

**SUMMARY**  
**ECOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATION**  
**SWAN RIVER BASIN**  
**MONTANA**

*Prepared for:*

**Plum Creek Timber Company, L.P.**  
**Columbia Falls, Montana**

*Prepared by:*

**White Horse Associates**  
**Logan, Utah**

**JANUARY, 1996**

# **Ecological Classification Swan River Basin Montana**



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report is a compilation of map and descriptive information from several sources. Dean Sirucek (Soil Scientist, Flathead National Forest) provided descriptions of Landtype Associations, identified habitat types, was principally responsible for the riparian landtype mapping and descriptions, facilitated transfer of Forest Service information to White Horse Associates and reviewed the draft report. Vicki Bachurski (Flathead National Forest) assisted in extending mapping of riparian landtypes from Forest Service Lands to intermingled private lands in Swan River Basin. John Krogstad (GIS Specialist, Flathead National Forest) facilitated the transfer of Forest Service map information to White Horse Associates. Most of the classification and inventory information was compiled from maps and reports provided by the Flathead National Forest.

Brian Sugden (Forest Hydrologist, Plum Creek Timber Company) coordinated the study and reviewed the draft document. Brian Gilbert (Wildlife Biologist, Plum Creek Timber Company) reviewed mapping and descriptions of habitat types and reviewed the draft report.

The report was compiled by Sherman Jensen (Physical Ecologist, White Horse Associates) and Ted Dean (GIS Specialist, White Horse Associates).

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

An ecological classification was conducted for Swan River basin in northwest Montana. The basin is 408,630 acres with a stream network totaling about 1,257 linear miles. The ecological classification provides a framework and descriptive attributes from which interpretations regarding habitats and the effects of land uses can be interpreted.

The framework is an ecological classification that facilitates analysis from several perspectives. Hierarchical levels include ecoregion, geologic district, subsection, landtype association, landtype, habitat type and riparian landtype. Descriptive attributes include elevation, slope, aspect, annual precipitation and ownership. Descriptive attributes can be summarized for any combination of the hierarchical layers.

The Swan River basin falls within a single ecoregion (Northern Rockies) and geologic district (Precambrian Sedimentary). Three (3) subsections were discriminated by geologic structure: 1) Alpine glacial sedimentary scarp slope; 2) Alpine glacial sedimentary dip slope; and 3) Continental glacial sedimentary valley. Eleven (11) Landtype associations were identified by the Flathead National Forest and group landtypes with distinctive erosion potential and sediment delivery efficiency. Landtypes were identified as part of an Order III Land System Inventory in the northern Rocky Mountains of northwest Montana (Martinson and Basko 1983) and from an Order IV survey of landtypes in the Mission Mountain Wilderness. A total of 46 Order III and IV landtypes were combined into eleven (11) more general landtype classes. Sirucek (1994) developed a map of habitat types (described by Pfister et al. 1977) from empirical models, forest stand data and an existing layer of forest structural classes. Twelve (12) major habitat types and twenty six (26) minor habitat types were identified. Riparian landtypes are defined by valley-bottom gradient, dominant streambed materials and dominant vegetation community type. They were mapped and described for Forest Service lands in the Flathead National Forest (Sirucek and Bachurski 1995). In a cost-share agreement with Plum Creek Timber, the Flathead National Forest also extended the riparian landtype mapping to private lands in the Swan River basin and to the Mission Mountain wilderness.

A Geographical Information System (GIS) was used to compile hierarchical map layers, plot maps and to output map data summaries. Maps, descriptions and data summaries are provided for each hierarchical level. Digital GIS map layers have also been provided to Plum Creek Timber and to the Flathead National Forest.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION .....	1-1
2.0 SWAN RIVER BASIN PROJECT AREA .....	2-1
3.0 CLASSIFICATION AND INVENTORY .....	3-1
3.1 Ecoregion .....	3-4
3.2 Geologic Districts .....	3-6
3.3 Subsections .....	3-12
3.3.1 Alpine Glacial Sedimentary Scarp Slope (1100) .....	3-17
3.3.2 Alpine Glacial Sedimentary Dip Slope (1200) .....	3-19
3.3.3 Continental Glacial Sedimentary Valley (1300) .....	3-21
3.4 Landtype Associations .....	3-23
3.5 Landtypes .....	3-27
3.6 Habitat Types .....	3-36
3.7 Riparian Landtypes .....	3-45
4.0 LITERATURE CITED .....	4-1

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

---

An ecological classification was conducted for the 408,630 acre Swan River basin in the Northern Rocky Mountains of northwest Montana. The ecological classification provides a framework and descriptive attributes from which interpretations regarding habitats and effects of land uses can be interpreted. The framework consists of a hierarchical classification that facilitates analysis of the project area from several different perspectives. Hierarchical levels include ecoregion, geologic district, subsection, landtype association, landtype, habitat type and riparian landtype. Descriptive attributes include elevation, slope, aspect, annual precipitation and ownership, each assembled as a separate map layer. Descriptive attributes can be sorted and summarized for any combination of hierarchical layers. Descriptive attributes can also serve as stand-alone map layers (e.g. elevation) or combined map layers (e.g. elevation/aspect/precipitation) for specific applications. Digital map files (ARC-INFO format) have been provided to facilitate subsequent analyses.

## 2.0 SWAN RIVER BASIN PROJECT AREA ---

The Swan River basin is shown in Figure 2-1. It is bounded on the west by the Mission Mountain Range and on the east by the Swan Range. A subtle divide separates the headwaters of the Swan River basin from the headwaters of the Clearwater River.


Elevations range from 9,402 feet at Glacier Peaks in the Swan Range to 3,066 feet at Swan Lake. Elevation, slope and aspect classes were generated from 1:24,000 scale Digital Elevation Models (DEMs). The distribution of elevation, slope and aspect classes for the Swan River basin are illustrated in Figure 2-2. Maps of elevation, slope and aspect classes are presented as Figures 2-3, 2-4, and 2-5, respectively.

The Swan Valley lies between the Mission Range on the west and the Swan Range on the east. Both ranges are Precambrian sedimentary formations. The Swan Valley was created in response to block faulting, with the upthrust fault scarp along the east side of the valley (Swan Range) and the dip slope along the west side of the valley (Mission Range). Glacial processes are evident in the topography of the Swan River basin (Figure 2-6). A lobe of the Cordilleran ice sheet pushed south through the Swan River valley during the Bull Lake ice age (Alt and Hyndman 1986). During the subsequent Pinedale glaciation it is believed that a Swan Valley glacier arose in the Swan and Mission mountains and flowed north to meet the south flowing Cordilleran ice sheet near Big Fork (Johns 1970; Witkind 1978). Evidence of glaciation include U-shaped canyons carved to the base of the mountains and an undulating valley floor with a myriad of small lakes and bogs. Nearly 50 percent of Swan River basin is mantled by secondary glacial deposits.

Annual precipitation ranges from 20 to 30 inches at lower elevations in Swan Valley to 80 inches along the highest mountain crests (Figure 2-7). The distribution of precipitation classes is shown in Figure 2-8. Average annual precipitation over the Swan River basin, estimated as the midpoint of precipitation classes, is about 1,482,404 acre-feet.

# Swan River Basin Hydrology

Figure 2-1.










Figure 2-2. Elevation, slope and aspect distributions, Swan River basin.

# Swan River Basin Elevation Classes

Figure 2-3.




Miles



Note: Elevation Classes Generated from 1:24,000 Scale DEM's

# Swan River Basin Slope Classes


Figure 2-4.




*Note - Slope Classes Generated from 1:24,000 and 1:250,000 Scale Digital Elevation Models, resampled to 90meter pixels;*

# Swan River Basin Aspect Classes

Figure 2-5.



Project Area



Miles


-  Flat
-  North
-  Northeast
-  East
-  Southeast
-  South
-  Southwest
-  West
-  Northwest

*Note: Slope Classes Generated from 1:24,000 and 1:250,000 Scale Digital Elevation Models, resampled to 90meter pixels.*


# Swan River Basin

## Topography

Figure 2-6.



Project Area





Miles

*Note: Topography Generated from 1:24,000 Scale Digital Elevation Models; Hydrologic features digitized from 1:24,000 Scale Topographic Maps;*

# Swan River Basin Precipitation

Figure 2-7.





**Figure 2-8. Distribution of precipitation classes.**

The stream courses marked on Figure 2-1 are from the 1:24,000 scale quads. The upstream and downstream elevations of stream reaches were estimated from 1:24,000 scale DEMs. Grade was calculated as:

$$((E_u - E_d)/L) * 100$$

where:  $E_u$  = Upstream elevation of reach  
 $E_d$  = Downstream elevation of reach  
 $L$  = Length of reach

A stream order based on Strahler (1957) was assigned to each reach. The headwater tributaries marked on 1:24,000 scale are Order 1. The convergence of two Order 1 streams makes an Order 2 stream. The convergence of two Order 2 streams makes an Order 3; etc. At Swan Lake, the Swan River is Order 6. Streamflow regime (intermittent versus perennial) was also assigned to each reach, as marked on the 1:24,000 scale quads. Stream attributes are summarized in Table 2-1. About 46 percent of the total stream length is perennial with an average gradient of 7.9 percent. Intermittent streams are much steeper with an average grade of 18.3 percent. Also included in Swan River basin are about 554 lakes and ponds with a total area of 7,656 acres and 311 linear miles of shoreline.

Table 2-1. Stream attribute summary<sup>1</sup>.

ORDER	-----INTERMITTENT-----			-----PERENNIAL-----			-----TOTAL-----		
	N	Length (mi)	Grade (%)	N	Length (mi)	Grade (%)	N	Length (mi)	Grade (%)
1	839	580.0	20.0	140	109.0	15.9	979	689.0	19.3
2	186	78.9	10.2	261	199.8	9.6	447	278.7	9.8
3	25	12.5	5.9	200	126.6	5.8	225	139.0	5.8
4	4	1.2	3.3	104	75.1	2.0	108	76.3	2.0
5	1	0.4	1.3	41	18.5	0.8	42	18.9	0.8
6	16	7.8	0.5	77	46.9	0.4	93	54.6	0.4
TOTAL	1071	680.7	18.3	823	575.9	7.9	1894	1256.6	13.6

<sup>1</sup> data compiled from 1:24,000 scale quads and 1:24,000 scale DEMs.

Stream gaging stations are monitored by USGS on the Swan River at Condon (20 year period of record from 1973 to 1992), located in the upper part of the Swan River Valley and at Big Fork (72 year period of record from 1922 to 1993), just above Flathead Lake. Average monthly flows (minimum, mean, and maximum) are compared for each gaging station in Figure 2-9. Average annual yields at Big Fork are 841,941 acre-feet. About 640,643 acre-feet (43 percent of average annual precipitation) is lost to evapotranspiration and percolation to deep groundwater.

Lands are managed by the U.S. Forest Service, Plum Creek and other private owners (see Figure 2-10 and Table 2-2).

Table 2-2. Land ownership summary.

