



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Ecological Services
6950 Americana Parkway, Suite H
Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068-4127

(614) 469-6923/Fax: (614) 469-6919
March 12, 2007

Timothy M. Hill
Office of Environmental Services
Ohio Department of Transportation
P.O. Box 899
Columbus, OH 43216-0899

FILE COPY

Attn: Donald Rostofer
Megan Michael
RE: **GRE-CR54-0.47-PID77694**

TAILS: 31420-2007-F-0400

Dear Mr. Hill:

This letter is in response to your September 19, 2006 request for site-specific review pursuant to section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended regarding the Kauffman Avenue (GRE0CR 54) road widening located in Bath Township, Greene County, Ohio, just east of State Route 444 and west of the City of Fairborn. The project as proposed will improve the existing section of Kauffman Avenue from Skyline Drive to Zink Road. As stated in the Ecological Survey Report (ESR), approximately 1.7 acres of wooded area are within the existing right-of-way, and it is estimated that the majority of trees within the existing right-of-way will be removed as part of the proposed project. As stated in the Addendum to the ESR, 16 suitable roost trees will be taken for this project, and one of these trees may meet the definition of a potential maternity roost tree (19" dead elm with solar exposure). This project is within one mile of two positive capture records.

On January 26, 2007, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) issued a programmatic biological opinion (PBO) to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) for the implementation of the Ohio Department of Transportation's (ODOT) Statewide Transportation Program through January 2012. This PBO established a two-tiered consultation process for ODOT activities, with issuance of the programmatic opinion being Tier 1 and all subsequent site-specific project analyses constituting Tier 2 consultations. Under this tiered process, the Service will produce tiered biological opinions when it is determined that site-specific projects are likely to adversely affect the Indiana bat. When may affect, not likely to adversely affect determinations are made, the Service will review those projects and if justified, provide written concurrence and section 7(a)(2) consultation will be considered completed for those site-specific projects.

In issuing the PBO (Tier 1 biological opinion), we evaluated the effects of all ODOT actions outlined in your Biological Assessment on the federally listed Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*). Your current request for Service review of the Kauffman Avenue road widening project is a Tier 2 consultation under the January 26, 2007, PBO. We have reviewed the information contained in the ESR submitted by your office describing the effects of the proposed project on federally listed species. We concur with your

determination that the action is “likely to adversely affect” the Indiana bat. As such, this review focuses on determining whether: (1) this proposed site-specific project falls within the scope of the Tier 1 PBO, (2) the effects of this proposed action are consistent with those anticipated in the Tier 1 PBO, and (3) the appropriate conservation and mitigation measures identified in the biological assessment are adhered to.

That is, this letter serves as the Tier 2 biological opinion for the proposed Kauffman Avenue project. As such, this letter also provides the level of incidental take that is anticipated and a cumulative tally of incidental take that has been authorized and exempted in the PBO.

Description of the Proposed Action

Pages 8-11 of your Biological Assessment and pages 1-2 of the ESR include the location and a thorough description of the proposed action. The action as proposed involves improvement of the existing section of Kauffman Avenue from Skyline Drive to Zink Road in Greene County, Ohio. The improvements to Kauffman Avenue include the widening of the existing roadway to provide two eastbound lanes and one westbound lane from Skyline Drive to National Road, as well as the addition of turn lanes at the intersections with McClellan Drive and Shields Avenue. The proposed project is intended to improve the safety and capacity of Kauffman Avenue between State Route 444 and Zink Road.

This proposed action falls under the activities of a PC3 project. A typical PC3 project is one which may remove a large number of potential roost trees (more than 10 or 20 depending upon the Unit), remove one or more potential maternity roost trees, impact a known or potential hibernacula, impact Indiana bat fall swarming or spring staging areas, and/or will reduce a 100+ acre forested area by more than 10 % in the West Unit. ODOT will implement the following conservation measures to avoid, minimize, and/or mitigate adverse impacts to the Indiana bat: 1) any unavoidable tree removal will take place between September 15 and April 15 to avoid direct impacts (A-1), and 2) tree planting to create future suitable habitat, create future travel corridors, and restore connectivity of forested areas (M-4).

Status of the Species

Species description, distribution, life history, population dynamics, and status and are fully described on pages 13-26 for the Indiana bat in the PBO and are hereby incorporated by reference. Since the issuance of the PBO in 2007, there has been no change in the status of the species.

Environmental Baseline

Status of the species within the action area

The status of Indiana bat was fully described on pages 25 – 26 of the PBO for activities in the Northeast Unit and is hereby incorporated by reference. Since the issuance of the PBO in 2007, there have been no Indiana bat capture records within the vicinity of this project and we are not aware of any surveys that have been performed. Your ESR states that suitable habitat exists within the action area. Thus, as explained in the PBO, it is reasonable to assume presence of a maternity colony in the action area.

