



Carol Pawlak
<cpawlak@wideopenwe
st.com>

12/02/2011 04:21 PM
Please respond to
cpawlak

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Carol Pawlak
2112 Debbie Ct
Naperville, IL 60565



Dianne Kurko
<deeds49@cox.net>

12/02/2011 04:48 PM
Please respond to
deeds49

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Dianne Kurko
32 Barnard Drive
Newington, CT 06111



sandra sheehy
<sandra.sheehy@yahoo
.ie>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 04:49 PM
Please respond to
sandra.sheehy

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

sandra sheehy
CLONSILLA
dublin
DUBLIN, IL 11115



sandra sheehy
<sandra.sheehy@yahoo
.ie>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 04:56 PM
Please respond to
sandra.sheehy

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

sandra sheehy
CLONSILLA
dublin
DUBLIN, IL 11115



Penny Brugler
<sunshine7107@colum
bus.rr.com>

12/02/2011 05:01 PM

Please respond to
sunshine7107

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Penny Brugler
509 N MADRIVER ST
BELLEFONTAINE, OH 43311



chan atkins
<chanatkins@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 05:10 PM
Please respond to
chanatkins

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

chan atkins
755 stevens st, 221
medford, OR 97504



Merrill Dellas
<etsiketsi@aol.com>

12/02/2011 05:11 PM
Please respond to
etsiketsi

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Merrill Dellas
634 Wisteria Ln
Santa Rosa, CA 95407



john satterfield
<jhnstrfldjh@aol.com>

12/02/2011 05:40 PM

Please respond to
jhnstrfldjh

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

john satterfield
198 eastwood blvd
centereach, NY 11720



antonia maestre
<antoniaivette.maestre@
hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 05:42 PM

Please respond to
antoniaivette.maestre

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

antonia maestre
elma
san juan, PR 00920



KAREN POPE
<tigerland90@yahoo.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 05:50 PM
Please respond to
tigerland90

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

KAREN POPE
3541 SUNNY DUNES RD
PALM SPRINGS, CA 92264



Virgene Link
<linkerwan@yahoo.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 05:52 PM

Please respond to
linkerwan

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Virgene Link
P.O.Box 543
Anacortes, WA 98221



Teresa Barbour
<t-bar@att.net>

12/02/2011 05:53 PM
Please respond to t-bar

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Teresa Barbour
800 Hummingbird Ln.
Taylorsville, NC 28681



Sonya Sanford
<clueles74@aol.com>

12/02/2011 05:59 PM
Please respond to
clueles74

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sonya Sanford
19887 182nd Ave
Big Lake, MN 55309



Alison Taylor
<alileestaylor@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 06:04 PM
Please respond to
alileestaylor

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Alison Taylor
4248 Babcock Ave
Studio City, CA 91604



Jo Ann Norton
<jamila136@yahoo.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 06:07 PM
Please respond to
jamila136

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Jo Ann Norton
1538 SE Ramona Street
Portland, OR 97202



Carl Gosper
<carl.gosper@yahoo.com.au>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 06:08 PM
Please respond to
carl.gosper

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Carl Gosper
Kooyong Rd
Rivervale, ot 6103



amy peterson
<halo_beorne@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 06:09 PM
Please respond to
halo_beorne

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

amy peterson
spruce tree
erlanger, KY 41018



"S. Keedian"
<seanak68@yahoo.ca>

12/02/2011 06:14 PM
Please respond to
seanak68

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

S. Keedian
1087 Lorette Ave.
Winnipeg, MB R3M 1V6



Tricia Mattiello
<mukluk76@optonline.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 06:17 PM
Please respond to
mukluk76

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Tricia Mattiello
118 Long Hill Drive
Stamford, CT 06902



Julia Turner
<ecowarrior_wolfchick@
hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 06:21 PM
Please respond to
ecowarrior_wolfchick

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Julia Turner
1157 Sunburn Lane
Sandy, UT 84094



Shirley Robinson
<shrlobinson592@gmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 06:40 PM

Please respond to
shrlobinson592

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Shirley Robinson
2634 Winqvist Rd.
Rhineland, WI 54501



Linda Paleias
<swordbrush@aol.com>

12/02/2011 06:48 PM
Please respond to
swordbrush

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Linda Paleias
502 W. 47 Street
New York City, NY 10036



Sally Giles
<jgiles@nyc.rr.com>

12/02/2011 07:02 PM
Please respond to jgiles

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sally Giles
73-70 199th Stree
Flushing, NY 11366



Levy Lacey
<LLacey5@cfl.rr.com>

12/02/2011 07:03 PM
Please respond to
LLacey5

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Levy Lacey
5145 c.r. 165
Wildwood, FL 34785



Natalie A Carter
<ncarter79@hotmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 07:09 PM

Please respond to
ncarter79

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Natalie A Carter
562 Maple Avenue
Newark, OH 43055



Nancy Gathing
<nancygathing@yahoo.
com>

12/02/2011 07:16 PM
Please respond to
nancygathing

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Nancy Gathing
3701 Tulane Ave
Madison, WI 53714



steve shawn
<redfreak73@gmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 07:24 PM

Please respond to
redfreak73

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

steve shawn
501 Broadwood Dr
Rockville, MD 20851



Patricia Kusaba
<pat33cake@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 07:32 PM
Please respond to
pat33cake

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Patricia Kusaba
18419 burin ave
Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Janet Robinson
<bocacatlover@gmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 07:33 PM

Please respond to
bocacatlover

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Janet Robinson
6391 Toulon Dr.
Boca Raton, FL 33433-3801



Margaret Mueller
<MJMueller@cinci.rr.com>

12/02/2011 07:46 PM

Please respond to
MJMueller

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Margaret Mueller
5078 Lod Alfred Court
Sharonville, OH 45241



Barbara Caton
<pcbwithu@yahoo.com>

12/02/2011 07:57 PM
Please respond to
pcbwithu

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Barbara Caton
2339 Cranesbill Place
P. O. Box 2175
Avila Beach, CA 93424-2175



suzanne searle
<foghorn8111@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 08:01 PM
Please respond to
foghorn8111

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

suzanne searle
14 arbor lane
dedham, MA 02026



Donna Farr
<donnajfarr@sbcglobal.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 08:07 PM
Please respond to
donnajfarr

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Donna Farr
9815 Gemini Dr.
San Antonio, TX 78217-3204



susan kuhn
<susan@kuhnmail.com>
12/02/2011 08:17 PM
Please respond to susan

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

susan kuhn
2835 se 64th ave
Portland, OR 97206



Kimberly Peterson
<rosebud_rosebud@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 08:27 PM
Please respond to
rosebud_rosebud

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Peterson
31255 hwy 128
Cloverdale, CA 95425



sandra sheehy
<sandra.sheehy@yahoo
.ie>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 08:31 PM
Please respond to
sandra.sheehy

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

sandra sheehy
CLONSILLA
dublin
DUBLIN, IL 11115



Cheryl Vallone Rigby
<clvallone@aol.com>

12/02/2011 08:37 PM
Please respond to
clvallone

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: Comments on the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental
Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits must be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for your consideration and for accepting these comments.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Vallone Rigby
10 Ivy Lane
Ashland, MA 01721-1020



Mark Rockwell
<mrockwell@stopextinct
ion.org>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 08:41 PM

Please respond to
mrockwell

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mark Rockwell
19737 Wildwood West Dr.
Penn Valley, CA 95946



Kate Kenner
<faunesiegel@gmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 08:42 PM
Please respond to
faunesiegel

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Kate Kenner
31 Woodman St.
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130



Charles Rockwell
<summerhillfarmpv@aol.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 08:45 PM
Please respond to
summerhillfarmpv

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Charles Rockwell
19737 Wildwood West Dr.
Penn Valley, CA 95946



Anita Cook
<acook@stny.rr.com>

12/02/2011 08:45 PM
Please respond to acook

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Anita Cook
2002 Davis St.
Elmira, NY 14901-1022



Hilary Clayton
<mjhhclay@aol.com>

12/02/2011 08:47 PM
Please respond to
mjhhclay

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Hilary Clayton

Hilary Clayton
!30 Stevens Lane
Far Hills, NJ 07931



Linda Layne
<ltervlet@yahoo.com>

12/02/2011 09:02 PM
Please respond to
ltervlet

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan. Though this is an extremely misleading title for this permit.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are way too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100. Why do they need such a large area on either side of the pipeline? If this permit is allowed, it simply gives NiSource the freedom to not take any precautions for any environment or species near the pipeline.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation. Even this shortened re-evaluation period is too long a time frame that puts endangered/threatened species in harms way. A lot of damage or outright destruction can be inflicted on the environment and target species during this extended period of time between re-evaluations.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Linda Layne
14403 Brook Drive
Woodbridge, VA 22193-1429



Jeneen Haines
<jfh4125@earthlink.net>

12/02/2011 09:02 PM

Please respond to
jfh4125

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Jeneen Haines
130 Frosti Way
Eustis, FL 32726



Christine Trujillo
<kahluabelle2@aol.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 09:05 PM
Please respond to
kahluabelle2

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Christine Trujillo
179 Saffin Dr SE
Rio Rancho, NM 87124



Christopher Smith
<smith12772@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 09:07 PM

Please respond to
smith12772

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Christopher Smith
740 Schuylkill Rd
Birdsboro, PA 19508



Dave Simons
<DLS932@yahoo.com>

12/02/2011 09:18 PM
Please respond to
DLS932

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Dave Simons
2631 Eaton Rd
Cleveland, OH 44118



Fred Akers
<akers@gowebway.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 09:18 PM
Please respond to akers

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Fred Akers
175 9th Street
Newtonville, NJ 0



Susan Scott
<susan@speciesalliance.org>

12/02/2011 09:19 PM
Please respond to susan

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Susan Scott
5200 San Pablo
Emeryville, CA 94608



Julia Foreman
<juliastarr9@gmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 09:26 PM
Please respond to
juliastarr9

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Julia Foreman
2312 Hasti Acres Dr.
Bakersfield, CA 93309



Laura Horning
<sugarcookie6499@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 09:28 PM
Please respond to
sugarcookie6499

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Laura Horning
24370 Hedgewood Ave.
Westlake, OH 44145



Tim Duda
<timduda@aol.com>

12/02/2011 09:36 PM
Please respond to
timduda

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Tim Duda
340 Queen Anne Court
San Antonio, TX 78209



Gabriella Otero
<fluorescentfish@gmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 09:44 PM

Please respond to
fluorescentfish

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Gabriella Otero
109 Rapsallion Dr.
Orlando, FL 32828



Denise Giroux
<dgiroux819@bell.net>

12/02/2011 09:50 PM

Please respond to
dgiroux819

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Denise Giroux
36 rue Ovesta
Cantley, QC J8V 3B2



Ashley Rayhill
<Pandora6242@cs.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 09:54 PM

Please respond to
Pandora6242

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Ashley Rayhill
33 Crawford St
West Warwick, RI 02893-4946



Carol J Erickson
<dancarmidpooh@aol.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 10:08 PM
Please respond to
dancarmidpooh

