

**PROPOSAL TITLE:**

**ACCEPTABILITY CRITERIA: Projects Must Pass These Four Criteria for Further Consideration:**

Is compliant and consistent with federal and state laws, policies and regulations.	Yes or No
Demonstrates technical feasibility.	Yes or No
Addresses injured natural resources or services targeted for restoration within the RFP.	Yes or No
Project will not be used for response actions, and is not being proposed by an identified potentially responsible party (PRP).	Yes or No

**PROJECT RANKING CRITERIA: Scored Criteria : 0-5; "0" = criteria not addressed; "5" = criteria is fully met.**

**Scoring:**

**1. Location of project (25 points possible):**

- a) Project occurs in a priority geographic area identified within the RFP. When applicable, score according to the tiered geographic priorities identified in the RFP. (Score 0-5) x 3
- b) Project fits within one or more of the restoration project categories identified in the RFP. When applicable, score according to the prioritization of projects identified in the RFP. (Score 0-5)
- c) Project occurs within or adjacent to a park, natural area, or conservation area within the geographic area identified in the RFP. (Score 0-5)

**2. Preferred resources and services, identified in the RFP (27 points possible):**

- a) Benefits federal- and state-listed species, or Missouri Species of Concern. (Score 0-5)
- b) Restores lost human uses (e.g., drinking water, recreational opportunities). (Score 0-4)
- c) Restores lost (or depressed) ecological services. (Score 0-5)
- d) Restores or enhances native diversity and abundance. (Score 0-4)
- e) Expands existing protected natural areas or creates greater connectivity between existing natural areas. (Score 0-5)
- f) Ecosystem improvements are self-sustaining. (Score 0-4)

**3. Benefits provided, as identified within the RFP (9 points possible):**

- a) Provides specific benefits or enhancements not provided by other restoration projects. (Score 0-3)
- b) Complements planned response actions. Does not provide benefits already provided by response actions. (Score 0-3)
- c) Provides the greatest scope of benefits to the largest area or natural resource population. (Score 0-3)

**4. Time required for restoration (5 points possible):**

- a) Time required to return resources to baseline condition is minimized. Proposal identifies expected timeline to return to baseline. (Score 0-5)

5. No long-term adverse environmental effects from actions (5 points

possible):

- a) Minimal impact to natural resources will occur from the proposed actions over the long term. (Score 0-5)

6. Cost-effectiveness (15 points possible):

- a) Utilizes cost-effective means. (Score 0-3)  
b) Additional funds (matching or scaled) are provided by proposal source (submitter) or to be pooled with other funding sources. (Score 0-7)  
c) Project involves partnerships between multiple entities (Score 0-5)

7. Evaluation component (9 points possible):

- a) Project includes a monitoring component. (Score 0-3)  
b) Project identifies performance measures for successful restoration. (Score 0-3)  
c) If goals of restoration are not being achieved, the project identifies the “next steps” to achieve restoration. (Score 0-3)

8. Technical Feasibility (5 points possible):

- a) Uses methods that are feasible. (Score 0-5)