OWNERSHIP	AREA			STREAM LENGTH			
	N	(ac)	(%)	Perennial (miles)	(%)	Intermittent (miles)	(%)
Forest Service	81	256152	62.7	303.5	52.7	421.9	62.0
Plum Creek	123	82718	20.2	137.7	23.9	145.6	21.4
Private	48	27874	6.8	72.6	12.6	47.9	7.0
State	29	39624	9.7	55.7	9.7	61.9	9.1
Wildlife Refuge	1	2261	0.6	6.4	1.1	3.4	0.5
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>408630</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>575.9</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>680.7</b>	<b>100.0</b>






Figure 2-9. Average monthly flows, Swan River.

# Swan River Basin

## Surface Status

Figure 2-10



Project Area

N

0 5

Miles

### Legend

- Private Lands
- Forest Service
- State Forest
- Fish and Wildlife Service
- Plum Creek Timber

### 3.0 CLASSIFICATION AND INVENTORY

---

The classification is hierarchical and consists of seven levels (Table 3.0-1). Classes of the top levels are based on regional criteria from small-scale maps and general information sources. Classes of the lower levels are based on information from large-scale maps and on more quantitative, site-specific criteria. The intent of the classification is to identify landscapes that evolved in response to distinctive processes that have resulted in distinctive structure, function and ecological potential. Landscapes have evolved in response to the interaction of climatic, geologic, geomorphic, hydrologic and biotic processes. From a "high-perspective" (e.g., 1:2,500,000 scale), differences in regional climate and geologic structure are apparent between the Columbia Plateau and the Northern Rocky Mountain Ecoregions. When viewed from a "mid-perspective" (e.g., 1:100,000 scale), differences in geologic districts (e.g., granite versus basalt) and landtype associations (e.g., glacial versus fluvial) become discernable, corresponding with landscapes of more distinctive structure, form and ecological potential. From a "low-perspective" (e.g., 1:24,000 scale) areas of still more distinctive form and position relative to environmental gradients are used to discern landtypes, habitat types and riparian landtypes.

Table 3.0-1. Hierarchical levels of classification.

---

Ecoregion	Areas of distinctive land-surface form, potential natural vegetation, land-use and soil (Omernik 1987); identified from small scale (1:2,500,000) maps, they may contain few to many geologic districts.
Geologic District	Areas of distinctive parent materials that differ from surrounding districts in structure, degree of weathering, dominant size-fractions of weathering products and water-handling characteristics (e.g., porosity, permeability, runoff potential); includes both uplands and bottom-lands; it may contain one to several landtype associations.
Subsection	A division of a geologic district, distinguished by geologic structure for the Swan River basin.
Landtype Association	A hierarchical level of the Land System Inventory applied by the USDA Forest Service. Sirucek (1995) reported that landtype associations were mapped for the Flathead River basin by grouping landtypes identified by Martinson and Basko (1983) with distinctive erosion potential and sediment delivery efficiency.
Landtype	A hierarchical level of the Land System Inventory applied by the USDA Forest Service. Martinson and Basko (1983) distinguished landtypes by landform, patterns of soil and climax plant community.
Habitat Type	Forested habitat types of Montana were described by Pfister et al. (1977) and identify climax plant communities, usually identified at a scale similar to landtypes.
Riparian Landtype	Riparian/wetland habitats that were described and mapped by the Flathead National Forest (Sirucek and Bachurski 1995). Riparian landtypes were distinguished by valley gradient, dominant stream substrate and dominant overstory vegetation.

---

Hierarchical levels may be thought of as layers of information. The top layers (e.g., ecoregion) consist of large polygons that are described in terms of general criteria. At successively lower levels, polygons are divided into smaller areas that are described in terms of more refined criteria, from which increasingly specific interpretations can be drawn. The classification is applied from the top level down, thus accounting for variance at the broadest level possible. The various scales used in the classification allow interpretation and generalization at perspectives ranging from regional to more specific. More specific information for lower levels can also be integrated to support interpretations for higher levels.

### 3.1 Ecoregion

Ecoregion (Omernik 1987) is the broadest level of classification. Ecoregions are based on factors that cause regional variation in ecosystems or on factors that integrate the causes of regional variations. Principal factors used to identify ecoregions are land surface form, potential natural vegetation, land use and soils. Ecoregions have been used to identify streams of similar potential to facilitate impact assessments (Hughes et al. 1986; Rohm et al. 1987) and for identifying streams with similar biotic and physicochemical characteristics (Hughes et al. 1987; Whittier et al. 1988).

The diversity within ecoregions is not consistent. Some are similar throughout; others encompass great variation. The range in elevation and topographic diversity are relative measures of the diversity within an ecoregion. The scale at which the diversity is apparent also varies. In the High Desert/Snake River Plain, comprised mainly of rather flat lava, the variance occurs over large areas and can be shown on small (e.g., 1:1,000,000) scale maps. In the Northern Basin and Range Ecoregion, with numerous high ranges separated by basins, the diversity occurs within comparatively small areas and must be indicated on larger (e.g., 1:500,000) scale maps. More inclusive analyses, at larger scales, are necessary to develop quantitative understanding of the variance within and between ecoregions (Omernik 1987).

The Swan River basin is within the Northern Rocky Mountain Ecoregion (Figure 3.1-1). This ecoregion is characterized as high mountains with cedar/hemlock/pine, western spruce/fir, grand fir/Douglas-fir and Douglas-fir potential natural vegetation. Major land uses include harvest of forest and woodland products. Soils are described as Eastern interior mountain soils with acidic rock types, mostly Inceptisols.

# Ecoregions of the Western United States (Omernik, 1993)





Figure 3.1-1.



- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1 Coast Range   | 18 Montana Valley and Foothill Prairies |
| 2 Puget Lowlands  | 19 Middle Rockies                       |
| 3 Willamete Valley  | 20 Wyoming Basin                        |
| 4 Cascades  | 21 Wasatch and Uinta Mountains          |
| 5 Sierra Nevada   | 22 Colorado Plateaus                    |
| 6 Southern and Central California<br>Plains and Foothills | 23 Southern Rockies                     |
| 7 Central California Valley                               | 24 Arizona/New Mexico Plateau           |
| 8 Southern California Mountains                           | 25 Arizona/New Mexico Mountains         |
| 9 Eastern Cascades Slopes and Foothills                   | 26 Southern Deserts                     |
| 10 Columbia Plateau                                       | 27 Western High Plains                  |
| 11 Blue Mountains   | 28 Southwestern Tablelands              |
| 12 Snake River Basin/High Desert                          | 29 Northern Montana Glaciated Plains    |
| 13 Northern Basin and Range                               | 30 Northwestern Glaciated Plains        |
| 14 Southern Basin and Range                               | 31 Northwestern Great Plains            |
| 15 Northern Rockies                                       |   |

### 3.2 Geologic Districts


Geologic districts are areas of distinctive rock types or parent materials. They often correspond with distinctive assemblages of upland potential plant communities and areas of distinctive hydrologic character. The hydrologic character of landscapes is also influenced by the degree to which parent material has been weathered (producing sediment) and the water-handling characteristics (e.g., porosity, retention, etc.) of the parent rock and its weathering products. The hydrologic character of hard rock (e.g., quartzite) that weathers slowly to mixed sizes (e.g., silt, sand, gravel and cobble) is different from that of soft rock (e.g., tuff) that weathers rapidly to fine-textured sediment.

Geologic districts may be 10's to many 100's of square miles in size. They are identified at about the same scale as subsections identified in U.S. Forest Service Land System Inventories (Wertz and Arnold 1972). Geologic districts do not change (to other types) in response to cultural practices. They include both uplands and bottom-lands.



Swan Valley was created in response to block faulting, with the upthrust fault scarp forming the steep Swan Range on the east side of the valley and the dip slope forming the Mission Range along the west side of the valley. Surficial rock types identified in Figure 3.2-1 and 3.2-1b are from a 1:250,000 scale Geologic Map of the Choteau Quadrangle (Mudge et al. 1982). A legend of rock types is presented as Table 3.2-1. The areas of surficial rock types in Swan River basin are listed in Table 3.2-2. Because of the universal similarity of parent materials, a single geologic district was identified for the entire basin - the Precambrian Sedimentary geologic district.

# Swan River Basin

## Rock Types



Project Area




Miles

- Glacial Deposits
- Mount Shield Formation
- Shepard Formation
- Snowslip Formation
- Helena Formation
- Empire Formation
- Spokane Formation
- Greyson Formation
- Diorite Sills and Dikes

*Digitized from 1:250,000 Scale Geologic Map of the Choteau  
1 X 2 Degree Quadrangle (Mudge et al. 1982)  
Contours Generated from 1:24,000 Scale DEM's  
Contour Interval 500 Feet;*

# Geologic Cross-section of Swan River Valley

Figure 3.2-1b.



- Glacial Deposits
- Helena Formation
- Empire Formation
- Spokane Formation
- Older Belt Rocks

Table 3.2-1. Rock type legend, Swan River basin (Mudge et al. 1982).

ERA	SYMBOL	NAME	DESCRIPTION
Period			
RECENT			
Holocene/Pleistocene	Qg	Glacial deposits	Drift, heterogeneous mixture of rock fragments in silty clay matrix. Forms hummocky topography. Includes deposits from alpine and continental glaciations; as much as 100 meters thick in Swan River valley where thickness of mostly sand and gravel deposits exceeds 300 meters.
PRECAMBRIAN			
Proterozoic	Yms	Mount Shields Form.	Mostly bright reddish brown, thinly laminated, micaceous siltite, argillite, and thin-to-thick bedded quartzite. A grayish-green siltite unit with local interbedded dark-gray fissile shale is widespread in the upper part of the formation. The quartzite beds are mostly fine to medium grained and more common in the middle and lower parts of the formation.
Proterozoic	Ysh	Shepard Formation	Consists mostly of greenish-gray to grayish-yellow micaceous siltite and some silty limestone and argillite. Beds of maroon siltite and argillite widespread in the middle part and locally in the upper part.
Proterozoic	Ysn	Snowslip Formation	Consists of pale-red to reddish-brown beds and interbedded greenish-gray beds of argillite and siltite with some thin beds of very fine to fine-grained quartzite.
Proterozoic	Yh	Helena Formation	In most places the Helena is divisible into three units. The upper unit consists of beds of limestone interbedded with dolomite, siltite, and argillite. The middle unit, comprising most of the formation, consists of light to medium-gray, thin to thick-bedded silty limestone, dolomite and calcitic dolomite that weathers to a yellowish gray to grayish orange. The lower unit consists mostly of calcareous or dolomitic siltite with some beds of dolomite and quartzite.

Table 3.2-1. Continued.

ERA	SYMBOL	NAME	DESCRIPTION
Period			
PRECAMBRIAN (CONTINUED)			
Proterozoic	Ye	Empire Formation	A transitional unit between the Helena and Spokane Formations. Mostly greenish gray argillite and siltite with interbeds of quartzite, dolomite, and locally stromatolitic and oolitic carbonate rock.
Proterozoic	Ys	Spokane Formation	Mostly pale-purplish-red and grayish-red siltite and argillite interbedded with lithologically similarly greenish-gray beds.
Proterozoic	Yg	Greyson Formation	The oldest unit exposed in and near the map area. Consists of light-gray to greenish-gray, thinly bedded siltite with some quartzite, grading down into dark gray, greenish-gray, very thinly laminated argillite and siltite in the lower part.
Proterozoic	Zd	Diorite sills & dikes	Mostly diorite and quartz diorite, locally minor diorite-gabbro and monsonite. Dark gray, weathers grayish brown.