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Carol J Erickson
2928 Pennsylvania Ave.
Colorado Springs, CO 80907-6441



Axel Friedrich
<xlfriedrich@gmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 10:28 PM
Please respond to
xlfriedrich

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Axel Friedrich
1390 Peabody Av.
Memphis, TN 38104



James Klein
<JEKlein64@yahoo.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 10:39 PM
Please respond to
JEKlein64

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

James Klein
3501 Monterrey St.
Corpus Christi, TX 78411



"Rebekah O'Brien"
<obrienr83@gmail.com>

12/02/2011 10:51 PM
Please respond to
obrienr83

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Rebekah O'Brien
3511 Blackhawk Drive
New Port Richey, FL 34652



Alexander Malevorne
<ps_865472962@care2.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 11:08 PM
Please respond to
ps_865472962

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Alexander Malevorne
304 Coolidge Ave Apt 2S
Manchester, NH 03102



Pauline Fuit
<pfuit@westnet.com.au
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 11:25 PM
Please respond to pfuit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Pauline Fuit
6 Huxtable Tce
Baldivis, ot 6171



paul lentz
<jpglantz@telkomsa.net
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 11:33 PM
Please respond to
jpglantz

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

paul lentz
falcon crest, leopardsdan, The Wilds
Not provided, AL 00040



Joe Salazar
<houseofsavoy2000@yahoo.com>

12/02/2011 11:40 PM
Please respond to
houseofsavoy2000

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Joe Salazar
610 Cherrywood Dr.
Santa Rosa, CA 95407



Dana Bellwether
<dana937@gmail.com>

12/02/2011 11:47 PM
Please respond to
dana937

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan & Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

Please tell these people what scientists have been telling them for 40 years:
Diversify! Sell clean, sustainable energy.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Dana Bellwether
PO Box 177
Camp Meeker, CA 95419



Pamela Dugan
<Victorbunny@care2.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/02/2011 11:51 PM
Please respond to
Victorbunny

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Pamela Dugan
8760 Mustang Island Circle
Naples, FL 34113



Valerie Baugher
<valerieb336@gmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 12:11 AM
Please respond to
valerieb336

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Valerie Baugher
336 W. Avenida Palizada
San Clemente, CA 92672



giulio biondi
<biondigiuilio@hotmail.it
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 12:48 AM
Please respond to
biondigiuilio

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

giulio biondi
24 Fordham St over the video shop
London, ot el lhs



Chelle M
<purrcandp@gmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 01:11 AM
Please respond to
purrcandp

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Chelle M
220 SE Kamiaken #310
Pullman, WA 99163



Carrie Phyliky Rimes
<carrieilani@gmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 01:41 AM

Please respond to
carrieilani

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Carrie Phyliky Rimes
111 N. Summit Dr.
Cabot, AR 72023-9187



Gale Lederer
<galelederer@yahoo.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 01:41 AM
Please respond to
galelederer

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Gale Lederer
1121 Contra Costa Dr.
El Cerrito, CA 94530-2509



Richard Ellison
<richard_ellison@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 02:17 AM
Please respond to
richard_ellison

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Richard Ellison
4418 Latona Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105



Akhilesh Chipli
<swan7man@gmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 02:54 AM

Please respond to
swan7man

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Akhilesh Chipli
No.250, Kakrikurve Gundu Rao Extn.
SAGAR, IN 577401



Ginger Neimo
<gingerneimo@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 03:21 AM
Please respond to
gingerneimo

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Ginger Neimo
Adelaide
Adelaide, ot 5072



Marion Kraus
<krausies@web.de>

12/03/2011 04:47 AM
Please respond to
krausies

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Marion Kraus
Kantstr. 2
Heidenheim, ot 89522



Nancy Neumann
<NancyNeumann@t-onli
ne.de>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 05:11 AM
Please respond to
NancyNeumann

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Nancy Neumann
Graugasse 1
Zornheim, ot 55270



Maria Lemos
<mlailito@gmail.com>

12/03/2011 06:32 AM
Please respond to
mlailito

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Maria Lemos
Rua das Fontanheiras
Anadia, ot 3780-122



Whitney Metz
<whitneythedryad@veg
email.com>

12/03/2011 06:43 AM
Please respond to
whitneythedryad

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Whitney Metz
100 1/2 East Main Street
Mannington, WV 26582-1127



Susan Cote
<scote58@charter.net>

12/03/2011 07:18 AM
Please respond to
scote58

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Susan Cote-DeMilia

Susan Cote
136 Jacob Rd
Southbury, CT 06488



Steven Hemstreet
<divemaster2@mac.com>
m>

12/03/2011 08:17 AM

Please respond to
divemaster2

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I want you to know that I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Steven Hemstreet
5109 Green Creek Terrace
Glenn Dale, MD 20769



ekeim teeuwisse
<ekeim@animail.net>

12/03/2011 08:40 AM
Please respond to ekeim

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

ekeim teeuwisse
a lane 51
the hague, ot 12345



William Lewis
<edormary@comcast.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 09:03 AM
Please respond to
edormary

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

And, as population numbers continue to explode in the US, and across the world, it is imperative that we protect as much open space as possible for future citizens and visitors.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

William Lewis
15493 E. Milan Drive
Aurora, CO 80013-2517



Jeanine Geffe
<jeanine1126@optonline.net>

12/03/2011 09:14 AM
Please respond to
jeanine1126

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Jeanine Geffe

Jeanine Geffe
109 N Lincoln Ct
Port Jefferson, NY 11777



Estelle Denamur
<estelle_den@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 09:18 AM

Please respond to
estelle_den

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Estelle Denamur
Avenue du Rond-Point 12
Rixensart, ot 01330



Dai Morello
<fastphyl1@hotmail.com>
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 09:27 AM
Please respond to
fastphyl1

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Dai Morello
984 Harrison Ferry
White Pine, TN 37890



Michelle Page
<michellepage2012@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 09:41 AM
Please respond to
michellepage2012

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Michelle Page

Michelle Page
8687 s m-37
baldwin, MI 49304



paula zo
<paulazo.actor@gmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 09:42 AM
Please respond to
paulazo.actor

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

paula zo
6040 brierly vreek rd
cincinnati, OH 45247



Dan Meier
<lildan15@yahoo.com>

12/03/2011 09:47 AM
Please respond to
lildan15

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Dan Meier
924 West 12th st.
Cedar Falls, IA 50613



Kathy Kroll
<kkroll@ptd.net>

12/03/2011 09:49 AM
Please respond to kkroll

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Kathy Kroll
205 Colbert St
Stroudsburg, PA 18360



nerlene lantion
<nerlene10@yahoo.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 09:55 AM

Please respond to
nerlene10

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

nerlene lantion
9Palm Ferndale Home QC
quezon city, ot 1107



Noah and Natasha
Brenner
<2brenners@gmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 10:29 AM
Please respond to
2brenners

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Noah and Natasha Brenner
229 Chrystie Street Apt. 715
New York,, NY 10002-1172



PATRICIA BOZZO
<DELORA42@HUGHE
S.NET>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 10:34 AM
Please respond to
DELORA42

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

PATRICIA BOZZO
900 EDWARDS DR
TURLOCK, CA 95380



Carlo Zucchi
<carloz1974@yahoo.it>

12/03/2011 10:55 AM
Please respond to
carloz1974

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Carlo Zucchi
Via Tettamanti 14
Como, ot 22100



Billie Dawson
<gr8bluhron@gmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 11:09 AM
Please respond to
gr8bluhron

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Billie Dawson
n/a
Kalaheo, HI 96741



Linda Webster
<babayaga2f@aol.com>

12/03/2011 11:33 AM
Please respond to
babayaga2f

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Linda Webster
4745 Blaisdell Ave
Minneapolis, MN 55419



Deborah Stowe
<stowebear@aol.com>

12/03/2011 11:36 AM
Please respond to
stowebear

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Deborah Stowe

Deborah Stowe
6413 Merritt Rd.
Cheyenne, WY 82009



Joanna Attard
<cyrusspiritbear@gmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 11:37 AM
Please respond to
cyrusspiritbear

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Joanna Attard
Mellor Drive
Lakeport, CA 95453



sara shaw
<sarashaw20@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 11:44 AM

Please respond to
sarashaw20

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

sara shaw
1095 rio vista
pacificca, CA 94044



Lin Penrose
<ljpenrose@gmail.com>

12/03/2011 11:49 AM

Please respond to
ljpenrose

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Lin Penrose
6205 Toro Creek Road
Atascadero, CA 93422



Patti Packer
<pattiac@nycap.rr.com>

12/03/2011 11:52 AM

Please respond to
pattiac

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Patti Packer
5 Jennifer Rd
5 Jennifer Rd
Scotia, NY 12302



"Barbara A. Thomas"
<china2551@gmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 11:52 AM
Please respond to
china2551

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Barbara A. Thomas
456 Church Run Rd.
Spraggs, PA 15362



Vanessa De Ligniere
<buttify68@gmail.com>

12/03/2011 11:53 AM
Please respond to
buttify68

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Vanessa De Ligniere
2,Lieu dit La Borde
DUN, ot 09600



Christina Malm
<malm@vet.ufmg.br>

12/03/2011 12:00 PM
Please respond to malm

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Christina Malm
Rua Roquete Mendonça 220 / 400
Belo Horizonte, ot 31275-030



Christina Malm
<malm@vet.ufmg.br>

12/03/2011 12:00 PM
Please respond to malm

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Christina Malm
Rua Roquete Mendonça 220 / 400
Belo Horizonte, ot 31275-030



Helen Barshay
<helenlewb@verizon.net
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 12:31 PM
Please respond to
helenlewb

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Helen Barshay
6 Pine Bark
Hunt Valley, MD 21030



Jolie Truesdell
<vontruesdell@gmail.com>

12/03/2011 12:37 PM

Please respond to
vontruesdell

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Jolie Truesdell
2039 S. 15th St.
kansas city, KS 66103



Armand Fontaine
<txhammer3408@yahoo
.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 12:45 PM

Please respond to
txhammer3408

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Armand Fontaine
7445 Hwy 258 W
Iowa Park, TX 76367



Madlen Kolb
<bloodsucker87@web.d
e>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 12:49 PM

Please respond to
bloodsucker87

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Madlen Kolb
Pfungstweide 5
Haiger, ot 35708



Wendy Forster
<wendy.eve@aim.com>

12/03/2011 12:52 PM
Please respond to
wendy.eve

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Wendy Forster
Cedar Lodge
Shrigley Road
Pott Shrigley, ot SK10 5SG



Doug Ihde
<dihde@cs.stanford.edu
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 01:20 PM
Please respond to dihde

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Doug Ihde
618 17th Ave
Menlo Park, CA 94025



Keith Turner
<keith-s@turner.name>

12/03/2011 02:01 PM
Please respond to
keith-s

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Keith Turner
412 Roland Dr.
Keller, TX 76248



mary ann dailey
<maryanndailey@yahoo
.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 02:11 PM
Please respond to
maryanndailey

