Draft Land Protection Plan

Draft Vision Statement

Landscape-scale protection of the natural resources found within the Bear River watershed is essential to humans and wildlife. The Bear River Watershed Conservation Area project preserves, protects, and restores the natural resources and working landscapes within the drainage.

Through cooperative efforts with ranchers, farmers, local communities, land management agencies, and other conservation organizations, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service builds a community of citizens dedicated to protection of wildlife habitat, maintenance of healthy communities, enhancement of water quality, promotion of sustainable agriculture, and recognition of good stewardship.

The legacy of this effort is the tapestry of snow-covered mountains, deciduous and conifer forest, vast areas of sagebrush and wetlands, and working farms and ranches that decorate the landscape of the Bear River Watershed. This expansive landscape supports a multitude of diverse wildlife species including migratory birds, sage-grouse, elk, black bear, pronghorn, mule deer, Bonneville cutthroat trout, and other native species.

Implementation of a landscape-scale collaborative effort within the Bear River Watershed Conservation Area conserves the significant wildlife, aesthetic, and cultural values of this region in perpetuity.
Introduction

The draft EA completed by the Service during the planning process considered several alternatives, with two alternatives being selected for further analysis. Alternative A, called the no-action alternative, considered the consequences of not doing anything beyond current actions in the Bear River watershed. Alternative B considers the positive and negative consequences of purchasing conservation easements and establishing the Bear River Watershed Conservation Area (see figure LPP–1 for a map of the proposed project area).

Project Description

Before Euro-American settlement, the Bear River delta was a vast natural marsh that provided wetland habitat for waterfowl in the arid Great Basin region. When John C. Fremont, an early explorer in the West, visited the area near the present day Bear River Refuge in 1843, he commented, “the waterfowl made a noise like thunder... as the whole scene was animated with waterfowl.”

The Bear River travels a 500-mile course from its headwaters in Utah’s Uinta Mountains through Wyoming and Idaho, eventually terminating its horseshoe-shaped route in Utah’s Great Salt Lake, the largest inland sea in the Western Hemisphere (see figure LPP–1). The forested areas at the headwaters are part of a crucial wildlife migration corridor. These forested areas offer a major link between the Northern and Southern Rocky Mountain ecosystems (Theobald et al. 2011, USDA Forest Service 2003). The small, pristine mountain streams found in the area provide ideal breeding habitat for important native species, such as the Bonneville cutthroat trout and northern leatherside chub. Elk, black bear, grizzly bear, Canada lynx, wolverine, gray wolf, pika, and marmots inhabit
Figure LPP–1. Map of the proposed Bear River Watershed Conservation Area in Idaho, Utah, and Wyoming.
the high-elevation forest and snow-covered mountain slopes found in the watershed. The montane shrubland, sage grassland, and pastureland provide good habitat for greater sage-grouse, Columbian sharp-tailed grouse, bald eagle, mule deer, elk, pronghorn, rabbit, bobcat, black bear, and various hawks.

Wetlands and riparian areas in the lower elevations provide some of the most important resting, staging, feeding, breeding, and nesting areas for migratory birds in the Pacific and central flyways (Downard 2010). More than 46 percent of the white-faced ibis, 24 percent of the marbled godwits, and 18 percent of the black-necked stilts in North America use the wetland habitat found within the watershed. More than 270 different species are associated with the habitats supported by the Cokeville Meadows National Wildlife Refuge, Bear Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge, Oxford Slough Waterfowl Production Area, and adjacent lands located within the Bear River watershed.

The Bear River watershed is essential to the survival of the Bonneville cutthroat trout as well as millions of birds and other wildlife.

Although it provides many functions both for wildlife and for people along its route, the river is heavily affected by land use along its course. Land use in the watershed affects wildlife habitat and the amount and quality of available water. Agricultural lands provide habitat for wildlife, but in some areas are rapidly being converted to residential developments. Some counties in the watershed are expected to double in population over the next 30 years (Utah Division of Water Resources 2004). Based on its job growth rate and low unemployment rate, Logan, Utah, in the Cache Valley, was deemed the best-performing small city in the United States in 2011 (DeVol et al. 2011). The collaborative efforts of conservation partners in the Bear River watershed would be crucial to preserving this working landscape that is such an important resource for people and wildlife.