Table 3.2-2. Areas of surficial rock types. Swan River basin.

SYMBOL	NAME	N	ACRES	PERCENT
Qg	Glacial deposits	1	201150	49.2
Ye	Empire Formation	13	13193	3.2
Yg	Grayson Formation	1	260	0.1
Yh	Helena Formation	16	134587	32.9
Yms	Mount Shields Formation	10	33/1	0.8
Ys	Spokane Formation	7	23028	5.6
Ysh	Shepard Formation	11	12652	3.1
Ysn	Snowslip Formation	2	18711	4.6
Zd	Diorite sills and dikes	5	1680	0.4
TOTAL		66	408630	100.0

Both the Mission Mountains on the west and the Swan Mountains on the east are Precambrian sedimentary formations. Dominant parent materials are siltite, argillite, limestone and quartzite of the Mount Shields, Shepard, Helena and Empire Formations. Nearly 50 percent of Swan River basin is mantled by secondary glacial deposits, most derived from these same dominant parent materials. These similar parent materials were combined as the Precambrian Sedimentary geologic district for the Swan River basin.

### 3.3 Subsections

Subsections were defined to distinguish parts of the geologic district with distinctive geologic structure and geomorphology. The Swan Valley was created in response to block faulting, with the upthrust fault scarp along the east side of the valley (Swan Range) and the dip slope along the west side of the valley (Mission Range). Nearly 50 percent of Swan River basin is mantled by secondary glacial deposits.

During the Bull Lake ice age that peaked roughly 100,000 years ago, the northern end of the Mission Range split the Cordilleran ice sheet that moved south from British Columbia, sending one lobe of the glacier through the Swan Valley (Alt and Hyndman 1986). That branch of the Cordilleran ice sheet plowed through the Swan Valley and continued south into the Clearwater River basin, which drains south. This glacier left moraines that form many of the hills just south of Clearwater Junction. Ice advanced through the Swan Valley again to the lower end of Salmon Lake during the Pinedale ice age, which reached a maximum about 15,000 years ago.

After the mass of ice that filled Swan Valley was gone, large glaciers repeatedly plowed down the valleys of the Mission and Swan Ranges. The later advances of these alpine glaciers left high moraines that now enclose Holland and Lindbergh Lakes, as well as others at the mouths of canyons in the Mission and Swan Ranges. Johns (1970) and Witkind (1977) suggest that the alpine glaciers may have merged to form a very large ice sheet in Swan Valley that flowed north to meet the Cordilleran ice sheet near Big Fork. These authors speculate that giant glacial grooves cut in the northern tip of the Mission Range and further south along the east flank of the Mission Mountains and the west flank of the Swan Range were scoured by the south flowing Cordilleran ice sheet and/or the north flowing Swan Valley glacier.

Three subsections were identified in the Swan River basin (Figure 3.3-1). The areas of subsections are listed in Table 3.3-1. Stream attributes for subsections are listed in Table 3.3-2. Stream gradients are compared for different subsections in Figure 3.3-2 and drainage density (linear miles stream/square miles) are compared in Figure 3.3-3. Descriptions of subsections follow.

Table 3.3-1. Subsections, Swan River basin.

CODE	Subsection	-----AREA-----	
		(acres)	(%)
(1100)	Alpine Glacial Sedimentary Scarp Slope	109,948	26.9
(1200)	Alpine Glacial Sedimentary Dip Slope	166,140	40.7
(1300)	Continental Glacial Sedimentary Valley	132,542	32.4
	TOTAL	408,630	100.0

Table 3.3-2. Stream attributes for subsections<sup>1</sup>.

SUBSECTION (CODE)						
Order	----PERENNIAL----		--INTERMITTENT--		-----TOTAL-----	
	Length (mi)	Grade (%)	Length (mi)	Grade (%)	Length (mi)	Grade (%)
ALPINE GLACIAL SEDIMENTARY SCARP SLOPE (1100)						
1	34.1	24.7	191.5	33.4	225.6	32.1
2	49.5	18.5	10.2	25.6	59.7	19.7
3	29.3	9.0	0		29.3	9.0
4	6.5	4.3	0		6.5	4.3
TOTAL	119.4	17.1	201.8	33.0	321.2	27.1
ALPINE GLACIAL SEDIMENTARY DIP SLOPE (1200)						
1	49.4	16.6	205.1	22.3	254.5	21.2
2	75.4	10.7	26.7	16.5	102.1	12.2
3	43.9	8.0	3.7	14.9	47.6	8.5
4	16.0	3.0			16.0	3.0
TOTAL	184.8	11.0	235.5	21.5	420.3	16.9
CONTINENTAL GLACIAL SEDIMENTARY VALLEY (1300)						
1	24.9	2.7	183.3	3.0	208.2	3.0
2	71.7	2.7	41.9	2.4	113.6	2.6
3	57.1	2.3	8.7	2.1	65.8	2.2
4	52.5	1.4	1.2	3.3	53.7	1.5
5	18.4	0.7	0.4	1.3	18.9	0.8
6	46.8	0.4	7.8	0.5	54.6	0.4
TOTAL	271.5	1.8	243.3	2.8	514.7	2.3



<sup>1</sup> Stream attributes generated from 1:24,000 scale quads and 1:24,000 scale DEMs.

# Swan River Basin

Subsection

Figure 3.3-1

Project Area



Miles

1100 - Alpine Glacial Sedimentary  
Scarp Slope

1200 - Alpine Glacial Sedimentary  
Dip Slope

1300 - Continental Glacial Valley

*Note: Topography Generated from 1:24,000 Scale Digital  
Elevation Models; Hydrologic features digitized from  
1:24,000 Scale Topographic Maps; Landtype Associations  
Digitized from 1:24,000 Scale Quads.*




Figure 3.3-2. Stream gradients for subsections.




Figure 3.3-3. Drainage density for subsections.

### 3.3.1 Alpine Glacial Sedimentary Scarp Slope (1100)

As the name implies, this subsection is an up-thrust block (scarp slope) of sedimentary rock that has been scoured by alpine glaciation in the Swan Range. Also, the Cordilleran ice sheet covered lower elevations of this subsection. One polygon of this subsection comprises 109,949 (27 percent) of the Swan River basin.

The distributions of elevation, slope and aspect for the 1100 subsection are illustrated in Figure 3.3.1-1. Elevations are mostly from 4,000 to 8,000 feet. The dominant slopes are 22 to 100 percent. Given the general north-to-south orientated fault scarp, western aspects are prevalent.

This subsection includes 321 miles of Order 1 through 4 streams of which 119 miles (37 percent) is perennial. The weighted average stream grade for perennial streams is 17.1 percent for perennial streams and 33.0 percent for intermittent streams (see Table 3.3-2). This subsection includes 24 lakes with a total area of 186 acres.

This subsection is differentiated from the Continental Glacial Sedimentary Valley (1300) by higher elevations, steeper slopes and more rugged topography. It is different from the Alpine Glacial Sedimentary Dip Slope (1200) subsection in having a higher proportion of very steep (46 to 100%) slopes, steeper perennial and intermittent streams in narrower valley-bottoms and higher intermittent drainage density, in addition to having fewer lakes.






Figure 3.3.1-1. Elevation, slope and aspect distributions, scarp-slope subsection.

### 3.3.2 Alpine Glacial Sedimentary Dip Slope (1200)

This subsection is an down-thrust block (dip slope) of sedimentary rock that has been scoured by alpine glaciation in the Mission Range. Also, the Cordilleran ice sheet covered lower elevations of this subsection. It comprises 166,140 acres (41 percent) of the Swan River basin (Figure 3.3-1).

The distribution of elevation, slope and aspect is presented as Figure 3.3.2-1. Dominant elevations are similar to the 1100 subsection, from 4,000 to 8,000 feet. Dominant slopes are more gradual and range from 10 to 46 percent. Given the general north-to-south orientated fault scarp, eastern aspects are prevalent.

This subsection includes 420 miles of Order 1 through 4 streams of which 185 miles (44 percent) are perennial. The weighted average stream grade for perennial streams is 11.0 percent for perennial streams and 21.5 percent for intermittent streams (see Table 3.3-2). This subsection includes 243 lakes with a total area of 3,421 acres.

This subsection is differentiated from the Continental Glacial Sedimentary Valley (1300) in having dramatically higher elevations, greater slopes and more rugged topography. It is different from the Alpine Glacial Sedimentary Scarp Slope (1100) subsection in having a lower proportion of very steep (46 to 100%) slopes, streams with lower average gradient, wider valley-bottoms and more lakes.






Figure 3.3.2-1. Elevation, slope and aspect distributions, dip-slope subsection.

### **3.3.3 Continental Glaciated Sedimentary Valley (1300)**

This subsection is a block-fault valley underlain by sedimentary rock and mantled with thick deposits of glacial debris left by continental glaciers that moved generally south from British Columbia. This subsection comprises Swan Valley and is 132,542 acres or 32 percent of Swan River basin (Figure 3.3-1).

The distributions of elevation, slope and aspect are illustrated in Figure 3.3.3-1. Dominant elevations range from 3,000 to 5,000 feet. Dominant slopes are less than 22 percent. It is interesting to note that south and southeast aspects, the direction the continental glacier flowed, are minimized. Glacial deposits tend to be elongated ridges oriented parallel to the direction of glacial flow.

This subsection includes 515 miles of Order 1 through 6 streams of which 272 miles (53 percent) are perennial. The weighted average stream grade for perennial streams is 1.8 percent for perennial streams and 2.8 percent for intermittent streams (see Table 3.3-2). Excluding Swan Lake, this subsection includes 275 lakes with a total area of 1,483 acres. The portion of Swan Lake in the study area is 2,034 acres.

This subsection is differentiated from the Alpine Glacial Sedimentary Scarp Slope (1100) and the Alpine Glacial Sedimentary Dip Slope (1200) subsections in having dramatically lower elevations, more gentle slopes and topography, and lower gradient streams.






Figure 3.3.3-1. Elevation, slope and aspect distributions, valley subsection.

### 3.4 Landtype Association

In 1994 the Flathead National Forest compiled a landtype association map layer as part of a region-wide Columbia River Basin assessment. Landtype association mapping was done at a scale of 1:100,000 with a minimum map unit size of 80 to 100 acres. The landtype associations were defined to group landtypes with distinctive erosion potential and sediment delivery efficiency. The differentiating criteria for the map units were landform classes that were further subdivided by classes of bedrock lithology. For lands in the Flathead National Forest, landtypes identified in a previous inventory (Martinson and Basko 1983) were grouped and then subdivided by bedrock lithology to create the landtype association map units. A map of landtype associations for the Swan River basin is presented as Figure 3.4-1. The areas of landtype associations identified in the Swan River basin are listed in Table 3.4-1.


Table 3.4-1. Landtype association legend.