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

mary ann dailey
2045 7th ave e
2e
st paul, MN 55109



Hal Trufan
<htrufan@gmail.com>

12/03/2011 02:21 PM
Please respond to
htrufan

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Hal Trufan
6808 Old Forge Dr
Charlotte, NC 28226



Hal Trufan
<htrufan@gmail.com>

12/03/2011 02:29 PM
Please respond to
htrufan

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Hal Trufan
6808 Old Forge Dr
Charlotte, NC 28226



Carol Mitchell
<fubar@arvig.net>

12/03/2011 02:38 PM
Please respond to fubar

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Carol Mitchell
709 Granger Road
Detroit Lakes, MN 56501



Harriette Frank
<lfrank1999@aol.com>

12/03/2011 02:50 PM
Please respond to
lfrank1999

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Harriette Frank
3603 Westover Road
Durham, NC 27707



Liza Burrell
<lizaoiseau@gmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 02:51 PM
Please respond to
lizaoiseau

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Liza Burrell
11064 Clyde Road
Fenton, MI 48430



Barbara Hicks
<bhicks_ee@hotmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 03:03 PM
Please respond to
bhicks_ee

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hicks
921 Ell Way
Sarasota, FL 34243



Lee Frank
<bg214@sbcglobal.net>

12/03/2011 03:14 PM
Please respond to bg214

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Lee Frank
14648 Tustin St.
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403-4103



Lee Frank
<bg214@sbcglobal.net>

12/03/2011 03:14 PM
Please respond to bg214

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Lee Frank
14648 Tustin St.
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403-4103



Susan Wrightsman
<suesotheraccount@gmail.com>

12/03/2011 03:17 PM
Please respond to
suesotheraccount

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Susan Wrightsman
152 N Wolfeboro Rd
Wolfeboro, NH 03894



Richard DeCicco
<dazeeka@verizon.net>

12/03/2011 03:29 PM
Please respond to
dazeeka

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Richard DeCicco
6168 Walnut St.
Mays Landing, NJ 8330



Judith Gollings
<piercygollings@aol.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 03:33 PM
Please respond to
piercygollings

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Judith Gollings
Elgin Road
Goring by Sea
West Sussex, ot BN12 4PJ



DWAYNE KING
<DWAYNEEDWARDKI
NG@HOTMAIL.COM>

12/03/2011 03:38 PM
Please respond to
DWAYNEEDWARDKIN
G

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

DWAYNE E. KING

DWAYNE KING
16 POPLAR CRES.
AURORA, ON L4G 3L3



Judy Albury
<jaalbury@aol.com>

12/03/2011 03:48 PM
Please respond to
jaalbury

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Judy Albury
6770 SW 50 Terrace
Miami, FL 33155



Sharon Morgan
<paganlady718@yahoo.
com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 04:02 PM
Please respond to
paganlady718

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sharon Morgan
4731 Ironwood Ln # 1
Silver City, NM 88061



Linda Guthrie
<lroseguthrie@gmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 04:04 PM
Please respond to
lroseguthrie

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Linda Guthrie
1122 St. Louis Pl. NE
Atlanta, GA 30306



Hali Tsui
<write2hali@gmail.com>

12/03/2011 04:19 PM

Please respond to
write2hali

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Hali Tsui
950 Slote Rd
Ancaster, ON L9H 5E3



Denise Lytle
<centauress6@live.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 04:27 PM

Please respond to
centauress6

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Denise Lytle
73 Poplar St.
Fords, NJ 08863-1836



matthew courter
<courter_matthewr@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 04:38 PM

Please respond to
courter_matthewr

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

matthew courter
10612 Dixon Dr S
Seattle, WA 98178



Bette Koetz
<spiritvalley@earthlink.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 04:45 PM
Please respond to
spiritvalley

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Bette Koetz
82168 Hanna Rd
Dexter, OR 97431



Neil Kagan
<nsk825@gmail.com>

12/03/2011 05:00 PM
Please respond to
nsk825

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Neil Kagan
1439 Covington Drive
ANN ARBOR, MI 48103



Helen Colby
<hccolby@gmail.com>

12/03/2011 05:04 PM
Please respond to
hccolby

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Helen Colby
8615 SW 126 Terrace
MIAMI, FL 33156



Sarah Hanneken
<skh@wi.rr.com>

12/03/2011 05:11 PM
Please respond to skh

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sarah Hanneken
1819 N CAMBRIDGE AVE APT 301
Milwaukee, WI 53202



Dallas Emard
<dallasce@shaw.ca>

12/03/2011 05:14 PM
Please respond to
dallasce

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Dallas Emard
889 Englishman River Rd.
Errington, BC V0R 1V0



Michele Mercer
<michelem555@gmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 05:19 PM

Please respond to
michelem555

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Michele Mercer
1548 E. Elegante Dr.
Casa Grande, AZ 85122



Julie Evans
<Julie_e_716@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 05:28 PM
Please respond to
Julie_e_716

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Julie Evans
25 E Noble Ave
Monroe, MI 48162



Kim Nicholson
<kimnb73@yahoo.com>

12/03/2011 06:03 PM
Please respond to
kimnb73

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Kim Nicholson
5542 Radford Avenue
5542 Radford Ave.
VILLEY VILLAGE, CA 91607



Kim Nicholson
<kimnb73@yahoo.com>

12/03/2011 06:03 PM
Please respond to
kimnb73

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Kim Nicholson
5542 Radford Avenue
5542 Radford Ave.
VILLEY VILLAGE, CA 91607



Sylvia Cardella
<syviadeer@yahoo.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Take Permit-Who's country is this?

12/03/2011 06:21 PM
Please respond to
syviadeer

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Cardella
4570 Bluff Top
Hydesville, CA 95547



Richard Cardella
<rgcardella@hotmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 06:23 PM
Please respond to
rgcardella

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Richard Cardella
4570 Bluff Top
Hydesville, CA 95547



Nancy Chismar
<nanlc999@optonline.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 06:27 PM
Please respond to
nanlc999

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Nancy Chismar
6 York Dr Apt 6A
Edison, NJ 08817



Leslie Cassidy
<leslie_cassidy@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 06:38 PM
Please respond to
leslie_cassidy

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Leslie Cassidy
534 East 83rd Street
New York, NY 10028



Scott Myers
<scott@myerslan.net>

12/03/2011 06:44 PM
Please respond to scott

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Scott Myers
5605 Harbormist Drive
Powder Springs, GA 30127-6964



C J Wilson
<wilson_cindyj@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 06:45 PM
Please respond to
wilson_cindyj

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

C J Wilson
970 Oak Hills Dr
Pullman, MI 49450



Rick Sparks
<rsparkle@pacbell.net>

12/03/2011 06:49 PM

Please respond to
rsparkle

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Rick Sparks
4634 Beck Avenue
Toluca Lake, CA 91602



Susaan Aram
<mermaidlaguna@aol.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 06:54 PM
Please respond to
mermaidlaguna

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Susaan Aram
1361 Terrace Way
Laguna Beach, CA 92651



Sean Parrott
<DPARROTT@satx.rr.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 07:20 PM

Please respond to
DPARROTT

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sean Parrott
4826 Lambeth Dr.
San Antonio, TX 78228



Toni Geer
<tonidouglassgeer@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 07:21 PM
Please respond to
tonidouglassgeer

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Toni Geer
PO Box 89
Seal Rock, OR 97376



JERRY Dawson
<eagldnc@msn.com>

12/03/2011 07:25 PM
Please respond to
eagldnc

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

JERRY Dawson
8042 Saint Annes Court
Alexandria, VA 22309



JERRY Dawson
<eagldnc@msn.com>

12/03/2011 07:25 PM
Please respond to
eagldnc

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

JERRY Dawson
8042 Saint Annes Court
Alexandria, VA 22309



Gloria Korhonen
<gkorhonen@yahoo.com>
m>

12/03/2011 07:39 PM
Please respond to
gkorhonen

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Gloria Korhonen
3345 Military #224
Port Huron, MI 48060



Ellen Gutfleisch
<elleng01@wi.rr.com>

12/03/2011 07:54 PM
Please respond to
elleng01

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Ellen Gutfleisch
N72 W22488 Jeanine Ln
Sussex, WI 53089



Nicole Weber
<nicole4770@yahoo.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 07:57 PM
Please respond to
nicole4770

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Nicole Weber
7621 Paradise Beach
Pasadena, MD 21122-3514



Michael Bachand
<mdbachand@ymail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 08:23 PM
Please respond to
mdbachand

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Michael Bachand
7503 Sun Tree Circle
Orlando, FL 32807



Barb Holznagel
<astrablaze@hotmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 09:00 PM

Please respond to
astrablaze

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Barb Holznagel
1119 University Dr #1424
Bismarck, ND 58504



kim powanda
<kodimeg8@yahoo.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 09:41 PM
Please respond to
kodimeg8

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

kim powanda
351 westbourne ct
bloomfield hills, MI 48301



cheryl weiss
<tweiss68@yahoo.com>

12/03/2011 09:51 PM
Please respond to
tweiss68

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

cheryl weiss
123 Holiday Mhp
Granite City, IL 62040-6568



samantha nelson
<samanthaannmcintosh
@hotmail.com>

12/03/2011 10:26 PM
Please respond to
samanthaannmcintosh

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

samantha nelson
9690 BAY HARBOR CIRCLE
#201
FORT MYERS, FL 33919



Sylvia Jones
<syjones@earthlink.net
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 10:28 PM
Please respond to
syjones

Doesn't it seem rather naive to think that anyone can be trusted with a 59 year permit?

