## U. S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION STATEMENT FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION

The Service proposes to expand fishing opportunities (boating regulations changes) on 1,360 acres of the Kirwin National Wildlife Refuge, Kansas in accordance with existing State, local, and Refuge-specific regulations (50 CFR 32.35).

Within the spirit and intent of the Council on Environmental Quality's regulations for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and other statutes, orders, and policies that protect fish and wildlife resources, I have established the following administrative record and determined that the following proposed action is categorically excluded from NEPA documentation requirements consistent with 40 CFR 1508.4, 43 CFR 46.205, and 516 DM 8.5.

The Service has fully satisfied the other requirements for expanding these opportunities on the refuge, including:

□X determining that the opportunities are compatible with the purposes for which the refuge was established and the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System (see attached Compatibility Determination);			
□X ensuring the opportunities are consistent with existing State, local, and refuge-specific regulations (50 CFR 32.35);			
*Use of signs and brochures may supplement the refuge-specific regulations			
□X complying with the National Environmental Policy Act (see attached);			
□X complying with the Endangered Species Act section 7 evaluation OR □ N/A because there are no candidate, threatened or endangered species prese			
□X complying with the National Historic Preservation Act section 106 consultation (see attached Consultation documentation);  OR □ N/A because there are no cultural or historic resources present;			
The Service is, therefore, waiving the requirement to prepare an opening package in compliance with Service policy (605 FW 2.9A).			
Signature Date:			
Title			

## CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION CHECKLIST FOR NEPA COMPLIANCE

## **Proposed Action:**

The Service proposes to expand fishing opportunities in accordance with existing State, local, and Refuge-specific regulations (50 CFR 32.35) at Kirwin National Wildlife Refuge, in Kansas. This opportunity would open 1,360 acres to fishing by motorized boats that are part of the Refuge's approximately 3,640 acres already open to fishing via bank access and non-motorized boats (see attached map). Based on the biological impacts addressed in the Compatibility Determination, it is determined recreational fishing by boat will not materially interfere with the habitat goals and objectives or purposes for refuge establishment. Fishing is a priority public use as listed in the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997. Boating is not a priority public use. However, it does facilitate the six priority public uses. By specifying areas, time of year, no wake zones, and implementing a seasonal boat closure, boating is determined to be compatible.

This proposed action is covered by the following categorical exclusion: 516 DM 8.5 B (7). An action by the Service that only results in "minor changes in the amounts or types of public use on Service or State managed lands, in accordance with existing regulations, management plans, and procedures" is categorically excluded from further NEPA analyses, because it has been determined to be a class of action which does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment.

This action will result in minor changes in the type of fishing on the Refuge to allow motorized boats on 1,360 acres that are already open to bank fishing and fishing via non-motorized boats, as described in the 2006 CCP and associated Environmental Assessment. The 2006 CCP indicates that the existing fishing program will continue with only minimal modifications, but that increased efforts to improve the quality of the fishing program will be implemented. Although boats with motors were initially not permitted on these 1,360 acres, by specifying areas, time of year, no wake zones, and implementing a seasonal boat closure, motorized boating in the expanded area is determined to be compatible.

Extraordinary Circumstances (43 CFR 46.215):
Could This Proposed Action (check ( ✓) yes or no for each item below):

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	
	$\square X$	a. Have significant adverse effects on public health or safety?
	$\Box X$	b. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (EO 11990); floodplains (EO 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas?
	$\Box X$	c. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA section 102(2)(E)]?
	$\Box X$	d. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?
	$\Box X$	e. Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?
	$\Box X$	f. Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects?
	$\Box X$	g. Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by the bureau?
	$\Box X$	h. Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?
	$\Box X$	i. Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?
	$\Box X$	j. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (EO 12898).
	$\Box X$	k. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 13007).
	$\Box X$	1. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions

□ □X m. Have material adverse effects on reso Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Ma (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish an	nagement), Executive Order 11990
(If any of the above exceptions receive a "Yes" check ( $\checkmark$ ),	an EA/EIS must be prepared.)
Within the spirit and intent of the Council of Environmenta implementing the National Environmental Policy Act (NEP policies that protect fish and wildlife resources, I have esta record and have determined:  \[ The proposed action is covered by a categorical of \$46.210 or 516 DM 8.5. No further NEPA document of the NEPA document of the New York of the New Yo	(A) and other statutes, orders, and blished the following administrative exclusion as provided by 43 CFR nentation will therefore be made.
Service signature approval:	
Signature	Date:
Title	
Signature	Date:

that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and EO 13112).