<td>3-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 3.11</td>
<td>Annual field survey results for malformed frogs on the refuge</td>
<td>3-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 3.12</td>
<td>Finding of Appropriateness of Refuge Uses</td>
<td>3-53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 3.13</td>
<td>List of activities that have been determined compatible on the refuge</td>
<td>3-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 3.14</td>
<td>List of activities that have been determined NOT appropriate on the refuge</td>
<td>3-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 4.1</td>
<td>Proposed management of current refuge grasslands</td>
<td>4-30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List of Maps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Map 1-1</td>
<td>Location of Missisquoi NWR</td>
<td>1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 1-2</td>
<td>Bird Conservation Planning Regions</td>
<td>1-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 1-3</td>
<td>Missisquoi NWR and Other Conserved Lands</td>
<td>1-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 3-1</td>
<td>Biophysical Region and Kuchler’s Forest Regions</td>
<td>3-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 3-2</td>
<td>Missisquoi NWR Westville Unit</td>
<td>3-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 3-3</td>
<td>Missisquoi NWR Rock River Conservation Easement</td>
<td>3-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 3-4</td>
<td>Missisquoi NWR Research Natural Areas</td>
<td>3-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 3-5</td>
<td>Missisquoi NWR Soil Types</td>
<td>3-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 3-6</td>
<td>Missisquoi NWR Natural Communities</td>
<td>3-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 4-1</td>
<td>Missisquoi NWR Habitat Types</td>
<td>4-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 4-2</td>
<td>Missisquoi NWR Current Managed Areas</td>
<td>4-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 4-3</td>
<td>Missisquoi NWR Trails and Facilities</td>
<td>4-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 4-4</td>
<td>Missisquoi NWR Big Game/Upland Hunting Areas</td>
<td>4-42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 4-5</td>
<td>Missisquoi NWR Waterfowl Hunting Areas</td>
<td>4-43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 4-6</td>
<td>Missisquoi NWR Areas Closed to Fishing</td>
<td>4-47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

**Missisquoi National Wildlife Refuge**

*Comprehensive Conservation Plan*

*September 2007*

**Summary**

**Type of Action:** Administrative

**Lead Agency:** U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service

**Responsible Official:** Marvin Moriarty, Regional Director, Region 5, Northeast

**For Further Information:** Carl Melberg, Land Acquisition Planner
Northeast Regional Office
300 Westgate Center Drive
Hadley, MA 01035
(413) 253-8521
northeastplanning@fws.gov

This comprehensive conservation plan (CCP) for the Missisquoi National Wildlife Refuge is the culmination of a planning effort involving a variety of partners and communities. The CCP establishes 15-year management goals and objectives for wildlife and habitat, public use, and partnerships for the refuge.

The plan is designed to expand and improve opportunities for wildlife-dependent recreation, as well as enhance programs of outreach, environmental education, and interpretation; increase inventory, monitoring, and management of the Missisquoi River delta wetland and upland habitats; increase inventory, protection, and interpretation of the rich cultural history of the delta; increase cooperative partnerships within the northern Lake Champlain Basin; and in partnership with others, protect fully functioning wetlands and associated riparian areas, and unfragmented blocks of upland habitat for area-sensitive wildlife species.