The proposed Bear River Watershed Conservation Area is located in southeast Idaho, southwest Wyoming, and northeastern Utah. The proposed conservation area would contain parts of 12 counties: Bannock, Bear Lake, Caribou, Franklin, Oneida, and Power in Idaho; Box Elder, Cache, Rich, and Summit in Utah; and Lincoln and Uinta in Wyoming.

**Issues Identified and Selected for Analysis**

Six public scoping meetings were held in Idaho, Utah, and Wyoming in May 2011. Public comments were taken in Cokeville and Evanston, Wyoming; Brigham City and Logan, Utah; and Preston and Montpelier, Idaho, to identify issues to be analyzed for the proposed action. Approximately 130 landowners, members of various organizations, and elected representatives attended the meetings. Additionally, 10 letters providing comments were received by mail or email. A total of 327 comments and questions were received on the project proposal.

Refuge staff contacted tribal, Federal, State, and local officials, as well as conservation groups that expressed an interest in the future of the Bear River watershed. Not only were fact sheets describing the proposed project made available on the refuges’ Web sites, but approximately 675 fact sheets on the proposed project were distributed to interested members of the public.

The main categories of comments, issues, and questions expressed at meetings or received by mail follow.

**Biological Issues**

- Importance of wildlife and wildlife habitat in the watershed.
- Questions about the types of habitat and lands that would be included in the proposed project.
- Ecosystem importance of the watershed (connectivity and habitat types represented).
- Importance of protecting water resources.
- Water quality and quantity issues in the watershed.
- Impacts of dams and diversions.
- Climate change impacts on the region.
- Development (residential, oil and gas, mineral, and recreational), which was perceived as the biggest threat to the long-term health and stability of the Bear River landscape, culture, and wildlife resources.
- Perceived mismanagement of lands and inappropriate stewardship (grazing and agricultural practices) in the watershed.
- Invasive species in the watershed.
- Fragmentation of habitat.

**Socioeconomic Issues**

- Funding sources and matching contributions.
- Tax implication of easements.
- Economic impacts of easements.
- Financial implications of easements.
- Quantity and location of land needed for the proposed Bear River Watershed Conservation Area project.
- Agricultural values of the Bear River.
- Aesthetics (open space and scenery).
- Importance of recreational opportunities.
- Availability of recreational opportunities in the watershed.
- Economic importance of the watershed (agriculture and power generation).

### Administrative and Enforcement Issues for Easements

- Potential easement restrictions and language.
- Responsibilities and limitations on management practices of an easement.
- Current and future land uses and encumbrances (oil and gas leases, mining, and rights-of-way).
- Perpetual nature of Service easements.
- Comments and questions about enforcement of easements.
- Importance of monitoring conservation easement parcels.
- Possibility of easements increasing wildlife depredation, especially by sandhill cranes.
- Comparable easement programs that are available with other agencies and organizations.
- Easement financial and funding implications.
- Service appraisal process.
- Easement valuation determination.

### Other Issues

- Conservation partnerships and coordination.
- Organizations and other agencies that the Service would be working with.
- Interest expressed in selling a conservation easement to the Service.
- Questions on timelines, public input opportunities, and availability of data and GIS information.
- Comments on the need for planning various watershed uses and future development.
- General concern.
- General support.
- Interest in easements.

### National Wildlife Refuge System and Authorities

The mission of the Refuge System is “to preserve a national network of lands and waters for the conservation, management, and, where proper, restoration of fish, wildlife, and plant resources and their habitats within the United States for the benefit of present and future generations of Americans.” The proposed conservation area project would be monitored as part of the refuge system in accordance with the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966 and other relevant legislation, Executive orders, regulations, and policies.

Conservation of more wildlife habitat in the Bear River region would also continue, consistent with the following policies and management plans:

- Migratory Bird Treaty Act (1918)
- Migratory Bird Hunting and Conservation Stamp Act (1934)
- Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (1940)
- Fish and Wildlife Act (1956)
- Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (1965)
- Endangered Species Act (1973)
Related Actions and Activities

Private landowners have worked with many organizations including the Service’s Partners in Fish and Wildlife program, The Nature Conservancy, State agencies, and county weed districts, to complete conservation easements and control invasive plants such as tamarisk, phragmites, Russian olive, carp, and quagga and zebra mussels.