Effects of the Action

Based on analysis of the information provided in your ESR for the Kauffman Avenue road widening project and our review of available habitat surrounding the project area, we have determined that the effects of the proposed action are consistent with those contemplated and fully described on pages 31-35 of the PBO. Adverse effects to the Indiana bat from this project could occur due to the removal of a potential maternity roost tree. However, implementation of seasonal cutting restrictions will avoid direct adverse effects to individual bats. Projects that require the removal of one or more potential primary maternity roost trees outside of the Indiana bats’ maternity season can result in adverse effects to colony members upon their return to maternity areas following hibernation. When a primary roost tree becomes unsuitable, members of a colony may initially distribute themselves among several previously used alternate roost trees (USFWS 2002; Kurta et al. 2002). It is not known how long it takes for the colony to

attain the same level of roosting cohesiveness that it experienced prior to the loss of an important primary roost tree. As explained in the PBO, colony cohesiveness is essential for successful birth and rearing of young. It is likely that due to the ephemeral nature of roost trees, the Indiana bat has evolved to be able to relocate replacement roosts, if available, when their previously-used roost trees become unsuitable. Until the bats from the colony locate another desirable primary roost tree and reunite, it is possible, however, that some individual members of a colony will be subject to increased stress resulting from: (1) having to search for a replacement primary roost tree, which increases energy expenditure and risk of predation; (2) having to roost in alternate trees that are less effective in meeting thermoregulatory needs; and (3) having to roost singly, rather than together, which decreases the likelihood in meeting thermoregulatory needs, thereby reducing the potential for reproductive success.

Additionally, if pregnant females are required to search for new roosting habitat in the spring, this effort may place additional stress on pregnant females at a critical time when fat reserves are low or depleted, and they are already stressed from the energy demands of migration and pregnancy, and food availability is unpredictable. This could expose them to an increased risk of mortality and/or failed reproduction.

For this particular project, however, the exposed colony is anticipated to retain cohesiveness because the essential character of the habitat will be maintained. Hence, bats will likely be able to stay within their traditional home ranges. For this reason, we anticipate that any exposed bats will need to expend only a negligible level of energy to reform the colony, such that any adverse effects will be insignificant or discountable.

We are not aware of any non-federal actions in the action area that are reasonably certain to occur. Thus, we do not anticipate any cumulative effects associated with this project.

Conclusion

We believe the proposed Kauffman Avenue road widening project is consistent with the PBO. After reviewing site specific information, including 1) the scope of the project, 2) the environmental baseline, 3) the status of the Indiana bat and its assumed presence within the project area, 4) the effects of the action, and 5) cumulative effects, we do not expect any perceivable impacts to the maternity colony, and hence to the overall Ohio Indiana bat population from the proposed action. As such, we also do not anticipate any reductions in the reproduction, numbers, or distribution of the species rangewide. It is, therefore, the Service's biological opinion that this project is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the Indiana bat.

Incidental Take Statement

The Service does not anticipate the proposed action will incidentally take any Indiana bats. Although adverse affects to the Indiana bat may occur due to the loss of potential roost trees as described above, these impacts are not expected to rise to the level of injury, harm, or death. Hence, incidental take is not reasonably certain to occur. As such, no incidental take statement will be provided for this project. The following is a summary of impacted acres to date

Management Unit	Acres of impact anticipated in PBO	Acres of impact for this project	Cumulative acres of impact to date
West	1,565 acres	1.7	1.7
Central	2,280 acres	0	0
South	4,679 acres	0	0
Northeast	6,370 acres	0	0.07
East	7,224 acres	0	1.77
Statewide	22,118 acres	1.7	1.77

This fulfills your section 7(a)(2) requirements for this action; however, should the proposed project be modified or the level of take identified above be exceeded, ODOT/FHWA should promptly reinstate consultation as outlined in 50 CFR 402.16. As provided in 50 CFR §402.16, reinstatement of formal consultation is required where discretionary Federal agency involvement or control over the action has been retained (or is authorized by law) and if: (1) the amount or extent of incidental take is exceeded; (2) new information reveals effects of the continued implementation of ODOT's Statewide Transportation Program and projects predicated upon it may affect listed species in a manner or to an extent not considered in this opinion; (3) the continued implementation of ODOT's Statewide Transportation Program and projects predicated upon it are subsequently modified in a manner that cause an effect to federally listed species not considered in this opinion; or (4) a new species is listed or critical habitat designated that may be affected by the action. In instances where the amount or extent of incidental take is exceeded, any operations causing such take must cease, pending reinstatement. Requests for reinstatement, or questions regarding reinstatement, should be directed to the U.S. Fish Wildlife Service's Reynoldsburg, Ohio Field Office.

We appreciate your continued efforts to ensure that this project is consistent with all provisions outlined in the Biological Assessment and PBO. If you have any questions regarding our response or if you need additional information, please contact Troy Wilson at extension 23.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mary Knapp".

Mary Knapp, Ph.D.
Field Supervisor

cc: ODNR, DOW, SCEA Unit, Columbus, OH
Ohio Regulatory Transportation Office, Columbus, OH