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Jones
10906 Exposition Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90064



linda petrulias
<acmwc@comcast.net>

12/03/2011 10:28 PM
Please respond to
acmwc

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

linda petrulias
23 silvia drive
Cazadero, CA 95421



Sara Wersinger
<Chancesr09@aol.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 10:32 PM

Please respond to
Chancesr09

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sara Wersinger
676 Clear Springs Hollow
Buda, TX 78610-5118



Micha Jackson
<jacksonm34@gmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 10:35 PM
Please respond to
jacksonm34

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Micha Jackson
102 Killenbeck Lane
Lansdowne, ON K0E 1L0



Holly Forbes
<blakefarm@att.net>

12/03/2011 10:50 PM
Please respond to
blakefarm

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Holly Forbes
7128 Blake Street
El Cerrito, CA 94530-1981



Vickey Baker
<doghaven@harlannet.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/03/2011 11:44 PM
Please respond to
doghaven

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Vickey Baker
2407 Roland Lane
Harlan, IA 51537



Peter Kyne
<peter.kyne@cdu.edu.au>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 12:08 AM
Please respond to
peter.kyne

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Peter Kyne
102 Killenbeck Lane
Lansdowne, ON K0E 1H0



Lee Ellen Benjamin
<LeeEllenBenjamin@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 12:49 AM
Please respond to
LeeEllenBenjamin

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Lee Ellen Benjamin
908 Whippoorwill
Atlanta, TX 75551-1952



Delbert Contival
<dcontival@hawaii.rr.co
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 01:08 AM

Please respond to
dcontival

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Delbert Contival
3885 Waha Rd.
Kalaheo, HI 96741



"Mona N.Sophie Kling"
<sophietortue@live.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 01:12 AM
Please respond to
sophietortue

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mona N.Sophie Kling
157 Chestnut Crossing Drive
Newark, DE 19713



Victoria Powers
<tvpowers4554@comcast.net>

12/04/2011 01:18 AM
Please respond to
tvpowers4554

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Victoria Powers
1855 W Co Rd 350 N
North Vernon, IN 47265-8077



Ines Jambrek
<idjambrek88@gmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 01:51 AM
Please respond to
idjambrek88

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Ines Jambrek
Šestinski Kraljevac 43
Zagreb, ot 10000



Carol Taggart
<cbtaggart@earthlink.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 01:56 AM
Please respond to
cbtaggart

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Carol Taggart
1705 Valparaiso Ave.
Menlo Park, CA 94025



Sophia Werbowy
<sophiathegay@yahoo.
com>

12/04/2011 02:08 AM
Please respond to
sophiathegay

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sophia Werbowy
869 E Red Oak St
Addison, IL 60101



Joyce Bower
<joy2all1000@earthlink.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 02:36 AM
Please respond to
joy2all1000

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Joyce Bower
132 Duval Street
Citrus Heights, CA 95621-0267



James Pentelow
<james.pentelow@gmx.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 04:13 AM
Please respond to
james.pentelow

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

James Pentelow
22 Duchy Close, Chelveston
Chelveston, AL NN9 6AW



susan navidad
<sanavid66@yahoo.com>

12/04/2011 04:54 AM
Please respond to
sanavid66

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

susan navidad
1818 n taylor st 347
little rock, AR 72207



tu luong
<tu.L@hotmail.com>
12/04/2011 05:10 AM
Please respond to tu.L

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

tu luong
moonstone
melbourne, ot 30214



Judy Everhart
<j.everhart@sbcglobal.net>

12/04/2011 05:48 AM
Please respond to
j.everhart

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Judy Everhart
13312 Jobin
Southgate, MI 48195



Beatriz Gomes
<bia3347@yahoo.com.br>
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 06:17 AM
Please respond to
bia3347

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Beatriz Gomes
Av Julio de Castilhos
Porto Alegre, XX 90030130



Paul Cremins
<suepabu@gmail.com>

12/04/2011 07:15 AM
Please respond to
suepabu

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Paul Cremins
13 Copeland Avenue
manchester, ot M27 8SH



Paul Cremins
<suepabu@gmail.com>

12/04/2011 07:15 AM
Please respond to
suepabu

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Paul Cremins
13 Copeland Avenue
manchester, ot M27 8SH



michael chase
<mchase2@ecologyfun
d.net>

12/04/2011 07:55 AM

Please respond to
mchase2

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

michael chase
3744 lilly rd south
jacksonville, FL 32207



Alexis DeCaprio
<lawyerlex@yahoo.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 08:16 AM
Please respond to
lawyerlex

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Alexis DeCaprio
86112 Lofton Court
Yulee, FL 32097



Victoria Molinari
<vmolinari@wildmail.com>
m>

12/04/2011 09:32 AM
Please respond to
vmolinari

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

"The sun, the moon and the stars would have disappeared long ago... had they happened to be within the reach of predatory human hands."-Henry Havelock Ellis

"The greatness of a nation and its moral progress can be judged by the way its animals are treated. I hold that the more helpless a creature, the more entitled it is to protection by man from the cruelty of man." -Gandhi

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Victoria Molinari
19880 3rd ave nw 35
35
Poulsbo, WA 98370



Steve Loe
<stevealoe@msn.com>

12/04/2011 09:32 AM
Please respond to
stevealoe

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Steve Loe
33832 Nebraska St.
Yucaipa, CA 92399



Bobbie Hensley
<spud676@yahoo.com>

12/04/2011 10:26 AM
Please respond to
spud676

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Bobbie Hensley
1150 Kitchen Branch Rd
Greeneville, TN 37743



bernardo alayza mujica
<beralmu@yahoo.es>

12/04/2011 10:55 AM
Please respond to
beralmu

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

bernardo alayza mujica
durand bernaes 133 208
surquillo, IA 51111



Claude Robert
<ldruide@hotmail.com>

12/04/2011 11:19 AM
Please respond to
ldruide

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Claude Robert
3470 Laurier Ouest app2
Saint-Hyacinthe, QC J2S 3Z4



cathy houde
<catsandcrocs@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 11:23 AM

Please respond to
catsandcrocs

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

cathy houde
1205 vizcaya lakes rd. apt.106
Ocoee, FL 34761



M R Wood
<slansv@aol.com>

12/04/2011 12:18 PM
Please respond to slansv

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you

M R Wood
P.O. Box 109
Slanesville, WV 25444



Yee Yean Lim
<ianlyy@gmail.com>

12/04/2011 12:34 PM
Please respond to ianlyy

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Yee Yean Lim
17 Jalan Lp 3/7
Jalan Lp 3/7
Seri Kembangan, ot 43300



Yee Yean Lim
<ianlyy@gmail.com>

12/04/2011 12:34 PM
Please respond to ianlyy

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Yee Yean Lim
17 Jalan Lp 3/7
Jalan Lp 3/7
Seri Kembangan, ot 43300



Yee Yean Lim
<ianlyy@gmail.com>

12/04/2011 12:34 PM
Please respond to ianlyy

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Yee Yean Lim
17 Jalan Lp 3/7
Jalan Lp 3/7
Seri Kembangan, ot 43300



Bonnie Beach
<bonbees@juno.com>

12/04/2011 12:44 PM
Please respond to
bonbees

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Beach
69495 Highway 50
Montrose, CO 81401



Bart Atkins
<kitzen1@wildblue.net>

12/04/2011 12:55 PM
Please respond to
kitzen1

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Bart Atkins

Bart Atkins
5831 Old Hwy 99-S
Ashland, OR 97520



Cynthia Hever
<lm.fullmoon@gmail.com>
m>

12/04/2011 12:59 PM

Please respond to
lm.fullmoon

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Hever
416 Firefly Road
Holly Springs, NC 27540



Patricia V?zquez
<patricia_vazquez77@yahoo.com.mx>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 01:21 PM
Please respond to
patricia_vazquez77

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Patricia V?zquez
Taller 791
Ed. 7 apt. 402
Mexico City, ot ot



Kelli Grey
<Greybrat@gmail.com>

12/04/2011 01:23 PM
Please respond to
Greybrat

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Kelli Grey
8012 Conifer Drive
Louisville, KY 40258



Keith Abrams
<light-abrams@surewes
t.net>

12/04/2011 01:27 PM
Please respond to
light-abrams

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Keith Abrams
35125 Woodside Ct.
Gualala, CA 95445



KARENA SNYDER
<snyder.karena@gmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 01:38 PM
Please respond to
snyder.karena

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

KARENA SNYDER
22 N. Market Street
Apt C
SELINGSGROVE, PA 17870



Vincent Brancato
<vpbrancato@aol.com>

12/04/2011 02:29 PM

Please respond to
vpbrancato

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too large to work and ignore the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers millions of acres, in 14 states, as well as more than 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor. it effects 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area. But it should not go forward.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Vincent Brancato
545 West 111 St
Apt 8I
New York, NY 10025-1966



Hannah G Robinson
<hrobinson@ms.cc.suny
sb.edu>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 02:33 PM

Please respond to
hrobinson

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Hannah G Robinson
108 Shore Drive
Address Line 2
Sound Beach, NY 11789



Ellen Levine
<egiraffe@pacbell.net>

12/04/2011 02:42 PM
Please respond to
egiraffe

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Ellen Levine
P.O. Box 2278
Castro Valley, CA 94546



"Terrie C. Williams"
<yarddawg_1@att.net>

12/04/2011 02:53 PM
Please respond to
yarddawg_1

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Terrie C. Williams
850 Laura Lane
Vidor, TX 77662



Dianne Robertson
<markndianne2010@live.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 02:55 PM

Please respond to
markndianne2010

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Dianne Robertson
501 Main St N E
Menahga, MN 56464-8701



Alison Lake
<raveninrain13@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 02:58 PM

Please respond to
raveninrain13

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Please, do not implement this destructive and dangerous plan.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Alison Lake
P.O. Box 707
Big Rapids, MI 49307



Donald Garlit
<donaIdgarlit@yahoo.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 03:05 PM
Please respond to
donaIdgarlit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Donald Garlit
49651 Shenandoah Circle
Canton, MI 48187-1163



Jacqueline Baruch
<jacmareb2-@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 04:00 PM
Please respond to
jacmareb2-

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Baruch
7 Bridge St Apt 5
Towanda, PA 18848



Mary Duffy
<duffy.colorado@gmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 04:25 PM
Please respond to
duffy.colorado

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mary Duffy
21181 DEERHORN VALLEY RD
JAMUL, CA 91935-7944



joan scott
<joanscott91006@aol.com>

12/04/2011 04:35 PM
Please respond to
joanscott91006

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

joan scott
5632 cochin ave
arcadia, CA 91006



Willaim Crossland
<billcrossland@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 04:36 PM

Please respond to
billcrossland

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Willaim Crossland
Ferguson Avenue
Wiley Park, ot 2195



Shane Nodurft
<chicagonodurft@aol.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 05:02 PM
Please respond to
chicagonodurft

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Shane Nodurft
4025 North Pulaski Road
Chicago, IL 60641



Mauricio Carvajal
<carvaggro666@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 05:11 PM
Please respond to
carvaggro666

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mauricio Carvajal
Viento Norte 4018
Santiago, ot 9291583



ian reynolds
<ianreynolds1@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 05:20 PM
Please respond to
ianreynolds1

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

ian reynolds
niece road
lowbanks, ON n0a 1k0



Susan Hanlon
<sueh731@aol.com>

12/04/2011 05:23 PM
Please respond to
sueh731

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Susan Hanlon
560 Waterford Dr
Manchester, NJ 08759-4683



Wilson Saavedra
<wilson.saavedra@comcast.net>

12/04/2011 06:18 PM

Please respond to
wilson.saavedra

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Wilson Saavedra
5295 Grande Palm Circle
Delray Beach, FL 33484



salme armijo
<salme41@hotmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 06:50 PM

Please respond to
salme41

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

salme armijo
2 Allegro St
Blue Diamond, NV 89004



David Hoover-Adams
<talons_of_eagle@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 06:55 PM
Please respond to
talons_of_eagle

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

As I read this I was thinking that not to many groups, organizations, corporations, etc. become so wealthy based on psychotic modes of thinking, but instead usually their wealth is an obvious outgrowth of extreme human greed. To allow NiSource or any other group of companies to go to such extremes, let alone have the audacity to demand such, is completely unacceptable. It is not CAPITALISM when an individual, group, or large conglomerate would go so far to destroy life, both human and animal, simply for the sake of making money!!!