CODE	LANDTYPE ASSOCIATION	N	-----AREA-----	
			(ac)	(%)
10	Alluvial Deposits (Coarse)	6	14111	3.5
11	Lacustrine Deposits	43	4536	1.1
12	Outwash Deposits (Coarse)	19	16191	4.0
20	Structural and Stream Breaklands <sup>1</sup>	48	23773	5.8
40	Steep Alpine Glaciated Lands <sup>1</sup>	52	158394	38.8
50	Gently/Moderate Sloping Glaciated Lands <sup>1</sup>	32	156625	38.3
60	Mountain Slopes and Ridges <sup>1</sup>	76	22135	5.4
70	Frost-churned Ridges and Sideslopes <sup>1</sup>	4	1816	0.4
80	Old Surfaces <sup>1</sup>	3	4067	1.0
90	Mass Failures	6	493	0.1
99	Miscellaneous (Ponds/Lakes/Reservoirs)	89	6004	1.5
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>541</b>	<b>408660</b>	<b>100.0</b>

<sup>1</sup> Metasedimentary parent material;

# Swan River Basin Landtype Association

Figure 3.4-1



Notes: Landtype Associations from LTA.FNF.GIS Map Layer  
Developed by the Flathead National Forest and Described  
by Strucek (1995); Rivers Digitized from 1:24,000 Scale Quads.

### 3.5 Landtypes


Landtypes have distinctive landform, soil patterns and climax plant community. Landtypes were identified as part of an Order III Land System Inventory in the northern Rocky Mountains of northwest Montana (Martinson and Basko 1983). The Order III survey included most of the Swan River basin, but excluded the Mission Mountain Wilderness. Sirucek (unpublished) extended an Order IV survey of landtypes for the Mission Mountain Wilderness and provided "cross-walks" between the Order III landtypes and the more general Order IV landtypes.

Local landforms were used to develop landtype map units. Soils were classified using criteria adopted by the National Cooperative Soil Survey and documented in Soil Taxonomy (USDA SCS 1975). Potential vegetation was classified using Habitat Types of Montana (Pfister et al. 1977).


A total of 46 Order III and IV landtypes were identified in the Swan River basin. These 46 different landtypes were combined into 11 general **landtype classes**. A map of general landtype classes is presented as Figure 3.5-1. The area of landtypes are listed in Table 3.5-1 by general landtype class. A descriptive legend of landtypes is presented as Table 3.5-2. The distribution of landtype classes by subsection is listed in Table 3.5-3. Because this version of the Ecological Classification is abridged, the reader is referred to Martinson and Basko (1983) and Sirucek (Unpublished) for detailed map unit descriptions.

# Swan River Basin General Landtype Classes

Figure 3.5-1



- Wet Depression
- Floodplain
- Alluvial Fan
- Glacial Basin
- Glacial Trough
- Ground Moraine
- Glacial Outwash
- Residual
- Colluvial
- Rockland
- Lake



Miles

*Note: General Landtype Classes Were Merged from Landtypes Identified by Martinson and Basko (1983) and from Strucek (Unpublished)*

Table 3.5-1. Distribution of Order III and IV landtypes, Swan River basin.

GENERAL CLASS	ORDER	LANDTYPE	LANDFORM	N	ACRES	PERCENT
Lake	III	Lake	Lake	114	5653	1.4
Wet Depression	III	12	Depression in ground moraine/lacustrine deposit	19	2073	0.5
Wet depression	III	14-3	Lcw basin/depression in rolling ground moraine	14	1295	0.3
Wet Depression	IV	Ia	Wet, grass/sedge meadow	2	57	0.0
Wet Depression		TOTAL		35	3425	0.8
Floodplain	III	10-2	Well drained floodplain	10	2408	0.6
Floodplain	III	10-3	Poorly drained floodplain	5	14183	3.5
Floodplain		TOTAL		15	16591	4.1
Alluvial Fan	III	16	Alluvial fan	22	1976	0.5
Glacial Basin	III	21-8	Alpine glaciated basin, 20-40% slope	47	9219	2.3
Glacial Basin	III	21-9	Alpine glaciated basin, 40-60% slope	15	3331	0.8
Glacial Basin	IV	II	Glacial cirque basin	38	15978	3.9
Glacial Basin	IV	VIa	Scoured glacial basin	7	4498	1.1
Glacial Basin		TOTAL		107	33026	8.1
Glacial Trough	III	73	Glacial troughwalls (Subalpine fir series)	57	39923	9.8
Glacial Trough	III	78	Glacial troughwalls (Douglas-fir series)	10	7139	1.7
Glacial Trough	IV	VII	Forested, cool aspect breakland	27	7842	1.9
Glacial Trough		TOTAL		94	54904	13.4

Table 3.5-1. Continued.

GENERAL CLASS	ORDER	LANDTYPE	LANDFORM	N	ACRES	PERCENT
Ground Moraine	III	14-2	Well drained depression in moraine	4	1110	0.3
Ground Moraine	III	23-8	Moraine from argillite, limestone and siltite, 20-40% slope	5	4376	1.1
Ground Moraine	III	23-9	Moraine from argillite, limestone and siltite, 40-60% slope	9	14925	3.7
Ground Moraine	III	26A-7	Moraine from limestone, 0-20% slope	15	13057	3.2
Ground Moraine	III	26A-8	Moraine from limestone, 20-40% slope	16	9931	2.4
Ground Moraine	III	26A-9	Moraine from limestone, 40-60% slope	5	3452	0.8
Ground Moraine	III	26C-7	Moraine from argillite and siltite, 0-20% slope	19	50619	12.4
Ground Moraine	III	26C-8	Moraine from argillite and siltite, 20-40% slope	29	22175	5.4
Ground Moraine	III	26C-9	Moraine from argillite and siltite, 40-60% slope	9	4606	1.1
Ground Moraine	III	26D-7	Moraine from quartzite, 0-20% slope	9	20544	5.0
Ground Moraine	III	26D-8	Moraine from quartzite, 20-40% slope	19	9132	2.2
Ground Moraine	III	26D-9	Moraine from quartzite, 40-60% slope	2	449	0.1
Ground Moraine	III	26G-7	Moraine from Tertiary sediment, 0-20% slope	1	38	0.0
Ground Moraine	III	26L-7	Moraine from old surface material, 0-20% slope	2	1420	0.3
Ground Moraine	III	26L-8	Moraine from old surface material, 20-40% slope	1	2196	0.5
Ground Moraine	III	74	Fluvial breaklands in moraine	10	1730	0.4
Ground Moraine	IV	III	Forested ground moraine	17	4115	1.0
Ground Moraine		TOTAL		172	163875	40.1
Glacial Outwash	III	27-7	Kame/stream terraces/pit and kettle topography, 0-20% slope	14	7039	1.7
Glacial Outwash	III	27-8	Kame/stream terraces/pit and kettle topography, 40-60% slope	5	3529	0.9
Glacial Outwash	III	28-7	Outwash plain, stream terrace and bench, 0-20% slope	6	3475	0.9
Glacial Outwash		TOTAL		25	14043	3.4
Residual	III	57-8	Glacial scoured hillsides and ridgetop, 20-40% slope	48	13163	3.2
Residual	III	57-9	Glacial scoured hillsides and ridgetop, 40-60% slope	29	7472	1.8
Residual	III	76	Extremely steep structural breaklands, >60% slope	24	14194	3.5
Residual	III	77	Extremely steep structural breaklands, >60% slope	7	3936	1.0
Residual	IV	Va	Forested high elevation ridge	4	2131	0.5
Residual	IV	Vb	Forested smooth residual slope	14	4360	1.1
Residual		TOTAL		126	45256	11.1

Table 3.5-1. Continued.

GENERAL CLASS	ORDER	LANDTYPE	LANDFORM	N	ACRES	PERCENT
Colluvial	III	32	Block slides with dipping bedrock	3	299	0.1
Colluvial	IV	IV	Slump land	3	206	0.1
Colluvial		TOTAL		6	506	0.1
Rockland	III	55	Rockland on low-to-mid elevation hillside, 40-60% slope	3	176	0.0
Rockland	III	72	Rockland on over-steepened cirque headwall & alpine ridge	14	31702	7.8
Rockland	III	75	Rockland on cliffs	12	3259	0.8
Rockland	IV	VI	Peaks and alpine ridge	6	34238	8.4
Rockland		TOTAL		35	69375	17.0
TOTAL		TOTAL	TOTAL	751	408630	100.0

Table 3.5.2. Descriptive legend for landtypes.

GENERAL LANDTYPE CLASS						
Landtype <sup>1</sup>	Landform	Geology	Map Unit Component	Soil Classification	Vegetative Series <sup>2</sup>	Slope (%)
<b>WET DEPRESSION</b>						
12	Depression in moraine and lacustrine deposits	Undifferentiated	Poorly drained organic deposits	Borohapristis	Wet herbaceous shrubs	0-5
14-3	Basins and depressions in moraine	Undifferentiated	Somewhat poorly drained lacust. dep.	Aquepts	ABLA	0-5
Ia	Wet. Grass-sedge Meadows	Alluvium	Alluvial and lacustrine deposits	Aquepts and Aquolls	Water tolerant shrubs	NA
<b>FLOODPLAIN</b>						
10-2	Floodplain	Undifferentiated	Well drained formed in alluvium	Complex of Ochrepts and Fluvents	ABLA, PSME	0-5
10-3	Floodplain	Undifferentiated	Poorly drained alluvial deposits	Aquepts	ABLA	0-5
<b>ALLUVIAL FAN</b>						
16	Alluvial fan	Undifferentiated	Coarse textured in colluvium	Ochrepts and Orthents	ABLA	5-34
<b>GLACIAL BASIN</b>						
21-8	Alpine glaciated basin	Undifferentiated	Ash loess over glacial till	Andic Cryochrepts & Entic Cryandpts	ABLA, PIAL	20-44
21-9	Alpine glaciated basin	Undifferentiated	Ash loess over glacial till	Andic Cryochrepts & Entic Cryandpts	ABLA, PIAL	40-60
II	Glacial cirque basins	Undifferentiated	Ash loess over till & residuum	Andic Cryochrepts & Cryorthods, Typic Cryandpts	ABLA, P'AL, PICEA	NA
VIa	Glacial scoured basins	Undescribed	Undescribed	Undescribed	Undescribed	NA
<b>GLACIAL TROUGH</b>						
73	Glacial troughwalls	Undifferentiated	Ash loess over residuum & till	Ochrepts and Boralfs	ABLA	>61
78	Glacial troughwalls	Undifferentiated	Ash loess over residuum	Dystrochrepts	PSME	>61
VII	Forested, cool aspect breaklands	Undifferentiated	Residuum, drift & colluvium	Andic Cryochrepts and Lithic Cryandpts	PICO, ABLA, PICEA	<61

<sup>1</sup> Landtype Codes that are Roman Numerals (Ia, II, III, IV, V, Vb, VI, VIa) are from an Order 4 survey of the Mission Mountain Wilderness in Swan River basin (Sirucek, unpublished). The other landtype codes are from an Order 3 survey (Martinson and Basco 1983).