Bridgerland Audubon Society has worked with The Nature Conservancy and PacifiCorp to establish conservation easements on 500 acres of key riparian land along the Bear River in Cache County.

Coordinated Resource Management committees in Box Elder and Rich Counties consist of State and Federal agency staff, representatives from local government, nonprofit organizations, academic institutions, private industry, and private individuals. Coordinated Resource Management works to provide rich, healthy ecosystems; sustainable agriculture industry and wildlife populations; and diverse recreational opportunities and vibrant rural communities.

Sagebrush Steppe Regional Land Trust was founded in 2003. It has completed 15 projects in southeast Idaho that provide protection on 2,260 acres of natural and working lands to benefit Bonneville cutthroat trout and other wildlife species.

The Nature Conservancy bought a 6,700-acre conservation easement to protect habitat for the Columbian sharp-tailed grouse and other wildlife species. The organization is developing a comprehensive plan to provide early detection and rapid response for the control of invasive weeds in Cache County. The Nature Conservancy has also been involved with mapping important wetland areas throughout the watershed.

Trout Unlimited has 12 projects underway in the watershed to reconnect essential spawning tributaries in each of the five major sections of the Bear River. Trout Unlimited and project partners find movement barriers and retrofit the structures with fish ladders and screens to allow upstream passage around dams and prevent downstream loss of fish in irrigation canals. Trout Unlimited also works to improve aquatic and riparian habitats in the reconnected tributaries and in the main stem Bear River.

Utah Partners for Conservation and Development is a sponsor of the Utah Watershed Restoration Initiative, a partnership-driven effort to conserve, restore, and manage ecosystems in priority areas across the State to enhance Utah’s wildlife and biological diversity, water quality and yield for all uses, and opportunities for sustainable uses. In 2010, the watershed restoration initiative was involved in 26 projects comprising 19,336 acres in the Northern Region, which includes the Bear River watershed (Utah Division of Wildlife Resources 2010).

Wyoming Stock Growers Agricultural Land Trust holds 62 conservation easements on more than 170,000 acres of ranchland throughout the State. By working with landowners to conserve working ranches, the crucial wildlife winter ranges and travel corridors that are commonly found in the most agriculturally productive locations along valleys and waterways are also protected.

Wyoming Land Trust holds conservation easements on 30,234 acres of working ranchland, wildlife habitats, and scenic areas in Wyoming.

U.S. Department of Agriculture

The Conservation Reserve Program is administered by the Farm Service Agency and provides technical and financial help to eligible farmers and ranchers to address soil, water, and related natural resource concerns on their lands in an environmentally beneficial and cost-effective manner. The statewide acreage of Conservation Reserve Program–enrolled land is 668,643 acres in Idaho, 163,082 acres in Utah, and 226,044 acres in Wyoming (USDA Farm Service Agency 2007).

The Farm and Ranch Land Protection Program provides matching funds to help buy development rights to keep productive farm and ranchland in agricultural uses. The Farm and Ranch Land Protection Program works through existing programs. The USDA collaborates with State, tribal, or local governments and nongovernmental organizations to acquire conservation easements or other interests in land from landowners. Currently, 3,450 acres in Idaho, 898 acres in Utah, and 101,336 acres in Wyoming are protected under this program (USDA NRCS 2010a).

The Environmental Quality Incentives Program is a voluntary program administered through the NRCS that provides financial and technical help to agricultural producers through contracts up to a maximum term of 10 years. These contracts provide financial assistance to help plan and carry out conservation practices that address natural resource concerns and for opportunities to improve soil, water, plant, animal, air, and related resources on agricultural land and nonindustrial private forestland. This program also helps producers to meet Federal, State, tribal, and local environmental regulations.

The Grassland Reserve Program is a voluntary conservation program administered through the NRCS that emphasizes support for working grazing operations, enhancement of plant and animal biodiversity, and protection of grassland under threat of conversion to other uses. Participants voluntarily
limit future development and cropping uses of their land while keeping the right to conduct common grazing practices and operations related to the production of forage and seedings, subject to certain restrictions during nesting seasons of bird species that are in significant decline or are protected under Federal or State law. A grazing management plan is required for participants. There are 9,692 acres in Idaho, 29,336 in Utah, and 24,458 acres in Wyoming enrolled in the program.

The Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program is a voluntary program administered by the NRCS for conservation-minded landowners who want to develop and improve wildlife habitat on agricultural land, nonindustrial private forest land, and tribal lands.

The Wetlands Reserve Program was reauthorized in the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (Farm Bill) to provide a voluntary conservation program for farmers and ranchers that promotes agricultural production and environmental quality as compatible national goals. This program offers financial and technical assistance to help eligible participants install or implement structural and management practices on eligible agricultural land. In Idaho 892 acres, in Utah 22 acres, and in Wyoming 1,013 acres are enrolled in the Wetlands Reserve Program (USDA NRCS 2010b).

Department of the Interior

The Partners for Fish and Wildlife program provides cost-sharing to fund habitat enhancements, with a special emphasis placed on projects that simultaneously benefit agricultural production and wildlife habitat for Service trust species. Participation in the program is voluntary, and the details of each project are outlined in individual landowner agreements. Past examples include fence and water developments that improve livestock grazing management, irrigation diversion upgrades that allow for traditional water withdrawal and fish passage in streams, and rehabilitation of irrigation infrastructure to maintain and enhance created wetlands.

The Utah Partners for Fish and Wildlife program has restored or enhanced 11,915 acres of wetlands, 46,258 acres of uplands, and 64 miles of riparian or instream habitat. In Wyoming, the program has restored or enhanced 5,373 acres of wetland, 228,592 acres of upland, and 242 miles of riparian or instream habitat. More than 6,760 acres of wetland, 8,754 acres of upland, and 62 miles of riparian or instream habitat (from 2001 to 2011) have been restored or enhanced in Idaho.

Landscape conservation cooperatives are public-private partnerships that recognize that conservation challenges transcend political and jurisdictional boundaries and require an approach that is holistic, collaborative, adaptive, and grounded in science to ensure the sustainability of America’s land, water, wildlife, and cultural resources.

As a collaborative, landscape conservation cooperatives seek to identify best practices, connect efforts, find gaps, and avoid duplication through improved conservation planning and design. Partner agencies and organizations coordinate with each other while working within their existing authorities and jurisdictions.

In carrying out conservation actions through the proposed Bear River Watershed Conservation Area, the Service would work with the Great Northern, Great Basin, and Southern Rockies Landscape Conservation Cooperatives (see figure LPP–2) and other partners to address current and future issues and opportunities related to landscape-scale conservation in a rapidly changing world.

Habitat Protection and Easement Acquisition Process

On approval of a project boundary, habitat protection would occur through the purchase of conservation easements. It is the long-established policy of the Service to acquire minimum interest in land needed from willing sellers to achieve habitat acquisition goals.

The acquisition authority for the proposed conservation area is the Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 (16 U.S.C. 742 a–742j). The Federal monies used to acquire conservation easements are received from the Land and Water Conservation Fund, which is derived primarily from oil and gas leases on the Outer Continental Shelf, motorboat fuel tax revenues, and sale of surplus Federal property.

There could be more money to acquire lands, waters, or interest therein for fish and wildlife conservation purposes through congressional appropriations and donations from nonprofit organizations and other possible sources.

Conservation Easements

The Service would develop an objective review process for evaluating potential conservation easement areas submitted for consideration by willing sellers. The main considerations in acquiring an easement interest in private land are the biological significance of the area, the biological needs of wildlife
Figure LPP–2. Map of land stewardship in the proposed Bear River Watershed Conservation Area in Idaho, Utah, and Wyoming.
species of management concern, existing and anticipated threats to wildlife resources, and landowner interest in the program. The purchase of conservation easements would occur with willing sellers only and would be subject to available funding.

Service conservation easements would complement current conservation efforts by other agencies and organizations in the watershed. Fee-title acquisition is not required for, nor is it preferable to, conservation easements to achieve wildlife habitat protection. Fee-title acquisition would triple or quadruple the cost of land acquisition, would add significant increases in management costs, and would not be accepted by most landowners.

Keeping the working landscapes and agricultural heritage that have sustained the variety of wildlife species in the proposed conservation area is key to ensuring long-term habitat integrity and protection of wildlife resources. Conservation easements are the only viable means of protecting wildlife values on a large scale.