Fall Trumpeter Swan Survey
of the
High Plains Flock

Fall 2010

By: Shilo Comeau
US Fish and Wildlife Service
Martin, South Dakota

Mark Vrtiska
Nebraska Game and Parks Commission
Lincoln, Nebraska

October 2010
Introduction

The annual fall trumpeter swan survey is conducted to determine production and distribution for a portion of the Interior Population of trumpeter swans called the High Plains Flock, in accordance with the Interior Population and High Plains Flock trumpeter swan management plans (1997 and 2005, respectively). Each plan outlines population objectives (VI-2 and A-1) and management strategies for monitoring population status, which includes aerial surveys in South Dakota and Nebraska. These surveys are part of a trumpeter swan monitoring program that spans over two decades to track abundance trends in the flock and condition of the wetlands swans inhabit.

Methods

The survey was conducted from August 30th to September 1st, and September 8th, 2010. We assumed that movement of swans was limited within this time frame; thus, double counting of swans was deemed minimal or non-existent. An aerial cruise survey was completed using a Cessna 182 airplane, flying at elevations of 800 to 1000 ft AGL and at speeds of 120 knots. The weather conditions were favorable with clear skies, winds of 15 to 25 mph on the ground, and temperatures 70 to 88º F.

When a potential swan was sighted, the survey biologists verified the species (ensuring it was not an American white pelican) and classified its age and social status. Swans were categorized as (1) pairs with or without broods, (2) singles with or without broods, (3) cygnets, or (4) groups. Adult and subadult birds were recorded as white birds, and gray birds were classified as cygnets. The survey biologist also evaluated habitat conditions (i.e., availability of food resources and water) from the air.

The traditional survey route included much of northwest Nebraska, southwest South Dakota, and Wyoming (Fig. 1), but Wyoming is not surveyed every year. This year Wyoming (Colony site) was included because a total North American count is conducted every five years, and this was the fifth year.

Results

During the 2010 survey, biologists counted a record-high 524 swans in the High Plains Flock (HPF), but there was virtually no change in the total number of swans counted in 2009 (523). There was an increase in the number of breeding pairs and birds in groups. The number of non-breeding pairs decreased by 16, but the number of broods and average brood size remained relatively unchanged (Table 1). The 2010 results are above the 22-year average for total birds (309 ± 22), white birds (219 ±17), and cygnets (90 ±7). The Flock continues to experience a positive growth rate of 4.9% annually from 1990 to 2010 (Fig. 3). The overall production of cygnets stayed the same this year and the index of production rate (i.e., cygnets/white birds) was (0.50) compared to the long-term average (0.44). The specific results for each category are listed in Table 1.
Figure 1. Survey area for High Plains Flock trumpeter swans located in southwest South Dakota and northwest Nebraska.

Figure 2. High Plains Flock Trumpeter Swan Production Survey Results 1995-2010.
Table 1. Results of the 2009 and 2010 fall production survey of High Plains Flock trumpeter swans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population parameter</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults and subadults</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cygnets</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total swans</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults and subadults in groups</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total flocks</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pairs with cygnets</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pairs without cygnets</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singles with cygnets</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singles without cygnets</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total broods</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean brood size</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Growth Rate for Total Birds in the High Plains Flock
1990-2010

\[
y = 0.0493x + 5.1479
\]

\[R^2 = 0.8311\]

Figure 3. Growth rate of the HPF derived from the natural log of swans counted during fall production surveys from 1990 to 2009. The growth rate = 4.9% per year ($R^2 = 0.83$, $P = 0.00$).

Discussion

Habitat Conditions

Most of the breeding pairs were located on high quality wetlands (i.e., marshes with good water quality and food resources) within 100 miles of Lacreek National Wildlife Refuge in the Sandhills area. The water source for many of these wetlands is subsurface, thereby
providing adequate water and food resources throughout the breeding season. This year
the majority of wetlands in the survey area contained some water, cover, and the
subaquatic food resources appeared abundant (Fig 4). Precipitation in the survey area
was moist from March to August, with the exception of the last week of August when the
Palmer drought index indicated normal conditions over Bennett County (Fig. 5). This is
the third year precipitation conditions have been at or above normal. Conditions
continued to be favorable in the central and southeastern portions of the route, and swans
have been expanding their range eastward according to Nebraska Game and Parks
Commission personnel. Four years ago the route was extended farther east into the
sandhills and biologists were counted additional birds (50) in those areas this year.

Figure 4. Wetland in the sandhills of Nebraska. Picture taken from the airplane during
the survey, note three swans and one great blue heron.

Flock Status

The number of swans counted this year is the highest on record for the HPF and this was
attributed to an increase in breeding pairs and the number of swans in groups. All the
production parameters for this flock increased, including the number of breeding pairs
and average brood size. Also the number of breeding pairs out numbered the non-
breeding pairs, which is usually not the case. The increase in total birds could be credited
not only to the number of reproductively active pairs, but also wetland habitat quality.
A swan may take up to four years to become reproductively active and in 2004 a relatively high number of cygnets were produced (107) making these birds reproductively mature two years ago. The precipitation levels maintained habitat quality and provided many areas for breeding that may have been limited during the drought conditions. During the dry period many of the highest quality wetlands were likely occupied by established pairs that nest at these locations year after year. Thus, wetlands that provided marginal breeding habitat for newly established pairs during the drought may now be adequate for production.

The population objective for this flock is to develop a dispersed population consisting of at least 500 total birds counted during the production survey and 50 successful breeding pairs by 2010 (Comeau-Kingfisher and Koerner 2005). This goal of total birds and number of breeding pairs was achieved this year, and this is attributed breeding habitat and an increase in breeding pairs. As long as habitat conditions remain favorable and no major stochastic event occurs, it is likely these parameters will continue to be achieved. If these conditions are not met, the population may drop below management objectives and adjust accordingly. It is likely that this population will fluctuate between 350 and 500 total birds, based on trend data and the current growth rate. Habitat availability in the Sandhills is currently being modeled using data collected from previous surveys, and this should give managers an idea if there is enough habitat available for this flock to persist at the current objectives.

![NOAA map of drought conditions the week of the survey.](image)
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Appendix A. Survey results by location for the High Plains Flock, 2010 per day. W.B. = White bird (adult/subadult), N.B.P.= non-breeding pair, and B.P. = breeding pair.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>W.B. Single</th>
<th>B.P.</th>
<th>Cyg.</th>
<th>N.B.P</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8/30/10 subtotal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>8/31/10 subtotal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9/1/10 subtotal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9/8/10 subtotal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>Survey Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>