<sup>2</sup> Vegetation Series are from Habitat Types of Montana (Pfister 1977). Acronyms are the first two letters of the genus and the first two letters of the species: ABLA = subalpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa*); ABGR = grand fir (*Abies grandis*); ADC = larch (*Larix occidentalis*); PIAL = whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis*); PICO = lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*); PICEA = spruce (*Picea spp.*); POTR = aspen (*Populus tremuloides*); PSME = Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*); THPL = Western red cedar (*Thuja plicata*).

Table 3.5-2. Contined.

LANDTYPE CLASS Landtype <sup>1</sup>	Landform	Geology	Map Unit Component	Soil Classification	Vegetative Series <sup>2</sup>	Slope (%)
<b>GROUND MORAINÉ</b>						
14-2	Basins and depressions in moraine	Undifferentiated	Well drained lacustrine deposits	Glossic Cryoboralfs	ABLA, PSME	0-29
23-8	Ground moraine	Argillite, limestone and siltite	Ash loess over till & silt loam	Andeptic Cryoboralfs & Andic Cryochrepts	ABLA, ABGR, THPL	20-40
23-9	Ground moraine	Argillite, limestone and siltite	Ash loess over till & silt loam	Andeptic Cryoboralfs & Andic Cryochrepts	ABLA, ABGR, THPL	40-60
26A-7	Ground moraine	Limestone	Ash loess over till & silt loam	Andeptic Cryoboralfs	ABLA, ABGR, THPL	0-29
26A-8	Ground moraine	Limestone	Ash loess over till & silt loam	Andeptic Cryoboralfs	ABLA, ABGR, THPL	20-49
26A-9	Ground moraine	Limestone	Ash loess over till & silt loam	Andeptic Cryoboralfs	ABLA, ABGR, THPL	40-60
26C-7	Ground moraine	Argillite, siltite	Ash loess over silty till	Andeptic Cryoboralfs	ABLA, ABGR, THPL	0-21
26C-8	Ground moraine	Argillite, siltite	Ash loess over silty till	Andeptic Cryoboralfs	ABLA, ABGR, THPL	20-40
26C-9	Ground moraine	Argillite, siltite	Ash loess over silty till	Andeptic Cryoboralfs	ABLA, ABGR, THPL	40-60
26D-7	Ground moraine	Quartzite	Ash loess over sandy loam till	Dystric Cryochrepts	ABLA, PSME	0-21
26D-8	Ground moraine	Quartzite	Ash loess over sandy loam till	Dystric Cryochrepts	ABLA, PSME	20-40
26D-9	Ground moraine	Quartzite	Ash loess over sandy loam till	Dystric Cryochrepts	ABLA, PSME	40-60
26E-7	Ground moraine	Tertiary sediments	Ash loess over silt loam till	Typic Eutrobioralfs	PSME	0-29
26L-7	Ground moraine	Old surface material	Ash loess over vg SIL and g SICL	Glossic Cryoboralfs	ABLA	0-21
26L-8	Ground moraine	Old surface material	Ash loess over vg SIL and g SICL	Glossic Cryoboralfs	ABLA	20-41
74	Fluvial breaklands in ground moraines & uplands	Undifferentiated	Glacial till & residuum	Ochrepts and Orthents	PSME, ABIA	>61
III	Forested ground moraine	Undifferentiated	Loamy drift & residuum	Typic and Andic Cryoboralfs	PICO, POTR	NA
<b>GLACIAL OUTWASH</b>						
27-7	Kame, stream terrace & pit and kettle topography	Undifferentiated	Loam in loess over glacial outwash	Dystric Eutrochrepts	ABLA, PSME	0-21
27-8	Kame, stream terrace & pit and kettle topography	Undifferentiated	Loam in loess over glacial outwash	Dystric Eutrochrepts	ABLA, PSME	20-41
28-7	Outwash plains, stream terraces and benches	Undifferentiated	Sandy soils in glacial outwash	Dystric Eutrochrepts	ABLA, PSME	0-21
<b>RESIDUAL</b>						
57-8	Glacial scoured hillsides and ridgetops	Undifferentiated	Ash loess over residuum	Andic Cryochrepts	ABLA	20-41
57-9	Glacial scoured hillsides and ridgetops	Undifferentiated	Ash loess over residuum	Andic Cryochrepts	ABLA	40-60
76	Extremely steep structural breaklands	Undifferentiated	Ash loess over residuum	Cryochrepts	ABLA	>61
77	Extremely steep structural breaklands	Undifferentiated	Ash loess over residuum	Cryochrepts	ABLA	>61
Va	Forested high elevation ridges	Undifferentiated	Ash loess over residuum	Andic Cryochrepts	PIAL, PICO	25-51
Vb	Forested smooth residual slopes	Undifferentiated	Ash loess over residuum	Andic Cryochrepts	PICO, LAOC	25-60
<b>COLLUVIAL</b>						
32	Block slides associated with dipping bedrock	Undifferentiated	Colluvium & residuum	Boralfs and Ochrepts	ABLA	30-51
IV	Slump land	Undifferentiated	Fine slump material with boulders	Typic and Andic Cryoboralfs	PICO, PSME, ABLA, PICEA	NA
<b>ROCKLAND</b>						
55	Low to mid-elevation hillsides	Undifferentiated	Rockland	Rockland	Herbaceous, shrub, trees	40-60
72	Cirque headwalls and narrow alpine ridges	Undifferentiated	Rockland, talus, ash loess & residuum	Rockland and Andepts	ABLA, PIAL	>61
75	Rock cliffs	Undifferentiated	Rockland	Rockland	Shrub, grass, small tree	>61
VI	Peaks and alpine ridges	Undifferentiated	Rockland, talus & scree	Rockland	Sparse small trees	NA
<b>MISCELLANEOUS LANDTYPE</b>						
Lake	Lake	Undifferentiated	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	NA

Table 3.5-3. Distribution of Landtype Classes (LTC) by Subsection.

SUBSECTION	LTC	-----AREA-----	
		(ac)	(%)
Scarp Slope	Wet Depression	0	0.0
Dip Slope	Wet Depression	242	7.1
Valley	Wet Depression	3183	92.9
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Wet Depression</b>	<b>3425</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Scarp Slope	Floodplain	29	0.2
Dip Slope	Floodplain	497	3.0
Valley	Floodplain	16063	96.8
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Floodplain</b>	<b>16589</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Scarp Slope	Alluvial Fan	1096	55.5
Dip Slope	Alluvial Fan	18	0.9
Valley	Alluvial Fan	862	43.6
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Alluvial Fan</b>	<b>1975</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Scarp Slope	Glacial Basin	6783	20.5
Dip Slope	Glacial Basin	26227	79.5
Valley	Glacial Basin	0	0.0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Glacial Basin</b>	<b>33010</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Scarp Slope	Glacial Trough	36781	67.0
Dip Slope	Glacial Trough	17921	32.6
Valley	Glacial Trough	195	0.4
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Glacial Trough</b>	<b>54896</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Scarp Slope	Ground Moraine	13362	8.2
Dip Slope	Ground Moraine	54527	33.3
Valley	Ground Moraine	95955	58.6
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Ground Moraine</b>	<b>163844</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Scarp Slope	Glacial Outwash	0	0.0
Dip Slope	Glacial Outwash	216	1.5
Valley	Glacial Outwash	13825	98.5
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Glacial Outwash</b>	<b>14041</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Scarp Slope	Residual	18390	40.6
Dip Slope	Residual	26829	59.3
Valley	Residual	32	0.1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Residual</b>	<b>45250</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Table 3.5-3. Continued.

SUBSECTION	LTC	-----AREA-----	
		(ac)	(%)
Scarp Slope	Colluvial	0	0.0
Dip Slope	Colluvial	506	100.0
Valley	Colluvial	0	0.0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Colluvial</b>	<b>506</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Scarp Slope	Rockland	33501	48.3
Dip Slope	Rockland	35863	51.7
Valley	Rockland	0	0.0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Rockland</b>	<b>69364</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Scarp Slope	Lake	7	0.1
Dip Slope	Lake	3245	57.3
Valley	Lake	2411	42.6
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>Lake</b>	<b>5663</b>	<b>100.0</b>

### 3.6 Habitat Types

Habitat types described by Pfister et al. (1977) were identified by Sirucek (1994) for the Flathead National Forest. The map of habitat types in the Swan River basin (Figure 3.6-1) was developed from: 1) empirical models; 2) forest stand data; and 3) an existing map layer of forest structure classes.

Statistical analyses of ECODATA plots served as a basis for the empirical models (Sirucek 1994). Primary parameters for distinguishing habitat types were elevation, slope, aspect and geographical subdivision. A few habitat types were also distinguished by landtype. Criteria listed in Table 3.6-1 were used to predict habitat types for the Swan Lake Ranger District. A map layer of "modelled" habitat types was generated.

Next Sirucek (1994) listed all timber stands where the habitat type had been field identified. Where several habitat types were identified in a timber stand, the most frequently sampled habitat type was identified for the stand. A digital map of habitat types for timber stands was developed and inset to the modelled map layer. Timber stands were primarily at low to middle elevations in areas suitable for commercial harvest.

Finally, Sirucek (1994) used a forest structure map to identify forb/grass, bare/rock and lakes/rivers and inset it to the modelled/stand map layer. These procedures yielded a forest-wide habitat type map layer on 50 by 50 meter pixels. The accuracy of the habitat map layer has not been reported, but an assessment is anticipated. The author lists the primary limitation of the habitat type map layer is that modelling parameters were generalized for a typical situation and do not account for variation in site characteristics. Also, only the most frequently sampled habitat of a timber stand was identified. Habitat types identified in the Swan River basin by empirical modelling, from timber

stand data and from existing maps of forest structure are listed in Table 3.6-2.

Major habitat types comprising more than 0.5 percent of Swan River basin are those that were predicted by the empirical model, some of which were also identified for timber stands. Minor habitat types comprise less than 0.5 percent of Swan River basin, were not predicted by the empirical model, but were identified for timber stands. Minor habitat types were combined with the adjacent major habitat type sharing the longest common boundary. A map of major habitat types, Swan River basin is presented as Figure 3.6-1.

Brief descriptions excerpted from Pfister et al. (1977) follow. More comprehensive descriptions of both major and minor habitat types are presented in Forest Habitat Types of Montana (Pfister et al. 1977).

Table 3-6-1. Criteria for modeled habitat types, Swan Lake Range District (Sirucek 1994).