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

David Hoover-Adams

David Hoover-Adams
1125 E. Ave. R, Apt. D-4
Palmdale, Ca.
Palmdale, CA 93550



Colleen Brohl
<raven00me@yahoo.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 06:56 PM
Please respond to
raven00me

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Colleen Brohl
49930 Willis Rd.
Belleville, MI 48111



Jeff Jackson
<jajackson158@msn.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 07:25 PM
Please respond to
jajackson158

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Jeff Jackson
P.O. Box 33
Roseburg, OR 97470



"Debbie
Rubenstein(Earp)"
<dlearprubenstein13133
@verizon.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 07:32 PM
Please respond to
dlearprubenstein13133

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Debbie Rubenstein(Earp)
6 Cuyler Ct
Towson, MD 21204



jo cooper
<coope@sbcglobal.net>

12/04/2011 07:40 PM
Please respond to coope

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

jo cooper
180 n midway st
campbell, CA 95008



Erin Foley
<ecf216@nyu.edu>

12/04/2011 08:42 PM
Please respond to
ecf216

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Erin Foley
7 Georgetown Lane
Hazlet, NJ 07730



KDorothy Busch
<dorothybusch@aol.com>

12/04/2011 08:58 PM
Please respond to
dorothybusch

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

KDorothy Busch
POB 16567
Missoula, MT 59808



erin booth
<erinbooth@rocketmail.
com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 09:20 PM
Please respond to
erinbooth

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

erin booth
1200 Fieldcrest Dr
austin, TX 78704



Libby Tobey
<libbytobey@q.com>

12/04/2011 09:42 PM
Please respond to
libbytobey

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Libby Tobey
1307 N. Winstel Blvd.
Tucson, AZ 85716



linda frederick
<lfrederick001@cfl.rr.com>

12/04/2011 10:15 PM
Please respond to
lfrederick001

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

linda frederick
2680 s oceanshore blvd
flagler beach, FL 32136



Crystal Redington
<crystalredington@gmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/04/2011 10:17 PM
Please respond to
crystalredington

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Crystal Redington
30782 Iron Branch RD
Dagsboro, DE 19939



Kimberly LaMont
<Argument2@aol.com>

12/04/2011 11:07 PM
Please respond to
Argument2

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Kimberly LaMont
1000 N Saturn Ave
Clearwater, FL 33755



Keth Luke
<Keth@Awakening-Healing.com>

12/04/2011 11:09 PM
Please respond to Keth

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Keth Luke
5438 Tennessee Ave
New Port Richey, FL 34652-2932



Margaret Bonn
<mmb2146@roadrunner.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 12:16 AM

Please respond to
mmb2146

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Margaret Bonn
26 Beech Rd.
Buffalo, NY 14226



Warwick Neal
<warwick.neal@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 12:23 AM

Please respond to
warwick.neal

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Warwick Neal
Pioneer Parade
Maybuery, WV 24861



Lyn Gottschalk
<lynmari@gmail.com>

12/05/2011 01:27 AM
Please respond to
lynmari

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Lyn Gottschalk
2350 Canter Ln. Apt B
Green Bay, WI 54304



Timothy Ulrey
<tulrey@yahoo.com>

12/05/2011 02:33 AM
Please respond to tulrey

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Timothy Ulrey
4741 SE 34th ave
Portland, OR 97202



Barbara STRACKE
<silent.heresy@gmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 03:14 AM
Please respond to
silent.heresy

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Barbara STRACKE
R.: Caure
119 APT.45
Sao Paulo, ot ot



Wendy Hernandez
<wendy_lee@peoplepc.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 03:28 AM
Please respond to
wendy_lee

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Wendy Hernandez
57588 State Route 20 #33
Rockport, WA 98283



Sherry Saunders
<peebo9090@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 03:52 AM
Please respond to
peebo9090

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan. And may I say on behalf of myself and other concerned citizens - are you out of your mind? In what world do you have a right to ignore and otherwise totally disregard endangered species laws? Why DO you think they were put into effect?

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sherry Saunders
6600 Spencer Road
Ft. Worth, TX 76134-2921



Sara Fontani
<sara.fontani@unifi.it>
12/05/2011 04:17 AM
Please respond to
sara.fontani

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sara Fontani
San Piero a Sieve
Firenze, ot 50037



maryelizabeth king
<marybiz69@yahoo.co.uk>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 04:20 AM
Please respond to
marybiz69

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

maryelizabeth king
15 fudstone drive
kilbirnie, ot ka25 6de



Loyd Harp
<fullsweepdistro@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 04:57 AM
Please respond to
fullsweepdistro

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Loyd Harp
PO Box 1769
Cushing, OK 74023



Bruna Nin
<safontani@gmail.com>

12/05/2011 05:25 AM
Please respond to
safontani

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Bruna Nin
San Piero a Sieve
Firenze, ot 50037



Jeff Rivenbark
<2baggerjeff@comcast.net>

12/05/2011 05:53 AM
Please respond to
2baggerjeff

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Jeff Rivenbark
110 N. Woodward Ave
Wilmington, DE 19805



Kristiana Dahl
<krisedahl@netscape.net>

12/05/2011 06:18 AM

Please respond to
krisedahl

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Kristiana Dahl
Flat LG-03, 51-55 Waterloo Road
London, ot SE1 8TX



Nayan Savla
<nayansavla1@gmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 06:24 AM
Please respond to
nayansavla1

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Nayan Savla
wangapala road
nairobi, ot 00500



juli gage-macdonald
<veganurse@hotmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 07:03 AM
Please respond to
veganurse

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

juli gage-macdonald
3552 E Davies Place
Centennial, CO 80122



Pol Hermes
<polhermes@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 07:05 AM
Please respond to
polhermes

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Pol Hermes
46 Hopkins Lane
Peace Dale, RI 02883



Celia Hendricks
<betsyct@comcast.net>

12/05/2011 07:24 AM

Please respond to
betsyct

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Celia Hendricks
9 Highland Ave.
Bethel, CT 06801



Irma Fleischeuer-Toma
<irmatoma@live.nl>

12/05/2011 07:31 AM
Please respond to
irmatoma

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Irma Fleischeuer-Toma
Pepijnstraat 10
Sittard, AE 6132 EK



john young
<kiteturtle15@yahoo.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 07:59 AM

Please respond to
kiteturtle15

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

John Young
USFWS Fish and Wildlife Biologist (Retired)

john young
4406 areca palm drive
fort pierce, FL 34982



Dulce Manzini
<Dulce.Manzini@gmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 08:08 AM

Please respond to
Dulce.Manzini

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Dulce Manzini
8241 SW 31st Street
Miami, FL 33155



Annemieke van den
Berg
<ayvandenbergh@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 08:24 AM
Please respond to
ayvandenbergh

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Annemieke van den Berg
Pastoor Woltersweg 20
Renkum, AL 6871 HL



Rebecca Britt
<dbbritt@cox.net>

12/05/2011 09:18 AM
Please respond to dbbritt

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Britt
5005 Cass St.
Omaha, NE 68132



valerie gilbert
<confer-96842@mypack
s.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 09:28 AM

Please respond to
confer-96842

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

valerie gilbert
345 east 56th street #10E
new york, NY 10022



Aletta Kraan
<aletta@rogers.com>

12/05/2011 10:17 AM
Please respond to aletta

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Aletta Kraan
208 Sutherlandstreet.N.
Stayner, ON L0M 1S0



Barbara Stracke
<silent.heresy@gmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 11:23 AM
Please respond to
silent.heresy

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Barbara Stracke
R.: Caure, 119 apt. 45.
119 APT.45
Sao Paulo, ot 02310



Concepcion Ahuja
<ahujacon@hotmail.com>
m>

12/05/2011 11:43 AM
Please respond to
ahujacon

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Concepcion Ahuja
Calle 28 3B
Boca del Rio, AL 94294



Mark McKennon
<mark.mckennon@gmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 12:09 PM

Please respond to
mark.mckennon

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This seems to be yet another piece of proposed plan that is more crafted by lobbyists and the industry they work for than the government and the people IT works for. We would like a change in that paradigm. It's the people's land, and We, not the pipeline owners, have to live with the consequences of pollution, a blighted landscape, and diminished or vanished wildlife.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mark McKennon
438 Sixth Ave.
Brooklyn, NY 11215



Caroline Hamton
<hamptoncaroline@gmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 12:44 PM
Please respond to
hamptoncaroline

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Caroline Hamton
3605 Fernwood Dr
Raleigh, NC 27612



Andjei Muchin
<andjei195@qip.ru>

12/05/2011 12:52 PM
Please respond to
andjei195

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Andjei Muchin
Zarechnaia
Saratov, ot 41022



amy schumacher
<amyschu37@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 01:05 PM
Please respond to
amyschu37

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

amy schumacher
4127 middlebrook dr
beavercreek, OH 45440



marie perthuisot
<perthuisot.marie@voila
.fr>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 01:18 PM
Please respond to
perthuisot.marie

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

marie perthuisot
95 allée des collines
La Garde, UT 83130



marie perthuisot
<perthuisot.marie@voila
.fr>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 01:18 PM
Please respond to
perthuisot.marie

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

marie perthuisot
95 allée des collines
La Garde, UT 83130



giulia sciarretta
<iulia_v2007@yahoo.it>

12/05/2011 01:27 PM
Please respond to
iulia_v2007

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

giulia sciarretta
fontaines
toulouse, ot 31000



darlene wolf
<navistar@wildmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 01:27 PM
Please respond to
navistar

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

darlene wolf
1705 gordon dr
naples, FL 34102



MURLIN GOEKEN
<MURLIN@VITAMINCO
TTAGE.COM>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 01:52 PM

Please respond to
MURLIN

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

MURLIN GOEKEN
1700 S Filbert Ct
Denver, CO 80222



Pia Mustonen
<pia.mustonen@iki.fi>

12/05/2011 02:47 PM
Please respond to
pia.mustonen

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Pia Mustonen
Satamakatu 8 E 48
Tampere, ot 33200



Lara Ebisuzaki
<shukz@hotmail.com>

12/05/2011 02:54 PM
Please respond to shukz

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Lara Ebisuzaki
251 delta st
etobicoke, ON m8w4e8



Jules Potisk
<blu_jules@msn.com>

12/05/2011 03:16 PM
Please respond to
blu_jules

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Jules Potisk
403 N Ash St
Cortez, CO 81321



Laura McGowan
<eartheluvlaura@aol.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 03:35 PM

Please respond to
eartheluvlaura

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Laura McGowan
1046 Marlboro Road
Lothian, MD 20711



Pui Hang Miles
<puihang.miles@gmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 03:40 PM
Please respond to
puihang.miles

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Pui Hang Miles
35 Clifford Way
Maidstone, ot ME16 8GD



Wallace Elton
<wally.elton@earthlink.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource HCP and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 03:53 PM
Please respond to
wally.elton

I am writing to comment on the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

I am opposed to giving NiSource or any other company its own set of rules regarding Threatened and Endangered Species, especially when those rules amount to a 50-year exemption from the Endangered Species Act along 15,000 miles of pipeline in 15 states and potentially affecting 75 federally listed species. The proposed Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too broad geographically, give too free a hand in harming species during company activities, and extend for much too long a time to be considered in keeping with the intent of the ESA.

