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Downstream Fish Passage Technologies

- Physical barriers
- Diversion/Guidance systems
- Collection systems
- Behavioral deterrent technologies
- “Fish-friendly” hydro turbine designs
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Physical Barriers

- Narrow-spaced bar racks
- Aquatic filter barriers
- Barrier nets
- Flat panel screens
- Traveling screens
- Drum screens
- Cylindrical screens

Drum Screens
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Diversion/Guidance Systems

- Screening systems
- Angled bar racks
- Louvers
- Guide walls

Flat Panel V-Screen
Downstream Fish Passage Technologies

Collection Systems

- Surface collectors/galleries
- Modified traveling screens
- Fish pumps
- Bypass systems
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Behavioral Deterrents

- Sound (infrasonic, sonic, ultrasonic)
- Light (mercury, strobe)
- Air bubble curtains
- Electrical barriers
- Combined systems

Strobe Light and Sound
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“Fish-friendly” Hydro Turbine Designs

- Alden/Concepts NREC
- Minimum Gap Runner
- Alstom Designs

Alden/Concepts NREC Turbine

40 ft head
Assessing the Need for Downstream Fish Passage

- Fisheries management objectives
  - River basin vs. smaller reaches
  - Prevent or facilitate downstream movement

- Migration delays and barriers
  - Effects on juvenile and adult migrations (feeding, over-wintering, spawning)

- Turbine passage mortality
  - Impact depends on mortality rate
  - Fish size and turbine design/operation

- Level of impact
  - Significant? Can resources be put to better use in addressing other restoration/enhancement issues?
Assessing Technologies for Use with Sturgeon

Biological Considerations

- Identify target life stages and size classes
- Migration characteristics (temporal and spatial)
- Sensory perception (light, sound, flow fields)
- Behavioral responses to hydraulic conditions
- Swimming capabilities (burst, prolonged, and sustained)
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Past Research

- Sensory Perception
- Swimming capabilities
- Migration Patterns
- Guidance Structures (angled bar racks, louvers)
- “Fish-friendly” Hydro Turbine Designs
Hearing
- Non-hearing specialist (no connection between air bladder and inner ear)
- Vocalizations documented during spawning (shovelnose and pallid sturgeon)

Vision
- Positive and negative phototaxis depending on life stage
- White sturgeon larvae have demonstrated negative phototaxis
- Seek cover in day, continuous swimming at night
- High intensity light can inhibit swimming activity

Lateral Line and Electrosensory Perception
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Behavioral Deterrent Studies

- Low-frequency sound (Patrick 1988)
  - Small juveniles
  - Pulsed hammer (20 – 1,000 Hz)
  - No avoidance observed; fish condition and experimental design may have affected results

- Air bubbles and water jets (McKinley and Kowalyk 1989)
  - No avoidance responses observed

- No studies with lights
Lake sturgeon are weak swimmers, particularly when compared to salmonids (Peake et al. 1997)

- Heterocercal tail reduces thrust; scutes increase drag (Webb 1986)

- Similarity in swimming capabilities exists among sturgeon species
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Swimming Capabilities

- **Maximum sustained speeds (greater than 200 min):**
  - 4 cm/s for 15 cm fish
  - 84 cm/s for 120 cm fish

- **Prolonged speeds (20 sec to 200 min):**
  - 39 cm/s (2.5 body lengths/s) for 16 cm fish for 2-minute period
  - 90 cm/s for 120 cm fish for 128-minute period at 14° C.
  - 90 cm/s for 45 cm fish for 9-second period.

- **Burst speeds (<20 sec):**
  - No discrete change in endurance between prolonged and burst swimming
Lake sturgeon critical swimming speed based on increasing velocity after 10 minute intervals (Peake et al. 1995)
Mattagami River, Ontario (McKinley et al. 1998)
- Post-spawning adult downstream movements during spring and summer

Sturgeon River/Portage Lake, MI (Holtgren and Auer 2004)
- Juvenile downstream movement during summer/fall
- Inshore shallow areas (< 5 m) at night, offshore deeper water (>7.5 m) during day
Angled bar racks, louvers, and other Physical Structures

- Alden studies (EPRI 2001; Alden 2001; Amaral et al. 2002)
- Conte Center study (Kynard and Horgan 2001)
- Physical/perceptual barriers (Anderson et al. 1997)
## Downstream Fish Passage Research for Sturgeon Guidance Structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Angle</th>
<th>Slat Spacing (inches)</th>
<th>Approach Vel (ft/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alden (EPRI)</td>
<td>LAS</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LV</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SNS</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LV</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alden (FWS, WE, MIT, MI/WI DNR)</td>
<td>LAS</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LV</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conte Center</td>
<td>PAS</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.5, 3.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LV</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.5, 3.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SNS</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.5, 3.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Guidance Structures