HT	ELEVATION (ft)	ASPECT	SLOPE (%)	OTHER
ABGR/CLUN	3800 to 4599	SE, W, NW, N, NE, E, F	None	Swan Range
	3800 to 4599	S, SW	None	Mission Range
ABGR/XETE	3800 to 4599	S, SW	None	Swan Range
ABLA/CLUN	3600 to 3799	A11	A11	None
	3800 to 4599	W, NW, N, NE, E, SE, F	<15	Mission Range
	4600 to 5399	W, NW, N, NE, E, F	A11	Swan Range
ABLA/LIBO	2800 to 3599	A11	A11	None
ABLA/LUHI	6200 to 6899	W, NW, N, NE, E, F	A11	None
	6500 to 6899	SW, S, SE	ALL	None
ABLA/MEFE	5400 to 6199	W, NW, N, NE, E, F	A11	None
ABLA/VACA	>2800	A11	A11	LTs 10-2, 27-7, 28-7
ABLA/XETE	5400 to 6499	SW, S, SE	A11	None
LALY-ABLA	>7200	N	ALL	None
PIAL-ABLA	6900 to 7199	N	ALL	None
	>6900	NE, E, SE, S, SW, W, NW, F	ALL	None
PICEA/CLUN	3200 to 4399	A11	10 to 20	None
PSNE/PHMA	4600 to 5399	SE, S, SW	>40	None
PSNE/SYAL	4600 to 5399	SW, S, SE	<40	None
THPL/CLUN	3800 to 4599	A11	A11	200 m wide along streams; Swan Range
	3800 to 4599	SE, W, NW, NE, E	>15	Mission Range

Table 3.6-2. Habitat types, Swan River basin.


HABITAT	N	-----AREA-----	
		(ac)	(%)
Major Habitat Types from Empirical Modelling			
ABGR/CLUN	624	35463	8.7
ABGR/XETE	388	6227	1.5
ABLA/CLUN	549	95816	23.4
ABLA/LIBO	229	39392	9.6
ABLA/LUHI	270	42647	10.4
ABLA/MEFE	324	33930	8.3
ABLA/VACA	122	13677	3.3
ABLA/XETE	425	30755	7.5
PIAL/ABLA	1011	24536	6.0
PSME/SYAL	418	8672	2.1
PSME\PHMA	257	8097	2.0
THPL/CLUN	382	30350	7.4
SUBTOTAL	4999	369560	90.4
Minor Habitat Types from Timber Stands			
ABGR/LIBO	24	848	0.2
ABLA-PIAL/VASC	1	178	0.0
ABLA/ALSI	18	898	0.2
ABLA/ARCO	2	23	0.0
ABLA/CACA	15	343	0.1
ABLA/GATR	3	104	0.0
ABLA/OPHO	11	317	0.1
ABLA/VAGL	3	58	0.0
LALY/ABLA	199	835	0.2
PICEA/CLUN	47	1677	0.4
PICEA/EQAR	13	358	0.1
PICEA/GATR	1	7	0.0
PICEA/LIBO	3	40	0.0
PICEA/VACA	15	423	0.1
PIPO	4	72	0.0
PSME/ARUV	2	62	0.0
PSME/CARU	15	550	0.1
PSME/FEID	4	214	0.1
PSME/LIBO	13	388	0.1
PSME/VACA	27	1018	0.2
PSME/VAGL	22	1029	0.3
THPL/OPHO	14	524	0.1
TSME/CLUN	7	134	0.0
TSME/LUHI	3	136	0.0
TSME/MEFE	8	618	0.2
TSME/XETE	2	122	0.0
SUBTOTAL	476	10974	2.7

Table 3.6-2. Continued

HABITAT	N	AREA (ac)	(%)
Miscellaneous Types from Forest Structure Map			
BARE/ROCK/SNOW	497	18244	4.5
GRASS/FORB	864	2483	0.6
LAKES	787	7369	1.8
SUBTOTAL	2148	28096	6.9
TOTAL	7623	408630	100.0

# Swan River Basin Major Habitat Types

Figure 3.6-1



Note: Modified from a Digital Habitat Type Map Prepared by Flathead National Forest (FBHT95.GIS); Stream Digitized from 1:24,000 Scale Quads.

The grand fir series is climax on low to middle elevations with maritime influenced climate in northwestern and west-central Montana. The series is bounded on drier sites by the Douglas fir series and on cooler sites by the subalpine fir series. The boundary between grand fir and subalpine fir may be diffuse. Three grand fir habitat types were identified in the Swan River basin:

**ABGR/CLUN** (*Abies grandis*/*Clintonia uniflora*; grand fir/queencup beadily): Found on relatively moist sites from 2,400 to 5,000 feet elevation on valley bottoms and benches; all aspects. Grand fir is the dominant climax component but subalpine fir may persist as a minor climax component. Seral trees include Douglas-fir, western Larch, spruce and lodgepole pine. Moist-site herbs, western twinflower (*Linnaea borealis*) and are prominent in the understory.

**ABGR/XETE** (*Abies grandis*/*Xerophyllum tenax*; grand fir/beargrass): Found on well-drained slopes between 4,700 and 5,300 feet. It is the driest of the grand fir habitat types in Montana. Grand fir is predicted to be the dominant climax component of the overstory. Seral stands often dominated by Douglas-fir, western Larch and lodgepole pine. Undergrowth is sparse.

**ABLA/CLUN** (*Abies lasiocarpa*/*Clintonia uniflora*; subalpine fir/queencup beadily): Occurs at lower elevations (3,200 to 5,500 feet) on relatively warm and moist sites, on all but the driest southern aspects. Subalpine fir is the dominant climax species with spruce, Douglas-fir, western Larch, lodgepole pine and western white pine associated in seral stands.

The subalpine fir series includes all climax forests potentially dominated by subalpine fir, mountain hemlock, whitebark pine or alpine larch. This is the dominant series at higher elevations in the Rocky Mountains of Montana. At lower elevations it often borders moist forests where shade-tolerant grand fir, western red cedar and western hemlock are the climax species. At its upper limits this series is bordered by alpine tundra. Lightning-caused fires have allowed Douglas-fir, western larch and lodgepole pine to dominant most stands at lower elevations. Five habitat types in the subalpine fir series were identified in Swan River basin:

**ABLA/LIBO** (*Abies lasiocarpa/Linnaea borealis*; subalpine fir/twinflower): Occurs at 5,000 to 7,000 feet on moist, north aspects and benches. Seral stands are dominated by Douglas-fir, lodgepole pine and spruce. Understory composition is variable.

**ABLA/LUHI** (*Abies lasiocarpa/Luzula hitchcockii*; subalpine fir/wood-rush): a major upper subalpine forest habitat type with a 700 feet elevation zone between ABLA/XETE or ABLA/MEFE below and PIAL-ABLA above. Whitebark pine, Engelmann spruce and lodgepole pine are the principal seral species.

**ABLA/MEFE** (*Abies lasiocarpa/Menziesia ferruginea*; subalpine fir/menziesia): occurs in moist, higher elevation forests of western Montana with maritime climate. In northwestern Montana it occurs on all cool aspects between about 5,300 and 6,500 feet. Subalpine fir is usually dominant in old-growth stands, but Engelmann spruce may be more conspicuous. Lodgepole pine and Douglas-fir are usually present.

**ABLA/VACA** (*Abies lasiocarpa/Vaccinium caespitosum*; subalpine fir/dwarf huckleberry): confined largely to well-drained sites on benchlands and in cold air sinks. Elevations range from 6,000 to 7,200 feet. *Pinus contorta* is dominant in nearly all stands and is the persistent seral dominant.

**ABLA/XETE** (*Abies lasiocarpa/Xerophyllum tenax*; subalpine fir/beargrass): makes up a major portion of the subalpine fir series west of the Continental Divide in Montana, on seep dry exposures between 5,200 and 7,000 feet. Subalpine fir is dominant at climax but spruce is a minor component of most stands. Lodgepole pine and Douglas-fir typically dominant seral stands.

**PIAL/ABLA** (*Pinus albicaulis-Abies lasiocarpa*; whitebark pine-subalpine fir): Occurs at timberline where stunted forms of whitebark pine, subalpine fir and Engelmann spruce occur with variable under-stories.

Douglas fir habitat types are associated with well-drained mountain slopes and valleys and extend from the lower elevations of forest growth up to about 5,500 feet on southern aspects.

The Douglas-fir series is bordered on warmer, drier sites by ponderosa pine, limber pine or grassland series. Spruce and grand fir series are usually adjacent along more moist boundaries.

Two Douglas fir habitat types were identified in the Swan River basin:

**PSME/SYAL** (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*/*Symphoricarpos albis*; Douglas-fir/snowberry): a common habitat on warm slopes and benches in Montana. Seral stands at lower elevations are frequently dominated by ponderosa pine. At higher elevations Douglas-fir is dominant through most successional stages.

**PSME/PHMA** (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*/*Physocarpus malvaceus*; Douglas fir/ninebark): occurs mostly on cool and moist aspects at elevations from 2,000 to 5,700 feet. The overstory is dominated by Douglas fir. Ponderosa pine, western larch and lodgepole pine are minor seral components.

The western red cedar and western hemlock series occupy moist areas with maritime climate. In Montana these habitats are generally confined to bottomland or northerly aspects between 2,000 and 5,000 feet where annual precipitations is 32 inches or more. A single habitat type was identified in the Swan River basin:

**THPL/CLUN** (*Thuja plicata*/*Claytonia uniflora*; western redcedar/queencup beadily): Relatively common in northwestern Montana typically associated with bottomlands, benches and north aspects from about 2,000 to 5,000 feet. Western redcedar is the indicated climax species and is dominant in most stands. Subdominant seral components include grand fir, Douglas-fir, larch and subalpine fir.

### 3.7 Riparian Landtypes

Riparian landtypes are map units with distinctive valley bottom gradient, dominant streambed materials size-class and dominant vegetation community type. Riparian Landtypes were mapped and described for Forest Service lands in the Flathead National Forest (Sirucek and Bachurski 1995)<sup>1</sup>. Subsequent mapping of riparian landtypes for private and state lands in the Swan River basin was conducted by Flathead National Forest personnel (unpublished). A legend of riparian landtypes identified in Swan River basin is presented as Table 3.7-1. The first two letters of the riparian landtype code denote the valley bottom gradient class (FL = flat; NL = nearly level; SL = slightly sloping; MS = moderately steep; VS = very steep). The third digit of the code denotes the dominant streambed substrate (1 = clay, silt, fine sand and medium sand; 2 = coarse sand, gravel and cobble; 3 = stone and boulder; 4 = bedrock; 5 = undifferentiated). The last letter of the code denotes the dominant vegetation community types (A = subalpine fir habitat types; B = grand fir habitat types; C = Engelmann spruce habitat types; D = black cottonwood habitat types; E = willow and sedge community or habitat types; G = snow avalanche chute plant communities). Maps denoting valley bottom gradient classes, stream substrate classes and dominant vegetation types are presented as Figures 3.7-1, 3.7-2 and 3.7-3, respectively.

---

<sup>1</sup> Forest Service riparian landtype digital map files were modified to include stream arcs digitized from 1:24,000 scale quads. The stream often crossed in and out along the boundary of a riparian landtype with upland. A small buffer was placed on the stream to merge it with the adjacent riparian landtype - riparian landtypes in modified map files are slightly larger than riparian landtypes in the Forest Service digital map file.

The Forest Service mapped some very narrow riparian landtypes as lines. These were converted to polygons by placing a buffer on each side of the stream course. The width of the buffer is that specified by Sirucek and Bachurski (1995) as the typical width of the riparian landtype on each side of the stream.


Table 3.7-1. Riparian Landtype (RLT) legend.