If allowed to proceed at all, the plan needs to be broken up into smaller, ecologically cohesive geographic parts and should account for a probable increase in gas drilling in the project area. The company must be required to take reasonable measures to avoid harming listed species and species of concern.

Finally, 50 years is far too long a time for any permit to "take" endangered species. Neither the Fish & Wildlife Service nor NiSource can predict with any accuracy the status of species 50 years down the road, particularly during a time of expected climate change. The potential impact on listed species must be reassessed at least every 10 years for changing threats in order to assure effective mitigation measures and other possible conservation steps.

Please revise the plan with the above points in mind to adequately protect species and to avoid setting an undesirable precedent for future decisions.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Wallace Elton
69 Elm Hill St
Springfield, VT 05156



Alex Blin
<alex@teor.fis.uc.pt>

12/05/2011 04:15 PM
Please respond to alex

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Alex Blin
Cabecinhos
Pereira, ot 3140-308



Elaine Al Meqdad
<ebenson14@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 05:29 PM

Please respond to
ebenson14

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Elaine Al Meqdad
16 W 655 Mockingbird Ln
Willowbrook, IL 60527



Laurie McLaughlin
<lauriemcl59@yahoo.co
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 07:57 PM

Please respond to
lauriemcl59

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Laurie McLaughlin
5655 2ieme Ave
Montreal, QC H1Y 2Y5



Nicole Weber
<nicole4770@yahoo.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 07:59 PM
Please respond to
nicole4770

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Nicole Weber
7621 Paradise Beach
Pasadena, MD 21122-3514



"Edward W. Johnson"
<edatthebeach@hotmail
.com>

12/05/2011 08:23 PM

Please respond to
edatthebeach

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan. I have read the draft letter prepared for the US Fish and Wildlife Service and am totally alarmed by its time and extent of the area encumbered. The very fact that some 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species could be impacted in this mile wide 15,000 mile corridor is over the top and must be stopped by your agency. Beyond that the proposal to disregard the spirit of the Endangered Species Act for 50 years is seriously flawed and will have dire consequences on the multiple ecosystems through which it passes. It should be further noted that by allowing this proposal to bypass the ESA, gas drilling in the project area in all likelihood would increase.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Edward W. Johnson

Edward W. Johnson
449 Elkland Court
PO Box 241
Cannon Beach, OR 97110



Elisa Minakis
<el_isa_m@hotmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 09:06 PM
Please respond to
el_isa_m

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Elisa Minakis
38 Forest Hts
Toronto, ON m2l2k5



Janet Robinson
<bocacatlover@gmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 09:08 PM

Please respond to
bocacatlover

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Janet Robinson
6391 Toulon Dr.
Boca Raton, FL 33433-3801



burton page
<brtnpage@hotmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 09:13 PM
Please respond to
brtnpage

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

burton page
10361 sixpence circle
columbia, MD 21044



Sharon Juenemann
<MalamutesRock@gmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 09:15 PM

Please respond to
MalamutesRock

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sharon Juenemann
2510 Weymouth Drive
HIGH RIDGE, MO 63049



dianne lien
<liendi11@yahoo.com>

12/05/2011 09:24 PM
Please respond to
liendi11

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

dianne lien
31396 Fresno Ave
Tomah, WI 54660



K JOyce
<kjoyce9999@yahoo.co
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 09:46 PM
Please respond to
kjoyce9999

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

K JOyce
797 Bush
LA, CA 94108



"L. Carmel"
<lescantoo@yahoo.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/05/2011 10:17 PM

Please respond to
lescantoo

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank You VERY MUCH, IN ADVANCE, For NOT LETTING THEM GET A PASS! And MAY G-D BLESS YOU AND YOUR FAMILIES!

ALL THE BEST TO ALL OF YOU,
MS. L. CARMEL

Sincerely,

L. Carmel
P.O. Box 57453
Jacksonville, FL 32241



Janice Foss
<libraj@comcast.net>

12/05/2011 10:39 PM
Please respond to libraj

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Janice Foss
2435 Colusa St.
Pinole, CA 94564



Stacy Mursten
<smursten@gmail.com>

12/05/2011 11:03 PM
Please respond to
smursten

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Stacy Mursten
21 Sanders Rd
Buffalo, NY 14216



lea mac leod
<jumeau5341@AOL.co
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/06/2011 12:21 AM
Please respond to
jumeau5341

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

lea mac leod
239 princeton ave
#3
amherst, NY 14226-3064



Kathy Laroy
<kathylaroy@yahoo.com>

12/06/2011 01:54 AM
Please respond to
kathylaroy

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Kathy Laroy
Tentoonstellingslaan 16
Gent, ot 9000



Anastasia Kruspe
<lokorulit08@mail.ru>

12/06/2011 05:12 AM
Please respond to
lokorulit08

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Anastasia Kruspe
South St. 10
Yelets, FL 23041



colleen drew
<cdrew@ihug.com.au>

12/06/2011 05:21 AM
Please respond to cdrew

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

colleen drew
Morshead Drive
Sydney, ot 2221



Barbara Ward
<92056@aol.com>

12/06/2011 06:51 AM
Please respond to 92056

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Conservation Plan

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future.

This plan, written as-is, puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Ward
3634 Harvard Drive
Oceanside, CA 92056



Jill Vickerman
<jillvic@telkomsa.net>
12/06/2011 07:52 AM
Please respond to jillvic

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Jill Vickerman
P O Box 270
Yzer
WestCape, ot 81226-0270



Barbara Ward
<92056@aol.com>

12/06/2011 07:55 AM
Please respond to 92056

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Barbara Ward
3634 Harvard Drive
Oceanside, CA 92056



Connie Kaiser
<conniemoser@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/06/2011 08:53 AM
Please respond to
conniemoser

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Connie Kaiser
1205 Again St.
Apt. A
Columbia, MO 65203



Wendy Forster
<wendy.eve@aim.com>

12/06/2011 09:19 AM
Please respond to
wendy.eve

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Wendy Forster
Cedar Lodge
Shrigley Road
Pott Shrigley, ot SK10 5SG



John Noel
<johnhnoel@earthlink.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/06/2011 10:38 AM
Please respond to
johnhnoel

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

John Noel
555 Great Circle Rd
Nashville, TN 37228



winifred fox
<winifredfox@eircom.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/06/2011 11:53 AM

Please respond to
winifredfox

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

winifred fox
tipperary
tipperary
tipperary, ot 0000000



JIM HEAD
<jimheadjr@hotmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/06/2011 12:51 PM
Please respond to
jimheadjr

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

JIM HEAD
15302 NORTHGATE
APT # 101
OAK PARK, MI 48237



Jeff Jackson
<jajackson158@msn.com>

12/06/2011 01:09 PM
Please respond to
jajackson158

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Jeff Jackson
P.O. Box 33
Roseburg, OR 97470



Erin LiVoti
<erinlivoti@gmail.com>

12/06/2011 01:30 PM
Please respond to
erinlivoti

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Erin LiVoti
2356 Evening Song Drive
Little Elm, TX 75068



Jim Curland
<Jmcurland@charter.net
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/06/2011 02:00 PM

Please respond to
Jmcurland

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Jim Curland
PO Box 959
Moss Landing, CA 95039



Jolyne Remy
<leschaumoins@gmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/06/2011 02:19 PM

Please respond to
leschaumoins

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Jolyne Remy
2 rue filet
Chaulgnes France, ot 58400



Richard Leonard
<drury66@aol.com>

12/06/2011 03:55 PM
Please respond to
drury66

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Richard Leonard
245 East 63rd St.
25C
New York, NY NY



Nancy Lowell
<lilyofthefield@tampabay.rr.com>

12/06/2011 04:29 PM
Please respond to
lilyofthefield

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

First of all, nobody in this country, in government or business, thinks or plans 50 years out. It is too nebulous a task, because of all the possible changes that WILL happen, even though we don't know what they will be. A species, many species, can completely disappear in less than 50 years. We would need to re-evaluate on a continuous basis.

Secondly, permission to "take" these animals is disgusting. If an endangered species individual is killed it should only happen as an unavoidable accident. Giving these folks license to "take" any animal is like James Bond getting his license to kill. They will kill, and they won't try very hard to avoid it. (Side note, I'm from Florida where gopher tortoises were allowed to be "taken" for years, by developers coming in to turn high dry woods into tract homes. They did this by burying the tortoises alive in their burrows and then paving them over.)

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Nancy Lowell
11717 North Drive
Tampa, FL 33617



EVELIO PINA
<epina@prtc.net>

12/06/2011 04:36 PM
Please respond to epina

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

EVELIO PINA
57 Sam Jose
San Juan, PR 00901



Claire Airowsmith
<clairowsmith@gmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/06/2011 05:28 PM

Please respond to
clairowsmith

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Claire Airowsmith
23 Romoda Drive
Canton, NY 13617



Michele McFerran
<mlmcferran@hotmail.com>

12/06/2011 06:21 PM

Please respond to
mlmcferran

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Michele McFerran
1508 Cedarsst
Lake Oswego, OR 97034



Steven Garron
<primatesteve@msn.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/06/2011 06:28 PM
Please respond to
primatesteve

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Steven Garron
4504 South 36th Street
Apt A2
Arlington, VA 22206



Lynda Johnston
<lynda.johnston@att.net
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/06/2011 07:16 PM
Please respond to
lynda.johnston

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Lynda Johnston
7322 Lakevale Dr.
Newburgh, IN 47630



G Bonner
<whale.tale@yahoo.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/06/2011 07:29 PM

Please respond to
whale.tale

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

G Bonner
202 E Baker
Clawson, MI 48017



Sue White
<juniornben@hotmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/06/2011 08:54 PM
Please respond to
juniornben

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sue White
box 344
Tiffin, IA 52340



Aldana Santto-Quinnell
<aldana_santto@yahoo.
com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/06/2011 10:36 PM
Please respond to
aldana_santto

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Aldana Santto-Quinnell
4489 Broadway, 5A
New York, NY 10040



Rebecca Evans
<Treehuggerbeck@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/06/2011 10:46 PM
Please respond to
Treehuggerbeck

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Evans
632 nw 75th st
Seattle, WA 98117



Claire Chambers
<csc2938@verizon.net>

12/07/2011 01:08 AM
Please respond to
csc2938

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Claire Chambers
38118 Calle Quedo
Murrieta, CA 92563



Kaji Kirupanithy
<skajanthini@gmail.com>
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/07/2011 01:34 AM
Please respond to
skajanthini

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Kaji Kirupanithy
301 Prudential Dr.
Toronto, ON M1P 4V3



Iwona Krzeminska
<iwa.horowitz@gmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/07/2011 02:50 AM
Please respond to
iwa.horowitz

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Iwona Krzeminska
ul. Spokojna 5
Sosnowiec, ot 00041



Britta Elert
<britta.elert@gmail.com>
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/07/2011 03:18 AM