Bar Rack

Louver

Approach Flow
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Guidance Structures

Alden Studies – Test Facility
(EPRI 2001)

Bar Rack/Louver Collection Pen

45° Bar Rack/Louver Array
2.0 m long by 1.8 m deep

Collection Net

Fish Release Box

Bypass Inclined Screen

Bypass Entrance 15 cm wide

Bypass Collection Box

Fixed Screen

Flow 1.6 m 5.5 m
Alden Studies – Test Facility
(EPRI 2001; Alden 2001)

Air injection system for fish release at bottom of flume

15° Bar Rack/Louver Array
4.8 m long by 1.8 m deep

Bypass Entrance 15 cm wide

Guide Wall

Fixed Screen
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Guidance Structures

Alden Studies – Test Facility
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Guidance Structures

Guidance Efficiency
- 2 ft/s approach velocity
- 2 inch slat spacing

EPRI (2001)
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Guidance Structures

Guidance Efficiency

EPRI (2001)
Amaral et al. (2001)
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Guidance Structures

Conte Center Study

- Bar rack spacing: 1.5 inch
- Louver spacing: 1.5 and 3.5 inch
- 1 ft/s approach velocity
- Full depth bypass (1.5 ft wide x 1.2 ft deep)
- Day/night testing with bar rack

20° Louver

45° Bar Rack
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Guidance Structures

Guidance Efficiency

Kynard and Horgan (2001)
Bar Racks and Louvers - Laboratory Study

Conclusions

- Size matters (i.e., swimming capabilities); sturgeon < 200 mm exhibited poor guidance
- Ambient light conditions matter
- Structure angle and approach velocity matter
- FGE is similar among sturgeon species tested (size range: 200-350 mm)
- Bottom overlay improved FGE
- Field studies are needed to verify lab results
Alden/Concepts NREC Turbine

- Juvenile white sturgeon (mean TL = 103 mm)
- 40 ft operating head
- Best efficiency point
- Wicket gates installed
- 9 paired releases
- 100 fish per treatment and control group
- Estimated turbine passage survival (immediate and 96 hr) and external injury rates
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“Fish-friendly” Hydro Turbines

Alden/Concepts NREC Turbine
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Mean Length (mm)</th>
<th>Immediate Survival (%) ± 95% CI</th>
<th>Total Survival (%) ± 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alewife</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>95.5 ± 1.4</td>
<td>93.7 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coho Salmon</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>95.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>93.3 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Sturgeon</td>
<td>103.0</td>
<td>98.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>97.0 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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“Fish-friendly” Hydro Turbines

Alden/Concepts NREC Turbine

Turbine Passage Injury Rates

Injury Type

Percent of Treatment Fish

- Alewife
- Coho salmon
- White sturgeon

uninjured bruising lacerations severed eye
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“Fish-friendly” Hydro Turbines

Turbine Passage Survival Rates

Fish Length (mm)

Immediate Survival (%)

- Rainbow trout
- Coho salmon
- Alewife
- White sturgeon
- Smallmouth bass

$r^2 = 0.64$
**Present Research**

- Bar racks, louvers, and bypasses
  - Holyoke Project (shortnose sturgeon)
- Columbia River Basin – white sturgeon?
- Other studies?
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Hadley Falls Project – Bar Racks, Louvers, and Bypasses

- Laboratory studies
- Numerical modeling
- Field evaluation of canal louver system
- Future field studies evaluating approach paths and behavior in vicinity of canal and powerhouse intakes
Future Research

- Sensory perception: basic research on hearing/vision capabilities
- Behavioral responses to hydraulic conditions at hydro projects
- Swimming capabilities and behavior in the presence of downstream passage technologies
- Migration timing (seasonal/diel) and routes by life stage
- Downstream fish passage technologies
  - Behavioral deterrents
  - Diversion systems and physical barriers (e.g., barrier nets)
  - Bypasses
  - “Fish-friendly” turbine designs
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Future Research

- Laboratory Studies
  - Sensory perception and responses to behavioral stimuli
  - Swimming capabilities and behavior in the presence of downstream passage technologies
Future Research

- Field Studies
  - Migration timing and routes by life stage
  - Pilot-scale studies evaluating performance of downstream fish passage technologies

- Numerical Modeling
  - Characterize flow fields experienced by fish