RLT CODE	VALLEY BOTTOM GRADIENT (%)	DOMINANT SUBSTRATE	DOMINANT HARITATS
FL1C	<2	clay, silt, sand	Engelmann spruce
FL2C	<2	coarse sand, gravel, cobble	Engelmann spruce
FL2D	<2	coarse sand, gravel, cobble	cottonwood/Engelmann spruce
NL1A	2 to 4	clay, silt, sand	subalpine fir
NL1E	2 to 4	clay, silt, sand	willow and sedge
NL2A	2 to 4	coarse sand, gravel, cobble	subalpine fir
SL2A	4 to 13	coarse sand, gravel, cobble	subalpine fir
SL2B	4 to 13	coarse sand, gravel, cobble	grand fir
SL3A	4 to 13	stone, boulder	subalpine fir
SL3B	4 to 13	stone, boulder	grand fir
SL5A	4 to 13	undifferentiated	subalpine fir
MS3A	13 to 40	stone, boulder	subalpine fir
MS3B	13 to 40	stone, boulder	grand fir
MS4A	13 to 40	bedrock	subalpine fir
MS5A	13 to 40	undifferentiated	subalpine fir
VS3A	>40	stone, boulder	subalpine fir
VS4A	>40	bedrock	subalpine fir
VS4G	>40	bedrock	avalanche chute
WL5A	undifferentiated	undifferentiated	subalpine fir
WS5A	undifferentiated	undifferentiated	subalpine fir

The areas of riparian landtypes in the Swan River basin are listed in Table 3.7-2. Stream length and grade are listed by stream order for riparian landtypes in Table 3.7-3. The riparian landtype map and legend is presented as Figure 3.7-4. The 21 individual quad map sheets outlined in Figure 3.7-4 were removed for this abridged version of the Swan River Basin Ecological Classification.


# Riparian Landtype Valley Bottom Gradient

Figure 3.7-1



# Riparian Landtype Dominant Stream Substrate

Figure 3.7-2



# Riparian Landtype Dominant Habitat Types

Figure 3.7-3




Table 3.7-2. Areas of Riparian Landtypes (RLT), Swan River basin.

RLT	N	-----AREA----- acres)	(%)
FL1C	72	5005.0	9.3
FL2C	22	2592.7	4.8
FL2D	12	5675.6	10.6
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>13273.3</b>	<b>24.8</b>
NL1A	390	5384.1	10.0
NL1E	1667	10868.0	20.3
NL2A	120	4745.8	8.9
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2177</b>	<b>20997.8</b>	<b>39.2</b>
SL2A	228	2925.6	5.5
SL2B	42	590.7	1.1
SL3A	66	476.1	0.9
SL3B	17	150.2	0.3
SL5A	515	4196.4	7.8
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>8339.0</b>	<b>15.6</b>
MS3A	391	1543.8	2.9
MS3B	64	254.6	0.5
MS4A	438	976.2	1.8
MS5A	20	336.0	0.6
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>913</b>	<b>3110.5</b>	<b>5.8</b>
VS3A	75	96.8	0.2
VS4A	287	378.0	0.7
VS4G	432	561.7	1.0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>794</b>	<b>1036.4</b>	<b>1.9</b>
WL5A	287	5420.6	10.1
WS5A	797	1408.4	2.6
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1084</b>	<b>6829.0</b>	<b>12.7</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>5942</b>	<b>53586.1</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Table 3.7-3. Stream lengths and grades by flow and order for Riparian Landtypes (RLT).

RLT	ORDER	-PERENNIAL-		-INTERMITTENT-		----TOTAL----	
		Length (mi)	Grade (%)	Length (mi)	Grade (%)	Length (mi)	Grade (%)
FL1C	1	0.00	NA	6.74	1.8	6.74	1.8
FL1C	2	1.97	2.5	5.18	1.1	7.15	1.5
FL1C	3	3.45	1.1	0.79	1.3	4.24	1.1
FL1C	4	9.00	0.9	0.00	NA	9.00	0.9
FL1C	5	3.75	0.4	0.00	NA	3.75	0.4
FL1C	6	12.82	0.4	1.88	0.7	14.70	0.5
FL1C	TOTAL	30.99	0.8	14.60	1.4	45.58	1.0
FL2C	1	4.12	2.1	3.47	2.2	7.59	2.2
FL2C	2	4.85	1.9	0.00	NA	4.85	1.9
FL2C	3	16.03	1.8	0.00	NA	16.03	1.8
FL2C	4	23.46	1.4	0.88	2.7	24.35	1.5
FL2C	5	8.35	1.0	0.42	1.3	8.77	1.0
FL2C	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
FL2C	TOTAL	56.81	1.6	4.78	2.2	61.59	1.6
FL2D	1	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
FL2D	2	2.47	1.1	0.73	1.5	3.20	1.2
FL2D	3	4.77	1.1	0.72	0.9	5.50	1.1
FL2D	4	1.59	0.8	0.00	NA	1.59	0.8
FL2D	5	6.39	0.8	0.00	NA	6.39	0.8
FL2D	6	31.58	0.5	5.89	0.4	37.47	0.5
FL2D	TOTAL	46.80	0.7	7.34	0.6	54.14	0.7
NL1A	1	6.49	2.8	36.71	2.2	43.20	2.3
NL1A	2	16.46	3.4	15.13	2.4	31.58	2.9
NL1A	3	9.51	2.2	3.07	1.9	12.59	2.2
NL1A	4	3.60	1.6	0.00	NA	3.60	1.6
NL1A	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
NL1A	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
NL1A	TOTAL	36.06	2.8	54.91	2.2	90.97	2.5
NL1E	1	0.00	NA	11.79	5.5	11.79	5.5
NL1E	2	22.56	1.2	6.26	1.9	28.82	1.4
NL1E	3	6.37	1.7	1.25	2.5	7.62	1.8
NL1E	4	7.45	0.7	0.00	NA	7.45	0.7
NL1E	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
NL1E	6	1.91	0.1	0.00	NA	1.91	0.1
NL1E	TOTAL	38.28	1.2	19.30	4.1	57.58	2.2

Table 3.7-3. Continued.

RLT	ORDER	-PERENNIAL-		-INTERMITTENT-		----TOTAL----	
		Length (mi)	Grade (%)	Length (mi)	Grade (%)	Length (mi)	Grade (%)
NL2A	1	18.52	7.2	37.51	8.5	56.04	8.1
NL2A	2	23.27	3.8	2.70	4.4	25.97	3.8
NL2A	3	25.58	3.1	0.47	4.7	26.05	3.2
NL2A	4	21.32	2.7	0.10	0.9	21.42	2.7
NL2A	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
NL2A	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
NL2A	TOTAL	88.70	4.1	40.77	8.2	129.47	5.4
SL2A	1	0.45	9.1	8.36	8.6	8.81	8.7
SL2A	2	39.15	8.3	4.72	11.4	43.87	8.6
SL2A	3	28.28	7.3	1.43	8.0	29.71	7.3
SL2A	4	2.21	4.6	0.19	8.1	2.40	4.9
SL2A	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
SL2A	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
SL2A	TOTAL	70.09	7.7	14.70	9.4	84.78	8.0
SL2B	1	2.16	9.9	9.28	13.5	11.44	12.8
SL2B	2	7.32	8.7	1.00	6.2	8.32	8.4
SL2B	3	7.30	6.6	0.51	3.6	7.80	6.4
SL2B	4	0.94	7.1	0.00	NA	0.94	7.1
SL2B	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
SL2B	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
SL2B	TOTAL	17.72	7.9	10.79	12.3	28.50	9.6
SL3A	1	2.12	13.3	18.27	11.6	20.39	11.8
SL3A	2	10.36	10.1	2.52	9.7	12.88	10.0
SL3A	3	3.35	8.6	1.07	4.8	4.42	7.6
SL3A	4	0.40	8.6	0.00	NA	0.40	8.6
SL3A	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
SL3A	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
SL3A	TOTAL	16.23	10.2	21.86	11.1	38.08	10.7
SL3B	1	4.47	7.8	0.00	NA	4.47	7.8
SL3B	2	2.31	10.1	0.00	NA	2.31	10.1
SL3B	3	2.49	8.3	0.00	NA	2.49	8.3
SL3B	4	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
SL3B	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
SL3B	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
SL3B	TOTAL	9.27	8.5	0.00	ERR	9.27	8.5

Table 3.7-3. Continued.


RLT	ORDER	-PERENNIAL-		-INTERMITTENT-		----TOTAL----	
		Length (mi)	Grade (%)	Length (mi)	Grade (%)	Length (mi)	Grade (%)
SL5A	1	1.67	34.5	7.34	39.3	9.01	38.4
SL5A	2	3.48	6.8	5.22	7.4	8.70	7.1
SL5A	3	1.59	9.2	0.58	21.7	2.18	12.5
SL5A	4	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
SL5A	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
SL5A	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
SL5A	TOTAL	6.74	14.2	13.14	25.8	19.89	21.9
MS3A	1	24.49	20.6	94.28	22.3	118.77	22.0
MS3A	2	29.33	18.2	5.51	20.0	34.84	18.5
MS3A	3	6.88	14.6	0.00	NA	6.88	14.6
MS3A	4	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
MS3A	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
MS3A	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
MS3A	TOTAL	60.70	18.7	99.80	22.2	160.50	20.9
MS3B	1	19.59	18.2	93.92	22.8	113.50	22.0
MS3B	2	3.23	18.1	0.26	4.8	3.49	17.1
MS3B	3	1.88	17.0	0.00	NA	1.88	17.0
MS3B	4	0.44	14.9	0.00	NA	0.44	14.9
MS3B	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
MS3B	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
MS3B	TOTAL	25.13	18.1	94.18	22.7	119.31	21.8
MS4A	1	2.76	9.4	0.15	5.1	2.91	9.2
MS4A	2	11.59	15.3	12.35	20.1	23.93	17.8
MS4A	3	6.87	16.7	0.07	14.2	6.94	16.7
MS4A	4	0.90	11.4	0.00	NA	0.90	11.4
MS4A	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
MS4A	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
MS4A	TOTAL	22.11	14.9	12.57	19.9	34.67	16.7
MS5A	1	10.09	3.4	60.92	2.4	71.01	2.5
MS5A	2	4.53	5.4	0.02	22.4	4.56	5.5
MS5A	3	3.43	4.1	0.00	NA	3.43	4.1
MS5A	4	3.27	2.5	0.00	NA	3.27	2.5
MS5A	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
MS5A	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
MS5A	TOTAL	21.33	3.0	60.94	2.4	82.27	2.8

Table 3.7-3. Continued.