Please respond to
britta.elert

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Britta Elert
Goebenstr. 23
Herford
Herford, ot 32052



Sakura Vesely
<jellybelly_11@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/07/2011 03:37 AM
Please respond to
jellybelly_11

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sakura Vesely
4432 Actriz Place
Martinez, CA 94553-1456



tracey purnell
<tracey_pee@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/07/2011 04:27 AM
Please respond to
tracey_pee

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

tracey purnell
5/3 gilmour's close
edinburgh, ot eh1 2hj



Paige Eynon
<paige.artist22@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/07/2011 06:53 AM
Please respond to
paige.artist22

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Paige Eynon
525 Pennsylvania St. #206
Denver, CO 80203



Harriet Price-Morrall
<harricommando2003@
yahoo.co.uk>

12/07/2011 07:37 AM

Please respond to
harricommando2003

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Harriet Price-Morrall
9 Bramfield Road
Battersea
London, ot SW11 6RA



Susan Bishop
<susanduane@echoes.net>

12/07/2011 08:28 AM
Please respond to
susanduane

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Susan Bishop
24 Church St.
Deposit, NY 13754



art shervs
<lodemidiquail@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/07/2011 11:15 AM
Please respond to
lodemidiquail

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

art shervs
302 windsor pl
brooklyn, NY 11218



Marie-Louise Schulz
<marie-louise1992@web.de>

12/07/2011 12:29 PM
Please respond to
marie-louise1992

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Marie-Louise Schulz
hollywood hills 1
beverly hills, CA 90210



Alexia Strout
<astroutdapaz@gmail.com>
om>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/07/2011 01:54 PM
Please respond to
astroutdapaz

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Alexia Strout
2201 Mistletoe
Fort Worth, TX 76110



Danielle Beres
<frankiedawop@aol.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/07/2011 05:07 PM
Please respond to
frankiedawop

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Danielle Beres
1557 Twisted Oak Dr
Reston, VA 20194



abby spitzer
<spitzer4@verizon.net>

12/07/2011 08:34 PM
Please respond to
spitzer4

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

abby spitzer
119 penfield ave, croton, n.y.
croton, NY 10520



Scott Plummer
<scott.plummer@ymail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/07/2011 10:12 PM
Please respond to
scott.plummer

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Scott Plummer
6880 Quatse Crescent Box 1202
po box 1202
Port Hardy, BC V0N 2P0



Marlene Borth
<phxfoxy@cox.net>

12/08/2011 07:50 AM
Please respond to
phxfoxy

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Marlene Borth
18635 N. 13th drive
Phoenix, AZ 85027



Birgitta Siponen
<hipuldi@gmail.com>

12/08/2011 09:25 AM
Please respond to
hipuldi

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Birgitta Siponen
Puulinnankatu 4
Oulu, ot 90570



Ruth Serra
<sharsal55@yahoo.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/08/2011 10:11 AM
Please respond to
sharsal55

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

"The wise harm no one."
Buddha

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Ruth Serra
2747 Via Capri
Clearwater, FL 33764



Steven Van Ginkel
<steppen@asu.edu>

12/08/2011 10:13 AM
Please respond to
steppen

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Steven Van Ginkel
2735 Defoors Ferry Rd NW
Atlanta, GA 30318



Laura Nowack
<wackless@yahoo.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/08/2011 10:18 AM

Please respond to
wackless

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Laura Nowack
405 Sheffield Court
Brewster, NY 10509



Laura Nowack
<wackless@yahoo.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/08/2011 10:18 AM

Please respond to
wackless

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Laura Nowack
405 Sheffield Court
Brewster, NY 10509



Carol Verga
<caverga@comcast.net
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/08/2011 10:49 AM
Please respond to
caverga

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Carol Verga
6711 W. Mercer Way
Mercer Island, WA 98040



Addy Cole
<cole.addy@yahoo.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/08/2011 11:08 AM
Please respond to
cole.addy

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Addy Cole
513 Cobblestone Dr. Apt 106
Wilmington, NC 28405



Brenda Collins
<brenda.collins@hotmail
.co.uk>

12/08/2011 11:28 AM

Please respond to
brenda.collins

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Brenda Collins
16 Nine Elms Avenue
Uxbridge Middlesex
London, NY 12345



Val Rose
<ariel2424@msn.com>
12/08/2011 11:56 AM
Please respond to
ariel2424

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Val Rose
887 S.Cellini Bay
Pueblo West, CO 81007



Shawn Sorensen
<shawnsorensen43@hotmail.com>

12/08/2011 01:03 PM

Please respond to
shawnsorensen43

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Shawn Sorensen
No mail please 3206 NE 71st Ave
Portland, OR 97213



Charlene Root
<firebyrd@earthlink.net>

12/08/2011 05:40 PM
Please respond to
firebyrd

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Charlene Root
8634 Friends Avenue
Whittier, CA 90602



Cynthia Sopel
<cynthiasopel69@hotmail.com>

12/08/2011 07:47 PM
Please respond to
cynthiasopel69

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Sopel
41 Burk Street
Oshawa, ON L1J4C1



nathalie bergeron
<kelodenat@sympatico.ca>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/09/2011 05:51 AM

Please respond to
kelodenat

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

nathalie bergeron
5174 bossuet
Lévis, CA G6V5A9



severine stockling
<shari1131@yahoo.fr>

12/09/2011 07:43 AM
Please respond to
shari1131

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

severine stockling
34 bd bouge
marseille, ot 13013



Veronica Gonzales
<sacrisnatura@gmail.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/09/2011 11:53 AM

Please respond to
sacrisnatura

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Veronica Gonzales
2195 Frederick Douglass Blvd
New York, NY 10026



Lisa Shaw
<lisa.shaw@bigcatrescu
e.org>

12/09/2011 11:53 AM

Please respond to
lisa.shaw

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Lisa Shaw
4926 3rd St N
Saint Petersburg, FL 33703-3916



linda badham
<lindabadham@btintern
et.com>

12/09/2011 12:10 PM

Please respond to
lindabadham

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

linda badham
hawkins hall lane
herts, ot sg36tf



Max and Mary Herink
<mmherink@aol.com>

12/09/2011 01:10 PM
Please respond to
mmherink

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Max and Mary Herink
14541 Pepper Tree Cir
Tustin, CA 92780



sandra sheehy
<sandra.sheehy@yahoo
.ie>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/09/2011 01:59 PM
Please respond to
sandra.sheehy

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

sandra sheehy
CLONSILLA
dublin
DUBLIN, IL 11115



Diane Baxter-MacArthur
<captainpostie@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/09/2011 02:49 PM
Please respond to
captainpostie

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Diane Baxter-MacArthur
17 Percy Street
Brighton, ON k0k 1h0



fernanda bueno
<fernandaarb@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/09/2011 03:54 PM

Please respond to
fernandaarb

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

fernanda bueno
av. monsenhor ascaneo
rio de janeiro, ot 22621060



Richard Freeman
<rkfreeman@sbcglobal.net>

12/09/2011 04:51 PM

Please respond to
rkfreeman

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation. 50 YEARS IS A RIDICULOUSLY LONG REQUEST. MAYBE ONE YEAR AT A TIME IF EVEN THAT.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Richard Freeman
844 East Meadow Drive
Palo Alto,, CA 94303



Rebecca Maier
<rebeccamaier@hotmail
.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/09/2011 06:15 PM

Please respond to
rebeccamaier

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Maier
Milldock Drive
Toronto, ON mlc 4r3



shelley frazier
<fshell1602@yahoo.com>
m>

12/09/2011 07:11 PM

Please respond to
fshell1602

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

shelley frazier
609 S. Boylan Ave.
Raleigh, NC 27603



jill Barrett
<jilly.bee@xtra.co.nz>

12/09/2011 09:09 PM
Please respond to
jilly.bee

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

jill Barrett
53 Onewa Road, Northcote
Auckland, AK 00627



Kevin Sewell
<kevin.sewell2@virginm
edia.com>

12/09/2011 09:19 PM

Please respond to
kevin.sewell2

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Kevin Sewell
Apartment 2 Frankfort House
Victoria Road
Nottingham, NY 12345



Gary Griffith
<garygriffith@juno.com>

12/09/2011 09:36 PM

Please respond to
garygriffith

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Gary Griffith
2314 todd lane
Baltimore, MD 21784



Rosa McCann
<moondoggie_pet_sittin
g@yahoo.com>

12/10/2011 12:24 AM
Please respond to
moondoggie_pet_sitting

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Rosa McCann
422 Simmond Rd
Oroville, CA 95966-8807



Rajshree Boyragee
<lilyroseanthurium09@
msn.com>

12/10/2011 01:01 AM

Please respond to
lilyroseanthurium09

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Rajshree Boyragee
Doolar Lane
Mahebourg, ot 00230



chairani amral amir
<chairani65@yahoo.com>
m>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/10/2011 01:08 AM
Please respond to
chairani65

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

chairani amral amir
neo catalonia bf 21
witana harja blok a 44
serpong, ot 13413



Tammy Bowers
<ltbowers@sbcglobal.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/10/2011 02:03 AM

Please respond to
ltbowers

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Tammy Bowers
305 Hickok St.
Round Rock, TX 78681



"H.J. Roozendaal"
<hjroozendaal@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/10/2011 09:49 AM
Please respond to
hjroozendaal

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

H.J. Roozendaal
Beldam 2
Lucaswolde, ot 9825TJ



Tony Menechella
<snuffer@fewpb.net>

12/10/2011 09:59 AM
Please respond to
snuffer

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Tony Menechella
1431 Stage Coach Rd
Frankfort, KY 40601-9663



Brandy Monroe
<ellielove_21@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/10/2011 11:25 AM
Please respond to
ellielove_21

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Brandy Monroe
Crystal Circle Dr.
Heath, OH 43056



Wendy Bukoski
<Wendy@bukoski.org>

12/10/2011 11:35 AM
Please respond to
Wendy

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Wendy Bukoski
10372 NE Pine Way
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110



andree Hussard
<andree.hussard@yahoo.fr>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/10/2011 01:09 PM
Please respond to
andree.hussard

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

andree Hussard
14 bd henri Michel
marseille, ot 13016



jessy Barate
<jessybarate@yahoo.fr>

12/10/2011 01:10 PM

Please respond to
jessybarate

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

jessy Barate
281 impasse ste Anne
Allauch, ot 13190



genevieve Pieroni
<genevieve.pieroni@yahoo.fr>

12/10/2011 01:11 PM
Please respond to
genevieve.pieroni

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

genevieve Pieroni
la barque
fuveau, ot 13710



Cara Corzo
<cmcorzo@aol.com>

12/10/2011 01:44 PM
Please respond to
cmcorzo

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Cara Corzo
2419 Chatham Place Drive
Orlando, FL 32837



Michael Mojica
<michaelmojica@hotmail.com>

To: <permitsr3es@fws.gov>
cc:
Subject: NiSource 50-yr Permit - NO GOOD!