RLT	ORDER	-PERENNIAL-		-INTERMITTENT-		----TOTAL----	
		Length (mi)	Grade (%)	Length (mi)	Grade (%)	Length (mi)	Grade (%)
VS3A	1	6.71	33.8	48.65	46.6	55.36	45.0
VS3A	2	3.09	37.3	0.50	30.8	3.59	36.4
VS3A	3	0.01	38.6	0.00	NA	0.01	38.6
VS3A	4	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
VS3A	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
VS3A	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
VS3A	TOTAL	9.80	34.9	49.15	46.4	58.95	44.5
VS4A	1	4.59	34.7	52.34	46.0	56.93	45.1
VS4A	2	4.27	34.6	2.23	41.7	6.51	37.0
VS4A	3	0.28	32.1	0.47	30.3	0.75	30.9
VS4A	4	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
VS4A	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
VS4A	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
VS4A	TOTAL	9.14	34.6	55.04	45.7	64.18	44.1
VS4G	1	3.25	14.9	18.63	8.7	21.88	9.6
VS4G	2	1.94	26.9	2.06	40.0	4.00	33.6
VS4G	3	0.00	NA	0.31	36.6	0.31	36.6
VS4G	4	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
VS4G	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
VS4G	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
VS4G	TOTAL	5.19	19.4	21.00	12.2	26.19	13.6
WLSA	1	9.31	17.4	59.39	19.3	68.70	19.0
WLSA	2	2.21	11.5	3.83	1.6	6.04	5.2
WLSA	3	1.80	6.7	1.08	2.3	2.88	5.0
WLSA	4	0.48	6.2	0.00	NA	0.48	6.2
WLSA	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
WLSA	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
WLSA	TOTAL	13.81	14.6	64.30	17.9	78.10	17.4
WSSA	1	0.00	NA	0.01	3.3	0.01	3.3
WSSA	2	4.84	16.1	5.05	9.7	9.89	12.9
WSSA	3	0.37	17.7	0.34	1.9	0.71	10.2
WSSA	4	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
WSSA	5	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
WSSA	6	0.00	NA	0.00	NA	0.00	NA
WSSA	TOTAL	5.22	16.3	5.39	9.2	10.61	12.7

# Swan River Basin Riparian Landtype Map Index

Figure 3.7-4



Notes: Riparian landtypes are from Strucek et al. (1995) for Forest Service lands and from Flathead National Forest (unpublished map layer) for private lands and the Mission Mountain Wilderness area.

# Riparian Landtypes Legend

	<b>LAKE</b>		<b>SL2A</b>
	<b>FL1C</b>		<b>SL2B</b>
	<b>FL2C</b>		<b>SL3A</b>
	<b>FL2D</b>		<b>SL3B</b>
	<b>MS3A</b>		<b>SL5A</b>
	<b>MS3B</b>		<b>VS3A</b>
	<b>MS4A</b>		<b>VS4A</b>
	<b>MS5A</b>		<b>VS4G</b>
	<b>NL1A</b>		<b>WL5A</b>
	<b>NL1E</b>		<b>WS5A</b>
	<b>NL2A</b>		<b>UPLAND</b>

The distribution of riparian landtype by subsections (see chapter 3.3) is illustrated in Figure 3.7-5. The scarp slope subsection (Swan Range) has the lowest proportion of riparian landtype (4 percent). The dip slope subsection (Mission Range) has about 8 percent riparian landtype. Most of the riparian landtype is found in the valley subsection, which is about 28 percent riparian landtype. The distributions of riparian landtypes for general landtype classes are listed in Table 3.7-4. About 69 percent of the riparian landtype in the Swan River basin is associated with ground moraine and floodplain landtypes. Riparian landtypes are major components of wet depression (65 percent), floodplain (75 percent), glacial outwash (24 percent) and colluvial (29 percent) landtypes.

The reader is referred to Sirucek and Bachurski (1995), for more detailed unit descriptions. For more detailed descriptions of survey methods and map units, refer to Riparian Landtype Inventory of the Flathead National Forest (*ibid.*). A legend of riparian community and habitat types mentioned in riparian landtype descriptions is presented as Table 3.7-5. Those interested in more detailed description of riparian types are referred to Classification and Management of Montana's Riparian and Wetland Sites (Hansen et al. 1995).




Figure 3.7-5. Proportion of subsections that are riparian landtypes.

Table 3.7-4. Distribution of Riparian Landtypes (RLTs) for Landtype Classes (LTCs).

LANDTYPE CLASS	DISTRIBUTION OF RLTs		LTC (ac) (3)	RLT/LTC (%) (4)
	(ac)	(%)		
	(1)	(2)		
Lake	3319	6.1	5653	58.7
Wet Depression	2216	4.1	3425	64.7
Floodplain	12381	22.9	16591	74.6
Alluvial Fan	130	0.2	1976	6.6
Glacial Basin	2975	5.5	33026	9.0
Glacial Trough	2710	5.0	54904	4.9
Ground Moraine	24679	45.7	163875	15.1
Glacial Outwash	3360	6.2	14043	23.9
Residual	739	1.4	45256	1.6
Colluvial	146	0.3	506	28.8
Rockland	1349	2.5	69375	1.9
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>54003</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>408630</b>	

<sup>1</sup> Total area of riparian landtype in respective landtype class.

<sup>2</sup> Percent of the total riparian landtype for Swan River basin in respective landtype class.

<sup>3</sup> Total acres of landtype class.

<sup>4</sup> Percent of landtype class that is riparian landtype.

Table 3.7-5. Riparian community and habitat type legend.

ACRONYM	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME
ABGR/ATFI	<i>Abies grandis</i> / <i>Athyrium filix-femina</i>	Granc fir/lady fern
ABGR/OPHO	<i>Abies grandis</i> / <i>Oplopanax horridum</i>	Granc fir/devils club
ABLA/ACRU	<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i> / <i>Actea rubra</i>	Subalpine fir/baneberry
ABLA/CACA	<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i> / <i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i>	Subalpine fir/bluejoint reedgrass
ABLA/LEGL	<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i> / <i>Ledum glandulosum</i>	Subalpine fir/Labrador tea
ABLA/LIBO	<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i> / <i>Linnaea borealis</i>	Subalpine fir/twinflower
ABLA/OPHO	<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i> / <i>Oplopanax horridum</i>	Subalpine fir/devils club
ABLA/STAM	<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i> / <i>Streptopus amplexifolius</i>	Subalpine fir/twisted stalk
ABLA/VACA	<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i> / <i>Vaccinium caespitosum</i>	Subalpine fir/
ALIN	<i>Alnus incana</i>	Mountain alder
ALSI	<i>Alnus sinuata</i>	Sitka alder
CARO	<i>Carex rostrata</i>	Beaked sedge
COST	<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	Red-osier dogwood
PICEA/COST	<i>Picea</i> / <i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	Spruce/red-osier dogwood
PICEA/EQAR	<i>Picea</i> / <i>Equisetum arvense</i>	Spruce/field horsetail
PICEA/GATR	<i>Picea</i> / <i>Galium triflorum</i>	Spruce/sweetscented bedstraw
PICEA/LIBO	<i>Picea</i> / <i>Linnaea borealis</i>	Spruce/twinflower
POTR/COST	<i>Populus tremuloides</i> / <i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	Aspen/red-osier dogwood
PSNE/COST	<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> / <i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	Douglas-fir/red-osier dogwood
SADR	<i>Salix drummondiana</i>	Drummond willow
SADR/CACA	<i>Salix drummondiana</i> / <i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i>	Drummond willow/blue-joint reedgrass
SADR/CARO	<i>Salix drummondiana</i> / <i>Carex rostrata</i>	Drummond willow/beaked sedge
SAEX	<i>Salix exigua</i>	Sandbar willow
SAPL	<i>Salix planifolia</i>	Plainleaf willow
THPL/GYDR	<i>Thuja plicata</i> / <i>Gymnocarpium dryopteris</i>	Western red cedar/oak fern
THPL/OPHO	<i>Thuja plicata</i> / <i>Oplopanax horridum</i>	Western red cedar/devils club

#### 4.0 LITERATURE CITED

---

- Alt, D. and D.W. Hyndman. 1986. Roadside Geology of Montana. Mountain Press Publishing Company. Missoula, Montana. 427 pp.
- Hansen, P.L., Pfister, R.D., Boggs, K., Cook, B.J., Joy, J. and D.K. Hinckley. 1995. Classification and Management of Montana's riparian and wetland sites. Montana Forest and Conservation Experiment Station, School of Forestry, University of Montana. Miscellaneous Publication No. 54; Missoula, Montana. 646 pp.
- Hughes, R.M. and J.R. Gammon. 1986. Longitudinal changes in fish assemblages and water quality in the Willamette River, Oregon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. Vol. 116, No. 2, 196-209.
- Hughes, R.M., Rextad, E. and C.E. Bond. 1987. The relationship of aquatic ecoregions, river basins and physiographic provinces to the Ichthyogeographic regions of Oregon. Copeia, 1987 (2), pp. 423-432.
- Johns, W.M. 1970. Geology and mineral deposits of Lincoln and Flathead Counties, Montana. State of Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology. Bulletin 79.
- Martison, A.H. and W.J. Basko. 1983. Flathead Country, Land Systems Inventory. Flathead National Forest, Kalispell, Montana. 202 pp.
- Mudge, M.R., Earhart, R.L., Whipple, J.W. and J.E. Harrison. 1982. Geologic and structure map of the Choteau 1° X 2° quadrangle, western Montana. Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Montana Atlas Series, MA 3-A.
- Omernik, J.M. 1987. Ecoregions of the conterminous United States. Annals of the Association of American Geographers. 77: 118-125.
- Pfister, R.D., Kovalchik, B.L., Arno, S.F. and R.C. Presby. 1977. Forest habitat types of Montana. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report INT-34. 174 p. Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ogden, Utah.
- Rohm, C.M., Giese, J.W. and C.C. Bennett. 1987. Evaluation of an aquatic ecoregion classification of streams in Arkansas. Journal of Freshwater Ecology. Vol. 4, No. 1:127-140.
- Sirucek, D. 1994. Flathead N.F. habitat type GIS data layer: development methodology and plot data statistical analysis summary. Land Management Technical Note #3, Flathead National Forest. 10 pp.

- Sirucek, D. (unpublished). Order IV survey of landtypes for the Mission Mountain Wilderness. Flathead National Forest. Digital map from the Flathead National Forest, Kalispell, Montana.
- Sirucek, D. and V. Bachurski. 1995. Riparian landtype inventory of the Flathead National Forest. US Forest Service, Flathead National Forest, Kalispell, Montana. 94 pp + APPS.
- Sirucek, D. 1995. Flathead River basin landtype association map unit descriptions. 19 pp.
- Strahler, A.N. 1957. Quantitative analysis of watershed geomorphology. Transactions, American Geophysical Union; Volume 38; pp 913-920.
- USDA Soil Conservation Service. 1975. Soil Taxonomy - a basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. Agricultural Handbook No. 436. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C. 754 pp.
- US Forest Service, Flathead, Lolo, Lewis & Clark and Helena National Forests. 1980. Land Systems Inventory of the Scapegoat and Danaher portion of the Bob Marshall Wilderness (Land Type Association, Order-4). 39 pp.
- Wertz, W.A. and J.F. Arnold. 1972. Land systems inventory. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Region. Ogden, Utah.
- Whittier, T.R., Hughes, R.M. and D.P. Larsen. 1988. The correspondence between ecoregions and spatial patterns in stream ecosystems in Oregon. Can. Jour. Fish. Aquat. Sci.
- Witkind, I.J. 1978. Giant glacial grooves at the north end of the Mission Range, Northwest Montana. Journal Research, U.S. Geological Survey; Vol. 6, No. 4, p 425-433.