12/10/2011 02:03 PM

I want to raise my concern regarding NiSource's request for a 50-year Incidental Take Permit which would cover several states. I am not an environmentalist but I live in Westtown, NY where farms and open air and wild life thrive.

I cannot understand why a federal agency would, essentially, hand-over a certain permitting process to 1 company. This is almost like allowing a single entity from carving out its place on the map. Although, NiSource would still have to submit documents to US FWS, I think this is a relinquishing of oversight and federal authority to one entity.

Please allow for an extended period for comments which include public town halls along the Northeast sector.

Respectfully,

Michael Mojica
Westtown, NY



Jelica Roland
<jroland@email.t-com.hr
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/10/2011 07:57 PM
Please respond to
jroland

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Jelica Roland
M. Trinajstića 1
Buzet, ot 52420



Maritza Castro
<mayito_0880@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/10/2011 10:40 PM
Please respond to
mayito_0880

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Maritza Castro
Calle D 307 (Nueva Kennedy)
Guayaquil, ot 5940



Angyl Wisemessenger
<childofafed@hotmail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/10/2011 11:56 PM

Please respond to
childofafed

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Angyl Wisemessenger
PO Box 152427
Arlington, TX 76015



reita newkirk
<reita.newkirk@comcast
.net>

12/11/2011 12:09 AM

Please respond to
reita.newkirk

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

reita newkirk
55 paseo vista
santa fe, NM 87508



carolyn hayton
<carolynhayton@iprimu
s.com.au>

12/11/2011 01:36 AM
Please respond to
carolynhayton

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

carolyn hayton
U9/12 Bakewell Road
evandale south australia, ot 5069



arnaud Hussard
<arnaudh71@yahoo.fr>

12/11/2011 06:25 AM
Please respond to
arnaudh71

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

arnaud Hussard
14 bd henri Michel
marseille, ot 13016



chantal Provost
<chantalprov@yahoo.fr>

12/11/2011 06:26 AM

Please respond to
chantalprov

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

chantal Provost
24 rue du 1er Mai
Trets, ot 13530



Stephanie McCarren
<FaithWickedSlayr@aol.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/11/2011 08:52 AM
Please respond to
FaithWickedSlayr

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Stephanie McCarren
1250 Providence Drive
Lawrenceville, GA 30044



Sharon Davis
<heylady1948@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/11/2011 11:15 AM
Please respond to
heylady1948

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sharon Davis
PO Box 2576
Riverview, FL 33568



Steve Smith
<langtonstevesmith@ya
hoo.co.uk>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/11/2011 12:15 PM
Please respond to
langtonstevesmith

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Steve Smith
Constitution Ave
Washington, DC 20560



felicia peters
<felpet20@gmail.com>

12/11/2011 01:32 PM
Please respond to
felpet20

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

felicia peters
565 Almanor St
petaluma, CA 94954



Veronique Marien
<veronique_marien@ya
hoo.fr>

12/11/2011 02:14 PM
Please respond to
veronique_marien

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Veronique Marien
Rue de l'Orient 136
Etterbeek (Belgium), ot 1040



George Picchioni
<gdp527@gmail.com>

12/11/2011 04:44 PM
Please respond to
gdp527

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

George Picchioni
1923 colden ave
bronx, NY 10462



C McMillon
<carriemcm7@hotmail.com>

12/11/2011 07:09 PM

To: <permitsr3es@fws.gov>
cc:
Subject: NiSource Gas Transmission and Storage Plan

Good Day!

I am writing in regard to the NiSource Gas Transmission and Storage Plan.

First, it is unacceptable that such an enormous project that will effect millions of people has not been adequately publicized. I strive to stay abreast of concerns such as this in my community, and only just found out about this project today. Others I have talked to had never heard of it before today either.

Second, this huge pipeline project is bound to have numerous negative impact on property values, water sources, habitats, and overall environmental quality.

Third, any proposal that includes exemptions to laws that are designed to protect people, environment, and non-human species is obviously not a good idea. These laws are here to ensure our health and safety, and that of the environment. If the plan can't follow the guidelines, then it needs to be changed. NiSource is proposing a pipeline that will be detrimental to numerous endangered species. They should most definitely not be granted permission to continue without having to follow rules established by the Endangered Species Act.

Fourth, a transmission path that includes a swath of land one mile wide and transversing hundreds of miles will have far reaching effects that have not been adequately assessed or considered. Every property owner and residedent who will be impacted by this should be adequatley notified of the plan, in order to properly survey public opinion.

This plan is an outrage and should in no way be deemed legal or worthwhile.

Thank you,
Carrie McMillon
Rt. 2 Box 204 Elkins, WV
26241



jocelyne HUTH
<jocelyne.huth@laposte.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/12/2011 04:09 AM
Please respond to
jocelyne.huth

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

jocelyne HUTH
11 rue du peras
marseille, ot 13013



michele quintric
<michelequintric@laposte.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/12/2011 04:10 AM

Please respond to
michelequintric

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

michele quintric
14 bd albert Joly
Poissy, ot 78300



Ana Alvarez
<aairis@aol.com>

12/12/2011 04:18 AM
Please respond to aairis

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Ana Alvarez
11500 Brandiwine Ct.
Clermont, FL 34711



maya Puerta
<maya.mars@laposte.net>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/12/2011 05:01 AM
Please respond to
maya.mars

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

maya Puerta
palama
marseille, ot 13013



stephanie Provost
<stephprov@laposte.net
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/12/2011 05:02 AM
Please respond to
stephprov

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

stephanie Provost
24 rue du 1er Mai
Trets, ot 13530



alexandra Susini
<alexast@laposte.net>

12/12/2011 05:42 AM
Please respond to
alexast

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

alexandra Susini
le guizay
Planfoy, ot 42660



annie Bertrand
<annie.mars@hotmail.fr
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/12/2011 05:43 AM

Please respond to
annie.mars

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

annie Bertrand
8 rue st jean de garguier
marseille, ot 13004



mireille Azouzou
<cleo57@hotmail.fr>

12/12/2011 06:32 AM
Please respond to
cleo57

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

mireille Azouzou
val plan
marseille, ot 13013



jean damien Susini
<jdsusini@hotmail.fr>

12/12/2011 06:32 AM
Please respond to
jdsusini

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

jean damien Susini
le guizay
Planfoy, ot 42660



Elize Labuschagne
<elize.ten17@gmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/12/2011 06:57 AM
Please respond to
elize.ten17

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Elize Labuschagne
A02 Dolphin Beach Hotel
1 Marine Drive
Bloubergstrand, AK 74410



Brandi Whitecloud
<bwmonroeville@aol.com>

12/12/2011 08:00 AM

Please respond to
bwmonroeville

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Brandi Whitecloud
216 Emrose Drive
Penn Hills, PA 15235



germain Puerta
<noxon61@hotmail.com
>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/12/2011 08:38 AM

Please respond to
noxon61

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

germain Puerta
12 bd national
marseille, ot 13001



mathias Provost
<mathiasprov@hotmail.f
r>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/12/2011 08:39 AM
Please respond to
mathiasprov

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

mathias Provost
3 rue Borde
Trets, ot 13530



M Helmetsie
<mhelmetsie@comcast.net>

12/12/2011 09:21 AM

Please respond to
mhelmetsie

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

M Helmetsie
1117 East End Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15218



Muriel Servaeghe
<fraser01@scarlet.be>

12/12/2011 11:18 AM
Please respond to
fraser01

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Muriel Servaeghe
Rue de la Synagogue, 7
Arlon, ot 06700



Muriel Servaeghe
<fraser01@scarlet.be>

12/12/2011 11:18 AM
Please respond to
fraser01

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Muriel Servaeghe
Rue de la Synagogue, 7
Arlon, ot 06700



Julie Block
<julieblock5@aol.com>

12/12/2011 02:46 PM

Please respond to
julieblock5

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Julie Block
5137 N. Lovers Lane
Milwaukee, WI 53225-3072



Krista Tackett
<animalwoman@bellsouth.net>

12/12/2011 05:36 PM
Please respond to
animalwoman

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Krista Tackett
107 N. Johnson Ave.
Louisville, MS 39339



Eileen Hennessy
<eileen.hennessy@yahoo.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/12/2011 06:34 PM
Please respond to
eileen.hennessy

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

This unprecedented request to the US Fish and Wildlife Service would put more than 75 species at risk including Louisiana black bears, West Virginia Northern flying squirrels, Cheat salamander, Indiana bats and Eastern bog turtles who would all lose vital protections within one-half mile on either side of NiSource's pipeline.

If this misguided plan goes forward, it must be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Moreover, 50 years is far too long a time for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are realistically able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as it is, it puts too many endangered species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Eileen Hennessy
63 Cleveland St.
Melrose, MA 02176



BILLI HANLON
<billihanlon@aol.com>

12/12/2011 07:26 PM
Please respond to
billihanlon

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

BILLI HANLON
3310 French Ave
West Sacramento, CA 95691



"Donna M. Hodsdon"
<SiBi0208@aol.com>

12/13/2011 06:15 AM
Please respond to
SiBi0208

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Donna M. Hodsdon
POB 518
5043 Hwy 72
New Plymouth, ID 83655



Sharyn Porter
<sharyn.porter@qwest.com>

12/13/2011 10:01 AM
Please respond to
sharyn.porter

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Sharyn Porter
60 Colburn Court
Worthington, OH 43085-2636



Reynard Loki
<reynardloki@gmail.com>
m>

12/13/2011 10:06 AM
Please respond to
reynardloki

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Reynard Loki
10 W 15 ST
New York, NY 10011



Shelli Schmidt
<shadyshell@nvc.net>

12/13/2011 11:00 AM

Please respond to
shadyshell

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov

cc:

Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Shelli Schmidt
314 5th Ave NE
Aberdeen, SD 57401



Debbie Heaton
<debbie550@ymail.com>

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

12/13/2011 12:45 PM
Please respond to
debbie550

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Debbie Heaton
4216 Mt.Vernon Rd. S.E.
Cedar Rapids, IA 52403



David Casey
<david@casey.net>

12/13/2011 10:01 PM
Please respond to david

To: permitsR3ES@fws.gov
cc:
Subject: NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit

I am writing regarding the NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan.

The NiSource Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permits are too big to be done responsibly and in keeping with the spirit of the Endangered Species Act. Currently, it covers 9.8 million acres, in 14 states, over 15,000 miles of pipeline in a mile-wide corridor, and impacts 75 federally listed endangered, threatened species. If you include candidate species, that number rises to as many as 100.

If it goes forward, the plan needs to be partitioned into several, more geographically and ecologically cohesive units and it needs to factor the likely increase in gas drilling in the project area.

Further, 50 years is far too long for permits to "take" endangered species. Neither FWS or NiSource are able to accurately estimate species status 50 years into the future. Climate change, White Nose Syndrome, and other threats require that the plan be re-analyzed at least every decade to have effective mitigation.

This plan would almost certainly set a precedent for future decisions and needs to be crafted with that in mind. Written as-is it puts too many species at risk for far too long to be approved.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

David Casey
4233 Thackeray PL NE
Seattle, WA 98105-6543